### **Site Details**

Northing: 433717 Area sq m: 2737.94 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429427 Area Ha: 0.273794 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Office

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Office

Neighbouring Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Site State:

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):588.98Distance to bus stop (metres)172.44Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID4517

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Freestanding vacant 7 storey 1950s office building formerly used by metropolitan police.

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/463/75/	Alterations, to form basement generator room and secondfloor computor control room, worksh op, store, air conditioni	15/12/1975	12/01/1976	A	32.52

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions				
Availability: Medium term (6-	10 y Suitability: Yes	,	Achievability: Medi	um term (6-10 years)
ummary of Infrastru	cture provider co	mments and otl	her planning r	equirements
Leeds City Council High	ways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport access healthcare - not secondary scl			schools and	5
Access Comments				Rank (1-5
Access possible from Lisbon	St or Little Queen St			5
Local network comment				Rank (1-5
Capacity concerns on this sec	ction of network but lesser	r impact than other city	centre uses	4
Mitigation measure				Total sco
Improvements to local junctio	ns would be sought			14
Support?	Need to combine wi	ith other sites:	Suitability for pa	artial development:
Yes - with mitigation	combine with 230			
Highways Agency n/a Network Rail :				
Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and Supported	LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary	Amendment	
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Flooding				
Environment Agency Comm	ents:	Environment Age	ency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:		Yorkshire Water	Waste Water Treati	ment Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Managemen	ıt:			
<u>Jtilities</u>				

Electric:					
Telecom:					
Fire and Rescue Services:					
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:				
English Heritage:					
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessm	ent			
Could site be effectively	Yes		No		Maybe
managed	(Text)				
Would gypsies and	Yes		No		Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)				, ,
site?					
Proximity to housed	Yes			No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		•		
Experience of previous	Yes		No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)				
<b>Conclusion of Asses</b>	sment				
Conclusion summary:					
Mixed use development with resid	dential a reasona	able prospect. God	od office	quarter location.	SHLAA anticipates 48
dwellings but potential for 5000sc	m of offices too.				
Site Capacity (dwellings units):	; 4	48 <b>Floo</b> r	space s	q m (Non resider	ntial):
Residential Conclusion:	R	etail Conclusion	:		Employment Conclusion:
Green					Green

Site Name: Brotherton House Westgate Leeds LS1 2RS

Site Ref: 187

### **Site Details**

Northing: 433657 Area sq m: 29864.13 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430863 Area Ha: 2.986413 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 3:
Neighbouring Use 1
Neighbouring Use 2:
Neighbouring Use 3:
Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Sloping Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

✓

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 0.00 Distance to bus stop (metres) 0.00

Nearest Railway Station Bus Stop ID

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:0.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Remaining parts of the Quarry Hill development site to the west of Quarry House and north of the Yorkshire Playhouse. Mainly used as a surface car park.

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

**Planning History** 

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

<b>SHLAA Conclu</b>	isions
---------------------	--------

Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability: Yes Achievability: Medium term (6-10 years)

# Gypsy \_Traveller Site Assessment

Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)		
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		

### **Conclusion of Assessment**

#### Conclusion summary:

Mixed use development with residential a reasonable prospect. 2004 Planning Application approved in principle for 203 flats, 10,000sqm of office space and 5,000sqm of leisure.

Site Capacity (dwellings units): 203 Floorspace sq m (Non residential): 15000

Residential Conclusion: Retail Conclusion: Employment Conclusion:

Greer

### **Site Details**

Northing: 432867 Area sq m: 21953.51 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429765 Area Ha: 2.195351 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Office

Existing Use 2: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Transport - Car Parks

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Site State:

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):332.34Distance to bus stop (metres)164.19Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID6735

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Office buildings and surface car park to the west of Bridgewater Place

# **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Site Ref: 225

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/166/85/	Alterations, including new doo r and roller shutter doorto wo rks.	22/04/1985	13/05/1985	Α	44.48
20/407/01/FU	Amendment to previous application no. 20/337/00/fu involving substitution of hotel use to office use	06/08/2001	26/02/2002	А	11.52
07/05009/FU	Alterations to access and eggress and entrance barrier to Front Street and exit barrier to St Francis Place to car park.	08/08/2007	03/10/2007	Α	12.33
99-20/1/92/FU	Addition of condenser units	05/05/1992	28/07/1992	Α	32.04
08/05710/FU	Installation of 2 ventilation louvres to side of office	03/10/2008	19/11/2008	Α	64.76
07/00889/FU	Replacement of cladding to entrance to offices	12/02/2007	11/04/2007	А	65.86
20/154/96/FU	Amendment to conditions no 12 and 14 of application no 99-20/68/95/fu (landscaping)	20/03/1996	05/06/1996	R	65.87
0-20/42/95/MOD	One non illuminated double sided freestanding sign and 3 external illuminated signs	23/08/1995	23/10/1995	M01	64.82
99-20/8/94/CA	Conservation area application to demolish warehouse	20/01/1994	17/02/1994	Α	15.50
H20/79/91/99	Outline application to erect 3 storey office block with57 ca r parking spaces, to vacant si te. (site area	08/03/1991	18/04/1991	А	12.50
20/551/01/SI	1 externally illuminated freestanding sign to offices	26/10/2001	21/12/2001	R	32.07
H20/427/79/	2 internally illuminated indiv idual letter signs, size of ea ch 5.90m x 1.60m height of one above ground 10.45mand one 9.	01/10/1979	17/12/1979	А	63.02
H20/11/88/	Change of use, involving alter ations, including new first floor and external cladding a nd extension, to form plant	08/01/1988	24/01/1989	Α	65.91
H20/165/90/99	Outline application to erect o ffice block with 57 car parki ng spaces and landscaping to v acant site.	04/04/1990	21/06/1990	А	12.48
99-20/68/95/FU	Detached prefabricated staff restaurant and 3m high boundary wall and fence	22/03/1995	17/05/1995	А	64.82
20/532/96/FU	Addition of louvre to rear of offices	03/10/1996	22/11/1996	Α	64.82
99-20/103/95/FU	Addition of 1.2m diameter satellite antenna to offices	16/06/1995	24/07/1995	Α	62.01
20/337/00/FU	Part 30 and part 8 storey 190 bed hotel and flats and office block with restaurant bars and basement car parking	14/07/2000	22/11/2001	Α	11.52
20/662/99/FU	Alterations to frontage and addition of air condenser units to roof of offices	24/11/1999	04/02/2000	А	64.82
20/10/02/SI	1 externally illuminated free standing sign to offices	09/01/2002	31/01/2002	Α	32.07

H20/365/74/	Alterations, to form new time office, switchboard, tearo om, interview room, waiting ro om, and publicity store, to	02/12/1974	13/01/1975	А	66.17
0-20/33/03/MOD	Amendment to previous application no. 20/337/00/fu involving substitution of hotel use to office use	23/07/2003	15/08/2003	M07	11.52
20/238/01/FU	Detached oil storage tank to offices	18/05/2001	13/07/2001	Α	65.91
99-20/9/94/FU	Two 3 storey and two 2 storey office blocks	20/01/1994	17/02/1994	Α	15.50
H20/405/80/	Laying out of access, and erec tion of 2 blocks each of 2 ind ustrial units, each unit with offices and toilets, and with 2	12/11/1980	05/01/1981	Α	28.12
99-20/75/95/SI	One non illuminated double sided freestading sign and 3 externally illuminated signs	26/04/1995	29/06/1995	Α	64.82
99-20/129/94/FU	Use of vacant site as car park	25/07/1994	30/11/1994	Α	12.34
H20/58/89/99	Demolition of depot and laying out of site to provide tempo rary car park.	07/02/1989	30/06/1989	W	12.52
H20/59/89/99	Demolition of warehouses and I aying out of temporary car p ark.	07/02/1989	30/06/1989	W	12.48
20/248/03/FU	Replacement of 2 air condenser units to roof of call centre	03/07/2003	28/08/2003	Α	65.89
07/01033/ADV	One externally illuminated wall sign to offices	15/02/2007	11/04/2007	Α	65.86
H20/421/79/	Alterations, including new fro ntage, and with 17 car parki ng spaces and landscaping, to works.	25/09/1979	17/12/1979	Α	63.23
20/52/02/FU	Replacement of air conditioning units to roof of offices	06/02/2002	19/03/2002	Α	64.03
99-20/74/95/FU	Single storey extension to form new entrance alterations to elevations and third floor extension to offices	19/04/1995	29/06/1995	А	64.82
99-20/166/95/RE	Extension of permission for detached prefabricated staff restaurant	09/10/1995	13/11/1995	Α	64.82

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

ummary of Infrastructure provider comments and other planning requirements  Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro  Accessibility comment  Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare  Access Comments  Access fom David Street, close access from Bridgewater place  Local network comment  Local network will need looking at  Mitigation measure  Some required  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development  Indivarya Agency  Protential for curvulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city entreAire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Constraints:  Environment Agency Constraints:	HLAA Conclusions					
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro Accessibility comment Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare  5 Access Comments Access form David Street, close access from Bridgewater place  5 Local network comment Local network will need looking at  Mitigation measure  Total s Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development yes - with mitigation  Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city entre/Aire Valley cluster.  Metwork Rail:  Biodiversity Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Rank (*  5  Suitability for partial development yes - with mitigation  Boundary Amendment	Availability: Unknown	Suitability: LDF	to determine	Achievability:	Unknown	
Access Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare    Sank (*   5	ummary of Infrastruct	ure provider co	mments and	other planni	ng require	ments
Access Comments Access for David Street, close access from Bridgewater place    Sample   Samp	Leeds City Council Highwa	ys inc Metro				
Access Comments  Access forn David Street, close access from Bridgewater place  Local network comment  Local network will need looking at  Mitigation measure  Some required  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development yes - with mitigation  Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city rentre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  Nest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Supported  Findinge/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Accessibility comment					Rank (1-5
Access forn David Street, close access from Bridgewater place  Local network comment Local network will need looking at  Mitigation measure  Total s  Some required  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development yes - with mitigation  dighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city enter(-/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Jatural England:  Education  Environment Agency Constraints:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Meets Public Transport accessib	ility and standards for I	ocal services, scool	s and healthcare		5
Local network comment Local network will need looking at  A  Mitigation measure  Total s  Some required  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development  yes - with mitigation  dighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city  wetwork Rail:  Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Access Comments				·	Rank (1-5
Mitigation measure  Total s Some required  Support?  Need to combine with other sites: Suitability for partial development yes - with mitigation  dighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city entre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Supported  Jatural England: Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Access fom David Street, close	access from Bridgewat	ter place			5
Mitigation measure  Some required  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development yes - with mitigation  dighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city rentre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Jatural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Constraints:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Local network comment				,	Rank (1-5
Mitigation measure  Some required  14  Support? Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development yes - with mitigation  lighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city rentre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Siddiversity Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Boundary Amendment Supported  Autural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Constraints:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Local network will need looking a	at				1
Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development  yes - with mitigation  dighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city tentre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Boundary Amendment  Supported  Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:						4
Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development  yes - with mitigation  Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city  rentre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Hatural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Mitigation measure					Total sco
Alighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city rentre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Some required					14
Aighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city tentre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Support?	Need to combine w	ith other sites:	Suitability	for partial dev	elopment:
Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city sentre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	yes - with mitigation					
Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city sentre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Highways Agency					
Rest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Suppor	Potential for cumulative impact in centre/Aire Valley cluster.	combination with other	er sites. If site still in	cluded at next sift	t assess as part	of city
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Natural England:  Education  Orainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Network Rail :					
Supported  Satural England:  Education  Orainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Biodiversity					
Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	West Yorkshire Ecology and LO	CC Ecology Officer:	Bounda	ary Amendment		
Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:	Supported					
Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments: Environment Agency Constraints:	Natural England:					
Environment Agency Comments: Environment Agency Constraints:	<u>Education</u>					
Environment Agency Comments: Environment Agency Constraints:						
	Drainage/Water/Flooding				_	
Yorkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comm	Environment Agency Comment	S:	Environment	Agency Constrai	ints:	
	Yorkshire Water Comments:		Yorkshire Wa	ter Waste Water	Treatment Wor	rks Comme

Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Accocc	mont	
	; A33633		
Could site be effectively	Yes (Text)	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)		
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		·
<b>Conclusion of Asses</b>	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
-	was given in 2	003 for 168 dwellings and 20790sqm of offices.	SHI AA 2011 suggests no
residential potential, but could be	a longer term	prospect once the market revives. No Highway taken of cumulative impact of other sites.	
Satisfactory mitigation and provide	ing account is	taken of cumulative impact of other sites.	
Site Capacity (dwellings units):		168 Floorspace sq m (Non residen	tial): 20790
Residential Conclusion:			Employment Conclusion:
Amber			Green

Site Name: Westbank, Water Lane, Leeds

Site Ref: 225

### **Site Details**

Northing: 433637 Area sq m: 13243.00 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429353 Area Ha: 1.3243 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Office

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Site State:

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):560.27Distance to bus stop (metres)112.46Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID4517

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Cleared city centre site

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
PREAPP/06/00165		23/08/2006	01/01/2007	PRECAG	100.00
20/224/03/SN	3 non illuminated free standing sales boards	11/06/2003	18/08/2003	А	90.15
H20/156/89/	Temporary consent to erect 6, detached prefabricated offic e units to swimming pool. (this item	31/03/1989	05/06/1989	Α	99.13
11/03265/FU	Use of vacant site as temporary long stay car park for Woodhouse Lane Multi Storey Car Park permit holders	02/08/2011	13/10/2011	Α	55.70
H20/48/81/	Laying out of access road, and erection of offices, compr ising one 13 storey block, one 11 storey block, one 8 stor	02/02/1981	30/03/1981	Α	12.10
08/01151/LA	Use of former swimming pool site as temporary short stay pay and display car park	27/02/2008	22/05/2008	Α	80.85
H20/271/77/	Outline application to demolis h existing buildings, lay o ut access roads, and erect 5 s torey office block with car p	04/07/1977	22/08/1977	А	31.56

# Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built	up areas	
Would development lead to/constitute ribbon d	evelopment?	
Would development result in isolated development	nent?	
Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ b	oundaries with existing built up area)?	
Would development of the site effectively "rour	nd off" the settlement pattern?:	
Do natural/physical features provide a good ex area and undeveloped land?	isting barrier between existing urban	
Overall sprawl conclusion:		
Prevent neighbouring towns from merging		
Would development of the site lead to physica	connection of settlements?	
Do natural/physical features provide a good ex development?	isting barrier/boundary to contain	
Overall Coalescence Conclusion:		
Assist in safeguarding countryside from en	croachment	
Is there a strong defensible boundary between	the site and the existing urban area?	
Does the site provide access to the countrysid	e?	
Does the site include local or national conserva	ation designated areas?	
Does the site include areas of woodlands, tree significant unprotected tree/hedge cover?	s, hedgerows that are protected, or	
Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricult	ural land?	
Does the site contain buildings?	Are these in agricultural use?	
Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion		
Preserve the setting and special character of	of historic towns	
Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation feature?	area, listed building or other historical	
If yes, could development preserve this characteristics	ter?:	
Overall Character Preservation Conclusion:		
Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:		
Conformity with Core Strateg	у	
Main Urban Area	Main Urban Area Extension	=
Major Settlement	Maior Colling and Friday in	Brownfield
Smaller Settlement	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Greenfield
\(\text{''}\)		Mixed
-		
Regeneration Priority Area:	ated to existing development	
Inner South Leeds:	0.00 Aire Valley:	0.00
Leeds Bradford Corridor:	0.00 West Leeds Gatew	
		-

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

SHLAA Conclusions							
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y	Suitability:	Yes		Achiev	/ability:	Mediun	n term (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructur	e provide	r comm	ents and o	ther	planni	ng red	quirements
Leeds City Council Highways	inc Metro						
Accessibility comment							Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility healthcare - not secondary schools				y schoo	ls and		5
Access Comments							Rank (1-5
Access possible from Lisbon St or I	∟ittle Queen St	İ					5
Local network comment							Rank (1-5
Capacity concerns on this section of	of network but I	esser impa	ct than other ci	ty centre	e uses		4
Mitigation measure							Total sco
Improvements to local junctions wo	uld be sought						14
Support? N	leed to combi	ne with otl	ner sites:	Sı	uitability	for part	ial development:
Yes - with mitigation	ombine with 18	37					
Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in co centre/Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:	mbination with	other sites	s. If site still inc	luded at	t next sift	t assess	as part of city
<b>Biodiversity</b>							
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Supported	Ecology Offic	er:	Boundar	ry Amer	ndment		
Notural England							
Natural England:							
<u>Education</u>							
Drainage/Water/Flooding							
Environment Agency Comments:		1	Environment A	gency	Constra	ints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:			Yorkshire Wate	er Wast	e Water	Treatme	ent Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:							

Site Ref: 230	Site Name:	Leeds International Swim Westgate, Leeds	ming Pool,
Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology English Heritage:	Service:		
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessmen	t	
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)	1.10	i mayao
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)		
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
Mixed use development with resi assuming that only half of the site impact of other sites.	dential a reasonable e will be used for hou	prospect. SHLAA concludes the dwellir sing. No Highways objection providing a	ng capacity of 209 dwellings account is taken of cumulative
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 209	Floorspace sq m (Non reside	ntial):
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	I Conclusion:	Employment Conclusion:
Green			Green

### **Site Details**

Northing: 433386 Area sq m: 2770.26 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430486 Area Ha: 0.277026 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Retail - Shops

Existing Use 2: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Retail - Shops

Neighbouring Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Derelict
Neighbouring Use 3: Retail - Restaurants and Cafes

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):817.06Distance to bus stop (metres)50.66Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID4471

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:Yes

Public Rights of Way: Yes

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Site includes shops, some vacant/derelict, on the south side of Kirkgate and open land to the rear.

### **UDP Designation**

			,
Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	92.12	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Site Ref: 231

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
99-20/8/92/CA	Conservation area application to demolish shops and offices	21/05/1992	29/09/1992	Α	10.74
99-20/28/94/RE	Extension of permission for alterations extensions and erection of shops restaurant offices and amusement arcade	17/02/1994	17/03/1994	А	79.77
H20/434/91/99	Alterations to form retail units, restaurant and offic e units, part 3 and part 4 sto rey extension to form retai	27/09/1991	28/02/1992	W	99.73
H20/219/84/	Change of use of textile whole salers premises to retailaquat ic and pet shop.	22/05/1984	16/07/1984	Α	14.32
20/549/01/FU	Laying out of car park with automatic barriers and ticket machines	23/10/2001	18/08/2003	W	59.25
H20/433/91/99	Alterations to form retail uni ts, restaurant and officeunits , part 3 and part 4 storey ext ension to form retail uni	24/09/1991	07/02/1992	Α	78.10
H20/471/90/99	Listed building and conservati on area application to demol ish shops and offices.	02/10/1990	26/02/1992	W	99.52
H20/435/91/99	Demolition of part of listed b uilding and buildings within a conservation area and list ed building applicatio	24/09/1991	28/02/1992	W	99.78
99-20/132/93/LI	Listed building application to demolish and carry out alterations and extension to shops and offices	06/07/1993	09/09/1993	А	15.02
99-20/131/93/FU	Amendment to previous application to erect shop and offices and extension to form enlarged shop unit and canopy	15/07/1993	06/10/1993	Α	15.02
H20/465/77/	Laying out of car park, with 2 1 car parking spaces, to vacan t site.	16/11/1977	20/02/1978	R	14.55
H20/429/83/	2.4m high gates, to form peat and fertiliser storage compo und, to seed merchants.	04/11/1983	03/01/1984	R	11.55
H20/233/91/99	To use cleared site as an exte nsion to the existing carpark.	12/06/1991	15/08/1991	Α	20.86
H20/460/90/99	Outline application to erect o ffice, retail and resid ential development to existing offices and shops. (site area	03/10/1990	13/12/1990	А	72.63
H20/268/77/	Demolition of existing buildin gs and laying out of car p ark with 22 car parking spaces.	28/06/1977	22/08/1977	A	18.13
H20/221/90/99	Outline application to erect e xtensions to form enlar ged sales area, to shop. (s ite area 0.05ha)	09/05/1990	16/08/1990	Α	12.46
09/04668/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 3 of Planning Application 20/171/05/LI	29/10/2009	09/11/2009	Α	80.23
10/00181/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3 and 4 of Planning Application 20/171/05/LI	19/01/2010		PCO	80.23

# Site Ref: 231 Site Name: Kirkgate Phase II, Kirkgate, Leeds

H20/456/90/99	Listed building application to demolish shops and offic es.	26/09/1990	26/02/1992	W	99.11
H20/469/90/99	Outline application to erect o ffice, retail and resid ential development to existing offices and shops. (site area	03/10/1990	13/12/1990	Α	67.85
99-20/167/94/LI	Listed building application to demolish part and carry out alterations and extension to shops and offices	13/09/1994	20/10/1994	Α	15.02
H20/508/78/	Laying out of car park with 0.9m high boundary fence to va cant site. (site area	05/12/1978	08/01/1979	Α	34.00
H20/282/91/99	Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and shops.	10/07/1991	15/08/1991	Α	83.68
H20/252/89/1	Conservation area application to demolish fire damaged store .	09/01/1990	22/01/1990	Α	13.12
20/171/05/LI	Listed building application to demolish rear extension to 98 Kirkgate	12/04/2005	09/12/2005	Α	80.23
PREAPP/06/00161	Refurbishment of shops and new build development including restoration of Grade II listed First White Cloth Hall	23/08/2006		PCO	98.82
H20/234/91/99	To demolish a number of buildi ngs .	12/06/1991	15/08/1991	Α	20.86
H20/21/83/	Conservation area application to demolish 2 vacant build ings.	28/01/1983	13/06/1983	Α	20.82
99-20/15/93/RE	Extension of permission for alterations extensions and erection of shops, restaurant offices and amusement arcade	22/01/1993	18/02/1993	Α	83.00
H20/252/89/99	Conservation area application, to demolish fire damagedstore.	31/05/1989	15/09/1989	R	13.13
H20/436/91/99	Demolition of part of listed b uilding and buildings within a conservation area and list ed building applicatio	27/09/1991	10/06/1992	Α	82.96
99-20/7/92/FU	3 storey offices and shops	21/05/1992	11/08/1992	Α	10.74
H20/283/91/99	Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and shops.	10/07/1991	01/06/1992	W	99.51

Site Ref: 231 Site Name: Kirkgate Phase II, Kirkgate, Leeds

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 231 Site Name: Kirkgate Phase II, Kirkgate, Leeds

East Leeds

HLAA Conclusions					
Availability: Unknown Suitability: Ye	es Physical	Achievability:	Long term (11+	years)	
Summary of Infrastructure provider of	comments and o	ther plann	ing requirer	nents	
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro					
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-5)	
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards fo	or local services, school	s and healthcar	e	5	
Access Comments			l	Rank (1-5)	
Access possible from Crown Street through the site				5	
Local network comment			l	Rank (1-5)	
Capacity ok				5	
Mitigation measure				Total scor	
Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improve	ements required.			15	
Support? Need to combine with other sites: Suitability for partial development:					
Yes - with mitigation					
Highways Agency					
Potential for cumulative impact in combination with ot centre/Aire Valley cluster.	her sites. If site still inc	luded at next sit	t assess as part	of city	
Network Rail :					
Need to check relationship with viaduct and very long	term proposal to wider	to 4 tracks			
<u>Biodiversity</u>					
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	: Boundar	y Amendment			
Supported					
Natural England:					
<u>Education</u>					
Drainage/Water/Flooding	Fundament •		into		
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment A	gency Constra	unts:		
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Wate	er Waste Water	Treatment Wor	ks Comme	
LCC Flood Risk Management:					

# <u>Utilities</u>

Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology English Heritage:	Service:		
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes (Text)	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)	1.00	a, e e
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
Mixed use development with residuellings and up to 2770.26sqn cumulative impact of other sites.	n of ground floor retail s		nat the site could accommodate 65 n providing account is taken of
Site Capacity (dwellings units):	: 65	Floorspace sq m (No	n residential):
Residential Conclusion:	Retail C	Conclusion:	<b>Employment Conclusion:</b>
Green			Green

Site Name: Kirkgate Phase II, Kirkgate, Leeds

Site Ref: 231

Site Ref: 403 Site Name: 18-24 New Station Street LS1

### **Site Details**

Northing: 433300 Area sq m: 155.86 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430056 Area Ha: 0.015586 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Other

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3: Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Public House, Hair Salon,

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):379.75Distance to bus stop (metres)82.51Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID1510

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Upper floor of pub on north side of New Station Street

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Site Ref: 403

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/222/96/FU	Change of use and new shop front of financial and professional services office to photographic studio	08/05/1996	11/07/1996	Α	94.95
08/00902/FU	Change of use of photography studio to public house	12/02/2008	30/04/2008	Α	99.89
H20/463/89/99	Listed building application to demolish outbuildings torear and single storey extensions t o front, and alteration	25/08/1989	27/03/1991	W	60.78
11/05311/FU	Alterations to first floor including partial demolition and new balustrade to form roof terrace	19/12/2011	10/02/2012	Α	99.67
H20/462/89/99	Alterations including new fron tage and erection of 1m high fence, to front of unit and of fices.	25/08/1989	27/03/1991	W	60.78
H20/26/76/	Alterations to existing shopfr ont and re-fitting of inter ior, to office premises.	21/01/1976	05/04/1976	Α	94.95
20/117/04/FU	Erection of 6 storey block of 13 flats & cafe/bar (amended application for additional 6th floor with 2 new flats)	17/03/2004	09/06/2004	R	99.92
H20/525/84/	Addition of external fire esca pe staircase to offices.	30/11/1984	21/01/1985	Α	99.92
20/329/02/FU	Erection of 5 storey block of 11 flats and a3 cafe/bar use	22/08/2002	12/02/2003	А	99.92
20/244/02/CA	Conservation area application to demolish photographic studio	24/06/2002	30/07/2002	W	99.92
20/341/02/CA	Conservation area application to demolish shop and offices	30/08/2002	18/02/2003	А	99.92
20/230/02/FU	9 storey block of 19 flats with ground floor and basement cafe bar	13/06/2002	27/06/2002	W	99.92
20/234/96/SI	1 externally illuminated fascia sign	13/05/1996	11/07/1996	Α	94.95
06/03730/FU	Change of use involving alterations and second floor extension of offices and photographic studio to 4 one bed and 2 studio flats to first and second floors and ground floor restaurant with takeaway and ancillary uses to basement	15/06/2006	11/08/2006	А	99.92

Site Ref: 403 Site Name: 18-24 New Station Street LS1

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 403 Site Name: 18-24 New Station Street LS1

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions			
Availability: Long term (11+ year Suitability: \	Yes	Achievability:	Long term (11+ years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider	comments and o	ther planni	ng requirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment			Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards f	for local services, scools	and healthcare	5
Access Comments			Rank (1-5
No vehicular access achievable-no vehicular acces	required		5
Local network comment			Rank (1-5
Capacity available			5
Mitigation measure			Total scor
none required			15
Support? Need to combine	e with other sites:	Suitability	for partial development:
Yes	s with other sites.	Juliability	Tor partial development.
Highways Agency			
n/a			
Network Rail :			
<u>Biodiversity</u>			
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Office	r: Boundar	y Amendment	
Supported			
Natural England:			
<u>Education</u>			
Drainage/Water/Flooding			
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment A	gency Constra	ints:
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Wate	er Waste Water	Treatment Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:			
<u>Utilities</u>			

Site Ref: 403	Site Name:	18-24 New St	ation Street LS1	I
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessmen	t		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)			
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)			
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:				
Mixed use development with resi			me gave permission fo	r conversion of ground
floor and part 1st floor uses for us	se as a public nouse.			
Site Capacity (dwellings units): 6 Floorspace sq m (Non residential):				
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	I Conclusion:	Em	ployment Conclusion:
Green				

Site Ref: 406 Site Name: Manor Road (16-18)

### **Site Details**

Northing: 432689 Area sq m: 974.33 City and Hunslet Ward 429703 Easting: Area Ha: 0.097433 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 2: Office

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage Distance to Rail Station (metres): 501.81 Distance to bus stop (metres) 206.81 Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 12632

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary: **Environment Agency Flood Zone:** 

100.00 Health and Safety Executive Hazard: Strategic Employment Buffer: No Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline: **Conservation Areas** Nο No Ancient Monument/Battlefield(%): 0.00 Listed Buildings: No

Agricultural Land Class:

1.00

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Cleared site on the south side of Manor Road immediately to the west of The Mint 8 storey residential development

# **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Site Ref: 406 Site Name: Manor Road (16-18)

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/152/82/	Alterations, including 2 new w indows to factory.	05/05/1982	18/05/1982	А	22.25
20/545/05/FU	8 storey block of 57 flats 1st floor office ground floor A1 retail/A3 restaurant & parking (amendment to 20/537/04/fu - 12 extra flats)	28/11/2005	03/02/2006	Α	99.93
20/537/04/FU	8 storey block comprising 45 flats first floor office and ground floor a1/a3 retail unit and car parking	04/10/2004	24/02/2005	Α	99.93
PREAPP/07/00184		11/09/2007		PRECAG	99.93

Site Ref: 406 Site Name: Manor Road (16-18)

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 406 Site Name: Manor Road (16-18)

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions					
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability:	Short term (0-5	ōyrs)
Summary of Infrastructu	ıre provide	r comments and	other plann	ing require	ments
Leeds City Council Highway	s inc Metro				
Accessibility comment					Rank (1-5)
Meets Core Strategy standards bu	it no primary sch	hool and lacks local servi	ices		4
Access Comments				·	Rank (1-5)
Access to Sweet St ok					5
Local network comment					Rank (1-5)
Possible cummulative impact but	ok for 45 units				4
					4
Mitigation measure					Total score
none					13
Support?	Need to combi	ine with other sites:	Suitability	y for partial deve	elopment:
yes	no		yes		
Highways Agency					
Network Rail :					
<u>Biodiversity</u>					
West Yorkshire Ecology and LC	C Ecology Offic	cer: Bound	lary Amendment		
Supported					
Natural England:					
<u>Education</u>					
Drainage/Water/Flooding					
Environment Agency Comments	<u>;:                                    </u>	Environment	Agency Constra	nints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:		Yorkshire Wa	ater Waste Water	Treatment Wor	rks Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:					
<u>Utilities</u>					

Site Ref: 406	Site Name:	Manor Road (	(16-18)	
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment			
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)	-		
site?				
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		110	
Experience of previous encampments	Yes (Text)	No		Unknown
encampments	(TOXI)			
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:				
Mixed use development with resi 2014/15. Because of the delay in				
2014/15. Because of the delay if	i the upturn in the nou	sing marker, mis sin	ould be considered a r	nedium term prospect.
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 57	Floorspace	sq m (Non residentia	I):
Residential Conclusion:	Retail	Conclusion:	En	nployment Conclusion:
Green			<del></del>	. ,

### **Site Details**

Northing: 432624 Area sq m: 19019.31 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429803 Area Ha: 1.901931 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 2: Office

Neighbouring Use 3: Transport - Car Parks

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):578.28Distance to bus stop (metres)193.33Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID2873

