

Site Allocations Plan

Volume 2: 5 North

Leeds Local Development Framework



Development Plan Document
Issues and Options for the Plan
June 2013

If you do not speak English and need help in understanding this document, please phone: (0113) 247 8092 and state the name of your language. We will then put you on hold while we contact an interpreter. This is a free service and we can assist with 100+ languages.

We can also provide this document in audio or Braille on request.

(Bengali):-

যদি আপনি ইংরেজীতে কথা বলতে না পারেন এবং এই দলিলটি বুঝতে পারার জন্য সাহায্যের দরকার হয়, তাহলে দয়া করে 0113 247 8092 এই নম্বরে ফোন করে আপনার ভাষাটির নাম বলুন। আমরা তখন আপনাকে লাইনে থাকতে বলে কোন দোভাষীর (ইন্টারপ্রিটার) সাথে যোগাযোগ করব।

(Chinese):-

凡不懂英語又須協助解釋這份資料者，請致電 0113 247 8092 並說明本身所需語言的名稱。當我們聯絡傳譯員時，請勿掛斷電話。

(Hindi):-

यदि आप इंग्लिश नहीं बोलते हैं और इस दस्तावेज़ को समझने में आपको मदद की ज़रूरत है, तो कृपया 0113 247 8092 पर फ़ोन करें और अपनी भाषा का नाम बताएँ। तब हम आपको होल्ड पर रखेंगे (आपको फ़ोन पर कुछ देर के लिए इंतज़ार करना होगा) और उस दौरान हम किसी इंटरप्रिटर (दुभाषिए) से संपर्क करेंगे।

(Punjabi):-

ਅਗਰ ਤੁਸੀਂ ਅੰਗਰੇਜ਼ੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਬੋਲਦੇ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਲੇਖ ਪੱਤਰ ਸਮਝਣ ਲਈ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਸਹਾਇਤਾ ਦੀ ਲੋੜ ਹੈ, ਤਾਂ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰ ਕੇ 0113 247 8092 ਟੈਲੀਫ਼ੋਨ ਕਰੋ ਅਤੇ ਅਪਣੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਦੱਸੋ. ਅਸੀਂ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਟੈਲੀਫ਼ੋਨ 'ਤੇ ਹੀ ਰਹਿਣ ਲਈ ਕਹਾਂ ਗੇ, ਜਦ ਤਕ ਅਸੀਂ ਦੁਭਾਸ਼ੀਏ (Interpreter) ਨਾਲ ਸੰਪਰਕ ਬਣਾਵਾਂ ਗੇ.

(Urdu):-

اگر آپ انگریزی نہیں بولتے ہیں اور آپ کو یہ دستاویز سمجھنے کیلئے مدد کی ضرورت ہے تو براہ مہربانی اس نمبر 0113 247 8092 پر فون کریں اور ہمیں اپنی زبان کا نام بتائیں۔ اس کے بعد ہم آپ کو لائن پر ہی انتظار کرنے کیلئے کہیں گے اور خود تہ جمان (انٹرپرائٹر) سے رابطہ کریں گے۔

This publication can also be made available in Braille or audio cassette.

Please call 0113 247 8092.

This is your chance to have your say

This consultation sets out options for the Site Allocations Plan to identify land for retail, housing, employment and greenspace across Leeds. The council is seeking your views on the approach taken and the site suggestions made, and whether other sites and proposals should be considered.

Leeds City Council is consulting on the Leeds Site Allocations Plan Issues and Options from 3rd June to 29th July 2013. The Issues and Options documents and response form are available for inspection at the following locations.

- **Development Enquiry Centre, City Development, Leonardo Building, 2 Rossington Street, Leeds, LS2 8HD (Monday – Friday 8:30am – 5pm, Wednesday 9:30am – 5pm)**
- **All libraries across the Leeds district – please check local opening times**
- **All One Stop Centres across the Leeds district**

These documents are also published on the council's website. To download or view the material go to www.leeds.gov.uk/siteallocations. Please submit your response to the consultation on-line via the council's web site.

Email: ldf@leeds.gov.uk

Forward Planning & Implementation
Leeds City Council
2 Rossington Street
Leeds
LS2 8HD

Telephone: 0113 247 8092

SEEKING INDEPENDENT ADVICE AND SUPPORT

Planning Aid provides free, independent and professional advice on planning issues to community groups and individuals who cannot afford to pay a planning consultant.

To contact Planning Aid:

Telephone: Advice Line 0330 123 9244

Email: advice@planningaid.rtpi.org.uk

This product includes mapping data licensed from Ordnance Survey with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright and/or database right 2012. All rights reserved. Licence number 100019567.

The Ordnance Survey mapping included within this publication is provided by Leeds City Council under licence from the Ordnance Survey in order to fulfil its public function to act as a planning authority. Persons viewing this mapping should contact Ordnance Survey copyright for advice where they wish to licence Ordnance Survey mapping for their own use. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

VOLUME 2: SITE PROPOSALS FOR EACH HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREA 5. NORTH:

CONTENTS:	PAGE:
5.1.0 INTRODUCTION	3
5.2.0 RETAIL ISSUES AND OPTIONS:	3
5.3.0 HOUSING ISSUES AND OPTIONS:	6
5.4.0 EMPLOYMENT ISSUES AND OPTIONS:	23
5.5.0 GREENSPACE ISSUES AND OPTIONS:	27

APPENDIX 1

UDP designated greenspace sites not identified as greenspace in the Open Space Audit
– proposed to be deleted

PLANS:

RETAIL: NORTH

PLAN 5.2A	Alwoodley
PLAN 5.2B	Butcher Hill
PLAN 5.2C	Chapel Allerton
PLAN 5.2D	Harehills Corner
PLAN 5.2E	Hawksworth
PLAN 5.2F	Headingley
PLAN 5.2G	Hollins Park
PLAN 5.2H	Holt Park
PLAN 5.2I	Horsforth New Road Side
PLAN 5.2J	Horsforth Town Centre
PLAN 5.2K	Kirkstall Road
PLAN 5.2L	Kirkstall Town Centre
PLAN 5.2M	Meanwood
PLAN 5.2N	Montreal Harrogate Road
PLAN 5.2O	Moor Allerton
PLAN 5.2P	Moortown
PLAN 5.2Q	Oakwood
PLAN 5.2R	Slaid Hill
PLAN 5.2S	Station Road, Horsforth
PLAN 5.2T	Street Lane
PLAN 5.2U	Weetwood Far, Headingley
PLAN 5.2V	Plan showing all centres and 'call for sites' submissions

HOUSING: NORTH

PLAN 5.3 Housing

EMPLOYMENT: NORTH

PLAN 5.4 Employment

GREENSPACE: NORTH

PLAN 5.5A UDP Greenspace allocations and open space audit sites

PLAN 5.5B Types of Greenspace

5.1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 5.1.1 Volume 1 of the Site Allocations Plan Issues and Options sets out the approach and an overview for each topic which will be included in the final Site Allocations Plan. The plan will cover Retail, Housing, Employment and