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Site in use as a temporary surface car park permitted until 2017

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Site Ref: 407

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/483/77/	Detached ink store, to printin g works.	28/11/1977	12/12/1977	Α	33.71
H20/39/76/	Outline application to erect n ew production unit, to furni ture manufacturer's premises.	28/01/1976	15/03/1976	А	34.66
H20/262/79/	Alterations and extension, to form additional ink storeto wo rks.	18/06/1979	09/07/1979	Α	34.66
09/04057/FU	Retrospective application for use of vacant site as temporary long stay car park	17/09/2009	10/11/2009	R	39.08
11/05238/FU	Use of site for car park (278 spaces)	13/12/2011	20/03/2012	Α	39.08
99-20/101/93/FU	Two detached prefabricated office units to depot	29/04/1993	13/07/1993	Α	37.62
H20/71/77/	Change of use of light industr ial premises, with ancil lary offices to warehouse prem ises.	18/02/1977	28/02/1977	Α	33.47
H20/358/77/	Change of use of warehouse to printing and packaging works, with ancillary warehouse and offices.	31/08/1977	19/09/1977	Α	33.98
20/177/05/FU	Temporary laying out of 172 shopper and visitor car parking spaces and erection of temporary sales and marketing suite.	15/04/2005	08/05/2006	Α	36.63
06/06792/FU	Variation of condition 2 (opening hours) and removal of condition 3 (pricing) of permission 06/00926/FU to car park	14/11/2006	04/01/2007	R	39.07
20/336/04/OT	Outline application to erect multi level development comprising 720 flats offices bar/restaurant and car parking	22/06/2004	29/10/2004	W	91.76
07/02820/FU	Renewal of approval 20/177/05/FU (temporary laying out of 172 shopper and visitor car parking spaces and erection of temporary sales and marketing suite)	30/04/2007	18/06/2007	Α	36.63
20/64/06/OT	Outline application to erect multi level development with 788 flats & A1/A2/A3/A4/A5/B1 uses and associated highways works.	09/01/2006	28/08/2009	Α	95.63
12/04296/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 4 of Planning Application 11/05238/FU	09/10/2012	07/11/2012	Α	39.08
20/123/97/FU	One c c t v camera to 9m high mast and one c c t v camera to depot building	11/03/1997	08/05/1997	Α	39.02
H20/203/80/	One non illuminated individual letter sign, size 10m x 0.7m height above ground 5.6m (underside), to vehicle ma	21/05/1980	16/06/1980	А	39.25
H20/187/78/	Outline application to erect v ehicle maintenance build ing, with offices, to cleared housing site. (site area	10/05/1978	10/07/1978	Α	39.53
20/61/05/OT	Outline application to erect multi level development comprising 720 flats offices bar/restaurant and car parking	07/12/2004	29/04/2005	А	91.76

20/160/06/RM	Multi level development up to 20 storeys with 788 flats a1/a2/a3/a4/a5/b1 uses car parking and landscaping	17/01/2006	02/09/2009	A	98.77
06/00926/FU	Temporary change of use including demolition of depot to form shopper and visitor's car park	13/02/2006	28/04/2006	Α	39.07
07/02821/FU	Renewal of approval 06/00926/FU (temporary change of use including demolition of depot to form shopper and visitor's car park)	30/04/2007	14/06/2007	Α	39.07
H20/349/79/	Laying out of access and erect ion of detached vehicle maint enance building, including off ices, store, canteen an	15/08/1979	17/09/1979	Α	39.53
06/06817/FU	Variation of condition 2 (opening hours) and removal of condition 3 (pricing) (Application No. 20/177/05/FU) to car park	15/11/2006	04/01/2007	R	32.89
PREAPP/08/00370		01/11/2008	01/01/1900	PRECAG	91.76
H20/239/78/	Change of use involving altera tions of store to ancil lary offices, to works.	13/06/1978	10/07/1978	Α	34.66
99-20/4/93/FU	Addition of external fire escape staircase to printers	26/01/1993	18/02/1993	Α	31.67
11/05239/FU	Use of site for car park (225 spaces)	13/12/2011	11/07/2012	Α	32.06
09/04037/FU	Retrospective application for use of vacant site as temporary long stay car park	16/09/2009	09/11/2009	R	32.06

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability: LD	F to determine	Achievability: L	Long term (11+ years)	
ummary of Infrastructure provider c	omments and o	other plannin	g requirements	
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro				
Accessibility comment			Rank (	
Meets Core Strategy standards close to city centre			5	
Access Comments			Rank (	
Adequate frontage			5	
Local network comment			Rank (	
Unsuitable local network but mitigation potential			3	
			3	
Mitigation measure			Total s	
previously approved scheme (City One) requires off s	ite highway improvem	ents to links from N	M621 <b>a</b>	
up to the site			13	
Support? Need to combine with other sites: Suitability for partial developm				
yes with mitigation no		yes		
Highways Agency				
Network Rail :				
<u>Biodiversity</u>				
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Bounda	ry Amendment		
Supported				
Natural England:				
Education				
Duning go/Metou/Flooding				
Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:	Environment /	Agency Constrain	ts:	
		.ge, ee		
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Wat	er Waste Water T	reatment Works Comi	
LCC Flood Risk Management:				

Site Ref: 407	Site Name:	<b>Manor Road</b>	Ingram St	
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessmen	it		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)			
site?				
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		1	
Experience of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)	INO		Olikilowii
Conclusion of Asses	cmont			
Conclusion of Asses	Sillelli			
Conclusion summary:				
Mixed use development with resi Scale is similar to adjoining build	dential a reasonable ings. SHLAA anticip	prospect. Permission ates residential deve	n for 788 dwellings laps lopment as a long term	sed September 2011.
3	3		,	, separate
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 788	Floorspace	sq m (Non residentia	I):
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	il Conclusion:	En	nployment Conclusion:
Green				

### **Site Details**

 Northing:
 432799
 Area sq m:
 9870.15
 Ward
 City and Hunslet

 Easting:
 429425
 Area Ha:
 0.987015
 HMCA:
 City Centre

### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Existing Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Industry and business - Storage
Neighbouring Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):474.22Distance to bus stop (metres)323.86Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID12632

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Site to the east side of Bath Road between Water Lane and Derwent Place. The northern third of the site has old red brick manufacturing and warehouse buildings. The southern part is cleared.

### **UDP** Designation

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Site Ref: 409

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/378/04/LI	Listed building application to demolish part of boundary wall to mill	14/06/2004	22/06/2005	А	99.25
10/02672/EXT	Extension of Time of planning approval 20/380/04/OT (Outline application to erect mixed use development with hotel residential a1/a2/a3/a4/d1 retail leisure & business units)	10/06/2010	05/03/2012	А	99.25
H20/35/88/	Alterations and addition of fi re escape staircase to first floor from car park.	28/01/1988	29/02/1988	Α	38.49
H20/519/78/	One non illuminated fascia sig n, overall size 14m x 0.6m, height above ground 9m (under side) to printers.	13/12/1978	08/01/1979	Α	34.87
H20/329/76/	Outline application to erect w arehouse, comprising parki ng area, despatch area, storag e area, cloakroom, toilets, 2	16/08/1976	17/01/1977	А	61.56
20/390/02/FU	Change of use of industrial to warehouse and distribution	24/09/2002	19/11/2002	А	34.87
20/380/04/OT	Outline application to erect mxd use development with hotel residential a1/a2/a3/a4/d1 retail leisure &business units	14/06/2004	30/06/2005	Α	99.25
20/325/04/FU	Extension of temporary permission 20/390/02/fu for use of building as b8 (warehouse & storage)	16/07/2004	27/08/2004	Α	34.75
20/421/97/FU	Detached gas meter housing	21/07/1997	17/09/1997	Α	37.58
H20/118/77/	Outline application to layout access road and erect 4 store y, with basement, warehouse, w ith link bridge to existing w	18/03/1977	20/02/1978	W	63.38
10/02677/EXT	Extension of time of Conservation Area application 20/379/04/CA (Conservation area application to demolish part of printing works)	11/06/2010	05/03/2012	А	99.25
20/379/04/CA	Conservation area application to demolish part of printing works	14/06/2004	15/06/2005	Α	99.25
10/02676/EXT	Extension of Time of Listed Building application 20/378/04/LI (Listed building application to demolish part of boundary wall to mill)	11/06/2010	05/03/2012	Α	99.25
PREAPP/08/00390		01/12/2008	17/07/2009	PRECAG	43.20

Site Ref: 409 Site Name: Silver Street/ Midland Mills North

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 409 Site Name: Silver Street/ Midland Mills North

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusion	S			
Availability: Medium term (6	-10 y Suitability: LDF	to determine	Achievability: Medium to	erm (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastru	ucture provider co	mments and	other planning requ	irements
Leeds City Council High	ways inc Motro			
Accessibility comment	ways inc metro			Rank (1-5
Half site meets Core Strategy mitigation	standards and distances	to local services, pr	revious approval with	3
Access Comments			ı	Rank (1-5
Adequate frontage				5
Local network comment			'	Rank (1-5
Previous approval on the site centre	with improvements to HU	V public realm and	improved links to city	3
Mitigation measure				Total sco
previously approved scheme	improvements to HUV pu	blic realm		11
Support?	Need to combine wi	ith other sites:	Suitability for partial	development:
yes with mitigation	no		yes	·
Highways Agency				
Network Rail :				
Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and Supported	d LCC Ecology Officer:	Bound	ary Amendment	
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Flooding		Environment	Agency Constraints:	
Environment Agency Collin	ionio.	2.1711 5111110111	gone, constants.	
Yorkshire Water Comments	::	Yorkshire Wa	ater Waste Water Treatment	Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Manageme	nt:			
<u>Utilities</u>				

Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)		
Site :			
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
	I		
<b>Conclusion of Asses</b>	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
Mixed use development with resi	dential a reasonable prospect. F	lanning permission was ex	tended in March 2012 for
18,603m2 of residential apartment	nts (indicatively 240 units), 11,93	0m2 of B1 business space.	
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 240 <b>Flo</b>	orspace sq m (Non reside	ential):
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Conclusion	on:	Employment Conclusion:
Green			

Site Name: Silver Street/ Midland Mills North

Site Ref: 409

### **Site Details**

Northing: 434234 Area sq m: 1740.67 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430822 Area Ha: 0.174067 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 2: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1537.25Distance to bus stop (metres)125.41Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID7221

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Former Public House. Cleared site in use as an unauthorised car park on the south west corner of the junction between Regent St and Skinner Lane.

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/590/90/	Alterations to form offices, a nd part two storey and part first floor extension, to form offices, canteen, toilets an	21/12/1990	15/08/1991	R	10.57
20/116/94/FU	Re-cladding of warehouse	21/03/1994	22/03/1995	R	97.68
H32/418/79/	Change of use of garage and sh owrooms to wholesale wareh ouse and showrooms	10/05/1979	02/07/1979	А	99.15
20/212/04/FU	Variation of 20/399/02/fu part 4 & 7 storey block of 74 flats with 1 ground floor retail unit and 55 car parking spaces	06/05/2004	26/07/2004	W	99.15
20/24/93/FU	Single storey rear extension to warehouse	26/01/1993	17/03/1993	Α	97.68
20/399/02/FU	Part 5 and 6 storey block of 67 flats with 1 ground floor retail unit and 55 car parking spaces	30/09/2002	16/04/2003	А	99.93
12/00046/FU	Use of site as a temporary car park (70 spaces)	06/01/2012	29/05/2012	R	99.94
H32/748/80/	Alterations and extension, to form enlarged storage area to warehouse.	29/09/1980	10/11/1980	Α	97.01
20/344/02/FU	Demolition of warehouse and laying out of temporary car park	23/08/2002	16/10/2002	Α	99.93

Site Ref: 410 Site Name: Regent St/Skinner Ln LS2

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 410 Site Name: Regent St/Skinner Ln LS2

East Leeds

Availability:	Medium term (6-10 y	Suitability:	Yes		A objects lite	Modium to	(6 10 years)
Availability.	wedidiii teriii (6-10 )	Outlability.	165	•	Achievability:	Medium term	n (6-10 years)
Summary	y of Infrastructi	ire provid	er com	ments and ot	her plann	ing require	ements
Leeds City	y Council Highway	s inc Metro					
	ty comment					1	Rank (1-5)
Meets Publi	c Transport accessibil	ity and standar	ds for loca	al services, scools a	nd healthcare		5
Access Co	omments					'	Rank (1-5)
Existing ac	cess from Leylands R	oad must be us	sed. No ne	w direct access poi	nts onto Skinn	er Lane	5
Local netw	ork comment						Rank (1-5)
cumulaive i	impact concern						4
Mitigation	measure						Total score
improveme	nts to local pedestrian	and cycle rout	e will be re	equired			14
Support?		Need to com	bine with	other sites:	Suitability	/ for partial de	evelopment:
Yes with m	itigation						
	<u>Agency</u>						
	cumulative impact in Valley cluster.	combination w	ith other si	tes. If site still inclu	ded at next sif	t assess as pa	art of city
Network R	Rail :						
Biodiversi							
<b>West Yorks</b> Supported	shire Ecology and LC	C Ecology Of	ficer:	Boundary	Amendment		
Барропса							
Natural Enç	gland:						
Education	<u>1</u>						
	Water/Flooding			Environment A	oney Const	inte:	
⊏nvironmei	nt Agency Comments	5:		Environment Ag	ency Constra	mits.	
Yorkshire V	Vater Comments:			Yorkshire Water	Waste Water	Treatment W	orks Comme
CC Flood	Risk Management:		Į.	•			

<u>Utilities</u>

Site Ref: 410	Site Name:	Regent St/Ski	inner Ln LS2	
Gas:				
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessmen	t		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)			
Site?				
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)			Cinarowii -
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:  Mixed use development with resi	dential a reasonable	prospect including liv	ve/work units 4 644m	2 of hotel and gym space
(illustratively 102 rooms) and 1,9	75m2 of 'Active' uses	s and ground floor leve	el. The SHLAA assun	nes commencement of
dwelling completions from 2015 sites.	onwards. No Highwa	ys objection providing	account is taken of c	cumulative impact of other
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 67	Floorspace s	sq m (Non residentia	il):
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	il Conclusion:	En	nployment Conclusion:
Green				

### **Site Details**

Northing: 433536 Area sq m: 3059.07 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430918 Area Ha: 0.305907 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Office

Neighbouring Use 2: Community Services - Education

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:Limited Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1273.29Distance to bus stop (metres)51.74Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID11030

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Surface car park to the rear of Dance Studio building

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
10/01770/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 2, 4, 10, 18, 20, 21, 29 and 30 of Planning Application 08/04304/LA	15/04/2010	17/12/2010	SPL	78.32
H32/678/77/	Demolition of flats, laying ou t of access roads, publi c open space, with games area, and 604 car parking sp	11/08/1977	19/09/1977	Α	53.54
H20/85/84/	Use of cleared site as car par k. (this item is also notice under reg ulation 4(1) of the town and c	20/02/1984	26/03/1984	Α	99.73
H20/424/86/	Laying out of access roads, in cluding footbridge and road bridge and erection of 5 store y shopping developmen	30/09/1986	30/10/1986	Α	100.00
H20/529/87/	Laying out of gyratory road sy stem including new flyov er, with landscaping to inner ring road. (this item	06/11/1987	03/02/1988	Α	12.67
11/9/00019/MOD	6 storey dance studio, with changing rooms, meeting rooms, stores and offices, and roof plant room NON MATERAIL AMENDMENT Change of design to plant room lourves; glazing to south elevation; addition of gas meter housing change to gate and doorway arrangement and design of projecting pilates studio to north elevation	15/02/2011	01/04/2011	M01	78.32
H20/372/88/	Laying out of gyratory road sy stem, including new flyov er, with landscaping to inner ring road. (this item	14/07/1988	22/08/1988	Α	13.11
H20/328/90/	Outline application to lay out access and erect 9 store y office block, with basement car park and staff restaurant	13/07/1990	25/10/1990	Α	88.88
20/187/95/OT	Outline application to erect non residential institution concert hall ballet school and ancillary residential units	18/05/1995	09/08/1995	Α	80.73
H20/99/91/	Use of public car park, involv ing erection of 1.8m chain link fence as contractor's co mpound and car park with wheel	27/03/1991	15/08/1991	Α	24.23
H20/320/88/	Laying out of temporary car pa rk to cleared site. (this item is also notice under reg ulation 4(1) of the town and c	16/06/1988	08/08/1988	Α	88.54
H20/615/87/	Listed building application to carry out alterations, inclu ding partial demolition of eas t wall to 1904 market bui	30/12/1987	10/04/1989	А	100.00
11/00693/LA	Retrospective application for 4 non illuminated signs	18/02/2011	29/03/2011	А	75.04

12/9/00010/MOD	6 storey dance studio, with changing rooms, meeting rooms, stores and offices, and roof plant room NON MATERIAL AMENDMENT to 08/04304/LA: 2 steps for safe access to western roof; smoke vent required for fire strategy	16/01/2012	20/02/2012	M01	78.32
20/460/03/FU	Pt12/pt14 storey dance studio & rehersal rooms a3/b1/d2 unit & 106 flats(amendment to app. 20/198/01/fu for 6 extra flts)	16/12/2003	27/02/2004	A	100.00
H20/576/91/	Laying out of access roads and landscaping to cleared site.	18/12/1991	12/02/1992	Α	46.20
H20/614/87/	Laying out of access roads, in cluding footbridge and road bridge and erection of 5 store y shopping developmen	30/12/1987	31/03/1988	Α	100.00
20/198/01/FU	Part 12 storey and part 14 storey dance studio and rehearsal rooms a3/b1/d2 use unit and 100 flats	11/04/2001	06/08/2003	А	100.00
H20/202/86/	Laying out of access roads, in cluding footbridge and road bridges, and erection of 5 sto rey shopping developmen	02/06/1986	13/10/1989	W	100.00
08/04304/LA	6 storey dance studio, with changing rooms, meeting rooms, stores and offices, and roof plant room	18/07/2008	15/10/2008	Α	78.32
H20/100/91/	30 non-illuminated hoardings t o construction and car park site.	28/03/1991	06/06/1991	Α	26.07

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley:

NB. Site assessments will be added to over time and some sections may be incomplete at present. Where we have not yet received comments from infrastructure providers and on other planning requirements, these will be included and taken into account prior to making decisions on which sites to allocate.

West Leeds Gateway:

0.00

0.00

Leeds Bradford Corridor:

East Leeds

HLAA Co	onclusion	S								
Availability: I	Unknown		Suitability:	Yes		Ac	chievability	r: Unkr	nown	
Summary	of Infrastr	uctur	e provide	r comi	ments and	oth	er plan	ning r	equirements	
	Council High	ıways	inc Metro							
Accessibility		o o i b i litu	, and atondord	la far laga	Laamiiaaa aaaal	ام مم	موطئاه ما ا		Rank	(1-5)
Meets Public	Transport acce	SSIDIIITY	and standard	18 101 10Ca	l services, scool	is and	i nealinca	ie	5	)
Access Com	ments								Rank	(1-5)
Existing St C	ecilia Streete a	ccess s	should be used	d, no dire	ct access onto Y	ork S	St.		5	<u>,</u>
Local netwo	rk comment								Rank	(1-5)
cumulaive im	pact concern									ļ
Mitigation m	easure								Total	scor
None									1	4
Commont?			laad ta aambi	i	ath an aite a		Citalail	:4£a		-4-
Support?			leed to combi	ine with o	other sites:		Suitabii	ity for pa	artial developmer	π.
Highways A	vaency.									
Potential for c	umulative impa	ct in co	mbination with	h other sit	tes. If site still in	nclude	ed at next	sift asse	ss as part of city	
centre/Aire Va Network Ra	•									
Biodiversity	,									
	- ire Ecology an	d LCC	Ecology Offic	cer:	Bounda	ary A	mendmer	nt		
Supported	-									
Natural Engla	and:									
Education										
Drainage/W	ater/Flooding	9								
Environment	Agency Comm	nents:			Environment	Ager	ncy Const	raints:		
Yorkshire Wa	nter Comments	<b>s</b> :			Yorkshire Wa	ater V	Vaste Wat	er Treat	ment Works Com	me
LCC Flood Ri	isk Manageme	nt:		I	I					

<u>Utilities</u>

Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology English Heritage:	Service:		
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment		
Could site be effectively managed	Yes (Text)	No	Maybe
Would gypsies and travellers live on the site?	Yes (Text)	No	Maybe
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)	·	
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments  Conclusion of Asses	(Text)  Sment		
Conclusion summary:			
Mixed use development with resi has been developed as a dance methodology gives a capacity of	studio. The remaining rear 48 dwellings, but the revise	ect. SHLAA site revised to delete t part could be developed as office d site will not be assessed through ing account is taken of cumulative	or residential. The SHLAA the SHLAA process until
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 48	Floorspace sq m (Non residen	itial): 1000
Residential Conclusion: Green	Retail Cond		Employment Conclusion: Green

Site Name: York Street, LS1

Site Ref: 411

### **Site Details**

Northing: 433294 Area sq m: 707.11 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430602 Area Ha: 0.070711 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Site State:

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):915.85Distance to bus stop (metres)107.32Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID13513

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.01Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Linea group of vacant two storey buildings with slate roofs

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/449/01/FU	Change of use of offices to 8 flats and 3 storey extension to form ground restaurant with 8 flats over	24/08/2001	19/09/2003	А	99.93
H20/511/83/	Alterations, including re-open ing of former windows andrepla cement of sash windows, new st aircase, and to formtoilets, t	30/12/1983	05/03/1984	А	31.76
20/450/01/LI	Listed building application to erect 3 storey link extension to form ground floor a3 use with flats over	24/08/2001	31/10/2003	А	99.93
H20/253/78/	Change of use of offices to cl othing factory.	21/06/1978	21/08/1978	Α	30.29
07/02877/LI	Listed Building Application to carry out alterations, part demolition and 3 storey infill extension to form A3 unit and 16 flats (amendment to previous Listed Building Consent 20/450/01/LI).	02/05/2007	16/07/2007	A	83.80
H20/512/83/	Listed building application to carry out alterations, inclu ding re-opening of former wind ows and replacement of sash wi	30/12/1983	05/03/1984	А	31.69

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley:

NB. Site assessments will be added to over time and some sections may be incomplete at present. Where we have not yet received comments from infrastructure providers and on other planning requirements, these will be included and taken into account prior to making decisions on which sites to allocate.

West Leeds Gateway:

0.00

0.00

Leeds Bradford Corridor:

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions				
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs)	Suitability: Yes		Achievability: Short te	rm (0-5yrs)
Summary of Infrastruct	ure provider co	mments and ot	her planning rec	juirements
Leeds City Council Highway	ys inc Metro			
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibi	lity and standards for I	local services, scools a	nd healthcare	5
Access Comments				Rank (1-5
No vehicular access achievable-	no vehicular acces rec	quired		5
Local network comment				Rank (1-5
Capacity available				5
Mitigation measure				Total sco
None				15
Support?	Need to combine w	ith other sites:	Suitability for part	al development:
yes				
Highways Agency				
n/a <u>Network Rail :</u>				
<u>Biodiversity</u>				
West Yorkshire Ecology and LC	C Ecology Officer:	Boundary	Amendment	
Supported				
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Flooding				
Environment Agency Comment	s:	Environment Ag	ency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:		Yorkshire Water	Waste Water Treatme	nt Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:				
<u>Utilities</u>				

Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology English Heritage:	Service:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessm	ent		
Could site be effectively managed	Yes (Text)	No	Ма	ybe
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	May	tho
travellers live on the site?	(Text)	INU	IVIA)	nue .
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unk	nown
encampments	(Text)			
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:  Mixed use development with resi	dential a reasona	hle prospect A 2003 appr	aval established the princip	al of permitting an
A3 restaurant on the ground floor			oval established the philos	al of permitting an
Site Capacity (dwellings units):	: 1	6 Floorspace so	q m (Non residential):	707
Residential Conclusion: Green	R	etail Conclusion:	<b>Employr</b> Green	ment Conclusion:

Site Name: High Court LS1

Site Ref: 415

Site Ref: 420 Site Name: 8 Park Row LS1

### **Site Details**

Northing: 433612 Area sq m: 1067.33 City and Hunslet Ward 429955 Easting: Area Ha: 0.106733 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Office

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Office

Neighbouring Use 2: Retail - Financial and professional services

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage **~** Distance to Rail Station (metres): 496.08 Distance to bus stop (metres) 36.01 Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 8119

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

**Environment Agency Flood Zone:** 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard: 100.00 Strategic Employment Buffer: No Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline: **Conservation Areas** Nο No Ancient Monument/Battlefield(%): 0.00 Listed Buildings: No No

Public Rights of Way:

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Ground floor bank with 8 upper floors of offices

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/603/88/	Alterations, including new cas h dispenser, to bank.	14/12/1988	19/01/1989	Α	85.10
20/440/00/FU	Change of use & 3 storey roof extension of offices to 74 flats & a2 with coffee shop & a3 unit at ground floor	12/09/2000	16/03/2001	Α	12.45
08/04781/ADV	Retrospective application to display one externally illuminated projecting sign; 2 internally illuminated fascia signs and 3 internally illuminated logo fascia signs to coffee shop	08/08/2008	29/10/2008	A	19.04
12/00724/ADV	One externally illuminated banner sign	16/02/2012	30/03/2012	R	17.38
10/00961/FU	Installation of ten a/c condenser units and two louvred rooflights to first floor roof of bank	02/03/2010	04/06/2010	Α	83.60
20/332/02/SI	8 internally illuminated and 4 non illuminated signs to bank	20/08/2002	20/09/2002	Α	82.92
H20/542/85/	Alterations, to accommodate ne w cash dispenser to build ing society office.	14/11/1985	23/12/1985	Α	15.72
H20/121/87/	One internally illuminated fas cia sign, size 10.9m x 0.6m, height above ground 2.4m (und erside), one internally	11/03/1987	08/06/1987	R	14.54
20/190/00/FU	Alterations & rear extension to form bank retail unit & a3 unit with basement car parking and new frontage to bank	17/04/2000	13/08/2004	Α	99.64
H20/84/75/	Extension of existing temporar y permission to erect 6 inche s diameter exhaust flue, to ou tside of office block. (pr	27/02/1975	26/03/1975	А	97.85
H20/415/75/	Alterations, to form cash disp ensing unit, to bank premi ses.	17/11/1975	12/01/1976	Α	97.85
H20/450/75/	Alterations, to form inlet and exhaust louvres, in exist ing window openings, to office s.	08/12/1975	12/01/1976	Α	97.85
09/00109/FU	Air conditioning condensor unit to basement plant area	13/01/2009		APPRET	19.04
08/06054/ADV	2 internally illuminated fascia signs and 2 internally illuminated projecting signs to bank	24/10/2008	16/01/2009	R	83.60
20/645/97/FU	Addition of cash dispenser to bank	17/11/1997	31/12/1997	Α	83.46
09/05531/ADV	4 non-illuminated fascia signs, 3 internally illuminated fascia signs, 2 internally illuminated letter and logo signs, 2 internally illuminated projecting signs to bank and colour backed glass surrounds to ATMs on Bond Street	22/12/2009	08/03/2010	SPL	83.60
H20/379/81/	Alterations, to form new servi ce till, to bank.	20/10/1981	16/11/1981	Α	81.80
H20/254/87/	Alterations and extension, to form plant room and lift motor room to roof of bank.	03/06/1987	20/07/1987	Α	83.36

Site Ref: 420 Site Name: 8 Park Row LS1

09/00282/ADV	Two non illuminated wall mounted signs to front entrance	23/01/2009	18/02/2009	А	99.99
20/341/92/SI	Two internally illuminated double sided projecting signs and 2 internally illuminated individual letter signs	09/09/1992	09/12/1992	А	98.13
11/01910/FU	Removal of 2 existing penthouse louvres and replacement with 1 reduced height and relocated penthouse louvre, replacement of existing window with louvre to bank	11/05/2011	20/06/2011	А	83.60
20/26/01/FU	Addition of 60cm diameter satellite dish to rear of bank	16/01/2001	19/06/2001	Α	83.54
H20/259/87/	Change of use of job centre on part ground floor and third floor to offices.	04/06/1987	20/07/1987	Α	84.62
H20/474/76/	One internally illuminated dou ble sided projecting box s ign, size 0.76m (2ft.6ins) x 0 .54m (1ft.9ins), height abo	14/12/1976	28/02/1977	W	97.85
20/324/00/FU	Change of use of upper floors and extensions to form residential development	06/07/2000	13/08/2004	Α	97.85
07/03725/FU	Alterations and formation of part new frontages to bank and retail unit	11/06/2007	16/10/2007	Α	99.99
08/04110/FU	Installation of 8 A/C and AHU condenser units and erection of louvred screen to first floor roof of bank	08/07/2008	02/09/2008	W	83.60
10/02030/ADV	Colour backed glass surrounds to ATMs on Bond Street	04/05/2010	21/06/2010	Α	83.60
10/02889/FU	3 new external lights and 2 security cameras to bank	24/06/2010	18/08/2010	Α	83.64
20/393/94/FU	Roller shutter to door of bank	12/09/1994	17/11/1994	Α	83.46
20/344/92/FU	Alterations and part new frontages including relocation of cash points	14/09/1992	05/11/1992	Α	98.13
H20/84/75/2	Extension of existing temporar y permission to erect 0.15m diameter exhaust flue to roof of office block. (previous	28/11/1983	17/01/1984	А	82.92
H20/533/89/	Two internally illuminated ind ividual letter signs and one i nternally illuminated double s ided projecting box sign to ba	16/10/1989	03/01/1990	А	82.69

Site Ref: 420 Site Name: 8 Park Row LS1

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 420 Site Name: 8 Park Row LS1

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

<b>HLAA Conclusions</b>						
Availability: Unknown	Suitability:	Yes		Achievability:	Unknown	
Summary of Infrastru	cture provide	r comm	ents and ot	her plann	ing require	ements
Leeds City Council Highw	vays inc Metro					
Accessibility comment						Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport access	ibility and standards	s for local s	services, scools a	ind healthcare		5
Access Comments					ı	Rank (1-5)
No vehicular access achievable-no vehicular acces required						5
Local network comment					ľ	Rank (1-5)
Capacity available						5
						<u> </u>
Mitigation measure						Total score
None						15
Support?	Need to combi	ne with ot	her sites:	Suitability	/ for partial de	velopment:
yes						
Highways Agency						
Potential for cumulative impact centre/Aire Valley cluster.	in combination with	other site	s. If site still inclu	ided at next sif	t assess as pai	t of city
Network Rail :						
Biodiversity						
West Yorkshire Ecology and	LCC Ecology Offic	er:	Boundary	Amendment		
Supported						
Natural England:						
<u>Education</u>						
Drainage/Water/Flooding						
Environment Agency Comme	ents:		Environment Ag	ency Constra	ints:	
v			<u> </u>	<b>14</b>	<u> </u>	
Yorkshire Water Comments:			Yorkshire Water	vvaste Water	reatment Wo	Orks Comme
LCC Flood Risk Managemen	t:					

Gas:					
Electric:					
Telecom:					
Fire and Rescue Services:					
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology English Heritage:					
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessment				
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe		
managed	(Text)				
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe		
travellers live on the	(Text)		1 -2		
site?					
Proximity to housed	Yes	No			
gypsies and travellers	(Text)	INO			
Francisco e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	V	NI-	11		
Experience of previous encampments	Yes (Text)	No	Unknown		
	, ,				
Conclusion of Asses	sment				
Conclusion summary:					
2004 planning permission now lapsed for conversion to 75 dwellings. Residential conversion of upper floors could be pursued when the housing market improves. No Highways objection providing account is taken of cumulative impact of other sites.					
Site Capacity (dwellings units):	Site Capacity (dwellings units): 75 Floorspace sq m (Non residential):				
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Co	onclusion:	<b>Employment Conclusion:</b>		
Amber			Green		

Site Name: 8 Park Row LS1

Site Ref: 420

Site Ref: 425 Site Name: Leeds Club, Albion Place LS1

### **Site Details**

Northing: 433623 Area sq m: 720.79 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430111 Area Ha: 0.072079 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Community Services

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Retail - Financial and professional services

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 
Distance to Rail Station (metres): 601.57 Distance to bus stop (metres) 137.73

Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 1860

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Victorian gentlemans club building with grand hall and ancillary meeting rooms and offices on the north side of Albion Place

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	100.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/463/04/FU	Change of use and 6 storey extension of private members club to retail unit cafe bar and 9 flats	15/09/2004	25/04/2005	Α	99.88
20/464/04/LI	Listed building application to carry out alterations and part demolition to form 9 flats & retail and cafe bar unit	15/09/2004	30/03/2005	Α	99.88
07/07465/LI	Listed Building application to install an exterior staircase with steel balustrading and platform lift to front lightwell and internal alterations to club	29/11/2007	07/05/2008	А	77.05
20/79/05/LI	Listed building application for addition of handrail to entrance to offices	16/02/2005	07/04/2005	Α	13.55
20/670/98/LI	Listed building application to erect 1 non illuminated brass plaque to club	24/11/1998	22/01/1999	Α	62.76
20/621/98/LI	Listed building application for 2 externally illuminated hanging signs to first floor offices	19/10/1998	22/12/1998	Α	14.45
20/615/98/SI	Two externally illuminated projecting signs to offices	19/10/1998	22/12/1998	Α	18.30

Site Ref: 425 Site Name: Leeds Club, Albion Place LS1

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 425 Site Name: Leeds Club, Albion Place LS1

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions  Availability: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: Ye	2S Ashionakilian Madi	um torm (6.10 vocas)
valiability. Medium term (6-10 y Sunability. 16	es Achievability: Mediu	ım term (6-10 years)
ummary of Infrastructure provider of	comments and other planning re	equirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro		- ·
Accessibility comment	unical carriers assals and backberry	Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards fo	or local services, scools and nealthcare	5
Access Comments		Rank (1-5
No vehicular access achievable-no vehicular acces r	required	5
Local network comment		Rank (1-5
Capacity available		5
Mitigation measure		Total sco
None		15
Support? Need to combine	with other sites: Suitability for pa	rtial development:
yes		
lighways Agency		
n/a		
Network Rail :		
Biodiversity		
Nest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary Amendment	
Supported		
Natural England:		
<u>Education</u>		
Drainage/Water/Flooding		
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Agency Constraints:	
Forkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatm	nent Works Comme
CC Flood Risk Management:		
Itilities		

Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology English Heritage:	Service:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessmer	nt		
Could site be effectively managed	Yes (Text)	No		Maybe
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)			
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)			
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:				
Permission granted in 2005 for c and 9 flats. Application lapsed u		ate members club and	6 storey extension	to provide retail unit, A3 unit
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 9	Floorspace	sq m (Non resider	ntial):
Residential Conclusion:	Reta	ail Conclusion:		Employment Conclusion:
Amber				Green

Site Name: Leeds Club, Albion Place LS1

Site Ref: 425

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433382 Area sq m: 170.08 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429724 Area Ha: 0.017008 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Retail - Financial and professional services

Existing Use 2: Office

Existing Use 3: Transport - Terminals and Interchanges

Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):193.01Distance to bus stop (metres)25.77Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID1808

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

3 storey red brick building with yard area on the north side of Aire St.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/458/04/CA	Conservation area application to demolish existing offices and boundary wall	27/07/2004	31/03/2005	Α	99.93
H20/581/90/	Alterations, including new ent rance and addition of exter nal staircase, to offices.	14/12/1990	05/03/1991	Α	95.85
20/295/96/FU	Change of use of offices to consulting rooms	05/06/1996	29/07/1996	Α	32.23
06/05606/ADV	One non illuminated double sided banner sign to front of office	15/09/2006	17/11/2006	Α	41.65
20/459/04/FU	7 storey block of 6 two bedroom flats	27/07/2004	02/04/2005	Α	99.93
H20/300/88/	Conservation area application, to part demolish lower groun d floor and fire escape stairc ase, to offices.	06/06/1988	08/08/1988	Α	98.52
H20/299/88/	Alterations, including new sta ircases and to form offic es and toilets and fourth floo r extension, to formadditional	06/06/1988	08/08/1988	A	97.76

#### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions			
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: Y	'es	Achievability: Medium	n term (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider	comments and o	ther planning red	quirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment			Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards b	out lacking in local servic	ces	4
Access Comments			Rank (1-5
No vehicular access achievable-no vehicular acces	required		5
Local network comment			Rank (1-5
Capacity available			5
Mitigation measure			Total scor
None			15
Support? Need to combine	e with other sites:	Suitability for part	ial development:
yes			
Highways Agency			
n/a <mark>Network Rail :</mark>			
Biodiversity			
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer	r: Boundar	ry Amendment	
Supported			
Natural England:			
Education			
Drainage/Water/Flooding			
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment A	gency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water	er Waste Water Treatme	ent Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:			
<u>Utilities</u>			

Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		•
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)	110	Iviayoc
site?			
Dravimity to have d	Voc	No	
Proximity to housed gypsies and travellers	Yes (Text)	No	
371			
Experience of previous	Yes (Text)	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
	dential a reasonable prospect. Site	has a 2006 permission for a	7 storey block of 6 x two
bedroom flats			
O'the Orange May (Above Wines)			- N
Site Capacity (dwellings units):	: 6 Floor	rspace sq m (Non residentia	
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Conclusion	: <b>E</b> i	mployment Conclusion:
Green			

Site Name: 49 Aire Street LS1

Site Ref: 426

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433761 Area sq m: 519.39 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429810 Area Ha: 0.051939 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Existing Use 2: Office

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Office

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):582.35Distance to bus stop (metres)33.41Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID4019

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Historic building on the corner of The Headrow and East Parade with a ground floor pub and 3 storeys of offices on the upper floors. Pub is in use. Upper floors are vacant / partially used.

#### **UDP** Designation

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Site Ref: 429

and part of basement offices to bar and restaurant 20/214/96/\$N	Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
Offices   Control   Cont	20/96/96/FU	and part of basement offices to bar	14/02/1996	17/06/1996	А	38.69
out alterations to first to fourth floor offices to form 24 flats 20/510/02/LI Listed building application to carry out alterations to first floor offices 20/497/97/LI Listed building application to carry out alterations to first floor offices 20/497/97/LI Listed building application to carry out alterations to form canopy to bar area to proposed bar 20/478/96/LI Listed building application to carry out alterations to part of basement and ground floor to form restaurant and bar 20/642/01/SI One internally illuminated projecting sign to restaurant and bar 20/642/01/SI One internally illuminated ent rance sign, size 1.83m x 0.83m , height above ground 2.4m (un derside) and one internally above ground 2.4m (un derside) and one internally alterations to form bar restaurant alterations to form bar restaurant alterations to form bar restaurant 20/255/97/LI Listed building application for internal externally illuminated and 4 non illuminated signs to cafe bar 20/310/93/LI Listed building application for 1 non-illuminated signs to cafe bar 20/310/93/LI Listed building application for 1 non-illuminated signs to cafe bar 20/130/05/FU Change of use involving alterations of first to fourth floor offices to form 24 flats 20/475/96/FU Change of use involving alterations of first to fourth floor offices to restaurant and bar 20/120/01/LI Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and bar 20/120/01/LI Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and bar 20/120/01/LI Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and bar 20/120/01/LI Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and bar 20/120/01/LI Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and bar 20/120/01/LI Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and partitioning to second floor offices 20/305/92/LI Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated wall sale boards to off	20/214/96/SN		30/04/1996	25/06/1996	Α	99.86
20/497/97/LI         Listed building application to carry out alterations to form canopy to bar area to proposed bar         01/09/1997         02/10/1997         W         49.2           20/478/96/LI         Listed building application to carry out alterations to part of basement and ground floor to form restaurant and bar         16/09/1996         06/11/1996         A         47.3           20/642/01/SI         One internally illuminated projecting sign to restaurant and bar         14/12/2001         24/01/2002         A         28.1           H20/203/88/         One internally illuminated ent rance sign, size 1.83m x 0.83m, height above ground 2.4m (un derside) and one internally alterations to form bar restaurant         12/04/1988         17/03/1989         W         27.4           20/317/96/LI         Listed building application for internal alterations to form bar restaurant         26/06/1996         26/07/1996         A         38.6           20/255/97/LI         Listed building application for 1 externally illuminated and 4 non illuminated signs to cafe bar         13/09/1997         02/07/1997         A         38.6           20/310/93/LI         Listed building application for 1 non-illuminated signs to offices         13/09/1993         13/05/1994         A         71.8           20/475/96/FU         Change of use of part of basement and ground floor offices to form 24 flats         13/09/1996         08/11/1996         A         47.3	20/131/05/LI	out alterations to first to fourth floor	09/03/2005	25/07/2005	A	99.78
aut alterations to form canopy to bar area to proposed bar area to proposed bar under the proposed bar area to proposed bar area to proposed bar under the proposed bar area to proposed bar area to proposed bar under the proposed bar area to proposed bar area to proposed bar under the proposed bar area to proposed bar and provided by a decided by a decided by a decided bar and provided bar and provided bar and provided bar area to proposed bar and provided bar and the proposed bar area to proposed bar and proposed bar area to proposed bar area to proposed bar area to proposed bar and proposed bar area to proposed bar area to proposed bar area to proposed bar area to proposed bar and proposed bar area to proposed ba	20/510/02/LI		09/12/2002	16/01/2003	Α	99.93
out alterations to part of basement and ground floor to form restaurant and bar  20/642/01/SI  One internally illuminated projecting sign to restaurant internally illuminated ent rance sign, size 1.83m x 0.83m , height above ground 2.4m (un derside) and one internally  20/317/96/LI  Listed building application for internal alterations to form bar restaurant  20/255/97/LI  Listed building application for 1 externally illuminated and 4 non illuminated signs to cafe bar  20/310/93/LI  Listed building application for 1 non-illuminated sale board sign to offices  20/130/05/FU  Change of use involving alterations of first to fourth floor offices to form 24 flats  20/475/96/FU  Change of use of part of basement and ground floor offices to restaurant and bar  20/120/01/LI  Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and bar  20/120/01/LI  Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and bar  20/120/01/LI  Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and bar  20/120/01/LI  Listed building application for 2 internally illuminated signs to restaurant and bar  20/120/01/LI  Listed building application to carry out alterations and partitioning to second floor office  20/305/92/LI  Listed building application to clean elevations and apply bird repellent gel and netting  20/215/96/LI  Listed building application for 2 non-illuminated building application for 2 non-illuminated will sale boards to offices  H20/465/85/  Change of use of offices to rorners  02/10/1985  21/10/1985  A 28.61  24/01/1996  A 26/07/1997  A 38.61  24/07/1997  A 26/07/1997  A 37.82  24/07/1998  25/06/1996  A 39.93  26/07/1993  A 27.93  26/07/1993  A	20/497/97/LI	out alterations to form canopy to bar	01/09/1997	02/10/1997	W	49.24
Sign to restaurant	20/478/96/LI	out alterations to part of basement and ground floor to form restaurant	16/09/1996	06/11/1996	А	47.31
sign, size 1.83m x 0.83m , height above ground 2.4m (un derside) and one internally  20/317/96/LI	20/642/01/SI		14/12/2001	24/01/2002	Α	28.11
alterations to form bar restaurant  20/255/97/LI	H20/203/88/	sign, size 1.83m x 0.83m, height above ground 2.4m (un derside) and	12/04/1988	17/03/1989	W	27.45
externally illuminated and 4 non illuminated signs to cafe bar  20/310/93/LI Listed building application for 1 non-illuminated sale board sign to offices  20/130/05/FU Change of use involving alterations of first to fourth floor offices to form 24 flats  20/475/96/FU Change of use of part of basement and ground floor offices to restaurant and bar  20/120/01/LI Listed building application for 2 02/03/2001 10/05/2001 A 41.6 internally illuminated signs to restaurant  20/184/93/SI One externally illuminated double 27/05/1993 26/07/1993 A 27.9 sided projecting sign  20/254/05/LI Listed building application to carry out alterations and partitioning to second floor office  20/305/92/LI Listed building application to clean elevations and apply bird repellent gel and netting  20/215/96/LI Listed building application for 2 non-illuminated wall sale boards to offices 09/03/1985 21/10/1985 A 96.44	20/317/96/LI		26/06/1996	26/07/1996	А	38.69
illuminated sale board sign to offices  20/130/05/FU Change of use involving alterations of first to fourth floor offices to form 24 flats  20/475/96/FU Change of use of part of basement and ground floor offices to restaurant and bar  20/120/01/LI Listed building application for 2 02/03/2001 10/05/2001 A 41.6 internally illuminated signs to restaurant  20/184/93/SI One externally illuminated double 27/05/1993 26/07/1993 A 27.9 sided projecting sign  20/254/05/LI Listed building application to carry out alterations and partitioning to second floor office  20/305/92/LI Listed building application to clean elevations and apply bird repellent gel and netting  20/215/96/LI Listed building application for 2 non-illuminated wall sale boards to offices  Change of use of offices to co roners 02/10/1985 21/10/1985 A 96.44	20/255/97/LI	externally illuminated and 4 non	09/05/1997	02/07/1997	A	38.65
of first to fourth floor offices to form 24 flats  20/475/96/FU  Change of use of part of basement and ground floor offices to restaurant and bar  20/120/01/LI  Listed building application for 2 02/03/2001 10/05/2001 A 41.60 internally illuminated signs to restaurant  20/184/93/SI  One externally illuminated double 27/05/1993 26/07/1993 A 27.90 sided projecting sign  20/254/05/LI  Listed building application to carry 02/06/2005 25/07/2005 A 97.50 out alterations and partitioning to second floor office  20/305/92/LI  Listed building application to clean elevations and apply bird repellent gel and netting  20/215/96/LI  Listed building application for 2 non-illuminated wall sale boards to offices  Change of use of offices to co roners 02/10/1985 21/10/1985 A 96.48	20/310/93/LI		13/09/1993	13/05/1994	Α	71.84
and ground floor offices to restaurant and bar  20/120/01/LI  Listed building application for 2 02/03/2001 10/05/2001 A 41.6 internally illuminated signs to restaurant  20/184/93/SI  One externally illuminated double 27/05/1993 26/07/1993 A 27.9 sided projecting sign  20/254/05/LI  Listed building application to carry 02/06/2005 25/07/2005 A 97.5 out alterations and partitioning to second floor office  20/305/92/LI  Listed building application to clean elevations and apply bird repellent gel and netting  20/215/96/LI  Listed building application for 2 non-illuminated wall sale boards to offices  H20/465/85/  Change of use of offices to co roners 02/10/1985 21/10/1985 A 96.44	20/130/05/FU	of first to fourth floor offices to form	09/03/2005	23/06/2005	A	99.93
internally illuminated signs to restaurant  20/184/93/SI  One externally illuminated double 27/05/1993 26/07/1993 A 27.94 sided projecting sign  20/254/05/LI  Listed building application to carry 02/06/2005 25/07/2005 A 97.52 out alterations and partitioning to second floor office  20/305/92/LI  Listed building application to clean elevations and apply bird repellent gel and netting  20/215/96/LI  Listed building application for 2 non-illuminated wall sale boards to offices  H20/465/85/  Change of use of offices to co roners 02/10/1985 21/10/1985 A 96.44	20/475/96/FU	and ground floor offices to restaurant	13/09/1996	08/11/1996	A	47.31
sided projecting sign  20/254/05/LI  Listed building application to carry 02/06/2005 25/07/2005 A 97.52 out alterations and partitioning to second floor office  20/305/92/LI  Listed building application to clean elevations and apply bird repellent gel and netting  20/215/96/LI  Listed building application for 2 non-illuminated wall sale boards to offices  4 99.93  H20/465/85/  Change of use of offices to co roners 02/10/1985 21/10/1985 A 96.44	20/120/01/LI	internally illuminated signs to	02/03/2001	10/05/2001	A	41.67
out alterations and partitioning to second floor office  20/305/92/LI  Listed building application to clean 29/07/1992 02/12/1992 A 99.76 elevations and apply bird repellent gel and netting  20/215/96/LI  Listed building application for 2 non- 30/04/1996 25/06/1996 A 99.93 illuminated wall sale boards to offices  H20/465/85/  Change of use of offices to co roners 02/10/1985 21/10/1985 A 96.48	20/184/93/SI		27/05/1993	26/07/1993	Α	27.94
elevations and apply bird repellent gel and netting  20/215/96/LI  Listed building application for 2 non- 30/04/1996 25/06/1996 A 99.93 illuminated wall sale boards to offices  H20/465/85/  Change of use of offices to co roners 02/10/1985 21/10/1985 A 96.49	20/254/05/LI	out alterations and partitioning to	02/06/2005	25/07/2005	A	97.52
illuminated wall sale boards to offices  H20/465/85/ Change of use of offices to co roners 02/10/1985 21/10/1985 A 96.49	20/305/92/LI	elevations and apply bird repellent	29/07/1992	02/12/1992	A	99.78
	20/215/96/LI		30/04/1996	25/06/1996	Α	99.93
	H20/465/85/		02/10/1985	21/10/1985	А	96.45

07/01792/ADV	2 externally illuminated projecting signs, 1 externally illuminated feature sign, 4 internally illuminated poster cases, 1 retractable canopy, 6 railing mounted planter boxes and 2 vinyl fixed logos to door	16/03/2007	07/06/2007	А	39.34
20/118/01/SI	1 internally illuminated fascia and 1 internally illuminated projecting sign to restaurant	02/03/2001	27/04/2001	А	41.67
H20/22/78/	Change of use of part of caret akers flat, to office.	19/01/1978	30/01/1978	Α	91.87
07/01791/FU	Retractable canopy to basement lightwell to front and 4 a/c condenser units, 3 cooling condenser units and 1 louvre to internal lightwell	16/03/2007	07/06/2007	Α	39.34
20/316/96/FU	Change of use of basement to bar restaurant	26/06/1996	26/07/1996	Α	38.69
0-20/11/97/MOD	Listed building application for internal alterations to form bar restaurant	12/02/1997	19/02/1997	M01	38.69
20/411/96/LI	Listed building application to remove partitions & suspended ceiling and form new mezzanine floor with staircases	12/08/1996	08/10/1996	Α	39.88
H20/214/87/	Change of use of basement room s 2, 3 and 4 to offices for s torage of files/documents.	06/05/1987	08/06/1987	А	97.52
07/01798/LI	Listed Building application for retractable canopy to basement lightwell to front, 4 a/c condenser units, 3 cooling condenser units and 1 louvre to internal lightwell, external signage and internal alterations	16/03/2007	07/06/2007	A	39.34
H20/25/86/	Listed building application to carry out alterations inclu ding new doors, to offices.	28/01/1986	06/03/1986	Α	96.13
20/245/97/SI	1 externally illuminated individual letter sign and 4 non illuminated wall plaques to cafe bar	30/04/1997	02/07/1997	А	38.65
20/309/93/SN	1 non-illuminated sales board to offices	13/09/1993	13/05/1994	Α	71.84
20/385/97/LI	Listed building application for alterations new windows and addition of condenser units	02/07/1997	22/04/1999	Α	99.66
0-20/27/97/MOD	Internal alterations to part basement and ground floor to form bar/restaurant	03/07/1997	09/09/1997	M01	47.31
20/412/96/FU	Change of use of basement and ground floor of offices to restaurant bar and retail unit	12/08/1996	08/10/1996	А	39.88
20/185/93/LI	Listed building application for one externally illuminated double sided projecting sign	27/05/1993	26/07/1993	Α	27.94
H20/331/87/	Listed building application to carry out alterations, inclu ding blocking up of basement w indows, to offices.	16/07/1987	07/09/1987	R	96.79
20/641/01/LI	Listed building application for one internally illuminated projecting sign to restaurant	14/12/2001	24/01/2002	Α	27.45
20/304/92/LI	Listed building application to clean elevations and install netting	29/07/1992	22/09/1992	Α	99.78

## Site Ref: 429 Site Name: Pearl Chambers The Headrow LS1

20/522/95/LI	Listed building application to carry out alterations to offices	15/12/1995	12/12/1996	А	99.93
20/75/97/LI	Listed building application for addition of lighting to offices	13/02/1997	10/04/1997	Α	99.93
20/121/01/LI	Listed building application for alterations to form new entrance lobby to restaurant	02/03/2001	11/05/2001	Α	41.67
20/612/97/LI	Listed building application for 1 internally illuminated fascia sign & 1 internally illuminated projecting sign	03/11/1997	06/02/1998	Α	27.94
20/611/97/SI	1 internally illuminated fascia sign and 1 internally illuminated projecting sign	31/10/1997	06/02/1998	Α	27.94
H20/329/87/	Alterations including blocking up of basement windows, to of fices.	16/07/1987	07/09/1987	R	96.51
20/630/96/LI	Listed building application to carry out alterations and new lift	28/11/1996	04/04/1997	Α	99.93
H20/54/89/	Listed building application fo r addition of three non illum inated name plates, to offices , plus signs on the glass door	06/02/1989	16/03/1989	A	26.46

Site Ref: 429 Site Name: Pearl Chambers The Headrow LS1

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 429 Site Name: Pearl Chambers The Headrow LS1

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions				
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y	Suitability: Yes		Achievability: Medi	um term (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructur	e provider co	mments and o	ther planning re	equirements
Leeds City Council Highways	inc Metro			
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility	and standards for lo	ocal services, scools	and healthcare	5
Access Comments				Rank (1-5
No vehicular access achievable-no	vehicular acces req	uired		5
Local network comment				Rank (1-5
Capacity available				5
Mitigation measure				Total sco
None				15
Support? N	leed to combine wi	ith other sites:	Suitability for pa	artial development:
yes				
Highways Agency				
n/a <mark>Network Rail :</mark>				
<u>Biodiversity</u>				
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC	Ecology Officer:	Boundary	y Amendment	
Supported				
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Flooding				
Environment Agency Comments:		Environment A	gency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:		Yorkshire Wate	r Waste Water Treati	nent Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:				
<u>Utilities</u>				

Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)		•
site?			
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)	11.0	
Functions of manifold	Vac	No	Links
Experience of previous encampments	Yes (Text)	No	Unknown
0			
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
	as lapsed unimplemented. Could gs delivered 2015/16 and 2016/17.		g market improves.
STILAA 2012 articipates dwelling	js delivered 2015/10 and 2010/17.	•	
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 24 Floo	rspace sq m (Non residentia	al):
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Conclusion	n: Ei	mployment Conclusion:
Amber			

Site Name: Pearl Chambers The Headrow LS1

Site Ref: 429

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433252 Area sq m: 293.87 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430504 Area Ha: 0.029387 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Office

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 2: Retail - Restaurants and Cafes

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):814.36Distance to bus stop (metres)130.80Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID13513

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Historic wharf building known as "Calls Landing" with a ground floor restaurant and 3 upper floors of largely vacant offices.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
99-20/232/93/FU	Statue to forecourt of offices	21/12/1993	04/07/1995	W	99.63
99-20/110/93/CA	Conservation area application to demolish garage	25/05/1993	06/09/1993	Α	99.63
99-20/105/93/FU	Change of use of warehouse to restaurant and offices	24/05/1993	06/09/1993	А	99.63
99-20/5/93/FUE	Extension of permission for change of use and extensions of warehouse to offices	08/01/1993	18/02/1993	А	99.63
08/06482/ADV	One externally illuminated advertisement hoarding to side of building	24/11/2008	26/01/2009	R	99.81
H20/193/85/	Change of use of second floor of warehouse to clothing facto ry (class iii).	10/05/1985	01/07/1985	А	49.47
H20/571/91/99	Change of use, involving alter ations, part 4 storey andpart 5 storey extension and 2 fourt h floor extensions, of warehou	17/12/1991	16/01/1992	Α	97.80
99-20/61/94/FU	6 roller shutter doors to restaurant and offices	30/03/1994	19/07/1995	W	99.63
20/246/02/FU	Change of use of basement flat to enlarged restaurant and use of external terrace for seating	24/06/2002	09/08/2002	А	99.81
10/04602/ADV	Retrospective application for 1 externally illuminated pole mounted sign, 1 externally illuminated projecting sign, 1 non illuminated projecting sign, 1 menu board, 2 chalkboards and individual painted letter sign	08/10/2010	25/01/2011	SPL	99.92
20/218/05/FU	Change of use involving new 6th floor of bar restaurant & offices to form 14 flats bar and office	20/04/2005	16/08/2005	А	99.94
99-20/47/94/SN	2 non illuminated individual letter wall signs and 1 non illuminated wall sign	21/03/1994	21/04/1994	Α	99.63
20/260/99/FU	Seven storey block comprising restaurant and 14 flats with lower ground floor car parking	21/05/1999	21/12/1999	A	99.81
H20/313/91/99	Conservation area application to demolish garage.	23/07/1991	17/10/1991	Α	93.45
H20/408/83/	Application for established us e certificate for the useof tr ade warehouse and showroom wit h office as trade warehouse	24/10/1983	25/01/1984	Α	95.86
99-20/29/95/FU	Alterations and new roof to offices	10/02/1995	12/05/1995	Α	99.79
99-20/32/95/CA	Conservation area application to demolish roof to offices	13/02/1995	07/03/1995	W	99.79
99-20/87/95/LI	Listed building application for addition of floodlights to restaurant and offices	26/05/1995	30/04/1996	R	99.81
20/182/96/FU	Addition of two awnings over balcony to restaurant	02/04/1996	30/05/1996	Α	99.81

08/06812/LI	Listed Building Application for one externally illuminated advertisement hoarding to side of building	15/12/2008		APPRET	99.81
H20/314/91/99	Change of use involving altera tions, part 4 storey and part 5 storey extension and 2 fourt h floor extensions, of warehou	23/07/1991	17/10/1991	Α	98.47

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions			
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability: Short term	m (0-5yrs)
Summary of Infrastructure provide	r comments and c	other planning requ	uirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment			Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standard	s for local services, scools	and healthcare	5
Access Comments		'	Rank (1-5
No vehicular access achievable-no vehicular acc	es required		5
Local network comment		'	Rank (1-5
Capacity available			5
Mitigation measure			Total sco
None			15
Support? Need to combi	ine with other sites:	Suitability for partia	l development:
yes			
Highways Agency			
n/a <u>Network Rail :</u>			
Biodiversity			
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Office	cer: Boundar	ry Amendment	
Supported			
Natural England:			
Education			
<u>Drainage/Water/Flooding</u>	<u>.</u> .		
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment A	Agency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Vorkshire Wat	er Waste Water Treatmen	t Works Comme
Tornormo Water Comments.	101K31IIIG Wali	o. Tradic Traici Healilei	. Horks comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:			
_			
<u>Utilities</u>			

Floatria				
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessme	ent		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)	<del></del>		, - <b>,</b>
Would gypsies and travellers live on the	Yes (Text)	No		Maybe
site?	(Text)			
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		1	
Experience of previous	Yes (Text)	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)			
<b>Conclusion of Asses</b>	sment			
Conclusion summary:				
2005 residential planning permis	sion lapsed unimp	olemented, but it is an at	tractive riverside location	on in an enclave of
residential uses which could be delivery 2013/14. Has greater re				
delivery 2013/14. Thas greater to	sideritiai poteritiai	in the plan period than t	otrici iapaca permissioi	is sites due to location.
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 1	4 Floorspace	sq m (Non residential	):
Residential Conclusion:	Re	etail Conclusion:	Em	ployment Conclusion:
Green				

Site Name: 38 The Calls LS2

Site Ref: 431

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 434253 Area sq m: 1753.53 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430750 Area Ha: 0.175353 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Site State:

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1498.99Distance to bus stop (metres)147.28Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID5870

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Cleared site on the south side of Skinner Lane with temporary permission for a car park

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/175/96/FU	Use of cleared site as car parking	10/04/1996	19/07/1996	Α	99.30
11/05310/FU	Use of site as a temporary car park (75 spaces)	19/12/2011	20/03/2012	Α	94.88
H32/29/79/	Change of use of industrial bu ilding to warehouse.	15/01/1979	05/03/1979	Α	96.56
20/44/97/FU	Alterations and new cladding to warehouse loading doors	28/01/1997	08/09/1997	W	99.30
20/518/05/FU	9 storey block of 104 flats with 2 ground floor offices and basement car parking	07/11/2005	09/05/2006	А	97.87

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

HLAA Conclusions			
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability:	Yes	Achievability: M	edium term (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider	comments and of	ther planning	requirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment  Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards	for local services, scools	and healthcare	Rank (1-5)
weets i ubile transport accessibility and standards	Tor local services, scools of	and nealthcare	5
Access Comments			Rank (1-5)
Existing access from Skinner Lane			5
Local network comment			Rank (1-5)
cumulaive impact concern			4
Mitigation measure			Total score
improvements to local pedestrian and cycle route	will be required		14
Support? Need to combin	ne with other sites:	Suitability for	partial development:
Yes with mitigation			
Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with centre/Aire Valley cluster.	other sites. If site still incl	uded at next sift as	sess as part of city
Network Rail :			
<u>Biodiversity</u>			
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Offic	er: Boundary	y Amendment	
Supported			
Natural England:			
<u>Education</u>			
Drainage/Water/Flooding			
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment A	gency Constraints	<b>s</b> :
Verteblie Water Course		- 14/ 4 - 14/ / -	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Wate	r waste Water Tre	eatment Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:	I I		

Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment			
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe	
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe	
travellers live on the	(Text)			
site?				
Proximity to housed	Yes	No		
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown	
encampments	(Text)			
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:				
Mixed use development with resi	dential a reasonable r	prospect Site fronts Skinner I	and and is last remaining i	nfill hetween
existing flats development schem				
Site Capacity (dwellings units):	104	Floorspace sq m (No	on residential):	1600
Residential Conclusion:	Retail	Conclusion:	Employment Co	onclusion:
Green			Green	

Site Name: Jayco Ho Skinner Lane LS7

Site Ref: 443

Gas:
Electric:
Telecom:

#### **Site Details**

 Northing:
 432414
 Area sq m:
 29281.88
 Ward
 City and Hunslet

 Easting:
 429808
 Area Ha:
 2.928188
 HMCA:
 City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 3: Retail - Shops

Neighbouring Use 1 Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Neighbouring Use 2: Residential - Hotels, boarding and guest houses

Neighbouring Use 3: Office

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):785.67Distance to bus stop (metres)122.91Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID4404

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:YesConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Large site bordered to the north by Sweet St, to the east by Meadow La, to the west by Bowling Green Terrace and to the south by Jack Lane. Much of the site has a temporary permission for a surface car park. Halfords have a shop on the north east corner.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Plaving Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Site Ref: 445

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/236/89/99	Change of use of warehouse to general industrial use.	22/05/1989	07/08/1989	Α	10.20
H20/178/75/	Alterations, to form reception room, two interview rooms and filing room, to warehouse premises.	09/05/1975	23/06/1975	Α	13.04
H20/16/76/	Alterations including new toil ets to one unit, and 2-sto rey extension, to form packing area, delivery areaand store	14/01/1976	05/04/1976	А	23.18
99-20/208/94/FU	4 storey office block	21/11/1994	21/12/1994	Α	15.69
20/231/02/FU	Use of land for open public car park	11/06/2002	07/08/2002	Α	18.16
08/06511/FU	Continued use of premises as a nightclub and events venue	26/11/2008		APPRET	17.05
20/476/02/OT	Outline application to erect up to 22 storey hotel & casino offices a1 a3 food & drink units & multi storey car park	18/11/2002	20/01/2004	А	79.43
PREAPP/08/00352		30/10/2008		PRENOT	99.90
PREAPP/09/00006		23/01/2009	01/01/2010	PRECAG	99.90
H20/26/91/99	Alterations including new roof , to industrial unit.	25/01/1991	14/02/1991	Α	16.60
H20/591/90/99	Change of use of warehouse to industry.	24/12/1990	17/01/1991	Α	16.74
H20/589/88/99	4, internally illuminated indi vidual letter signs and 2, in ternally illuminated wall sign s, to retail unit.	22/12/1988	02/03/1989	Α	41.94
99-20/186/95/RE	Renewal of permission for 4 storey office block	19/12/1995	21/05/1996	Α	15.70
20/97/99/FU	Use of land for car sales and car parking with prefabricated units	23/02/1999	04/05/1999	R	24.51
07/06341/FU	Change of use of vacant warehouse to nightclub and events venue	11/10/2007	15/02/2008	Α	16.90
09/00301/FU	Continued use of premises as a nightclub and events venue	23/01/2009	11/03/2009	Α	17.05
11/05281/FU	Use of site as a temporary car park together with associated works and landscaping (742 spaces)	16/12/2011	16/03/2012	Α	93.22
20/517/04/OT	Outline application for multi level development up to 40 storeys with 450 flats, offices, hotel, casino, MSCP, A1, A3, A4, A5.	19/11/2004	19/09/2006	А	99.96
H20/376/74/	Alterations and extension to f orm caravan showroom to shop and office to car showroom and workshop.	03/12/1974	13/01/1975	А	20.48
H20/364/85/	Alterations including re-cladd ing and part new roof to works hop and garage.	25/07/1985	30/09/1985	Α	42.18
H20/199/75/	Outline application to erect s howrooms, workshops, store s and offices, to vacant site, (site area 0.32ha (0.8 acre)	23/05/1975	15/12/1975	W	13.07

99-20/109/94/OT   Outline application to erect part single and part 2 storey extension to car showroom   22/06/1994   21/07/1994   A   20.01						
T.92m. (26 ft.) x0.91m. (3 ft.), height above ground 3.05m. (10 ft.) (underside	99-20/109/94/OT	single and part 2 storey extension to	22/06/1994	21/07/1994	А	20.01
Covered way, to works premises.	H20/361/75/	7.92m. (26 ft.) x0.91m . (3 ft.), height above ground 3.05m. (10 ft.)	19/09/1975	03/11/1975	Α	19.71
Redevelopment of land at Meadow Road for uses within the following classes B1, D2, C1, C3 (up to 296 residential units) and ancillary A1, A3, A4 and A5 uses, including associated works for formation of site access roads   Laying out of replacement acces so road to showroom and glarage.   H20/309/79/	H20/191/75/		20/05/1975	23/06/1975	W	13.04
H20/309/79/	10/00923/OT	redevelopment of land at Meadow Road for uses within the following classes B1, D2, C1, C3 (up to 296 residential units) and ancillary A1, A3, A4 and A5 uses, including associated works for formation of site	01/03/2010	12/04/2013	Α	99.98
Storage area, to car and caravan garage and showroom .	H20/81/79/		12/02/1979	30/04/1979	Α	29.02
sided projecting clock box sign, size 1.52m. (5ft.) x 1.22m. (4ft.), height abo  H20/403/91/99 Change of use of industrial, o ffices and warehousing tooffic es and warehousing tooffic es and warehousing and erection of 2m high timber boundary f  20/382/99/FU Use of land for car sales and car parking and prefabricated office units  H20/357/87/ Change of use of part, involvi ng alterations, and exten sion of showroom, workshop, of fices and store rooms, to  H20/302/77/ Outline application to erect c ar servicing workshop. to car showroom.  H20/429/77/ Car servicing workshop, with p aint booth, to car showr oom. (outline application no.7 7/20/00302 approved s  12/02860/COND Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 of Planning Application	H20/309/79/	storage area, to car a nd caravan	13/07/1979	20/08/1979	А	29.12
and warehousing tooffic es and warehousing and erection of 2m high timber boundary f  20/382/99/FU  Use of land for car sales and car parking and prefabricated office units  H20/357/87/  Change of use of part, involvi ng alterations, and exten sion of showroom, workshop, of fices and store rooms, to  H20/302/77/  Outline application to erect c ar servicing workshop. to car showroom.  Car servicing workshop, with p aint booth, to car showr oom. (outline application no.7 7/20/00302 approved s  12/02860/COND  Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 of Planning Application	H20/293/77/	sided projecting clock box sign, size 1.52m. (5ft.) x 1.22m. (4ft.), height	18/07/1977	22/08/1977	Α	19.41
Parking and prefabricated office units  H20/357/87/ Change of use of part, involvi ng alterations, and exten sion of showroom, workshop, of fices and store rooms, to  H20/302/77/ Outline application to erect c ar servicing workshop. to ca r showroom.  H20/429/77/ Car servicing workshop, with p aint booth, to car showr oom. (outline application no.7 7/20/00302 approved s  12/02860/COND Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 of Planning Application	H20/403/91/99	and warehousing tooffic es and warehousing and erection of 2m	16/09/1991	17/10/1991	А	14.08
alterations, and exten sion of showroom, workshop, of fices and store rooms, to  H20/302/77/  Outline application to erect c ar servicing workshop. to ca r showroom.  H20/429/77/  Car servicing workshop, with p aint booth, to car showr oom. (outline application no.7 7/20/00302 approved s  12/02860/COND  Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 of Planning Application	20/382/99/FU		16/07/1999	22/10/1999	Α	23.37
servicing workshop. to ca r showroom.  H20/429/77/  Car servicing workshop, with p aint booth, to car showr oom. (outline application no.7 7/20/00302 approved s  12/02860/COND  Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 of Planning Application	H20/357/87/	alterations, and exten sion of showroom, workshop, of fices and	03/08/1987	21/12/1987	А	42.82
booth, to car showr oom. (outline application no.7 7/20/00302 approved s  12/02860/COND  Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 of Planning Application	H20/302/77/	servicing workshop. to ca r	22/07/1977	19/09/1977	Α	19.41
required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 of Planning Application	H20/429/77/	booth, to car showr oom. (outline application no.7 7/20/00302	20/10/1977	21/11/1977	А	19.41
	12/02860/COND	required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 of Planning Application	28/06/2012	10/10/2012	INT	93.22

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

HLAA Conclusions		
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: Yes Ph	nysical Achievability: Medium te	rm (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider com	ments and other planning requi	irements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro		Donk /4 E
Accessibility comment  Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards but lac	sking in local services	Rank (1-5
wicets i dulie Transport accessionity and standards but lac	Jaming in local services	4
Access Comments	·	Rank (1-5
Existing access points from Sweet Street/Jack Lane must Medow Lane Lane	t be used. No new direct access points onto	5
Local network comment		Rank (1-5
Local congestion issues		3
Mitigation measure		Total sco
Improvements to Meadow Road gyratory		12
Support? Need to combine with	other sites: Suitability for partial	development:
Yes with mitigation		
Highways Agency		
Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other s centre/Aire Valley cluster.	sites. If site still included at next sift assess as	part of city
Network Rail :		
Biodiversity		
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary Amendment	
Supported		
Natural England:		
<u>Education</u>		
Drainage/Water/Flooding		
Environment Agency Comments:	<b>Environment Agency Constraints:</b>	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment	Works Comme
	The state of the s	
LCC Flood Risk Management:		

Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
o T !! O'	_	_	
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessmen	t	
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		·
	l		
Would gypsies and travellers live on the		l NO	Maybe
site?	(,		
	l		
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
	l		
Experience of previous		No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
	l		
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			No Maybe    No   Unknown
		es No Maybe  es No Unknown  es No Unknown  tital a reasonable prospect. Permission for 296 dwellings also covers SHLAA site 2020 Major highways impact likely to require significant physical mitigation - Potential for th other sites.  296 Floorspace sq m (Non residential): 93000 Retail Conclusion: Employment Conclusion:	
cumulative impact in combination		1,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Site Capacity (dwellings units):	296	Floorspace sq m (Non	residential): 93000
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	I Conclusion:	Employment Conclusion:
Green			Green
	Text)  No Maybe  (Text)  Sed Yes No No Unknown  (Text)  Assessment  It with residential a reasonable prospect. Permission for 296 dwellings also covers SHLAA site 2020 to this site. Major highways impact likely to require significant physical mitigation - Potential for imbination with other sites.  It with residential a reasonable prospect. Permission for 296 dwellings also covers SHLAA site 2020 to this site. Major highways impact likely to require significant physical mitigation - Potential for imbination with other sites.  It with residential a reasonable prospect. Permission for 296 dwellings also covers SHLAA site 2020 to this site. Major highways impact likely to require significant physical mitigation - Potential for imbination with other sites.  It will be a site of the control of t		

Site Name: Sweet Street/Jack Lane LS10

Site Ref: 445

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433415 Area sq m: 427.58 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430273 Area Ha: 0.042758 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Retail - Shops

Existing Use 2: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 2: Retail - Shops

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):622.85Distance to bus stop (metres)33.68Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID9042

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Under-used and vacant upper floors of shops on the north side of Duncan Street

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	100.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/80/99/SI	2 externally illuminated individual letter signs	04/02/1999	09/04/1999	Α	47.44
20/289/92/LI	Listed building application to carry out alterations and part replacement of windows	23/07/1992	28/09/1992	Α	47.44
20/275/05/SI	One externally illuminated scaffold shroud sign to proposed retail & residential development	13/06/2005	01/08/2005	Α	47.44
09/04446/ADV	1 externally illuminated projecting sign to restaurant	13/10/2009	23/11/2009	А	82.89
06/03956/FU	Change of use including alterations and 4 storey rear extension of retail unit to basement restaurant, 3 ground floor retail units and 15 bedsit flats	27/06/2006	05/12/2006	А	99.75
07/03507/ADV	Extension of permission for one externally illuminated scaffold shroud sign to proposed retail & residential development	30/05/2007	05/07/2007	А	47.63
06/06962/ADV	Extension of permission for one externally illuminated scaffold shroud sign to proposed retail & residential development	22/11/2006	08/01/2007	Α	47.63
08/05241/FU	Variation of condition 13 of approval 06/03956/FU (variation of opening hours to 08.00am to 2.00am Monday - Saturday and 8.00am to 12.00pm Sunday)	04/09/2008	09/12/2008	А	47.61
20/176/99/LI	Listed building application for 3 externally illuminated signs to shop	08/04/1999	21/05/1999	Α	47.44
09/04184/LI	Listed Building Application for 1 externally illuminated projecting sign, external cameras and 2 external lights repositioning of gates and external smoking canopy to rear to restaurant	24/09/2009	23/11/2009	Α	82.89
08/05956/LI	Listed Building Application for external fire escape staircase to basement of retail development unit	21/10/2008	04/12/2008	Α	99.75
07/06851/ADV	Extension of permission for one externally illuminated scaffold shroud sign to proposed retail & residential development	01/11/2007	18/12/2007	Α	47.63
09/04185/FU	Repositioning of gates and addition of external smoking canopy to rear to restaurant	24/09/2009	23/11/2009	Α	83.09
11/00117/FU	Change of use of retail unit (A1 use) to hot food take away (A5 use)	12/01/2011	09/05/2011	Α	11.13
H20/282/74/	Two internally illuminated let ter fascia signs, sizes 10.5m (34ft.6ins) x 0.6m (2ft), hei ght above ground 3.9m (13ft	10/10/1974	11/11/1974	А	47.44
20/280/05/LI	Listed building application for one externally illuminated scaffold shroud to proposed residential/retail development	16/06/2005	02/08/2005	Α	47.44

06/03954/LI	Listed Building application to carry out alterations to form basement restaurant, 3 ground floor retail units and 15 bed-sit flats including 4 storey rear extension	27/06/2006	05/12/2006	Α	99.75
08/05955/FU	External fire escape staircase to basement of retail development unit	21/10/2008	08/12/2008	Α	99.75

#### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusion	S			
Availability: Short term (0-5	yrs) Suitability: Yes	,	Achievability: Short to	erm (0-5yrs)
summary of Infrastr	ucture provider co	mments and ot	her planning re	quirements
Leeds City Council High	ways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport acce	ssibility and standards for	local services, scools a	nd healthcare	5
Access Comments				Rank (1-5
No vehicular access achieva	able-no vehicular acces red	quired		5
Local network comment				Rank (1-5
Capacity available				5
Mitigation measure				Total sco
none required				15
Support?	Need to combine w	rith other sites:	Suitability for part	tial development:
Yes				
Highways Agency n/a Network Rail :				
<u>Biodiversity</u>				
West Yorkshire Ecology an Supported	d LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary	Amendment	
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Flooding	a			
Environment Agency Comm		Environment Ag	ency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments	3:	Yorkshire Water	Waste Water Treatmo	ent Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Manageme	nt:			
<u>Jtilities</u>				

Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology English Heritage:	r Service:		
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment		
Could site be effectively managed	Yes (Text)	No	Maybe
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)		
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
Mixed use development with resi units and 15 bed sit flats in the up	dential a reasonable prospect. F pper floors.	Permission granted Decemb	per 2006 for replacement retail
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 15 <b>Fl</b> o	oorspace sq m (Non resid	ential):
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Conclusion	on:	Employment Conclusion:
Green			Green

Site Name: 7 Duncan Street LS1

Site Ref: 449

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433273 Area sq m: 190.89 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430296 Area Ha: 0.019089 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Recreation & Leisure - Amusement & show places

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Recreation & Leisure - Amusement & show places

Neighbouring Use 2: Office

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Site State:

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

☑

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 609.69 Distance to bus stop (metres) 90.13

Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 4950

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Ground floor night club on the north side of Call Lane backing on to the railway viaduct. Adjacent buildings have upper floors.

#### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
09/04544/FU	Change of use of former car park/vacant yard to form external terrace, installation of doors and ventilation grilles to rear, and new frontage and flues to bar	21/10/2009	16/12/2009	Α	95.82
H20/340/80/	Change of use of shop and show room to cinema club, with offices, store, and toilets.	25/09/1980	08/12/1980	R	97.64
H20/62/74/	Illuminated box fascia sign si ze 5.3m (17ft.9ins) x 0.8m (2ft.9ins). height above groun d 1.8m (6ft) (underside	10/05/1974	10/06/1974	W	96.08
08/06540/FU	Change of use of vacant unit to B1 office use	27/11/2008	05/01/2009	Α	80.66
H20/266/87/	Change of use of disused cinem a to class b1 offices andprint ing workshop, and alterations including new display wi	08/06/1987	20/07/1987	Α	81.54
07/04621/CA	Conservation Area Application to demolish existing single storey building	19/07/2007	24/10/2007	А	95.29
99-20/57/95/FU	Change of use of shop to cafe bar and nightclub	07/03/1995	04/07/1995	Α	33.48
H20/305/80/	Change of use of shop and stor e, to cafe with stores.	20/08/1980	15/09/1980	Α	97.88
20/686/99/FU	Alterations to entrance to bar	09/12/1999	14/03/2000	Α	14.48
09/02240/FU	Change of use of vacant single storey unit to A3, A4 and A5 use	21/05/2009	21/07/2009	Α	80.66
99-20/92/92/SN	1 non-illuminated fascia sign 1 non- illuminated projecting sign	02/12/1992	14/01/1993	W	26.50
20/136/98/SI	1 internally illuminated canopy sign	07/01/1998	15/06/1998	Α	13.58
09/9/00312/MOD	Refurbishment of vacant unit including new frontage to form bar/nightclub NON MATERIAL AMENDMENT: New timber doors and stone architrave, repositioning of lower window transoms and sign to front.	20/10/2009	28/10/2009	M04	80.66
09/04545/ADV	One internally illuminated fascia sign to front of proposed bar/nightclub	21/10/2009	04/12/2009	Α	80.66
08/03125/FU	Change of use of vacant single storey unit to A4 and A5 use	22/05/2008	29/08/2008	Α	95.29
20/145/98/FU	Addition of bin store and air conditioning units to rear of night club	27/02/1998	22/05/1998	Α	24.56
09/03334/FU	Refurbishment of vacant unit including new frontage to form bar/nightclub	30/07/2009	22/09/2009	A	80.66
H20/392/85/	Change of use of vacant cinema club, to motor cycle sales, service and ancillary repair workshop.	12/08/1985	21/10/1985	A	97.32
07/04620/FU	6 storey block of 14 flats with ground floor A2/B1 office accommodation	19/07/2007	24/10/2007	Α	95.29
99-20/107/92/FU	Roller shutter door to front	24/12/1992	18/02/1993	Α	26.50

99-20/218/94/FU Change of use of shop and storeroom to childrens indoor adventure centre	28/11/1994	21/12/1994	Α	26.40
--	------------	------------	---	-------

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions				
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs)	Suitability: Yes		Achievability: Short te	rm (0-5yrs)
Summary of Infrastruct	ure provider co	mments and ot	her planning rec	juirements
Leeds City Council Highway	ys inc Metro			
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibi	lity and standards for I	local services, scools a	nd healthcare	5
Access Comments				Rank (1-5
No vehicular access achievable-	no vehicular acces rec	quired		5
Local network comment				Rank (1-5
Capacity available				5
Mitigation measure				Total scor
None				15
Support?	Need to combine w	ith other sites:	Suitability for part	al development:
yes				
Highways Agency				
n/a <u>Network Rail :</u>				
<u>Biodiversity</u>				
West Yorkshire Ecology and LC	C Ecology Officer:	Boundary	Amendment	
Supported				
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Flooding				
Environment Agency Comment	s:	Environment Ag	ency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:		Yorkshire Water	Waste Water Treatme	nt Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:				
<u>Utilities</u>				

Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessme	nt		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)			•
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)			
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:				
2007 planning permission for a 6 space" remains in the longer tern achieved adjacent to the railway	n, as the residential			
Site Capacity (dwellings units):	: 14	Floorspace	sq m (Non residenti	al):
Residential Conclusion: Green	Ret	ail Conclusion:	E	mployment Conclusion:

Site Name: 52 Call Lane LS1

Site Ref: 462

Site Ref: 1009 Site Name: Marshall Street - 1953 Building, Holbeck

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 432615 Area sq m: 5948.94 City and Hunslet Ward 429564 Easting: Area Ha: 0.594894 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3: Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Community Arts/Gallery

Site State:

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage **~** Distance to Rail Station (metres): 590.15 Distance to bus stop (metres) 99.16 Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 12632 SFRA Flood Zone:

**Environment Agency Flood Zone:** 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

100.00 Health and Safety Executive Hazard: Strategic Employment Buffer: No Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline: **Conservation Areas** Yes Yes Ancient Monument/Battlefield(%): 0.00 Listed Buildings: No

Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

1.00

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Two storey derelict warehouse/office building on the west side of Marshall St and the north side of Sweet Street West. The listed Marshall Mills is to the north.

#### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?:

# **Planning History**

Site Ref: 1009

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/41/76/	Alterations, to remove disused toilets, and form 2 rolle r shutter doors, and addition of new loading plat-form, and	26/01/1976	15/03/1976	Α	99.57
10/03474/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 13 of Planning Application 09/00883/FU	22/07/2010		W	18.58
H20/387/74/	Generator housing and 4, 546 li tre (1000 gallons) oil stora ge tank, with bund wall, to wo rks premises.	11/12/1974	13/01/1975	Α	99.14
20/305/05/LI	Listed building application to part demolish refurb & extend mill to form retail centre/ offices/cafes/75 flats/parking	21/06/2005	05/10/2007	FDO	98.97
09/00883/FU	Temporary (3 year) change of use of disused mill to multi purpose performance, exhibition and events venue	02/03/2009	05/02/2010	А	18.58
H20/135/81/	Listed building application to carry out alterations, to fo rm enlarged conveyor system, t o warehouse.	26/03/1981	27/04/1981	W	98.97
20/545/04/LI	Listed building application for 2.4m high steel fence and gates to part of boundary of storage & distribution centre	22/11/2004	17/03/2005	Α	98.97
09/00884/CA	Conservation Area Application for demolition of warehouse	27/01/2009	08/02/2010	Α	97.73
07/05131/CA	Conservation area application for demolition of outer bridge	13/08/2007	08/10/2007	А	91.91
09/00885/FU	Laying out of temporary car park	02/03/2009	17/08/2009	W	97.73
20/303/05/FU	Change of use part demolish & 1-7 storey extension to mill to form cultural retail centre 75 flats offices cafes parking	21/06/2005	05/10/2007	FDO	98.97
20/544/04/FU	2.4m high steel fence and gates to part of boundary to storage & distribution centre	22/11/2004	03/02/2005	Α	98.97
H20/403/87/	Alterations to form incinerato r plant and addition of 11m h igh chimney, to works.	27/08/1987	04/01/1988	Α	97.57
H20/415/87/	Listed building application to carry out alterations to fo rm incinerator plant and addit ion of 11m high chimney to	27/08/1987	04/01/1988	Α	97.53

Site Ref: 1009 Site Name: Marshall Street - 1953 Building, Holbeck

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 1009 Site Name: Marshall Street - 1953 Building, Holbeck

East Leeds

Gas:

SHLAA Conclusions				
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability: LDF	to determine	Achievability:	Short term (0-5	yrs)
Summary of Infrastructure provider co	omments and ot	her planni	ng requiren	nents
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro				
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards but	lacking in local service	es		4
Access Comments				Rank (1-5)
Access from Sweet Street West not Marshall Street				5
Local network comment				Rank (1-5)
cumulaive impact concern				4
				•
Mitigation measure				Total score
Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village' including w	idening the frontage or	nto Sweet Stree	et West	13
Support? Need to combine w	ith other sites:	Suitability	for partial deve	lopment:
Yes with mitigation				
Highways Agency  Network Rail :				
Biodiversity				
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary	Amendment		
Natural England:				
Education				
Drainage/Water/Flooding				
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Ag	jency Constra	ints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water	r Waste Water	Treatment World	ks Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:				
<u>Utilities</u>				

Site Ref: 1009	Site Name:	Marshall Street	- 1953 Buildin	g, Holbeck
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage  Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	/ Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessmen	t		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	ı	Лaybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)			
Proximity to housed	Yes	N	Мо	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Į	Jnknown
encampments	(Text)			
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:				
SHLAA concludes capacity for 1: of the site in the longer term whe				owner in 2008. Deliver
or and one are and ronger term are			ao.io	
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 120	Floorspace sq	m (Non residential):	
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	il Conclusion:	Empl	oyment Conclusion:
Green				

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433932 Area sq m: 2012.82 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430635 Area Ha: 0.201282 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Industry and business - Storage

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings
Neighbouring Use 2: Transport - Car Parks

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Sloping Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

☑

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 1198.84 Distance to bus stop (metres) 197.45

Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 7166

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Baker House office/storage building on south side of Trafalgar St and surface car park compound on north side of Trafalgar Street

#### **UDP** Designation

		111 0 0 11 110 (0)	
Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Plaving Pitch - N6:	0.00		

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Site Ref: 1010

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H34/135/90/	Two, non-illuminated hoardings, size 3.29m x 6.3m, heigh t above ground 1.2m (underside), to vacant site.	03/04/1990	02/10/1990	R	37.37
H32/281/77/	One non-illuminated canopy sig n, size 10.4m (34ft) x 0.7m (2ft 6ins) height above ground 4m (13ft 3ins) (underside	14/04/1977	23/05/1977	А	60.62
PREAPP/08/00314		18/08/2008	13/01/2009	PRENOT	100.00
H34/436/88/	Outline application to layout access and erect 3 storeyoffic e block, with car parking area, to car park site. (site area	17/10/1988	09/01/1989	Α	38.43
H32/945/77/	Change of use of showrooms, ca r park, workshop and store s, to warehousing, car park, m ulti-unit antique and associ	31/10/1977	05/12/1977	Α	38.54
20/426/01/DTM	Determination for addition of 3 antennae 4 dishes and equipment cabin to roof of store	15/08/2001	04/09/2001	PAG	59.99
H32/543/76/	Change of use of car showrooms, to warehouse, showr ooms and ancillary offices.	15/06/1976	13/07/1976	Α	60.62
20/472/02/DTM	Determination for 6 antennae 4 dishes and equipment cabins to roof	15/11/2002	11/12/2002	PAG	61.31
0-20/46/04/MOD	Determination for 6 antennae 4 dishes and equipment cabins to roof	20/10/2004	26/10/2004	M01	61.31
H32/950/75/	Change of use of existing grou nd floor car showrooms and b asement storage to ground floo r retail sales, administra	22/10/1975	16/02/1976	А	60.62
H32/257/77/	Alterations, including formati on of offices, reception area, and new windows and new entra nces, to warehouse showroom,	01/04/1977	23/05/1977	Α	60.62
H34/153/86/	Alterations including new bric k facade and to form toile ts and entrance foyer, to fron t of offices and showroom.	08/05/1986	02/06/1986	А	59.56
20/491/00/SI	12 non illuminated and 1 internally illuminated projecting sign to warehouse	05/10/2000	28/12/2000	Α	99.74
0-20/24/04/MOD	Determination for 6 antennae 4 dishes and equipment cabins to roof	14/06/2004	23/06/2004	M01	61.31
H34/145/87/	Change of use and alterations, including new windows of wa rehouse and offices, to wareho use with ancillary offices.	13/04/1987	22/06/1987	Α	37.78
10/00187/ADV	Non illuminated scaffold mounted wrap around banner sign for temporary period of 2 years	19/01/2010	18/03/2010	W	61.07
H34/310/87/	Addition of 2 flagpoles, to ro of of warehouse.	21/08/1987	05/10/1987	Α	59.68
20/37/95/FU	External fire escape staircase to car park	03/02/1995	19/06/1996	Α	38.58

H34/308/84/	One internally illuminated ind ividual letter sign, size10.43 m x 0.91m, height above ground 10.43m (underside), one intern	26/09/1984	11/03/1985	W	59.97
H34/299/87/	2 non illuminated painted fasc ia signs, size of one 16.7m x 1m, size of other 20m x 0.8 m, height of each above grou	17/08/1987	02/10/1987	Α	59.48
20/160/05/SI	6 internally illuminated fascia signs 2 non illuminated banner signs & 4 non illuminated signs	06/04/2005	06/06/2005	А	59.99
H32/922/75/	Determination under section 53 of the town and country planning act 1971, for the use of basement, ground and first	17/10/1975	14/10/1975	R	60.62
H34/33/86/	Change of use of warehouse wit h offices, to offices with ground floor showroom.	30/01/1986	24/02/1986	Α	59.99
0-20/22/05/MOD	6 internally illuminated fascia signs 2 non illuminated banner signs & 4 non illuminated signs	22/06/2005	30/06/2005	M01	59.99

#### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley:

NB. Site assessments will be added to over time and some sections may be incomplete at present. Where we have not yet received comments from infrastructure providers and on other planning requirements, these will be included and taken into account prior to making decisions on which sites to allocate.

West Leeds Gateway:

0.00

0.00

Leeds Bradford Corridor:

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions			
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: Yes	Ac	hievability: Medium ter	m (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider co	mments and other	er planning requi	rements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment			Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility standards but only scools and healthcare	part of site for standards	for local services,	4
Access Comments			Rank (1-5
Access for local network ok			5
Local network comment		ı	Rank (1-5
Pedestrian links to city cente need environmental impro-	vements.		5
Mitigation measure			Total sco
environmental			14
Support? Need to combine wit	th other sites:	Suitability for partial of	levelopment:
Yes - with mitigation		, ,	· ·
Highways Agency			
n/a <mark>Network Rail :</mark>			
Biodiversity			
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary Ar	mendment	
Supported			
Natural England:			
<u>Education</u>			
Drainage/Water/Flooding			
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Agen	cy Constraints:	
	]		
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water W	/aste Water Treatment V	Vorks Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:	J [		
<u>Utilities</u>			

Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	<b>Assessment</b>		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)	110	mayoo
Would gypsies and travellers live on the	Yes (Text)	No	Maybe
site?	(Text)		
one :			
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
		ngs. Offices at ground/1st floor leve ar park extension to the adjoining n	
highways objection subject to mit		ar park extension to the adjoining h	nulli-Storey car park. No
Site Capacity (dwellings units):	: 63	Floorspace sq m (Non residen	tial): 1000
			,
Residential Conclusion:  Amber	Retail Con		Employment Conclusion:
Amber		•	Green

Site Name: Baker House, Bridge Street, Leeds, LS2 7QZ

Site Ref: 1010

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 434141 Area sq m: 94.16 Ward e Park and Woodhouse Easting: 429113 Area Ha: 0.009416 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Residential - Dwellings

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3: Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Site State: Curtilage of residential garden

#### Site Detail

Other uses:

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1112.45Distance to bus stop (metres)196.07Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID8933

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Comprises of the outbuilding/garage at the bottom of the garden to 39 Clarendon Road. It faces on to Kendall Lane.

#### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/352/04/FU	4 storey block of 4 one bedroom flats and 2 two bedroom flats	27/07/2004	15/12/2004	R	99.52
20/208/00/FU	Change of use of bedsits to 3 two bedroom flats and two 1 bedroom flats	02/05/2000	27/06/2000	Α	99.52
06/06783/FU	Four storey annexe to rear forming three 2 bedroom flats and one 1 bedroom flat attached to existing terrace at 12 Kendal Lane	14/11/2006	18/01/2007	R	99.53
10/02623/FU	2 two bedroom terrace houses to existing terrace at 12 Kendal Lane	09/06/2010	03/08/2010	R	99.53

# Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

Check the unrestricted sprawl of la	rge built up areas		
Would development lead to/constitute	e ribbon development?		
Would development result in isolated	development?		
Is the site well connected to built up a	rea (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)?		
Would development of the site effective	vely "round off" the settlement pattern?:		
Do natural/physical features provide a area and undeveloped land?	a good existing barrier between existing urban		
Overall sprawl conclusion:			
Prevent neighbouring towns from	merging		
Would development of the site lead to	physical connection of settlements?		
Do natural/physical features provide a development?	a good existing barrier/boundary to contain		
Overall Coalescence Conclusion:			
Assist in safeguarding countryside	from encroachment		
Is there a strong defensible boundary	between the site and the existing urban area?		
Does the site provide access to the c	ountryside?		
Does the site include local or national	I conservation designated areas?		
Does the site include areas of woodla significant unprotected tree/hedge co	ands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or ver?		
Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3	a agricultural land?		
Does the site contain buildings?	Are these in agricultural use?		
Overall countryside Encroachment Co	<u>onclusion</u>		
Preserve the setting and special ch	naracter of historic towns		
Is the site within or adjacent to a consteature?	servation area, listed building or other historical		
If yes, could development preserve the	nis character?:		
Overall Character Preservation Conc	lusion:		
Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion	:		
Conformity with Core S	Strategy		
Main Urban Area	Main Urban Area Extension	Brownfi	ield
Major Settlement	Major Settlement Extension	Greenfi	eld
Smaller Settlement	Smaller Settlement Extension	Mixed	
Villages/Rural	Village/Rural Extension		_
Developm	ent unrelated to existing development		
Regeneration Priority Area:			
Inner South Leeds:	0.00 Aire Valley:		0.00
Leeds Bradford Corridor:	0.00 West Leeds Gatew	vay:	0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

SHLAA Conclusions		
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability: Ye	es Achievability:	Short term (0-5yrs)
Summary of Infrastructure provider of	comments and other plann	ing requirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro		
Accessibility comment		Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport accessibility for buses and sta healthcare	andards for local services, schools and	5
Access Comments		Rank (1-5)
Access of Kendal Lane		5
Local network comment		Rank (1-5)
OK for 2 units		5
Mitigation measure		Total score
None		15
		13
Support? Need to combine	with other sites: Suitabilit	y for partial development:
yes		
Highways Agency		
n/a		
Network Rail :		
<u>Biodiversity</u>		
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	: Boundary Amendment	:
Supported		
Natural England:		
Education		
Drainage/Water/Flooding		
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Agency Constra	aints:
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water Waste Wate	r Treatment Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:		
-		
<u>Utilities</u>		

Site Ref:	1020	Site Name:	Rear of 39 Cla Leeds LS2	arendon Road/	Kendal Lane
Electric:					
Telecom:					
Fire and Res	scue Services:				
Built Herita	age				
Leeds City C					
West Yorksh	nire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heri	tage:				
Cypey T	covallar Site	Accessmen	4		
Gypsy _11	avener Site	e Assessmen			
	be effectively	Yes (Text)	No		Maybe
managed		(Text)			
Would gyps		Yes	No		Maybe
travellers li	ve on the	(Text)			
Proximity to		Yes		No	
gypsies and	d travellers	(Text)			
	of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampme	nts	(Text)			
Conclusio	n of Asses	sment			
Conclusion su	mmary:				
existing outbuild	ding to the main re	esidential unit and is	unsuitable for convers		ns. The site contains an to residential. Planning ing authority.
Site Capacity (	dwellings units)	: 2	Floorspace	sq m (Non residentia	ıl):
Residential Co	nclusion:	Retai	l Conclusion:	En	nployment Conclusion:
Red					

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 432678 Area sq m: 1148.55 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429627 Area Ha: 0.114855 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3: Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3: Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

✓

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 0.00 Distance to bus stop (metres) 0.00

Nearest Railway Station Bus Stop ID

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:0.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Site located on the south side of Manor Road at the junction with Marshall Street. Comprises of a terrace of modern single storey business units and car parking.

#### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

**Planning History** 

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

# SHLAA Conclusions

Availability: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Medium term (6-10 years)

# Gypsy \_Traveller Site Assessment

Could site be effectively managed	Yes (Text)	No	Maybe
Would gypsies and travellers live on the site?	Yes (Text)	No	Maybe
Proximity to housed gypsies and travellers	Yes (Text)	No	
Experience of previous encampments	Yes (Text)	No	Unknown

#### **Conclusion of Assessment**

#### Conclusion summary:

Good housing site in Holbeck Urban Village. Submitted to SHLAA by owner/developer. Expected to come forward late in the medium term.

Site Capacity (dwellings units): 39 Floorspace sq m (Non residential): 0

Residential Conclusion: Retail Conclusion: Employment Conclusion:

Green

Site Ref: 1140 Site Name: Land west of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill,

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433470 Area sq m: 22288.80 Ward ntofts and Richmond Hill Easting: 431556 Area Ha: 2.22888 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Existing Use 2: Industry and business - Storage

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Neighbouring Use 2: Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Sloping Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

☑

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 1884.88 Distance to bus stop (metres) 140.71

Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 3375

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:

No Strategic Employment Buffer:

No Conservation Areas

No Ancient Monument/Battlefield(%):

0.00 Listed Buildings:

No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Triangular site between Upper Accomodation Road and Pontefract Lane comprising a mix of old industrial and warehouse buildings currently in active use.

#### **UDP** Designation

		111 0 0 11 110 (0)	
Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Plaving Pitch - N6:	0.00		

#### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

Site Ref: 1140 Site Name: Land west of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill,

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H32/1206/78/	Detached garage and workshop t o works.	22/12/1978	26/03/1979	Α	21.65
H32/105/75/	2 storey office block, comprisi ng entrance hall, offices, toile ts, kitchen and boiler room, to builders yard. (previous	05/02/1975	07/04/1975	Α	24.74
H32/76/80/	Detached block of 5 light indu strial units, each unit with toilets, and with 35 car parki ng spaces and landscapin	21/01/1980	24/03/1980	W	33.73
21/38/02/FU	Part single storey and part 3 storey extension to factory	08/02/2002	27/11/2002	Α	92.94
21/35/94/RE	Renewal of outline to erect 3 detached office blocks to existing works site	15/02/1994	20/04/1994	А	42.83
H21/85/91/	Outline application to erect t hree detached office block s to existing works site. (si te area 0.96ha)	20/03/1991	06/08/1991	А	41.76
H21/183/83/	Change of use of joinery works, to retail warehouse with 54 car parking spaces.	23/09/1983	24/10/1983	R	18.15
21/42/97/FU	Detached electric sub station switchroom generator housing condensor units, fan & fence to factory	10/02/1997	24/10/1997	Α	21.27
H32/527/80/	Single storey warehouse unit w ith ancillary 2 storey offic e accommodation, toilets, car parking spaces and landscapin	30/05/1980	21/07/1980	Α	33.43
H21/38/84/	Change of use of joinery works , to warehouse with offic es.	08/03/1984	26/03/1984	Α	16.68
21/314/01/FU	Single storey extension to factory	24/12/2001	20/09/2002	Α	92.94
12/01468/FU	Extension to factory (part implimented)	02/04/2012	28/05/2012	Α	95.01
H32/160/75/	Underground petrol storage tan k and pump, to works premi ses.	24/02/1975	07/04/1975	Α	24.74
21/154/00/FU	Alterations to form mezzanine floor with external staircase and mezzanine access single storey extension & new roof	14/08/2000	01/09/2007	FDO	28.61
H21/138/88/	Alterations to form toilets an d staircase and first floor extension, to form enlarged o ffices, with 7 car parking sp	30/06/1988	23/08/1988	Α	11.27
H32/113/80/	Application to erect five ware house units, with 29 car p arking spaces, to vacant site. (site area 0.75ha)	25/01/1980	24/03/1980	Α	33.75
21/44/98/FU	Change of use and two extensions of warehouse to bakery	28/01/1998	30/09/1998	Α	43.81
H32/77/80/	Outline application to lay out access and erect indus trial development, with 6 car parking spaces, to vacant sit	22/01/1980	24/03/1980	W	33.73
21/77/03/FU	Part single storey and part 3 storey extension to factory	03/03/2003	12/05/2003	Α	92.94

# Site Ref: 1140 Site Name: Land west of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill, LS9

0-21/44/02/MOD	3 storey factory extension	31/12/2002	09/01/2003	M05	92.94
H32/447/79/	Alterations and extension, to form vehicle maintenance garag e, with office, rest room, sto re and toilets, to side of wo	21/05/1979	10/09/1979	Α	18.76
H32/124/78/	Detached plant workshop, with pit, to builders yard premi ses.	10/02/1978	12/06/1978	Α	24.74
21/313/99/FU	Extension to form refrigeration unit to factory	20/12/1999	06/04/2001	Α	78.94
H32/11/79/	Extension, to form 2 storey off ice block with 11 car parki ng spaces and landscaping, to v acant site.	09/01/1979	26/03/1979	Α	33.75
21/30/97/RE	Renewal of outline permission to erect three detached office blocks	31/01/1997	11/04/1997	Α	42.78
H32/112/80/	Outline application to lay out access and erect wareh ouse development with 6 car pa rking spaces to vacant sit	25/01/1980	24/03/1980	W	33.75
H21/184/83/	Change of use, of joinery work s, to wholesale warehousewith 54 car parking spaces.	23/09/1983	24/10/1983	Α	18.26

Site Ref: 1140 Site Name: Land west of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill,

# Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitute ribbon d	evelopment?		
Would development result in isolated development			
Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ b	oundaries with existing built up area)?		
Would development of the site effectively "rour	nd off" the settlement pattern?:		
Do natural/physical features provide a good ex area and undeveloped land?	isting barrier between existing urban		
Overall sprawl conclusion:			
Prevent neighbouring towns from merging			
Would development of the site lead to physical	connection of settlements?		
Do natural/physical features provide a good ex development?	isting barrier/boundary to contain		
Overall Coalescence Conclusion:			
Assist in safeguarding countryside from en	croachment		
Is there a strong defensible boundary between	the site and the existing urban area?		
Does the site provide access to the countryside	e?		
Does the site include local or national conserva	ation designated areas?		
Does the site include areas of woodlands, tree significant unprotected tree/hedge cover?	s, hedgerows that are protected, or		
Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricult	ural land?		
Does the site contain buildings?	Are these in agricultural use?		
Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion			
Preserve the setting and special character of	of historic towns		
Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation feature?	area, listed building of other historical		
If yes, could development preserve this characteristics	ter?:		
Overall Character Preservation Conclusion:			
Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:			
Conformity with Core Strateg	Jy		
Main Urban Area	Main Urban Area Extension	Brownfield	
Major Settlement	Major Settlement Extension	Greenfield	
Smaller Settlement	Smaller Settlement Extension	Mixed	
Villages/Rural	Village/Rural Extension		
Development unrela	ated to existing development		
Regeneration Priority Area:			
Inner South Leeds:	0.00 Aire Valley:		0.00
Leeds Bradford Corridor:	0.00 West Leeds Gatew	ay:	0.00

Site Ref: 1140 Site Name: Land west of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill,

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

HLAA Conclusio	ns					
Availability: Long term (11	l+ year Suital	oility: LDF	to determine	Achievability:	Long term (11	+ years)
Summary of Infrast	ructure pro	vider co	mments and	other plann	ing require	ments
Leeds City Council Hig	ghways inc Mo	etro etro				
Accessibility comment						Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport acc healthcare	cessibility for bus	es and stand	lards for local servi	ces, schools and		5
Access Comments					'	Rank (1-5)
Access possible from Pon	tefract Lane or U	pper Accomr	modation Road			5
Local network comment					'	Rank (1-5)
Will need to provide junction	on improvements	for junction	onto York Road			3
						3
Mitigation measure						Total score
York Road junction and pedestrian linkages to city centre						13
Support?	Need to	combine wif	th other sites:	Suitability	│ y for partial dev	elopment:
Yes - with mitigation	1146					
Highways Agency						
Potential for cumulative imp	pact in combinati	on with other	sites. If site still ir	cluded at next si	ft assess as part	of city
Network Rail :						
<u>Biodiversity</u>						
West Yorkshire Ecology a	and LCC Ecolog	y Officer:	Bounda	ary Amendment		
Supported						
Natural England:						
<u>Education</u>						
Drainage/Water/Floodi	ng					
Environment Agency Con	nments:		Environment	Agency Constra	nints:	
Yorkshire Water Commen	nte:		Yorkshire Wa	iter Waste Water	Treatment Wo	rks Commo
TOTASINIE WATER COMME	no.		TOTASINIE WA	iter waste water	Treatilient WO	i va Collille
LCC Flood Risk Managen	ant.					

		LS9	
Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
O Turnellen Cit			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	3 Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)		•
site?			
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)	140	
Experience of previous encampments	Yes (Text)	No	Unknown
on our princing	,		
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
SHLAA concludes site is suitable	for residential develo	pment but is a long term pr	ospect as the business premises are
currently occupied. No Highways	objection providing a	ccount is taken of cumulativ	/e impact of other sites.
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 132	Floorspace sq m (l	Non residential):
Residential Conclusion:	Retail	Conclusion:	Employment Conclusion:
Amber			

Site Name: Land west of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill,

**Site Ref: 1140** 

#### Site Ref: 1267 Site Name: Former Gas works Site, Kidacre Street

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 432408 Area sq m: 43094.37 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430204 Area Ha: 4.309437 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Utilities & Infrastructure - Energy production and distribution

Existing Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Office

Neighbouring Use 2: Retail - Shops

Neighbouring Use 3: Other

Other uses: car show rooms, light business units

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):935.36Distance to bus stop (metres)193.74Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID12870

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:YesStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:YesConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Linea site to the west of Kidacre Street. Includes two gas holders and other operational land for storage/distribution of gas. Also includes motorcycle testing area (formerly SHLAA site 2014).

#### **UDP** Designation

		111 0 0 11 110 (01)	
Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Plaving Pitch - N6:	0.00		

Yes

# Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?:

Site Ref: 1267 Site Name: Former Gas works Site, Kidacre Street

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/326/87/	Detached equipment store with garage to gas board site.	16/07/1987	10/08/1987	Α	99.04
20/199/00/HZ	Continuation of consent reference haz/dc/7 following a change in control of part of the land	26/04/2000	27/07/2000	Α	29.63
99-20/40/95/OT	Outline application to erect three detached office blocks with car parking and landscaping	14/02/1995	19/05/1998	W	33.11
99-20/39/95/OT	Outline application to erect four detached office blocks with car parking and landscaping	14/02/1995	30/04/1998	А	62.38
20/98/96/SI	5 externally illuminated freestanding hoardings to development site	21/02/1996	14/06/1996	Α	14.08
H20/396/84/	Erection of depot, comprising offices, workshops, labor atory, toilets, surgery, kitch en and dining room, with 88 ad	13/09/1984	13/09/1984	Α	39.87
20/208/01/RE	Renewal of outline permission to erect four detached office blocks	18/04/2001	09/05/2001	W	62.38

Site Ref: 1267 Site Name: Former Gas works Site, Kidacre Street

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 1267 Site Name: Former Gas works Site, Kidacre Street

East Leeds

HLAA Conclusion	is			
Availability: Medium term (	6-10 y Suitability: LDF	to determine	Achievability: Medium	term (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastr	ucture provider co	mments and o	other planning req	uirements
Leeds City Council Higl	nways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment  Meets Public Transport acce	secibility and standards for l	ocal services, scools	and healthcare	Rank (1-5
Meets Fublic Transport acce	ssibility and standards for it	ocai services, scools	and nealthcare	5
Access Comments				Rank (1-5
Access possible off Kidacre	Street or Holmes St with wi	idening		4
Local network comment			'	Rank (1-5
Local network and Meadow route problematic	Road gyratory has capacity	/ issues. Kidacre St/l	Leathley Rd/Jack Lane	3
Mitigation measure				Total scor
Substantial improvements v	vill be required to provide ac	ccess and address ca	apacity issues	12
				1 4
Support?	Need to combine wi	th other sites:	Suitability for partia	il development:
Yes - with mitigation	Combining sites 2012 would provide a much comprehensive acces	n better		
Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impa / Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail: Biodiversity	act in combination with other	r sites. If site still inc	cluded at next sift assess a	s part of city centro
<u>Biodiversity</u> West Yorkshire Ecology ar	nd LCC Ecology Officer:	Bounda	ry Amendment	
Supported			,	
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Floodin	<del></del>	Environment A	Agonov Constrainto	
Environment Agency Com	nents:	Environment	Agency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comment	s:	Yorkshire Wat	er Waste Water Treatmer	nt Works Comme
<b>ـCC Flood Risk Manageme</b>	ent:			

<u>Utilities</u>

Site Ref: 1267	Site Name:	Former Gas w	vorks Site, Kid	acre Street
Gas:				
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy Traveller Site	Accessmen	+		
	A33C33IIICII			
Could site be effectively	Yes (Text)	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)			
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)			
Conclusion of Asses	ement			
Conclusion of Asses	Silient			
Conclusion summary:				
This site and SHLAA site 2014 w The land outside of the operation	ill be significantly affe	ected by the line of HS uired for HS2 for this	S2 which is likely to be site and site 2014 me	e wide near the terminus. easures 2.58ha. It is
assumed that some high density Starting with the SHLAA standard	apartment blocks mi	ght be achievable on s	some of the remaining	g non-operational land.
uses and halved again to accoun			ils may be naived to a	account for office and other
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	226	Floorspace s	sq m (Non residentia	ıl):
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	I Conclusion:	Er	mployment Conclusion:
Amber			Ar	nber

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433485 Area sq m: 949.07 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430824 Area Ha: 0.094907 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant and derelict - Derelict

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Office

Neighbouring Use 2: Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1169.14Distance to bus stop (metres)68.74Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID6451

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Collapsed central section of St Peters Buildings on the north side of York Street

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/390/91/	Change of use, involving alter ations, including part new f rontage and extension, of fact ory to offices.	04/09/1991	15/01/1992	Α	79.16
20/411/97/FU	Change of use of factory to ground floor restaurant with 24 flats over	16/07/1997	21/12/2001	0	89.53
20/219/93/FU	Change of use with alterations from light industrial premises to offices; public house and nightclub	05/07/1993	29/11/1993	Α	59.26
H20/484/87/	Addition of 1.3m diameter dish antenna, to roof of offic es.	09/10/1987	30/11/1987	Α	69.48
20/214/01/FU	Demolition existing building & erection of part 5, 7, 8 storey block of 49 flats, ground floor office & car parking	19/04/2001	21/05/2002	А	89.53
20/72/97/FU	Change of use of factory to restaurant and offices	12/02/1997	21/12/2001	0	89.53
0-20/43/03/MOD	Demolition existing building & erection of part 5 7 8 storey block of 49 flats ground floor office and car parking	01/09/2003	30/09/2003	M01	89.53
20/95/01/OT	Outline application to erect seven storey hotel	19/02/2001	30/01/2002	W	13.04
H20/292/82/	Change of use of mill to aucti on rooms.	18/08/1982	13/09/1982	R	34.24

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

SHLAA Conclusions				
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: Yes	,	Achievability:	Medium term (	6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider con	nments and ot	her plann	ing require	ments
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro				
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for loc	cal services, scools a	nd healthcare		5
Access Comments			l	Rank (1-5)
Existing St Peters Square access should be used, no oth	ner direct access onto	York St.		5
Local network comment				Rank (1-5)
cumulaive impact concern				4
Mitigation measure				Total score
None				14
Support? Need to combine with	n other sites:	Suitability	y for partial dev	elopment:
yes				
Highways Agency				
n/a				
Network Rail :				
<u>Biodiversity</u>				
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary	Amendment		
Supported				
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
<u>Drainage/Water/Flooding</u>				
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Ag	ency Constra	ints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water	Waste Water	Treatment Wo	rks Comme
L CC Flood Birth Management				
LCC Flood Risk Management:				
Utilities				

Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)	110	Ividybo
site?			
Proximity to housed	Yes (Text)	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
Site involves semi demolished ce Appropriate for residential develo	entral section of St Peters Building apment	s. Development would provi	de a welcome infill.
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 49 <b>Floo</b>	rspace sq m (Non residenti	al):
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Conclusion	n: E	mployment Conclusion:
Green			- •

Site Name: St Peters Square

Site Ref: 2001

Site Ref: 2002 Site Name: Co-op Funeral Services & St Annes Shelter, Marsh Lane/York Street

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433436 Area sq m: 10717.11 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430901 Area Ha: 1.071711 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Other

Existing Use 2: Residential - Hotels, boarding and guest houses

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Transport - Transport tracks & ways

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Funeral directors
Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1233.21Distance to bus stop (metres)36.98Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID6451

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Business and storage units that straddle the railway viaduct including advice centre plus hostel and coop funeral services buildings to the south of Brussels Street

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Plaving Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

Site Ref: 2002 Site Name: Co-op Funeral Services & St Annes Shelter, Marsh Lane/York Street

# **Planning History**

	•				
Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
0-20/46/96/MOD	Stage 6 and 7 of inner ring road	08/08/1996	16/12/1996	M01	36.72
99-20/69/94/FU	Change of use of factory to function rooms, demolition of boys club to form car park and garages & erection of canopy	22/04/1994	19/05/1994	А	16.81
99-20/140/94/LI	Listed building application to erect retaining wall with railings to former dyeworks stages 6 & 7 inner ring road	04/08/1994	15/09/1994	Α	36.72
H20/142/92/	Stage 6 and 7 inner ring road. (this item is also notice under reg ulation 4(1) of the town and c	02/04/1992	02/07/1992	Α	36.72
08/05131/ADV	3 internally illuminated fascia signs and 2 non illuminated wall signs to funeral directors	29/08/2008	09/10/2008	А	16.59
99-20/141/94/LI	Listed building application to erect retaining wall with railings to factory for stage 6 and 7 inner ring road	04/08/1994	15/09/1994	А	36.72
99-20/142/94/CA	Conservation area application to demolish buildings and walls for stage 6 and 7 of inner ring road	04/08/1994	15/09/1994	А	36.72
H20/141/92/99	Stage 6 and 7 of inner ring road	07/04/1992	18/06/1992	Α	36.72
0-20/43/96/MOD	Stage 6 and 7 of leeds inner ring road	30/07/1996	29/08/1996	M01	36.72
20/472/04/FU	Disabled access ramp and stepped access to main entrance of funeral parlour	25/10/2004	10/05/2005	А	21.41
99-20/201/94/FU	Stage 6 and 7 of inner ring road	01/11/1994	19/01/1995	Α	36.72
0-20/41/96/MOD	Stage 6 and 7 of inner ring road	26/07/1996	28/08/1996	M01	36.72
H20/495/88/	Use of cleared site and car pa rk as coach park and car p ark.	03/10/1988	16/01/1989	А	15.28
H20/161/88/	Use of vacant site as enlarged car park. (this item is also notice under reg ulation 4(1) of the town and c	24/03/1988	07/11/1988	Α	15.41
20/36/03/SI	3 externally illuminated wall mounted signs to funeral directors	28/01/2003	18/03/2003	R	16.81
0-20/42/96/MOD	Stage 6 and 7 of inner ring road.	26/07/1996	05/08/1996	M01	36.72
0-20/64/95/MOD	Stages 6 and 7 of inner ring road	11/12/1995	18/12/1995	M01	36.72
99-20/63/92/FU	4 storey hostel for homeless men	27/10/1992	19/11/1992	Α	13.37
0-20/22/97/MOD	Stage 6 & 7 of inner ring road	07/05/1997	03/09/1997	M01	36.72
0-20/59/96/MOD	Stage 6 and 7 of inner ring road	12/11/1996		REC	36.72
20/476/96/FU	Change of use of factory to function rooms & single storey rear extensions & detached workshop to funeral directors	13/09/1996	15/01/1997	А	21.41
20/174/03/SI	3 externally illuminated wall mounted signs to funeral directors	13/05/2003	09/06/2003	Α	16.80

Site Ref: 2002 Site Name: Co-op Funeral Services & St Annes Shelter, Marsh Lane/York Street

# Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built	up areas	
Would development lead to/constitute ribbon d	evelopment?	
Would development result in isolated development		
Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ b		
Would development of the site effectively "rour	nd off" the settlement pattern?:	
Do natural/physical features provide a good ex area and undeveloped land?	isting barrier between existing urban	
Overall sprawl conclusion:		
Prevent neighbouring towns from merging		
Would development of the site lead to physica	I connection of settlements?	
Do natural/physical features provide a good ex development?	xisting barrier/boundary to contain	
Overall Coalescence Conclusion:		
Assist in safeguarding countryside from en	croachment	
Is there a strong defensible boundary between	the site and the existing urban area?	
Does the site provide access to the countrysid	e?	
Does the site include local or national conserve	ation designated areas?	
Does the site include areas of woodlands, tree significant unprotected tree/hedge cover?	s, hedgerows that are protected, or	
Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricult	ural land?	
Does the site contain buildings?	Are these in agricultural use?	
Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion	1	
Preserve the setting and special character	of historic towns	
Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation feature?	area, listed building or other historical	
If yes, could development preserve this characteristics	eter?:	
Overall Character Preservation Conclusion:		
Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:		
Conformity with Core Strateg	у	
Main Urban Area	Main Urban Area Extension	Brownfield
Major Settlement	Major Settlement Extension	Greenfield
Smaller Settlement	Smaller Settlement Extension	Mixed
Villages/Rural	Village/Rural Extension	IVIIACU
-	ated to existing development	
Regeneration Priority Area:		
Inner South Leeds:	0.00 Aire Valley:	0.00
Leeds Bradford Corridor:	0.00 West Leeds Gatew	yay: 0.00

Site Ref: 2002 Site Name: Co-op Funeral Services & St Annes Shelter, Marsh Lane/York Street

East Leeds

HLAA Conclusions					
Availability: Long term (11+ year Suitabili	ty: Yes	Achie	evability:	Long term (11+	years)
Summary of Infrastructure provi	der comments	and other	plannin	g requirer	ments
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metr	<u>o</u>				
Accessibility comment					Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport accessibility and stand	lards for local service	es, scools and h	ealthcare		5
Access Comments				I	Rank (1-5)
Existing Brick St / Brussels St lay out should Marsh Lane or York St.Part of site north of ra Brussels St.					5
Local network comment					Rank (1-5)
Ok for 100 units,cumulaive impact concern					4
Mitigation measure					Total score
None					14
Support? Need to co	mbine with other si	tes:	Suitability f	or partial deve	elopment:
yes					
Highways Agency					
Potential for cumulative impact in combination / Aire valley cluster.	with other sites. If s	ite still included	at next sift a	assess as part	of city centre
Network Rail :					
Need to check relationship with viaduct and ve	ry long term proposa	al to widen to 4 to	racks		
Biodiversity					
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology	Officer:	Boundary Ame	endment		
Supported					
Natural England:					
<u>Education</u>					
Drainage/Water/Flooding					
Environment Agency Comments:	Enviro	onment Agency	/ Constrain	ts:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorks	shire Water Was	ste Water T	reatment Wor	ks Comme
. ,	. 5. KG				
LCC Flood Risk Management:					

<u>Utilities</u>

Site Ref: 2002	Site Name:	Co-op Funera Marsh Lane/Y		St Annes Shelter,
Gas:				
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology English Heritage:	<i>ı</i> Service:			
Gypsy ₋Traveller Site	e Assessmen	t		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)			- may 20
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)			
Siter				
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)			
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:				
Site would require the relocating account is taken of cumulative in		s to allow for redevelo	opment. No Highwa	ys objection providing
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 100	Floorspace	sq m (Non resident	ial): 1000
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	il Conclusion:	E	Employment Conclusion:
Amber			(	Green

#### **Site Details**

 Northing:
 434170
 Area sq m:
 8985.43
 Ward
 City and Hunslet

 Easting:
 430692
 Area Ha:
 0.898543
 HMCA:
 City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Community Services - Education

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Industry and business - Wholesale distribution

Neighbouring Use 2: Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Site State:

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1399.70Distance to bus stop (metres)73.35Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID5870

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

College building built circa 1970 on the corner of North Street and Skinner Lane

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H34/84/85/	Erection of temporary logo str ucture to front of colle ge. (this item	21/03/1985	15/04/1985	А	96.03
20/142/05/FU	Alterations and extension to roof to form liftshaft to college	30/03/2005	09/05/2005	Α	98.95
PREAPP/08/00358		06/11/2008		PRECAG	98.95
10/00211/FU	4 roof mounted solar panels to college	20/01/2010		APPRET	99.97
20/529/01/FU	Laying out of enlarged car park to college	05/10/2001	28/08/2002	W	96.03
11/02556/FU	Installation of photo voltaic panels to roof	20/06/2011	11/08/2011	Α	96.72
20/292/95/SI	Two externally illuminated wall signs and one non illuminated freestanding sign to college	10/07/1995	18/10/1995	Α	93.77
06/03608/FU	Addition of air conditioning units and safety rail to roof and part reroofing of college	13/06/2006	24/08/2006	Α	98.95

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare  Access Comments Access would be supported onto all roads except North Street  Local network comment Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Mitigation measure  Total services, scools and healthcare  Bedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.	AA Conclusions			
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro Accessibility comment Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare  Access Comments Access would be supported onto all roads except North Street  Local network comment Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Mitigation measure Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Support? Need to combine with other sites: Suitability for partial development yes - with mitigation  Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city certain year and y	oility: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: Yes	Achiev	vability: Medium term	n (6-10 years)
Access billity comment  Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare  Access Comments  Rank Access would be supported onto all roads except North Street  Cocal network comment  Rank Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Total:  Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development  Yes - with mitigation  Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city of Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding	nary of Infrastructure provider com	ments and other	planning require	ements
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare  Access Comments  Access would be supported onto all roads except North Street  Local network comment  Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Mitigation measure  Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development sites with mitigation  Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city cells for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding	s City Council Highways inc Metro			
Access Comments    Access would be supported onto all roads except North Street   5	sibility comment			Rank (1-5)
Access would be supported onto all roads except North Street    Cocal network comment	Public Transport accessibility and standards for local	I services, scools and he	althcare	5
Local network comment  Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Mitigation measure  Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  1  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development Yes - with mitigation  Highways Agency  Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city cells / Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	ss Comments		'	Rank (1-5)
Mitigation measure  Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Total:  Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  1  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development of the sites of the site of the si	ss would be supported onto all roads except North St	reet		5
Mitigation measure  Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  1.  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development (Yes - with mitigation)  Highways Agency  Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city of Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	network comment			Rank (1-5)
Mitigation measure  Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  1.  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development of the partial development of the partial for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city of Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding	strian linkages and local environmental improvements	s required.		5
Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improvements required.  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development of the partial development of the partial development of the partial for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city of Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding				J
Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development  Yes - with mitigation  Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city contained in combination with other sites. If site still included i	ation measure			Total scor
Yes - with mitigation	strian linkages and local environmental improvement	s required.		15
Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city color / Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Supported Natural England: Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	ort? Need to combine with	other sites: Su	uitability for partial de	evelopment:
Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city of Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	with mitigation			
/ Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	ways Agency			
Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	ial for cumulative impact in combination with other sit valley cluster.	tes. If site still included a	t next sift assess as pa	rt of city centre
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	ork Rail :			
Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	<u>versity</u>			
Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary Amer	ndment	
Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding	rted			
Drainage/Water/Flooding	al England:			
<del>-</del>	<u>ation</u>			
	age/Water/Flooding			
	onment Agency Comments:	Environment Agency	Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments: Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Com	hiro Water Comments	Vorkshira Water Wast	to Water Treatment W	orke Commo
TORSHITE WATER COMMITTERS.	inie Water Comments.	TOTASTILLE WATER WAST	ie vvaler rreatment W	OLKS COITHINE
LCC Flood Risk Management:	To delicate Management			

Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)		
site?			
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)	INO	
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
<b>Conclusion of Asses</b>	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
Current occupiers intentions unc			
			use designation and has potential to act ered necessary and appropriate. No
Highways objection providing acc			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 158	Floorspace sq m (N	lon residential): 450
Residential Conclusion:		onclusion:	Employment Conclusion:
Amber	Retail Co	meiusion.	Amber
AIIIDEI			Ambei

Site Name: Leeds College Of Building

Site Ref: 2004

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433972 Area sq m: 3796.19 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430589 Area Ha: 0.379619 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1189.30Distance to bus stop (metres)156.83Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID9438

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Old multi-storey car park on north side of Trafalgar Street

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
12/00905/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of Planning Application 10/00764/FU	24/02/2012	30/03/2012	А	100.00
10/00764/FU	External cladding of multi storey car park and erection of new fencing and gates to external car parking area	19/02/2010	10/06/2010	Α	100.00
H32/945/77/	Change of use of showrooms, ca r park, workshop and store s, to warehousing, car park, m ulti-unit antique and associ	31/10/1977	05/12/1977	Α	99.68
H32/280/81/	Change of use of garage, wareh ouse offices and shop, tosport s and social club and offices, with basement car park f	31/03/1981	08/06/1981	Α	77.96
H32/501/78/	Change of use of lower ground floor retail shop and stora ge to light industrial and sto rage, and of ground flo	17/05/1978	03/07/1978	Α	98.09
H32/500/80/	Change of use from light indus trial, meter readers, cash reception, sports and social c lub and car parking to offices	16/05/1980	21/07/1980	Α	97.78
20/491/00/SI	12 non illuminated and 1 internally illuminated projecting sign to warehouse	05/10/2000	28/12/2000	Α	21.75
20/37/95/FU	External fire escape staircase to car park	03/02/1995	19/06/1996	Α	99.84
H34/107/83/	Change of use, involving alter ations and extension, of car p ark, to social club with snook er room, table tennis roo	27/06/1983	05/09/1983	А	36.07

#### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

HLAA Conclusions		
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: Ye	Achievability: Medium te	rm (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider c	comments and other planning requ	irements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro		
Accessibility comment		Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport accessibility standards but or scools and healthcare	nly part of site for standards for local services,	4
Access Comments	'	Rank (1-5)
Access for local network ok		5
Local network comment	Ţ	Rank (1-5)
Pedestrian links to city cente need environmental imp	provements.	5
Mitigation measure		Total scor
environmental		1.1
		14
Support? Need to combine	with other sites: Suitability for partial	development:
Yes - with mitigation		
Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with oth / Aire Valley cluster.  Network Rail:	her sites. If site still included at next sift assess as	part of city centre
Biodiversity		
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary Amendment	
Supported		
Natural England:		
Education		
Drainage/Water/Flooding		
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Agency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment	Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:	I I	

Gas:				
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessmen	t		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)	·		·
Site?				
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		1	
Experience of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)	110		CHRIOWII
Conclusion of Asses	ement			
Officiality of Assess	Silicit			
Conclusion summary:				
Recent permission for refurbishm capacity is included to be consist				
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 137	Floorspace	sq m (Non residentia	I):
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	l Conclusion:	En	nployment Conclusion:
Red				

Site Name: Trafalgar Street

Site Ref: 2005

Site Ref: 2006 Site Name: Caspar Building and Centenary House, 59
North Street

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 434054 Area sq m: 7308.23 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430456 Area Ha: 0.730823 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3: Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3: Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

## Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

✓

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 0.00 Distance to bus stop (metres) 0.00

Nearest Railway Station Bus Stop ID

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:0.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Exemplary modular residential building built in late 1990s. Now considered unsafe and has been vacant since 2006. Centenary House is a listed vacant office building, formerly occupied by Leeds Society for Deaf and Blind People.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

Site Ref: 2006 Site Name: Caspar Building and Centenary House, 59 North Street

**Planning History** 

Site Ref: 2006 Site Name: Caspar Building and Centenary House, 59 North Street

# Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

Check the unrestricted sprawl of la	rge built up areas		
Would development lead to/constitute	e ribbon development?		
Would development result in isolated	development?		
Is the site well connected to built up a	rea (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)?		
Would development of the site effective	vely "round off" the settlement pattern?:		
Do natural/physical features provide a area and undeveloped land?	a good existing barrier between existing urban		
Overall sprawl conclusion:			
Prevent neighbouring towns from	merging		
Would development of the site lead to	physical connection of settlements?		
Do natural/physical features provide a development?	a good existing barrier/boundary to contain		
Overall Coalescence Conclusion:			
Assist in safeguarding countryside	from encroachment		
Is there a strong defensible boundary	between the site and the existing urban area?		
Does the site provide access to the c	ountryside?		
Does the site include local or national	I conservation designated areas?		
Does the site include areas of woodla significant unprotected tree/hedge co	ands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or ver?		
Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3	a agricultural land?		
Does the site contain buildings?	Are these in agricultural use?		
Overall countryside Encroachment Co	<u>onclusion</u>		
Preserve the setting and special ch	naracter of historic towns		
Is the site within or adjacent to a consteature?	servation area, listed building or other historical		
If yes, could development preserve the	nis character?:		
Overall Character Preservation Conc	lusion:		
Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion	:		
Conformity with Core S	Strategy		
Main Urban Area	Main Urban Area Extension	Brownfi	ield
Major Settlement	Major Settlement Extension	Greenfi	eld
Smaller Settlement	Smaller Settlement Extension	Mixed	
Villages/Rural	Village/Rural Extension		_
Developm	ent unrelated to existing development		
Regeneration Priority Area:			
Inner South Leeds:	0.00 Aire Valley:		0.00
Leeds Bradford Corridor:	0.00 West Leeds Gatew	vay:	0.00

Site Ref: 2006 Site Name: Caspar Building and Centenary House, 59
North Street

East Leeds

•	SHLAA C	conclusions					
٦	Availability:	Short term (0-5yrs)	Suitability:	Yes	Achievability:	Short term (0-5yrs)	

Gypsy Traveller Site Assessment Could site be effectively No Maybe Yes managed (Text) Would gypsies and Yes No Maybe travellers live on the (Text) site? Proximity to housed No Yes gypsies and travellers (Text) **Experience of previous** Yes No Unknown (Text) encampments

## **Conclusion of Assessment**

#### Conclusion summary:

Currently unoccupied. Application for 157 dwellings never determined. Urban Splash interest in refurbishing existing 44 uninhabitable dwellings. No Highways objection providing account is taken of cumulative impact of other sites.

Site Capacity (dwellings units):	44	Floorspace sq m (Non residential):	0
----------------------------------	----	------------------------------------	---

Residential Conclusion: Retail Conclusion: Employment Conclusion:

Green

Site Ref: 2007 Site Name: Wharf Street

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433356 Area sq m: 453.38 City and Hunslet Ward 430532 Easting: Area Ha: 0.045338 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Transport - Transport tracks & ways

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage Distance to Rail Station (metres): 856.23 Distance to bus stop (metres) 23.16 Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 13513 SFRA Flood Zone:

**Environment Agency Flood Zone:** 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard: 100.00 Strategic Employment Buffer: No Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline: **Conservation Areas** Yes No Ancient Monument/Battlefield(%): 0.00 Listed Buildings: No

Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

0.00

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Surface car park between Wharf Street and railway viaduct.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Site Ref: 2007 Site Name: Wharf Street

# **Planning History**

					,
Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/417/02/FU	9 storey block with ground floor bar/restaurant and car park 1st 2nd and 3rd floor offices with 11 flats over	04/09/2002	16/12/2002	W	100.00
H20/266/84/	Conservation area application, to demolish boundary walls and existing buildings to pro posed temporary car park a	25/06/1984	10/09/1984	А	95.94
H20/265/84/	Demolition of boundary wall an d existing buildings and erect ion of new boundary walls and laying out of temporary	25/06/1984	10/09/1984	Α	97.77
H20/617/88/99	Conservation area application, to demolish offices.	19/12/1988	20/04/1989	Α	90.68
20/266/03/CA	Conservation area application to demolish warehouse and boundary walls	23/05/2003	15/10/2003	R	100.00
20/265/03/FU	6 storey block with ground floor bar/restaurant with 21 flats over	23/05/2003	14/10/2003	R	100.00
99-20/221/93/FU	Alterations to increase height of existing wall and fence and lay out planting to offices	29/11/1993	27/01/1994	Α	87.91
20/654/98/FU	7 storey block of 14 flats with ground floor parking	06/11/1998	07/01/2000	А	99.83
20/428/02/CA	Conservation area application to demolish warehouse and boundary walls	11/10/2002	16/12/2002	W	100.00
H20/613/88/99	Revised scheme for laying out of access and erection of on e, 4 storey detached office bl ock and one, 3 storey off	19/12/1988	21/08/1990	А	87.91
H20/616/88/99	Conservation area application, to demolish workshops and s tore.	19/12/1988	17/04/1989	W	11.41

Site Ref: 2007 Site Name: Wharf Street

#### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 2007 Site Name: Wharf Street

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions			
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability:	Yes	Achievability: Medium term	n (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider	comments and o	ther planning requir	ements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment			Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards	for local services, scools	and healthcare	5
Access Comments			Rank (1-5
Access from Wharf Street			5
Local network comment			Rank (1-5
Capacity available for proposed numbers			5
Mitigation measure			Total sco
Environmental / pedestrian			15
Summant2	ith	Cuitability for postiol de	
Support? Need to combin  Yes - with mitigation	e with other sites:	Suitability for partial de	evelopment:
Highways Agency			
n/a			
Network Rail :			
Biodiversity			
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Office	er: Boundar	y Amendment	
Supported			
Natural England:			
<u>Education</u>			
<u>Drainage/Water/Flooding</u> Environment Agency Comments:	Environment A	gency Constraints:	
		g,	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Wate	er Waste Water Treatment W	orks Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:			
<u>Utilities</u>			

Site Ref: 2007	Site Name: Wharf S	Street	
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)		
Site:			
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		•
<b>Conclusion of Asses</b>	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
	dential a reasonable prospect. Re	esidential uses could complim	ent the character of this
historic quarter of Leeds, and wo	uld be unlikely to conflict with the	adjacent uses which are prim	narily community facilities.
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 14 <b>Flo</b> o	orspace sq m (Non residenti	ial)·
Residential Conclusion: Green	Retail Conclusion	n: E	imployment Conclusion:
Gleen			

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433413 Area sq m: 860.92 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430441 Area Ha: 0.086092 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Retail - Shops

Existing Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Derelict

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Retail - Shops

Neighbouring Use 2: Retail - Restaurants and Cafes
Neighbouring Use 3: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Other uses: Site State:

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

☑

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 780.82 Distance to bus stop (metres) 23.34

Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 4471

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Derelict partly collapsed listed building

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	100.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?:

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/571/85/	Alterations, including new sta ircase and shopfront, andto fo rm offices with reception and toilets and 2 shop units	06/12/1985	10/04/1986	А	75.57
99-20/28/94/RE	Extension of permission for alterations extensions and erection of shops restaurant offices and amusement arcade	17/02/1994	17/03/1994	Α	100.00
H20/434/91/99	Alterations to form retail uni ts, restaurant and offic e units, part 3 and part 4 sto rey extension to form retai	27/09/1991	28/02/1992	W	100.00
H20/433/91/99	Alterations to form retail uni ts, restaurant and officeunits, part 3 and part 4 storey ext ension to form retail uni	24/09/1991	07/02/1992	Α	100.00
H20/471/90/99	Listed building and conservati on area application to demol ish shops and offices.	02/10/1990	26/02/1992	W	99.83
H20/435/91/99	Demolition of part of listed b uilding and buildings within a conservation area and list ed building applicatio	24/09/1991	28/02/1992	W	100.00
09/02349/FU	Continued use of first floor as private hire operator office	29/05/2009	14/10/2009	Α	19.86
H20/577/85/	Listed building application in volving demolition of part of existing shop and offices a nd alterations, including	09/12/1985	10/04/1986	А	75.37
H20/199/81/	Change of use, of shop, to amu sement arcade.	23/04/1981	15/06/1981	R	76.20
H20/381/80/	Change of use of shop to amuse ment arcade.	22/10/1980	26/01/1981	W	40.84
99-20/132/93/LI	Listed building application to demolish and carry out alterations and extension to shops and offices	06/07/1993	09/09/1993	Α	99.99
99-20/131/93/FU	Amendment to previous application to erect shop and offices and extension to form enlarged shop unit and canopy	15/07/1993	06/10/1993	А	99.99
H20/460/90/99	Outline application to erect o ffice, retail and resid ential development to existing offices and shops. (site area	03/10/1990	13/12/1990	Α	100.00
09/04668/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 3 of Planning Application 20/171/05/LI	29/10/2009	09/11/2009	Α	100.00
10/00181/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3 and 4 of Planning Application 20/171/05/LI	19/01/2010		PCO	100.00
H20/456/90/99	Listed building application to demolish shops and offic es.	26/09/1990	26/02/1992	W	99.83
H20/469/90/99	Outline application to erect o ffice, retail and resid ential development to existing offices and shops. (site area	03/10/1990	13/12/1990	A	100.00
20/201/99/FU	Use of land as temporary open drinking area with landscaping to proposed bar and restaurant development	26/04/1999	21/06/1999	Α	17.58

Listed building application to demolish part and carry out alterations and extension to shops and offices	13/09/1994	20/10/1994	А	99.99
Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and shops.	10/07/1991	15/08/1991	А	100.00
Listed building application to demolish rear extension to 98 Kirkgate	12/04/2005	09/12/2005	А	100.00
Refurbishment of shops and new build development including restoration of Grade II listed First White Cloth Hall	23/08/2006		PCO	100.00
Conservation area application to demolish vacant building	12/04/2005	12/12/2005	Α	57.88
Two non illuminated shopfront mounted hoardings, size of ea ch 3.05m x 1.52m, height of ea ch above ground, 0.91m (und	12/12/1979	28/01/1980	R	72.88
Extension of permission for alterations extensions and erection of shops, restaurant offices and amusement arcade	22/01/1993	18/02/1993	Α	100.00
Demolition of part of listed b uilding and buildings within a conservation area and list ed building applicatio	27/09/1991	10/06/1992	А	100.00
Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and shops.	10/07/1991	01/06/1992	W	100.00
	demolish part and carry out alterations and extension to shops and offices  Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and shops.  Listed building application to demolish rear extension to 98 Kirkgate  Refurbishment of shops and new build development including restoration of Grade II listed First White Cloth Hall  Conservation area application to demolish vacant building  Two non illuminated shopfront mounted hoardings, size of ea ch 3.05m x 1.52m, height of ea ch above ground, 0.91m (und  Extension of permission for alterations extensions and erection of shops, restaurant offices and amusement arcade  Demolition of part of listed b uilding and buildings within a conservation area and list ed building applicatio  Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and	demolish part and carry out alterations and extension to shops and offices  Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and shops.  Listed building application to demolish rear extension to 98 Kirkgate  Refurbishment of shops and new build development including restoration of Grade II listed First White Cloth Hall  Conservation area application to demolish vacant building  Two non illuminated shopfront mounted hoardings, size of ea ch 3.05m x 1.52m, height of ea ch above ground, 0.91m (und  Extension of permission for alterations extensions and erection of shops, restaurant offices and amusement arcade  Demolition of part of listed b uilding and buildings within a conservation area and list ed building applicatio  Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and	demolish part and carry out alterations and extension to shops and offices  Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and shops.  Listed building application to demolish rear extension to 98 Kirkgate  Refurbishment of shops and new build development including restoration of Grade II listed First White Cloth Hall  Conservation area application to demolish vacant building  Two non illuminated shopfront mounted hoardings, size of ea ch 3.05m x 1.52m, height of ea ch above ground, 0.91m (und)  Extension of permission for alterations extensions and erection of shops, restaurant offices and amusement arcade  Demolition of part of listed b uilding and buildings within a conservation area and list ed building applicatio  Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and	demolish part and carry out alterations and extension to shops and offices  Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and shops.  Listed building application to demolish rear extension to 98 Kirkgate  Refurbishment of shops and new build development including restoration of Grade II listed First White Cloth Hall  Conservation area application to demolish vacant building  Two non illuminated shopfront mounted hoardings, size of ea ch 3.05m x 1.52m, height of ea ch above ground, 0.91m (und)  Extension of permission for alterations extensions and erection of shops, restaurant offices and amusement arcade  Demolition of part of listed b uilding and buildings within a conservation area and list ed building applicatio  Renewal of outline permission to erect office, retail and r esidential development, to exi sting offices and

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions		
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability: Yes	Achievability: Long to	erm (11+ years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider cor	nments and other planning re	quirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro		
Accessibility comment		Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for lo	cal services, schools and healthcare	5
Access Comments		Rank (1-5
Access possible from Crown Street		5
Local network comment		Rank (1-5
Capacity ok for 5 dwellings		
a suppose y and a substitute of the substitute o		5
Mitigation measure		Total sco
Pedestrian linkages and local environmental improveme	ents required.	15
Support? Need to combine wit	h other sites: Suitability for part	tial development:
yes		
Highways Agency		
n/a		
<b>Network Rail :</b> Need to check relationship with viaduct and very long ter	m proposal to widen to 4 tracks	
<u>Biodiversity</u>		
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	<b>Boundary Amendment</b>	
Supported		
Natural England:		
<u>Education</u>		
Drainage/Water/Flooding		
Environment Agency Comments:	<b>Environment Agency Constraints:</b>	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatme	ent Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:		
<u>Utilities</u>		

Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy Traveller Site	Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)		
site?			
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)	110	OTIKITOWIT
Conclusion of Asses	ement		
Outclusion of Asses	SITICIT		
Conclusion summary:			
	able for 5 dwellings that could deli ely offer 860.92 sqm of retail spac		s most suitable for a retail led
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 5 <b>Floo</b>	rspace sq m (Non reside	ential):
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Conclusion	ո։	Employment Conclusion:
Green			Green

Site Name: White Cloth Hall

### Site Ref: 2012 Site Name: Apex Business Park / Meadow Lane Frontage

### **Site Details**

Northing: 432262 Area sq m: 34113.30 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430163 Area Ha: 3.41133 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Existing Use 2: Industry and business - Storage

Existing Use 3: Other

Neighbouring Use 1 Industry and business - Wholesale distribution

Neighbouring Use 2: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Neighbouring Use 3: Office

Other uses: Car Showroom
Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Partially well-defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1041.34Distance to bus stop (metres)89.93Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID11274

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:YesStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:YesConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Around Apex Way, this site comprises a range of uses including car showrooms, offices and business premises comprising of largely single storey units with car parking

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: Yes

# **Planning History**

	-				
Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/453/97/SI	4 illuminated logo signs 4 illuminated fasica signs 3 freestanding illuminated signs	28/07/1997	02/09/1997	Α	19.92
H20/322/75/	Alterations and extension, to form first aid room, compr ising examination room, lobby and office, to factory pr	27/08/1975	22/09/1975	Α	20.66
20/271/98/FU	External air conditioning units to car showrooms	06/05/1998	30/06/1998	Α	12.18
12/01930/FU	Alterations involving erection of detached vehicle wash facility to vehicle workshop	30/04/2012	22/06/2012	Α	15.12
11/05415/LA	Variation of condition 2 (approved plans) of approval 10/05712/LA for MINOR MATERIAL AMENDMENT relating to generator, fuel tank and fencing to data centre	23/12/2011	10/02/2012	А	12.81
H20/320/75/	New toilet block, to factory p remises.	27/08/1975	22/09/1975	Α	11.87
20/248/96/FU	Two car showrooms workshop offices vehicle preparation building covered car sales area and car parking	20/05/1996	16/08/1996	Α	25.09
11/02075/ADV	6 illuminated and 3 non illuminated signs	20/05/2011	15/06/2011	А	36.99
H20/470/89/99	Laying out of access and erect ion of 2, two storey detac hed office units, 1, part two storey and part three stor	01/09/1989	14/01/1992	А	33.31
H20/448/87/	Laying out of access road and erection of six business units each with 2 storey offices, w ith 40 car parking spaces and	14/09/1987	19/11/1987	Α	13.04
H20/357/81/	Alterations to existing factor y, and offices and layin g out of accesses and erection of 8 detached factory an	29/09/1981	21/06/1982	Α	69.81
10/05712/LA	Generator, fuel tank and fencing to data centre	21/12/2010	18/02/2011	Α	12.99
0-20/40/97/MOD	Alterations to fit out car showroom	28/08/1997	27/07/1998	M01	25.09
0-20/63/96/MOD	Two car showrooms workshop offices vehicle preparation building covered car parking	19/11/1996	31/12/1996	M01	25.09
H20/546/85/	Outline application to erect o ffices and use of vacant site, as parking area. (site area 0 .02ha)	14/11/1985	19/11/1986	W	13.70

Site Ref: 2012 Site Name: Apex Business Park / Meadow Lane Frontage

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area:

NB. Site assessments will be added to over time and some sections may be incomplete at present. Where we have not yet received comments from infrastructure providers and on other planning requirements, these will be included and taken into account prior to making decisions on which sites to allocate.

0.00

0.00

Aire Valley:

West Leeds Gateway:

Inner South Leeds:

Leeds Bradford Corridor:

0.00

0.00

Site Ref: 2012 Site Name: Apex Business Park / Meadow Lane Frontage

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

<b>HLAA Conclusion</b>	S				
Availability: Long term (11+	year Suitability: Yes	Physical	Achievability:	Long term (11-	+ years)
Summary of Infrastr	ucture provider co	mments and o	other plann	ing require	ments
Leeds City Council High	nways inc Metro				
Accessibility comment					Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport acce	ssibility and standards for lo	ocal services, scools	and healthcare		5
Access Comments				I	Rank (1-5
Access using existing Apex	Way				5
Local network comment				l	Rank (1-5)
Local network and Meadow	Road gyratory has capacity	/ issues.			2
					3
Mitigation measure					Total scor
Substantial improvements w	rill be required to address ca	apacity issues			13
Support?	Need to combine wi	ith other sites:	Suitabilit	∣ y for partial dev	elopment:
Yes - with mitigation	Combining sites 2012 would provide a much comprehensive access	n better			
Highways Agency					
Potential for cumulative impa / Aire valley cluster.	ct in combination with other	r sites. If site still inc	cluded at next si	ft assess as part	of city centre
Network Rail :					
<u>Biodiversity</u>					
West Yorkshire Ecology an	d LCC Ecology Officer:	Bounda	ry Amendment		
Supported					
Natural England:					
<u>Education</u>					
Drainage/Water/Floodin	α				
Environment Agency Comr	<del></del>	Environment A	Agency Constra	aints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments	<u>\$:</u>	Yorkshire Wat	er Waste Wate	r Treatment Wo	rks Comme
_CC Flood Risk Manageme	ent:				

Gas:				
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment			
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe	
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe	
travellers live on the	(Text)	·		
site?				
D 1 1/4 1 1	\ <u></u>			
Proximity to housed gypsies and travellers	Yes (Text)	No		
gypsies and travellers	(12)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown	
encampments	(Text)	·	·	
Conclusion of Asses	omont			
Conclusion of Asses	Sillent			
Conclusion summary:				
Site is still in active use, with two 1996). This site is, therefore, a k dwellings and makes a 50% reduoffice development and could be account is taken of cumulative im	onger term opportunity. T action for other uses. The a landmark building on a	the SHLAA concludes that re remainder of the site wor gateway route into Leeds	this site could accommodate a uld be suitable for a large footp . No Highways objection provi	298 plate
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	298	Floorspace sq m (No	on residential):	17000
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Co	enclusion:	Employment Cond	lusion:
Amber			Green	

Site Name: Apex Business Park / Meadow Lane Frontage

Site Ref: 2013 Site Name: Pottery Fields, Kidacre Street

### **Site Details**

432202 13954.74 Northing: Area sq m: City and Hunslet Ward 430338 Easting: Area Ha: 1.395474 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 2: Utilities & Infrastructure - Energy production and distribution

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Utilities & Infrastructure - Energy production and distribution

Neighbouring Use 2: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Partially well-defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage Distance to Rail Station (metres): 1181.73 Distance to bus stop (metres) 167.30 Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 11274

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

**Environment Agency Flood Zone:** 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

100.00 Health and Safety Executive Hazard: Strategic Employment Buffer: Yes Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline: **Conservation Areas** Nο Yes 0.00 Ancient Monument/Battlefield(%): Listed Buildings: No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Depot and business units with surface car parking bisected by Cross Myrtle Street

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Plaving Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?:	Yes	Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?:	Yes
Site		Site Type	
Cross Myrtle Street (ROTA) Holbeck		Vehicle Dismantling and Scrap Metal	

Site Ref: 2013 Site Name: Pottery Fields, Kidacre Street

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H21/38/74/	Outline application to erect a single storey building compr ising 3 units to be used for p urposes of engineerin	24/04/1974	30/09/1974	Α	14.62
H20/280/82/	Outline application to erect 2 light industrial units to va cant site. (site area 0.15ha) (this item is also notice und	04/08/1982	04/10/1982	Α	10.79
09/05571/FU	Use of vacant land as coach parking with detached prefabricated drivers' office	23/12/2009	11/03/2010	Α	11.15
H20/322/82/	Change of use of warehouse pre mises to car dismantlers yard. (this item is also notice und er regulation 5(2) of the tow	09/09/1982	25/10/1982	Α	13.88
H20/622/89/99	Laying out of access and erect ion of two detached lightindus trial units each with toilets and office, with 10 car parkin	01/12/1989	25/06/1991	W	11.07
H20/74/83/	Alterations and 2.8m high boun dary wall to form car disma ntlers works to existing wareh ouse.	11/03/1983	25/04/1983	Α	14.03
10/04177/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 9, 10 and 12 of Planning Application 09/05571/FU	08/09/2010	17/02/2011	R	11.15
20/624/96/FU	Two 2 storey and five single storey prefabricated units with car parking and 3m high boundary fence	25/11/1996	31/12/1996	Α	58.71
99-20/134/93/FU	2 detached wholesale warehouse units	12/07/1993	06/09/1993	Α	10.54

Site Ref: 2013 Site Name: Pottery Fields, Kidacre Street

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 2013 Site Name: Pottery Fields, Kidacre Street

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare  Access Comments  Access possible off Kidacre Street with widening  Local network comment  Local network and Meadow Road gyratory has capacity issues. Kidacre St/Leathley Rd/Jack Lane route problematic  Mittigation measure  Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Yes - with mitigation  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  Lighways Agency  Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city centre Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  Nest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Natural England:	HLAA Conclusion	S				
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro  Accessibility comment  Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare  5  Access Comments  Access Comments  Access possible off Kidacre Street with widening  4  Local network comment  Local network and Meadow Road gyratory has capacity issues. Kidacre StrLeathley Rd/Jack Lane route problematic  3  Mitigation measure  Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development:  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide access solution  Lighways Agency  Oriential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central reversible for cumulative impact in combination in th	Availability: Long term (11+	year Suitability: Yes F	Physical	Achievability:	Long term (11	+ years)
Access Public Transport accessibility and standards for local services, scools and healthcare  Access Comments  Access possible off Kidacre Street with widening  Access possible of Kidacre Street with widening  Access possible off Kidacre Street with widening  Access possible of Kidacre Street with widening  Access possible off Kidacre Street with widening  Access possible off Kidacre Street with widening  Access possible of Kidacre Street with Widening  Access possible of Kidacre Street with Widening  Access possible Ridder Street Widenies  Access possible Ridder Street Widenies  Access p	Summary of Infrastru	ucture provider cor	mments and o	other plann	ing require	ments
Access Comments  Access Comments  Access possible off Kidacre Street with widening  Local network comment  Local network and Meadow Road gyratory has capacity issues. Kidacre St/Leathley Rd/Jack Lane route problematic  Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Suitability for partial development:  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  Highways Agency  Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  Nest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  Yorkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	Leeds City Council High	ways inc Metro				
Access Comments Access possible off Kidacre Street with widening  Local network comment Local network and Meadow Road gyratory has capacity issues. Kidacre St/Leathley Rd/Jack Lane route problematic  Mitigation measure Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support? Need to combine with other sites: Suitability for partial development:  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  Lighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Boundary Amendment  Supported  Parainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  Forkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	Accessibility comment					Rank (1-5)
Access possible off Kidacre Street with widening  Local network comment Local network and Meadow Road gyratory has capacity issues. Kidacre St/Leathley Rd/Jack Lane route problematic  Mitigation measure  Total sco Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central view and the site of the still included at next sift assess as part of city central view and the site of the still included at next sift assess as part of city central view and the site of the still included at next sift assess as part of city central view and the site of the still included at next sift assess as part of city central view and the site of the	Meets Public Transport acces	ssibility and standards for lo	ocal services, scools	and healthcare	•	5
Local network comment Local network and Meadow Road gyratory has capacity issues. Kidacre St/Leathley Rd/Jack Lane route problematic  Mitigation measure Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support? Need to combine with other sites: Suitability for partial development: Yes - with mitigation Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution	Access Comments				Į.	Rank (1-5)
Local network and Meadow Road gyratory has capacity issues. Kidacre St/Leathley Rd/Jack Lane route problematic  Mitigation measure  Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  Lighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city centre Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  Nest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Patingale/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  First extill included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre and the still included at next sift assess as part of city cen	Access possible off Kidacre	Street with widening				4
Mitigation measure  Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support?  Need to combine with other sites: Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  dighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city centre Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  Yorkshire Water Comments Works Comme	Local network comment				ļ	Rank (1-5)
Mitigation measure  Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  iighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city centralize valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Siodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Patural England:  Education  Environment Agency Constraints:  Yorkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme		Road gyratory has capacity	issues. Kidacre St/l	Leathley Rd/Jac	k Lane	2
Substantial improvements will be required to provide access and address capacity issues  12  Support?  Need to combine with other sites:  Combining sites 2012;2013;2014;1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  Solution  Flighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city centre Arier valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Sidotiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	route problematic					3
Support?  Need to combine with other sites: Yes - with mitigation  Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution  dighways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city central Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity Nest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Supported  Natural England: Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	Mitigation measure					Total score
Combining sites 2012,2013,2014,1267 would provide a much better comprehensive access solution	Substantial improvements w	ill be required to provide ac	cess and address c	apacity issues		12
would provide a much better comprehensive access solution    iighways Agency	Support?	Need to combine wit	th other sites:	Suitabilit	│ y for partial de\	velopment:
Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other sites. If site still included at next sift assess as part of city centre. Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Supported  Natural England: Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Finite of the still included at next sift assess as part of city centre. In constant assess as part of city ce	Yes - with mitigation	would provide a much	better			
Aire valley cluster.  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Supported  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Forkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	Highways Agency	_				
Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Supported Natural England: Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Forkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	Potential for cumulative impar / Aire valley cluster.	ct in combination with other	sites. If site still ind	cluded at next si	ft assess as par	t of city centre
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Boundary Amendment  Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Forkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	Network Rail :					
Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  Yorkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	<u>Biodiversity</u>					
Natural England:  Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  Yorkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	West Yorkshire Ecology and	d LCC Ecology Officer:	Bounda	ry Amendment		
Education  Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  Yorkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	Supported					
Drainage/Water/Flooding Environment Agency Comments:  Environment Agency Constraints:  Yorkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	Natural England:					
Environment Agency Comments:  Forkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	<u>Education</u>					
Environment Agency Comments:  Forkshire Water Comments:  Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment Works Comme	Drainage/Water/Flooding					
		<del>_</del>	Environment A	Agency Constra	aints:	
	Wantankina Wat		Vada II. III.			ala Oa
CC Flood Pick Managements	TORKSHIRE Water Comments	:	Yorkshire Wat	er waste Wate	r reatment Wo	rks Comme
LI NIGOG MIGK MANAGAMANN	LOO Flood Birth					

Gas:				
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessme	nt		
Could site be effectively	Yes		No	Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes		No	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)		140	Maybe
site?				
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes		No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)			
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:				
Because of fringe location, SHLA				
HS2 influence. Large area of the information on HS2 is made available.		erable for housing	g, but this could be further e	nlarged when detailed
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 98	Floors	pace sq m (Non residentia	ıD:
Residential Conclusion:				
Amber	Ket	tail Conclusion:	Er	nployment Conclusion:
VIIIDGI				

Site Name: Pottery Fields, Kidacre Street

### **Site Details**

Northing: 432365 Area sq m: 7686.14 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430254 Area Ha: 0.768614 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 2: Other

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Retail - Shops

Neighbouring Use 2: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale
Neighbouring Use 3: Utilities & Infrastructure - Energy distribution

Other uses: Motorcycle Training Centre

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Partially well-defined

Natural Landscape:Limited Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):999.22Distance to bus stop (metres)207.57Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID11274

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:YesStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:YesConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Motorcycle testing area now subsumed within former gas works site (ref 1267).

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: Yes

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/24/84/	Use of part of highway mainten ance chipping store as a motor cycling testing and training s ite with detached prefabrica	16/01/1984	21/02/1984	Α	18.72

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

HLAA Conclusion	าร			
Availability: Medium term (	6-10 y Suitability: Yes F	Physical	Achievability: Medium	term (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastr	ructure provider cor	nments and ot	her planning req	uirements
Leeds City Council Hig	hways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment	and the second and a second and a second	1	and broaddhaana	Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport acce	essibility and standards for lo	cal services, scools a	ind healthcare	5
Access Comments				Rank (1-5
Access possible off Kidacre	Street with widening			4
Local network comment				Rank (1-5
Local network and Meadow route problematic	Road gyratory has capacity	issues. Kidacre St/Le	athley Rd/Jack Lane	3
Mitigation measure				Total scor
Substantial improvements v	will be required to provide acc	cess and address cap	pacity issues	12
				12
Support?	Need to combine wit	h other sites:	Suitability for parti	al development:
Yes - with mitigation	Combining sites 2012, would provide a much comprehensive access	better		
Potential for cumulative imp / Aire valley cluster. <b>Network Rail :</b> <b>Biodiversity</b>	act in combination with other	sites. If site still inclu	ided at next sift assess a	as part of city centr
West Yorkshire Ecology an Supported	nd LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary	Amendment	
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Floodin		Emidue		
Environment Agency Com	ments:	Environment Ag	gency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comment	is:	Yorkshire Water	r Waste Water Treatme	nt Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Managem	ent:			

<u>Utilities</u>

Site Ref:	2014	Site Name:	Motorcycle Tr	raining Area, I	Kidacre Street
Gas:					
Electric:					
Telecom:					
Fire and Re	escue Services:				
Built Herit	age				
Leeds City	Council:				
West Yorks	shire Archaeology	Service:			
English He	ritage:				
Gypsy <sub>-</sub> T	raveller Site	e Assessmen	t		
	be effectively	Yes	No		Maybe
managed		(Text)			
Would gyp	sies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers l		(Text)			
site?					
Proximity 1	to housed	Yes		No	
	nd travellers	(Text)		INO	
					T
encampme	e of previous	Yes (Text)	No		Unknown
encampine	J1113	( · o/u)			
Conclusion	on of Asses	sment			
Conclusion s	ummary:				
			nich is likely to be wide ed into SHLAA site 12		The remaining developable
		•			
Site Capacity	(dwellings units)	: 0	Floorspace	sq m (Non residenti	<b>(al):</b> 3500
Residential C	onclusion:	Retai	il Conclusion:	E	imployment Conclusion:
Red				Д	mber

### **Site Details**

Northing: 432634 Area sq m: 6427.06 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429346 Area Ha: 0.642706 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Vacant and derelict - Vacant land
Neighbouring Use 2: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Neighbouring Use 3: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Partially well-defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):655.69Distance to bus stop (metres)216.39Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID3394

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:YesConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):2.05Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Vacant land with storage building to the west of Bath Road.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/75/77/1	Renewal of outline application to erect 132/33 kv prima ry sub station, to cleared sit e. (outline applicatio	07/07/1980	06/10/1980	А	82.49
20/165/02/FU	Detached primary sub-station with 2.4m high wall and fence	24/04/2002	16/01/2003	Α	28.55
H20/141/74/	Temporary parking of office ca ravans and vehicles for gas c onversion unit area	11/07/1974	09/09/1974	А	87.09
H20/75/77/	Renewal of outline application to erect 132/33 kv prima ry sub station, to cleared sit e. (outline applicatio	21/02/1977	04/04/1977	А	79.15

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley:

NB. Site assessments will be added to over time and some sections may be incomplete at present. Where we have not yet received comments from infrastructure providers and on other planning requirements, these will be included and taken into account prior to making decisions on which sites to allocate.

West Leeds Gateway:

0.00

0.00

Leeds Bradford Corridor:

East Leeds

Gas:

SHLAA Conclusions		
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability: LD	F to determine Achievability: Long to	erm (11+ years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider c	omments and other planning re	quirements
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	•
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro		Dank (4 E)
Accessibility comment  Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards bu	it lacking in local carvices	Rank (1-5)
inicets i ubile transport accessibility and standards bu	tracking in local services	4
Access Comments		Rank (1-5)
Access from Bath Road		5
Local network comment		Rank (1-5)
cumulaive impact concern		4
Mitigation measure	delication that from the Control	Total score
Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village' including v	widening the frontage of Bath Road.	13
Support? Need to combine v	with other sites: Suitability for par	tial development:
Yes with mitigation		
Highways Agency		
Network Rail :		
<u>Biodiversity</u>		
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary Amendment	
Natural England:		
Education		
During and OM of and Files all		
<u>Drainage/Water/Flooding</u> Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Agency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatm	ent Works Comme
LCC Flood Pick Management		
LCC Flood Risk Management:		
<u>Utilities</u>		

Electric:					
Telecom:					
Fire and Rescue Services:					
Built Heritage Leeds City Council: West Yorkshire Archaeology English Heritage:	Service:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessi	ment			
Could site be effectively managed	Yes (Text)		No		Maybe
Would gypsies and travellers live on the site?	Yes (Text)		No		Maybe
Proximity to housed	Yes			No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		1		
Experience of previous	Yes		No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)				
Conclusion of Asses	sment				
Conclusion summary:					
Mixed use development with resi assumes a net developable area offices.					
Site Capacity (dwellings units)		120 <b>Flo</b>	orspace s	q m (Non residentia	······································
Residential Conclusion:		Retail Conclusion	n:	Er	nployment Conclusion:
Green				Gr	reen

Site Name: Bath Road West

### **Site Details**

Northing: 432637 Area sq m: 5519.70 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429411 Area Ha: 0.55197 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):620.96Distance to bus stop (metres)211.91Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID12632

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:YesConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Vacant land to the east of Bath Road used for miscellaneous storage.

### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/75/77/1	Renewal of outline application to erect 132/33 kv prima ry sub station, to cleared sit e. (outline applicatio	07/07/1980	06/10/1980	А	94.27
99-20/104/94/OT	Outline application to erect general industrial development	09/06/1994	21/07/1994	Α	98.22
99-20/105/94/OT	Outline aopplication to erect warehouse development	09/06/1994	21/07/1994	Α	98.22
20/60/98/LI	Listed building application to carry out alterations and part steel cladding and first floor extension	20/01/1998	28/04/1998	R	12.82
H20/75/77/	Renewal of outline application to erect 132/33 kv prima ry sub station, to cleared sit e. (outline applicatio	21/02/1977	04/04/1977	А	89.28
99-20/106/94/OT	Outline application to erect office development	09/06/1994	19/07/1995	W	98.22
20/638/97/FU	Alterations and part new cladding and first floor office extension to factory	13/11/1997	24/04/1998	R	12.96

### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions				
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y	Suitability: LDF	to determine	Achievability: Long term (	11+ years)
Summary of Infrastructur	e provider co	mments and otl	her planning requir	ements
Leeds City Council Highways	inc Metro			
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-
Meets Public Transport accessibility	and standards but I	acking in local services	S	4
Access Comments			'	Rank (1-5
Access from Bath Road				5
Local network comment				Rank (1-5
cumulaive impact concern				4
Mitigation measure				Total sco
Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban	Village' including wid	dening the frontage of	Bath Road.	13
0		dh adh an alf	Out to billion of the state of the	
	leed to combine wi	th other sites:	Suitability for partial d	evelopment:
Yes with mitigation				
Libeburg A				
Highways Agency				
Network Rail :				
Biodiversity				
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC	Ecology Officer:	Boundary	Amendment	
Natural England:				
naturai Eligialiu.				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Flooding				
Environment Agency Comments:		Environment Ag	ency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:		Yorkshire Water	Waste Water Treatment W	orks Comme
LCC Flood Dialy Management				
LCC Flood Risk Management:				
<u>Utilities</u>				

Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)		,,
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
	would be developed for h	nousing. This could leave	6 dwellings achievable from 2020/21. space for 2,500sqm or offices. 1/3 of sidential.
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 96	Floorspace sq m (No	n residential):
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Co	nclusion:	<b>Employment Conclusion:</b>
Green			Green

Site Name: Bath Road East

Site Ref: 2018 Site Name: Silver Street/ Midland Mills North

### **Site Details**

Northing: 432843 Area sq m: 2722.46 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429345 Area Ha: 0.272246 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Neighbouring Use 2: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Partially well-defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):491.49Distance to bus stop (metres)320.33Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID4261

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):1.01Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Repair garage building with car parking to the south side of Water Lane

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/700/98/FU	Detached block of 3 industrial units	03/12/1998	09/11/2000	R	18.25
12/01750/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9 of Planning Application 11/04259/FU	17/04/2012	22/08/2012	А	18.22
20/458/01/FU	Erection of 9 industrial units (class b1, b2 and b8) with ancillary car parking and landscaping	29/08/2001	12/02/2004	Α	18.22
H20/343/75/	Outline application to erect extension, to form officeand p orch, to light engineering wor kshops.	12/09/1975	03/11/1975	Α	51.18
H20/19/83/	Change of use of industrial bu ilding to car repair and s ales garage.	27/01/1983	28/03/1983	Α	68.88
20/9/96/OT	Outline application to erect b1, b2, b8 units	04/01/1996	11/03/1996	А	18.19
20/359/97/FU	Change of use of part of motor repair and car sales to car and van hire	17/06/1997	18/06/1998	А	81.72
PREAPP/08/00302		16/07/2008	01/01/2010	PRECAG	18.22
11/04259/FU	Use of site as car park (200 spaces)	10/10/2011	19/03/2012	Α	18.22
H20/29/82/	Laying out of access, alterati ons, including new wall with door and roller shutter door a nd 1.8m high chain link secur	27/01/1982	23/03/1982	Α	69.86

Site Ref: 2018 Site Name: Silver Street/ Midland Mills North

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 2018 Site Name: Silver Street/ Midland Mills North

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Co	onclusions						
Availability: N	Medium term (6-10 y	Suitability:	LDF to determine	e Ac	hievability:	Long term (1	1+ years)
Summary	of Infrastructur	e provide	er comments	and other	er plann	ing require	ements
	Council Highways	inc Metro					
Accessibility						1	Rank (1-5)
Meets Public	Transport accessibility	and standard	ds but lacking in loo	cal services			4
Access Com	ments						Rank (1-5)
Access from S	Silver Street poor-idea	ally a shared a	access with 2019				3
Local networ	rk comment						Rank (1-5)
cumulaive imp	pact concern						4
Mitigation me	easure						Total scor
Improvements	s into 'Holbeck Urban	Village'.					11
Support?	N	leed to comb	ine with other sit	es:	Suitability	y for partial de	velopment:
yes but ideally with site 2019						· · · ·	
Highways A	gency						
Network Rai	il :						
<u>Biodiversity</u>	<u>'</u>						
West Yorkshi	re Ecology and LCC	Ecology Offi	icer:	Boundary Aı	nendment		
Natural Engla	ınd:						
<u>Education</u>							
Drainage/Wa	ater/Flooding						
	Agency Comments:		Enviro	nment Agen	cy Constra	aints:	
Yorkshire Wa	ter Comments:		Yorksh	nire Water W	aste Water	Treatment We	orks Comme
LCC Flood Ri	sk Management:						
<u>Utilities</u>							

Site Ref: 2018	Site Name:	Silver Street/	<b>Midland Mills</b>	North
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Δesassman	+		
		<u> </u>		
Could site be effectively	Yes (Text)	No		Maybe
managed	(TOXI)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	No		Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)			
Site :				
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	No		Unknown
encampments	(Text)	1		
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:	des Calla se a casalla		a de da a 00 de all'a ca	dell'escable france 0000 hert
Mixed use development with resi subject to flood risk sequential te	dential a reasonable st, as most of the site	prospect. SHLAA cor is in flood zone 3aii	ncludes 86 dwellings	deliverable from 2020, but
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 86	Floorspace	sq m (Non residenti	al):
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	l Conclusion:	E	imployment Conclusion:
Green				

### **Site Details**

Northing: 432733 Area sq m: 5677.98 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429314 Area Ha: 0.567798 HMCA: City Centre

### Site Characteristics

### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Neighbouring Use 2: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Partially well-defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

☑
Distance to Rail Station (metres): 594.36 Distance to bus stop (metres) 313.29

Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 3394

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.19Listed Buildings:Yes

Public Rights of Way: Yes

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Surface car parking to the south of Midland Mills situated between railway viaducts. A number of storage buildings to the southern tip of the site.

### **UDP** Designation

O 1 1/2 NOO (O()	0.00	Heban Crass Camidan NO (0/)	0.00
Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Plaving Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/392/96/FU	3 detached prefabricated office units detached vehicle maintenance building and open equipment storage and parking	05/08/1996	10/10/1996	R	65.00
H20/96/90/99	Alterations ans extension to f orm reception with offic e over to existing offices.	26/02/1990	19/04/1990	Α	30.86
20/700/98/FU	Detached block of 3 industrial units	03/12/1998	09/11/2000	R	17.88
12/01750/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9 of Planning Application 11/04259/FU	17/04/2012	22/08/2012	А	62.98
H20/529/88/99	Alterations and 2 storey exten sion, to form offices to build ers yard.	31/10/1988	01/12/1988	Α	19.15
20/458/01/FU	Erection of 9 industrial units (class b1, b2 and b8) with ancillary car parking and landscaping	29/08/2001	12/02/2004	Α	16.27
20/9/96/OT	Outline application to erect b1, b2, b8 units	04/01/1996	11/03/1996	Α	65.88
PREAPP/08/00302		16/07/2008	01/01/2010	PRECAG	61.18
H20/332/86/	Outline application to erect f irst floor extension, to form offices, to existing building contractors offices.	31/07/1986	20/10/1986	Α	13.71
11/04259/FU	Use of site as car park (200 spaces)	10/10/2011	19/03/2012	Α	62.98

Site Ref: 2019 Site Name: Silver Street/ Midland Mills South

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 2019 Site Name: Silver Street/ Midland Mills South

East Leeds

Gas:

Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro Accessibility comment Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards but lacking Access Comments Access achievable from Water Lane  Local network comment  cumulaive impact concern  Mitigation measure  Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village'  Yes  Need to combine with other  Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Natural England:  Education	n local services	5	ng requir	Rank (1-5 4 Rank (1-5 5 Rank (1-5 4 Total scot
Accessibility comment Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards but lacking  Access Comments Access achievable from Water Lane  Local network comment cumulaive impact concern  Mitigation measure Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village'  Support?  Need to combine with other  Yes  Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Natural England:			for partial de	4  Rank (1-5  5  Rank (1-5  4  Total score
Access Comments Access achievable from Water Lane  Local network comment cumulaive impact concern  Mitigation measure Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village'  Support? Yes  Need to combine with other  Yes  Righways Agency  Retwork Rail:  Riodiversity Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:			for partial de	4  Rank (1-5  5  Rank (1-5  4  Total sco  13
Access Comments  Access achievable from Water Lane  Local network comment  cumulaive impact concern  Mitigation measure  Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village'  Support?  Need to combine with other  Yes  Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Natural England:			for partial de	Rank (1-5  Rank (1-5  4  Total score
Access achievable from Water Lane  Local network comment cumulaive impact concern  Mitigation measure Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village'  Support?  Need to combine with other  Yes  Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Natural England:	r sites:	Suitability	for partial de	5 Rank (1-5 4 Total scot
Local network comment cumulaive impact concern  Mitigation measure Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village'  Support? Yes  Highways Agency Network Rail: Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Natural England:	r sites:	Suitability	for partial de	Rank (1-5 4 Total sco
Mitigation measure Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village'  Support?  Need to combine with other  Yes  Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	r sites:	Suitability	for partial de	Total sco
Mitigation measure Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village'  Support?  Need to combine with other  Yes  Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	r sites:	Suitability	for partial de	Total sco
Support?  Need to combine with other Yes  Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Natural England:	r sites:	Suitability	for partial de	13
Support?  Yes  Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	r sites:	Suitability	for partial de	
Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Natural England:	r sites:	Suitability	for partial d	evelopment:
Highways Agency  Network Rail:  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Natural England:				
Network Rail :  Biodiversity  Vest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Ilatural England:				
Network Rail :  Biodiversity  West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Natural England:				
Biodiversity West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer: Natural England:				
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:  Natural England:				
Natural England:				
	Boundary .	Amendment		
<u>Education</u>				
<u>Drainage/Water/Flooding</u>		•		
Environment Agency Comments: E	vironment Age	ency Constra	ints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:			Treatment W	
.CC Flood Risk Management:	rkshire Water	Waste Water	Troutinont V	orks Comme

Electric:					
Telecom:					
Fire and Rescue Services:					
Puilt Horitage					
Built Heritage  Leeds City Council:					
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:				
English Heritage:					
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessment -				
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe		
managed	(Text)				
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe		
travellers live on the	(Text)				
site?					
Proximity to housed	Yes	No			
gypsies and travellers	(Text)				
F	V	TNI-	I I I a I a a a a a a a		
Experience of previous encampments	Yes (Text)	No	Unknown		
Conclusion of Asses	sment				
Conclusion summary:					
	dential a reasonable prospect. SH		s achievable in 2020/21		
onwards, subject to a flood risk s	equential test because the site is i	in flood zone 3al.			
Site Capacity (dwellings units): 179 Floorspace sq m (Non residential):					
Residential Conclusion:	Retail Conclusion	n: E	Employment Conclusion:		
Green					

Site Name: Silver Street/ Midland Mills South

Site Ref: 2021 Site Name: Water Lane Car Park

## **Site Details**

Northing: 432946 Area sq m: 1774.95 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429565 Area Ha: 0.177495 HMCA: City Centre

## Site Characteristics

## Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Transport - Car Parks

Neighbouring Use 2: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Neighbouring Use 3: Residential - Dwellings

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):276.14Distance to bus stop (metres)353.41Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID6735

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Triangular shaped surface car park at the apex of Water Lane and Globe Road

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
11/05216/FU	Use of cleared site for long stay car park (83 spaces)	12/12/2011	19/03/2012	А	97.85
20/698/99/FU	Laying out of long stay car park	15/12/1999	26/06/2000	W	99.99
20/140/02/RE	Extension of temporary permission for use of site as car park	15/04/2002	06/06/2002	Α	99.99
H20/434/81/	Use of premises as a motor veh icle repair garage.	02/12/1981	04/01/1982	Α	41.28
20/699/99/FU	Laying out of short stay car park	15/12/1999	11/04/2000	Α	99.99
08/03808/FU	Part 5 part 6 storey development, comprising 3 ground floor units (for flexible A2, A3, A4, B1, D1 and D2 uses) with offices over and basement parking	24/06/2008	29/11/2010	A	24.05
12/02634/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 5, 6, 7 and 8 of Planning Application 11/05216/FU	11/06/2012	10/08/2012	Α	97.85
08/05440/FU	5 storey 78 bedroom hotel	17/09/2008	29/11/2010	Α	77.64
20/455/05/FU	Removal of condition 4 of permission 20/374/04/re (operating hours)	20/09/2005	27/09/2007	FDO	99.99
20/207/04/RE	Extension of temporary permission for use of site as car park	30/04/2004	10/06/2004	R	99.99
09/05209/EXT	Extension of time for outline application to erect mixed use development with hotel residential A2/A3/A4/A5/B1/D1 uses and car parking	30/11/2009	29/11/2010	A	25.04
12/02216/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 3 of Planning Application 11/05216/FU	18/05/2012	10/08/2012	А	97.85
20/245/05/OT	Outline application to erect mixed use development with hotel residential A2/A3/A4/A5/B1/D1 uses and car parking	24/05/2005	28/12/2006	А	24.88
20/255/99/FU	Laying out of car park	18/05/1999	02/07/1999	R	99.93
20/374/04/RE	Extension of temporary permission for use of site as short-stay car park	23/08/2004	04/10/2004	А	99.99
20/35/98/FU	Change of use and extension to form hot food takeaway shop unit workshop and offices over	14/01/1998	11/05/1998	А	15.78
20/127/97/FU	Alterations and change of use of dwelling to hot food take away shop	10/03/1997	12/06/1997	Α	40.79

Site Ref: 2021 Site Name: Water Lane Car Park

## Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 2021 Site Name: Water Lane Car Park

East Leeds

Gas:

HLAA Conclusions			
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability:	Yes	Achievability: Long ter	rm (11+ years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider	comments and o	ther planning req	uirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment			Rank (1-5
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards	for local services, scools	and healthcare	5
Access Comments			Rank (1-5
Access achievable from Water Lane			5
Local network comment			
cumulaive impact concern			4
Mitigation measure Improvements into 'Holbeck Urban Village'			Total sco
improvements into Holbeck Olban Village			14
Support? Need to combin	ne with other sites:	Suitability for parti	al development:
Yes			
Highways Agency			
Network Rail :			
<u>Biodiversity</u>			
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Offic	er: Boundar	ry Amendment	
Natural England:			
<u>Education</u>			
Drainage/Water/Flooding			
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment A	Agency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Wate	er Waste Water Treatme	nt Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:			
<u>Utilities</u>			

Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessmer	nt		
Could site be effectively	Yes	N	0	Maybe
managed	(Text)			
Would gypsies and	Yes	N	0	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)			
site?				
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)			
Experience of previous	Yes	N	<u> </u>	Unknown
encampments	(Text)			Cimaronii
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
Conclusion summary:	dontial a reseasable	proposet The sit	a has been used for as	or parking which was
Mixed use development with resi permitted on a temporary basis u	intil 2017. Three sep	parate schemes w	ere permitted in Nover	mber 2010 i) for hotel, ii) for
mixed use office and ground floo SHLAA anticipates 62 dwellings				
cumulative impact of other sites.				
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	62	Floorspa	ace sq m (Non reside	ntial):
Residential Conclusion:	Reta	il Conclusion:		<b>Employment Conclusion:</b>
Green				

Site Name: Water Lane Car Park

Site Ref: 2021

#### Site Ref: 2023 Site Name: Yorkshire Evening Post

## **Site Details**

433430 18549.67 Northing: Area sq m: City and Hunslet Ward 429075 Easting: Area Ha: 1.854967 HMCA: City Centre

## Site Characteristics

## Land Use

Existing Use 1: Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Existing Use 2: Office

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Office

Neighbouring Use 2: Transport - Waterways

Neighbouring Use 3: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage **~** Distance to Rail Station (metres): 661.67 Distance to bus stop (metres) 66.27 Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 11140 SFRA Flood Zone:

**Environment Agency Flood Zone:** 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

100.00 Health and Safety Executive Hazard: Strategic Employment Buffer: No Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline: **Conservation Areas** Nο No 0.00 Ancient Monument/Battlefield(%): Listed Buildings: No

Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

1.00

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Site of the former Yorkshire Evening Post office, print works and distribution centre now vacated. Fronts the junction of Wellington St and the A58 inner ring road. The rear of the site backs onto the River Aire.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?:

Site Ref: 2023 Site Name: Yorkshire Evening Post

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/6/93/SN	2 non-illuminated free standing signs and 2 n0n- illuminated wall signs	14/01/1993	23/02/1993	Α	98.21
20/15/04/FU	2m high boundary fence and security gates to newspaper offices	27/01/2004	23/03/2004	Α	97.57
20/237/99/SI	2 halo illuminated signs, 1 non- illuminated sign and 1 non- illuminated logo	05/05/1999	05/07/1999	Α	96.51
H20/302/79/	Alterations and extensions, to form enlarged reel storenew u nloading area and new office a nd loading bays and security o	10/07/1979	20/08/1979	Α	97.57
20/309/92/FU	Addition of satellite dish	30/07/1992	17/09/1992	Α	98.21
H20/357/79/	27, 376 litres oil storage tank, to newspaper vehic le workshop.	22/08/1979	17/09/1979	Α	97.57
H20/400/74/	Alteration and extension to fo rm new roof air condi tioning plant room to newspape r offices.	30/12/1974	03/02/1975	Α	92.57
H20/450/81/	10910.4 litre, l.p.g. storage tank, to newspaper offic es.	22/12/1981	26/01/1982	Α	94.29
20/293/92/FU	Canopy to front	21/07/1992	28/09/1992	Α	98.21
12/04254/FU	Glazed entrance porch	05/10/2012	28/11/2012	Α	95.85

Site Ref: 2023 Site Name: Yorkshire Evening Post

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

## Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 2023 Site Name: Yorkshire Evening Post

East Leeds

SHLAA Conclusions		
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: LE	DF to determine Achievability: Long term (	11+ years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider of	comments and other planning requir	rements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro		
Accessibility comment		Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for healthcare - not secondary schools - however public to		5
Access Comments	'	Rank (1-5)
Access possible left in left out from both Wellington S be preserved to allow for all moves	Street and Wellington Road on slip, both need to	5
Local network comment		Rank (1-5)
Capacity concerns will need mitigation to West St gy	ratory and Armley gyratory	3
Mitigation measure		Total scor
will need mitigation to West St gyratory and Armley g	gyratory	13
Support? Need to combine	with other sites: Suitability for partial d	evelopment:
Yes - with mitigation		
Highways Agency		
Potential for cumulative impact in combination with ot / Aire valley cluster.	her sites. If site still included at next sift assess as p	art of city centre
Network Rail :		
Biodiversity		
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	: Boundary Amendment	
Supported - but Otters to consider		
Natural England:		
<u>Education</u>		
Drainage/Mater/Eleading:		
<u>Drainage/Water/Flooding</u> Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Agency Constraints:	
Environment Agency Comments.	Environment Agency Constraints.	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatment V	Vorks Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:		

<u>Utilities</u>

Site Ref: 2023	Site Name:	Yorkshire Evening Post	
Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Cyney Troyollor Site	Accessmen	4	
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessifier	t .	
Could site be effectively	Yes (Text)	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)		
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)		
<b>Conclusion of Asses</b>	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
Site has a high flood risk, with 99		3ai. Purpose built student accommod	
Other uses could also include ho supporting uses would also be ac	tel, leisure, conferenc cceptable. No Highwa	ce and exhibition uses to complement ays objection providing there is mitiga	the office use. Small scale tion and account is taken of
cumulative impact of other sites.		, , , ,	
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 293	Floorspace sq m (Non resid	dential):
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	l Conclusion:	Employment Conclusion:
Green			Green

## **Site Details**

Northing: 433621 Area sq m: 7432.79 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429020 Area Ha: 0.743279 HMCA: City Centre

## Site Characteristics

## Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Office

Neighbouring Use 2: Vacant & Derelict - Vacant building

Neighbouring Use 3: Residential - Hotels, boarding and guest houses

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):797.73Distance to bus stop (metres)143.35Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID12034

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Surface car park in between the A65 inbound and outbound carriageways in its junction with the A58 Inner Ring Road.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H26/436/75/	Laying out of access roads and erection of multi-storeycar p ark for 850 cars, in two block s with bridge link between an	20/05/1975	11/08/1975	W	87.84
H26/616/76/	Laying out of car park, for 22 0 cars, with landscaping to va cant site. (this item	15/07/1976	13/09/1976	Α	99.13

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

## Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

HLAA Conclusions				
Availability: Medium term (6-10 y Suitability: LDF	to determine Ac	chievability:	Long term (11+	years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider co	mments and othe	er plannir	ng requiren	nents
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro				
Accessibility comment				Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for lo healthcare - not secondary schools - however public tran		chools and		5
Access Comments			'	Rank (1-5)
Access possible left in left out from both Wellington Strebe preserved to allow for all moves	eet and Wellington Road	l on slip, both	need to	5
Local network comment			·	Rank (1-5)
Capacity concerns will need mitigation to West St gyrate	ory and Armley gyratory			3
Mitigation measure				Total scor
will need mitigation to West St gyratory and Armley gyra	atory			13
Support? Need to combine wi	th other sites:	Suitability f	or partial deve	lopment:
Yes - with mitigation				
Highways Agency				
Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other / Aire valley cluster.	r sites. If site still include	ed at next sift a	assess as part o	of city centre
Network Rail :				
<u>Biodiversity</u>				
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Boundary A	mendment		
Supported				
Natural England:				
<u>Education</u>				
Drainage/Water/Flooding				
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Ager	ncy Constrain	nts:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water W	Vaste Water T	reatment Work	s Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:	1 1			

## <u>Utilities</u>

Site Ref: 2024	Site Name:	Kirkstall Road Car Park	
Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Built Heritage			
Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
O Tue all a O'			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessmen	t	
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the site?	(Text)		
Site :			
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)	,	
<b>Conclusion of Asses</b>	sment		
Conclusion summanu			
Conclusion summary:  High probability of flooding with c	over 90% of the site in	n flood zone 3ai. The SHLAA concludes po	otential for 233 dwellings in
a high rise development that cou	ld see the lower floor	s used for a public car park, offices or hote ocation - island site near flyover. No Highw	I. Seen as a medium to
there is mitigation and account is		impact of other sites. Some Member prefer	
the site to become open space.	000		n
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 233	Floorspace sq m (Non residentia	II):
Residential Conclusion:	Retai		nployment Conclusion:
Amber		Gr	een

Site Ref: 2028A Site Name: Leeds General Infirmary

## **Site Details**

Northing: 434066 Area sq m: 42502.36 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429682 Area Ha: 4.250236 HMCA: City Centre

## Site Characteristics

## Land Use

Existing Use 1: Community Services - Medical & Health care services

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3: Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3: Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Partially well-defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

✓

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 0.00 Distance to bus stop (metres) 0.00

Nearest Railway Station Bus Stop ID

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:0.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Older historic wings of the Leeds General Infirmary facing Great George Street, Portland Street and Calverley Street

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

Site Ref: 2028A Site Name: Leeds General Infirmary

**Planning History** 

Site Ref: 2028A Site Name: Leeds General Infirmary

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

## Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 2028A Site Name: Leeds General Infirmary East Leeds **SHLAA Conclusions** Availability: Suitability: Achievability: Gypsy Traveller Site Assessment Could site be effectively No Maybe Yes managed (Text) Would gypsies and Yes No Maybe travellers live on the (Text) site? Proximity to housed No Yes gypsies and travellers (Text) **Experience of previous** No Unknown Yes (Text) encampments **Conclusion of Assessment** Conclusion summary: The Health Authority has had various plans for the LGI site involving proposals to convert the older wings of the hospital to residential use and more comprehensive proposals for all but the new Jubilee wing. Currently, proposals are on hold. This part of the site includes older historic parts of the hospital that are less well suited to the demands of modern 21st century healthcare. The capacity of 372 dwellings uses the SHLAA standard multiplier for a quarter of the total site area. Site Capacity (dwellings units): 372 Floorspace sq m (Non residential): 0 **Residential Conclusion: Retail Conclusion: Employment Conclusion:** Amber

Site Ref: 2028B Site Name: Leeds General Infirmary

## **Site Details**

Northing: 434132 Area sq m: 71249.16 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429482 Area Ha: 7.124916 HMCA: City Centre

## Site Characteristics

## Land Use

Existing Use 1: Community Services - Medical & Health care services

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3: Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3: Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Partially well-defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

✓

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 0.00 Distance to bus stop (metres) 0.00

Nearest Railway Station Bus Stop ID

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:0.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Recently developed wings of the Leeds General Infirmary including the Jubilee and Clarendon wings and buildings facing Clarendon Way

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

Site Ref: 2028B Site Name: Leeds General Infirmary

**Planning History** 

Site Ref: 2028B Site Name: Leeds General Infirmary

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

## Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 2028B Site Name: Leeds General Infirmary East Leeds **SHLAA Conclusions** Availability: Suitability: Achievability: Gypsy Traveller Site Assessment Could site be effectively Yes No Maybe managed (Text) Would gypsies and Yes No Maybe travellers live on the (Text) site? Proximity to housed No Yes gypsies and travellers (Text) **Experience of previous** No Unknown Yes (Text) encampments **Conclusion of Assessment** Conclusion summary: This part of the site includes the more recent wings of the hospital that are unlikely to be vacated or redeveloped because of the level of recent investment. The capacity of 623 dwellings uses the SHLAA standard multiplier for a quarter of the total site area. Site Capacity (dwellings units): 623 Floorspace sq m (Non residential): 0 **Residential Conclusion: Retail Conclusion: Employment Conclusion:** 

## **Site Details**

 Northing:
 434271
 Area sq m:
 30845.46
 Ward
 City and Hunslet

 Easting:
 429779
 Area Ha:
 3.084546
 HMCA:
 City Centre

## **Site Characteristics**

## Land Use

Existing Use 1: Community Services - Education
Existing Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 2: Office

Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Sloping Boundaries: Partially well-defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):1082.82Distance to bus stop (metres)88.77Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID1293

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Remaining plot of former Leeds Metropolitan University campus land release. The site faces Calverley Street backing onto remaining LMU teaching buildings.

## **UDP Designation**

		111 0 0 11 110 (0)	
Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Plaving Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

## **Planning History**

Site Ref: 2029

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/69/88/	3 prefabricated classroom unit s to polytechnic. (this item is also notice under reg ulation 4(1) of the town and c	12/02/1988	09/05/1988	А	11.76
11/04138/FU	8/FU Variation of condition 27 (internal noise levels) and condition 2 (Plans schedule) of approval 10/05541/FU for MINOR MATERIAL AMENDMENT relating to layout of ground floor accommodation in Block H2, alterations to landscaping, and position of sub station		30/12/2011	А	43.60
12/02537/FU	New and replacement cladding and glazing	08/06/2012	26/07/2012	Α	28.57
12/01041/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 11 of Planning Application 10/05541/FU	05/03/2012	21/05/2012	Α	43.60
12/01148/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 4, 17, 18 and 19 of Planning Application 10/05541/FU	12/03/2012	08/05/2012	SPL	43.60
20/189/97/FU	Alterations to form entrance to university building	14/04/1997	06/06/1997	А	84.66
H20/334/88/	3, prefabricated classroom uni ts to polytechnic (this item is also notice under reg ulation 4(1) of the town and c	17/06/1988	12/09/1988	Α	98.82
11/9/00141/MOD	Redevelopment of university building to provide new student accommodation campus, including refurbishment and new build, comprising 89 cluster flats with 532 bedrooms and 36 studios, with support accommodation and retail unit, with landscaping NON MATERIAL AMENDMENT TO 10/05541/FU: Alterations to ground floor layout of block F	08/07/2011	28/07/2011	M01	43.60
PREAPP/08/00310		30/06/2008	17/07/2009	PRENOT	80.22
20/83/02/FU	1.2m high guardrail to perimeter of roof to university	05/03/2002	29/04/2002	А	98.82
20/394/97/FU	Alterations recladding and reglazing to university building	07/07/1997	16/09/1997	Α	11.44
11/02967/ADV	3 non illuminated signs	13/07/2011	31/08/2011	Α	25.22
20/138/93/FU	Canopy and new entrance doors to front of university	21/04/1993	02/08/1993	Α	81.97
11/02319/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 10 and 15 of Planning Application 10/05541/FU	03/06/2011	26/07/2012	А	43.60
11/02872/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 4, 17 and 18 of Planning Application 10/05541/FU	07/07/2011	23/09/2011	Α	43.60
12/02459/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 18 and 20 of Planning Application 11/04138/FU	30/05/2012	16/08/2012	Α	43.60
H20/101/91/	Alterations, including new sta ircases to polytechnic.	28/03/1991	23/07/1991	Α	29.76

0-20/40/99/MOD	Refurbishment/new build to form new learning centre to university	13/09/1999	22/09/1999	M07	18.54
20/403/93/FU	Alterations and 2nd floor extension to form corridor link	25/11/1993	19/01/1994	Α	81.97
10/05541/FU	Redevelopment of university building to provide new student accommodation campus, including refurbishment and new build, comprising 89 cluster flats with 532 bedrooms and 36 studios, with support accommodation and retail unit, with landscaping	08/12/2010	06/05/2011	Α	43.60
11/05001/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 17 and 18 of Planning Application 10/05541/FU	28/11/2011	05/01/2012	Α	43.60
20/160/94/FU	Four 6 metre high flood lighting columns to university	22/04/1994	15/07/1994	Α	96.47
20/179/94/FU	2 detached prefabricated ticket kiosks and additional car parking barriers to university	28/04/1994	01/08/1994	А	100.00
11/04467/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 21 of Planning Application 10/05541/FU	12/10/2011	23/11/2011	А	43.60
12/00152/FU	21 storey block of 74 student cluster flats, with 404 study bedrooms	13/01/2012	29/05/2012	Α	27.60
20/467/03/FU	Extension to form lift shaft to university building	19/12/2003	05/02/2004	Α	14.37
20/457/98/FU	New 5 storey learning centre refurbishment of building b north and recladding of building b south to university	31/07/1998	16/12/1998	Α	18.54
12/03722/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 13 of Planning Application 10/05541/FU	28/08/2012	04/09/2012	Α	43.60
13/00088/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 6, 7, 12, 13, 20, 21 and 25 of Planning Application 12/00152/FU	04/01/2013	04/03/2013	SPL	27.60
12/02868/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 16 and 24 of Planning Application 10/05541/FU	02/07/2012	16/08/2012	Α	43.60
12/03171/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 5 and 6 of Planning Application 10/05541/FU	19/07/2012	17/08/2012	А	43.60
12/02869/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 18 of Planning Application 11/04138/FU	02/07/2012	16/08/2012	А	43.60
12/04399/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 3 of Planning Application 12/02537/FU	15/10/2012	30/10/2012	Α	28.57

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

## Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

**Utilities** 

HLAA Conclusions		
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability: Yes	Achievability: Medium	term (6-10 years)
Summary of Infrastructure provider co	mments and other planning req	uirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro		
Accessibility comment		Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards for lo	ocal services, scools and healthcare	5
Access Comments		Rank (1-5)
Access onto Clarendon Road or Woodhouse Lane as n	now (subject to NGT proposals)	5
Local network comment		Rank (1-5)
Local capacity issues, improvements will be sought. Po junctions	tential to improve Portland Way capacity at	3
Mitigation measure		Total score
Portland Way junctions with Woodhouse Lane and Clar	endon Road	13
Support? Need to combine wi	th other sites: Suitability for parti	al development:
Yes - with mitigation		
Highways Agency		
Potential for cumulative impact in combination with other / Aire valley cluster.	r sites. If site still included at next sift assess a	as part of city centre
Network Rail :		
<u>Biodiversity</u>		
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	<b>Boundary Amendment</b>	
Supported		
Natural England:		
<u>Education</u>		
Drainage/Water/Flooding		
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment Agency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Water Waste Water Treatme	nt Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:		

Site Ref: 2029	Site Name:	Leeds Metropo	olitan University
Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Duilt Haritage			
Built Heritage Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessmen	t	
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)	1	,
site?			
Proximity to housed	Yes		No
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		110
Everyiones of provious	Vac	No	Linka avva
Experience of previous encampments	Yes (Text)	No	Unknown
•			
Conclusion of Asses			
Conclusion of Asses	sment		
Conclusion summary:			
Site boundary revised to reflect the permitted 8 storey hotel. The sur			nity facing Calverley Street to the north of the
accommodate two similar blocks.	SHLAA capacity m	ethodology gives 128 d	wellings. No Highways objection providing
there is mitigation and account is	taken of cumulative	impact of other sites.	
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 128	Floorspace sq	յ m (Non residential)։
Residential Conclusion:	Retai	l Conclusion:	Employment Conclusion:
Green			

#### Site Ref: 2031 Site Name: Water Lane Railway Triangle

## **Site Details**

Northing: 432881 9779.12 Area sq m: City and Hunslet Ward 429244 Easting: Area Ha: 0.977912 HMCA: City Centre

## Site Characteristics

## Land Use

Existing Use 1: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Industry and business - Manufacturing & Wholesale

Neighbouring Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Neighbouring Use 3: Transport - Car Parks

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: Limited Tree Cover Road Frontage **~** Distance to Rail Station (metres): 545.62 Distance to bus stop (metres) 227.30 Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 4261 SFRA Flood Zone:

**Environment Agency Flood Zone:** 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

100.00 Health and Safety Executive Hazard: Strategic Employment Buffer: No Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline: **Conservation Areas** Yes No Ancient Monument/Battlefield(%): 0.00 Listed Buildings: No

Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

1.00

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Triangle of railway land bordered by railway lines and with a single road and pedestrian access at the southern corner of the site.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?:

Site Ref: 2031 Site Name: Water Lane Railway Triangle

## **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/379/85/	Use of vacant site as modern s culpture display area.	07/08/1985	21/10/1985	Α	93.88
H20/177/88/	36.5m high brick statue.	31/03/1988	07/11/1988	R	99.51

Site Ref: 2031 Site Name: Water Lane Railway Triangle

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

## Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: 2031 Site Name: Water Lane Railway Triangle

East Leeds

SHLAA C	conclusions				
Availability:	Short term (0-5yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability:	Long term (11+ years)

Achievability: Long term (11+ years)

#### Gypsy Traveller Site Assessment Could site be effectively No Maybe Yes managed (Text) Would gypsies and Yes No Maybe travellers live on the (Text) site? Proximity to housed Yes No gypsies and travellers (Text) **Experience of previous** Unknown Yes No (Text) encampments

## **Conclusion of Assessment**

#### Conclusion summary:

Mixed use development with residential a reasonable prospect. The SHLAA anticipates 171 dwellings starting in 2021/22, which assumes the half of the site in the 3ai flood zone would not have residential development. This part of the site would be acceptable for office development subject to the flood risk sequential test and appropriate mitigation measures. Assume 5,000sqm of offices.

Site Capacity (dwellings units):	171	Floorspace sq m (Non residential)
----------------------------------	-----	-----------------------------------

**Residential Conclusion: Retail Conclusion: Employment Conclusion:** 

Green

Site Ref: 3017 Site Name: St Peters Church and House, Chantrell House, Leeds Parish Church, Kirkgate

## **Site Details**

Northing: 433262 Area sq m: 3332.96 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 430665 Area Ha: 0.333296 HMCA: City Centre

## Site Characteristics

## Land Use

Existing Use 1: Other

Existing Use 2: Vacant and derelict - Vacant land

Existing Use 3: Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses: Place of Worship
Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):974.91Distance to bus stop (metres)172.18Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID9254

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):39.87Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Site comprises buildings and land between Leeds Parish Church and The Calls

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

## Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

Site Name: St Peters Church and House, Chantrell House, Leeds Parish Church, Kirkgate

## **Planning History**

Site Ref: 3017

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/336/80/	Outline application to demolis h existing school, lay out a ccess and erect 3 storey offic e block and 3 storeyblock of 3	23/09/1980	15/04/1981	А	34.55
H20/498/78/	Outline application to demolis h existing buildings, layout a ccess road and erect 3 storey office block, with 12 car par	29/11/1978	22/05/1979	Α	26.47
H20/85/74/	Internal alterations to form c hoir room with music libra ry store and common room to ch urch premises	13/05/1974	22/07/1974	А	39.71
09/03230/FU	Change of use including refurbishment and extensions to 2 church buildings with 2 flats, to form offices and 16 flats and erect 5 storey block comprising office and 21 flats, with car parking.	23/07/2009	27/06/2012	А	100.00
H20/449/84/	Laying out of footpaths and ca r park, to church.	17/10/1984	30/09/1985	А	39.98
20/397/99/SN	6 non illuminated signs to gate piers and 2 non illuminated free standing signs	26/07/1999	29/09/1999	Α	40.52
13/00819/FU	Variation of conditions 21, 33 and 37 of previous approval 09/03230/FU relating to floor levels, windows and approved plans	15/02/2013		PCO	100.00
H20/497/78/	Listed building application to demolish school.	29/11/1978	22/05/1979	Α	26.47
H20/271/76/	Change of use, including alter ations, to form new entra nce and staircase, of residen tial accommodation, offices, a	02/07/1976	09/08/1976	Α	13.79
H20/458/84/	Listed building application to layout footpath and car p arking to church.	25/10/1984	30/09/1985	А	39.77
H20/286/77/	Alterations to form loading do or to offices.	13/07/1977	01/08/1977	Α	26.47
H20/198/81/	Listed building application to clean external stoneworkof pa rish church and layout landsca ping with footpaths, lighting,	31/03/1981	04/01/1983	А	63.85
20/427/99/LI	Listed building application for 6 non illuminated signs to gate piers and 2 non illuminated freestanding signs	09/08/1999	29/09/1999	Α	40.52
09/03397/LI	Listed Building Application for alterations for replacement gate in boundary wall	03/08/2009	05/07/2012	А	100.00
H20/127/88/	Change of use of offices to do ctors surgery.	10/03/1988	08/04/1988	W	13.49
20/183/96/FU	Addition of 2 air conditioning units to offices	06/03/1996	29/08/1996	А	13.75
H20/200/81/	Laying out of landscaping with lighting, footpaths, car p arking area, bin store and flo odlighting, to parish chu	31/03/1981	04/01/1983	А	64.29
H20/103/85/	Listed building application fo r the addition of flood lighting to church.	15/03/1985	13/05/1985	Α	40.03

# Site Ref: 3017 Site Name: St Peters Church and House, Chantrell House, Leeds Parish Church, Kirkgate

H20/65/78/	Change of use of school and co llege, to warehouse, aucti on room, showroom and offices.	16/02/1978	13/03/1978	Α	26.47
H20/84/81/	Laying out of access road and erection of 3 storey offic e block with 12 car parking sp aces and landscapingto cleared	20/02/1981	15/04/1981	А	34.86
H20/41/80/	Demolition of existing buildi ngs, laying out of accessand e rection of 4 storey office blo ck with toilets, kitchen, p	30/01/1980	10/03/1980	A	35.42
H20/102/85/	Addition of floodlighting to c hurch.	15/03/1985	13/05/1985	Α	40.76
H20/327/77/	Conservation area application to demolish vacant school	04/08/1977	12/12/1977	R	26.47
H20/441/81/	Laying out of access road and erection of 30 flats in one 3 storey block comprising 18, o ne bedroom flats and12 bedsit	14/12/1981	04/01/1982	Α	34.48

Site Ref: 3017 Site Name: St Peters Church and House, Chantrell House, Leeds Parish Church, Kirkgate

# Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built	t up areas	
Would development lead to/constitute ribbon of	development?	
Would development result in isolated development	ment?	
Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ b	ooundaries with existing built up area)?	
Would development of the site effectively "round	nd off" the settlement pattern?:	
Do natural/physical features provide a good exarea and undeveloped land?	xisting barrier between existing urban	
Overall sprawl conclusion:		
Prevent neighbouring towns from merging		
Would development of the site lead to physical	Il connection of settlements?	
Do natural/physical features provide a good exdevelopment?	xisting barrier/boundary to contain	
Overall Coalescence Conclusion:		
Assist in safeguarding countryside from er	ncroachment	
Is there a strong defensible boundary between	n the site and the existing urban area?	
Does the site provide access to the countrysic	de?	
Does the site include local or national conserv	ration designated areas?	
Does the site include areas of woodlands, tree significant unprotected tree/hedge cover?	es, hedgerows that are protected, or	
Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricult	tural land?	
Does the site contain buildings?	Are these in agricultural use?	
Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion	1	
Preserve the setting and special character	of historic towns	
Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation feature?	area, listed building or other historical	
If yes, could development preserve this characteristics	cter?:	
Overall Character Preservation Conclusion:		
Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:		
Conformity with Core Strate	gy	
Main Urban Area	Main Urban Area Extension	Brownfield
Major Settlement	Major Settlement Extension	Greenfield
Smaller Settlement	Smaller Settlement Extension	Mixed
Villages/Rural	Village/Rural Extension	
Development unrel	ated to existing development	
Regeneration Priority Area:		
Inner South Leeds:	0.00 Aire Valley:	0.00
Leeds Bradford Corridor:	0.00 West Leeds Gatev	<i>y</i> ay: 0.00

Site Ref: 3017 Site Name: St Peters Church and House, Chantrell House, Leeds Parish Church, Kirkgate

East Leeds

SHLAA Conclusions			
Availability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability: LD	OF to determine	Achievability: Short te	rm (0-5yrs)
Summary of Infrastructure provider c	omments and	other planning req	uirements
Leeds City Council Highways inc Metro			
Accessibility comment			Rank (1-5)
Meets Public Transport accessibility and standards fo	r local services, scho	ols and healthcare	5
Access Comments			Rank (1-5)
Access possible from the Calls			5
Local network comment			Rank (1-5)
capacity ok for likely size of development			5
Mitigation measure			Total score
Pedestrian linkages through St Peters church require	ed .		15
Support? Need to combine	with other sites:	Suitability for parti	al development:
yes			
Highways Agency Potential for cumulative impact in combination with oth / Aire Valley cluster.	her sites. If site still in	ncluded at next sift assess a	as part of city centre
Network Rail :			
Biodiversity			
West Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Officer:	Bound	ary Amendment	
Supported			
Natural England:			
Education			
Drainage/Water/Flooding			
Environment Agency Comments:	Environment	Agency Constraints:	
Yorkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire Wa	ater Waste Water Treatme	nt Works Comme
LCC Flood Risk Management:			

<u>Utilities</u>

		House, Leeds Parish	Church, Kirkgate
Gas:			
Electric:			
Telecom:			
Fire and Rescue Services:			
Puilt Horitage			
Built Heritage Leeds City Council:			
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:		
English Heritage:			
Gypsy _Traveller Site	Assessment		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No	Maybe
managed	(Text)		
Would gypsies and	Yes	No	Maybe
travellers live on the	(Text)		
site?			
Proximity to housed	Yes	No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)	1	
Experience of previous	Yes	No	Unknown
encampments	(Text)	INO	OTIKITOWIT
	<u> </u>		
Conclusion of Asses	emont		
Conclusion of Asses	Silicit		
Conclusion summary:			
dwellings and 92.9sqm office spa	e 2 and a further part of a ce was granted in July	of the site is within Floodzone v 2012. No Highways objection	3a. Permission 09/03230/FU for 39 providing account is taken of
cumulative impact of other sites.			
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 39	Floorspace sq m (Non	residential): 93
Residential Conclusion:	Retail	Conclusion:	<b>Employment Conclusion:</b>
Amber			Lime Green

Site Name: St Peters Church and House, Chantrell

Site Ref: 3017

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 434004 Area sq m: 2240.02 Ward e Park and Woodhouse Easting: 429226 Area Ha: 0.224002 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Transport - Car Parks

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3:

Neighbouring Use 1 Residential - Dwellings

Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape: No Tree Cover Road Frontage 

☑

Distance to Rail Station (metres): 936.97 Distance to bus stop (metres) 76.12

Nearest Railway Station Leeds City Bus Stop ID 7611

SFRA Flood Zone: 0.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 0.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:100.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasYesAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Cleared site of former school fronting Woodhouse Square and backing onto Brandon Road.

## **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	1.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
20/147/05/FU	Two 5 storey & one 2 storey blocks comprising 72 flats (56 one bed 4 two bed and 12 krashpads)	22/03/2005	28/04/2006	А	99.15
20/404/01/CA	Conservation area application to demolish former school buildings	30/07/2001	28/10/2004	Α	99.35
20/403/01/FU	Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 3 blocks of flats with basement car parking	19/07/2001	17/11/2004	Α	99.35
07/07115/FU	Removal of condition 31 of permission 20/147/05/FU for 72 flats (The residential accommodation hereby approved shall not be occupied by full time students)	13/11/2007	03/03/2008	R	99.15
H34/13/90/	Change of use of playground to car park.	15/01/1990	14/05/1991	R	22.33
09/04305/FU	Temporary use of vacant site for short stay car park with 76 spaces	02/10/2009	18/05/2010	Α	99.15
20/148/05/CA	Conservation area application to demolish school	22/03/2005	17/05/2005	Α	99.15
12/02636/FU	Temporary use of cleared site as a short stay car park	14/06/2012	21/05/2013	Α	99.15

#### Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

East Leeds

Gas:

vailability: Short term (0-5yrs) Suitability:	Yes	Achievability: Short terr	n (0-5yrs)
ummary of Infrastructure provider	r comments ar	nd other planning requ	irements
eeds City Council Highways inc Metro			
accessibility comment		ı	Rank (1-5
leets Public Transport accessibility and standards	s for local services, so	cools and healthcare	5
Access Comments			Rank (1-5
Access achievable			5
			J
_ocal network comment		'	Rank (1-5
cumulaive impact concern			
			4
Mitigation measure			Total sco
none			15
			10
Support? Need to combin	ne with other sites:	Suitability for partia	I development:
/es			
lighways Agency			
/a			
letwork Rail :			
liodiversity			
lest Yorkshire Ecology and LCC Ecology Offic	er: Bou	indary Amendment	
upported			
atural England:			
ducation			
rainage/Water/Flooding			
nvironment Agency Comments:	Environme	ent Agency Constraints:	
orkshire Water Comments:	Yorkshire	Water Waste Water Treatmen	t Works Comme
CC Flood Risk Management:			
<u>Itilities</u>			

Site Ref: 3157	Site Name:	Brandon Roa	d, LS3	
Electric:				
Telecom:				
Fire and Rescue Services:				
Built Heritage				
Leeds City Council:				
West Yorkshire Archaeology	Service:			
English Heritage:				
Gypsy _Traveller Site	e Assessmer	ıt		
Could site be effectively	Yes	No		Mayba
managed	(Text)	INO		Maybe
Would gypsies and	Voo	No		Movbo
travellers live on the	Yes (Text)	INO		Maybe
site?				
Proximity to housed	Yes		No	
gypsies and travellers	(Text)		INO	
Everylance of provious	Vac	No		Linksows
Experience of previous encampments	Yes (Text)	No		Unknown
Conclusion of Asses	sment			
	oment			
Conclusion summary:	idential a managarahla	nunnat Dannianian	with the second	1000 James d. Marie research
Mixed use development with res temporary permission for short s	tay car parking. App	ropriate for residential	i given for 72 flats in 2 I development.	1006, lapsed. More recent
Site Capacity (dwellings units)	: 72	Floorspace	sq m (Non residentia	al):
Residential Conclusion:	Reta	il Conclusion:	Er	mployment Conclusion:
Green				

Site Ref: CFSM015 Site Name: Wellington Road, City Centre

#### **Site Details**

Northing: 433433 Area sq m: 669.70 Ward City and Hunslet Easting: 429475 Area Ha: 0.06697 HMCA: City Centre

#### Site Characteristics

#### Land Use

Existing Use 1: Office

Existing Use 2: Existing Use 3: Neighbouring Use 1 Neighbouring Use 2: Neighbouring Use 3:

Other uses:

Site State: Brownfield

#### Site Detail

Topography: Flat Boundaries: Existing well defined

Natural Landscape:No Tree CoverRoad Frontage✓Distance to Rail Station (metres):324.51Distance to bus stop (metres)30.43Nearest Railway StationLeeds CityBus Stop ID7569

SFRA Flood Zone: 1.00 Within 300m of retail centre boundary:

Environment Agency Flood Zone: 1.00 Agricultural Land Class:

Health and Safety Executive Hazard:NoStrategic Employment Buffer:0.00Health and Safety Executive Gas Pipeline:NoConservation AreasNoAncient Monument/Battlefield(%):0.00Listed Buildings:No

Public Rights of Way: No

Other comments/observations on site characteristics:

Existing 4 storey office block on the corner of Wellington Street and Northern Street

#### **UDP Designation**

Greenbelt - N32 (%):	0.00	Urban Green Corridor - N8 (%):	0.00
Protected Area of Search site - N34 (%):	0.00	Rural Land - RL1:	0.00
City Centre Primary Shopping Quarter - CC27 (%):	0.00	Town Centre - S2:	0.00
Greenspace - N1:	0	Special Landscape Area - N37:	0.00
Allotments - N1A:	0.00	Other?:	
Proposed Greenspace - N5:	0.00		
Nature Conservation Area - N50:	0.00		
Protected Playing Pitch - N6:	0.00		

### Natural Resources and waste DPD (if applicable)

Within Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No Within 100m of Minerals Safeguarding Site?: No

# Site Ref: CFSM015 Site Name: Wellington Road, City Centre

# **Planning History**

Planning App No.	Proposal	Received	Decision	Status	Site %
H20/361/77/	Laying out of access and erect ion of 4 storey whole sale showroom, with ancillary offices, toilets and plant	06/09/1977	15/09/1980	W	90.71
H20/52/81/	Laying out of access roads, er ection of one block of 5, indus trial units, each with 2 store y offices and toilets, o	03/02/1981	27/07/1981	Α	98.57
H20/339/82/	Laying out of drainage, with u nderground pumping stati on, to warehouse development. (outline applicationno.78/20/0	23/09/1982	04/10/1982	Α	98.56
11/05069/ADV	Internally illuminated individual letter wall mounted sign	02/12/2011	26/01/2012	Α	99.69
11/05039/FU	Installation of a curved glass canopy over main entrance	01/12/2011	26/01/2012	Α	99.55
H20/396/76/1	Extension of temporary permiss ion for the use of vacantland as vehicle park. (previous app lication no 76/20/0039	02/03/1978	10/04/1978	Α	99.07
H20/348/82/	Laying out of drainage to ware house development (outl ine application no. 78/20/0053 1 approved subject to conditi	28/09/1982	02/07/1984	W	99.15
H20/436/80/	Site preparation, involving dem olition & works to part of fo rmer railway viaduct to liftin g tower and to part of boundar	05/12/1980	06/08/1981	Α	98.57
20/272/94/FU	Roof top plant room to offices	06/07/1994	23/08/1994	Α	100.00
H20/396/76/	Use of vacant land for a tempo rary period as vehicle park.	18/10/1976	20/12/1976	Α	99.81
H20/90/84/	Alterations, to form entrance lobby, to offices.	22/02/1984	05/03/1984	Α	98.56
20/130/03/FU	Automatic sliding gates and fence to car park entrance to rear	17/03/2003	22/07/2003	А	100.00

Site Ref: CFSM015 Site Name: Wellington Road, City Centre

Green Belt assessment - only completed where site is within Green Belt

#### Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Would development lead to/constitute ribbon development? Would development result in isolated development? Is the site well connected to built up area (2+ boundaries with existing built up area)? Would development of the site effectively "round off" the settlement pattern?: Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier between existing urban area and undeveloped land? Overall sprawl conclusion: Prevent neighbouring towns from merging Would development of the site lead to physical connection of settlements? Do natural/physical features provide a good existing barrier/boundary to contain development? Overall Coalescence Conclusion: Assist in safeguarding countryside from encroachment Is there a strong defensible boundary between the site and the existing urban area? Does the site provide access to the countryside? Does the site include local or national conservation designated areas? Does the site include areas of woodlands, trees, hedgerows that are protected, or significant unprotected tree/hedge cover? Does the site include grade 1, 2, or 3a agricultural land? Does the site contain buildings? Are these in agricultural use? Overall countryside Encroachment Conclusion Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns Is the site within or adjacent to a conservation area, listed building or other historical feature? If yes, could development preserve this character?: Overall Character Preservation Conclusion: **Greenbelt Assessment Conclusion:** Conformity with Core Strategy Main Urban Area Main Urban Area Extension Brownfield Major Settlement Major Settlement Extension Greenfield **Smaller Settlement** Smaller Settlement Extension Mixed Villages/Rural Village/Rural Extension Development unrelated to existing development Regeneration Priority Area: 0.00 Inner South Leeds: 0.00 Aire Valley: Leeds Bradford Corridor: 0.00 West Leeds Gateway: 0.00

Site Ref: CFSM015 Site Name: Wellington Road, City Centre East Leeds **SHLAA Conclusions** Availability: Suitability: Achievability: Gypsy Traveller Site Assessment Could site be effectively No Maybe Yes managed (Text) Would gypsies and Yes No Maybe travellers live on the (Text) site? Proximity to housed No Yes gypsies and travellers (Text) **Experience of previous** No Unknown Yes (Text) encampments **Conclusion of Assessment** Conclusion summary: Call for sites submission to enlarge existing 4 storey office block to provide a mixed use scheme with office, residential and retail use. The submission is unspecific about the quantums of use. It is assumed that 10 dwellings and 2,400sqm of offices space would be a reasonable estimate. Site Capacity (dwellings units): 10 Floorspace sq m (Non residential): 2400 **Residential Conclusion: Retail Conclusion: Employment Conclusion:** Green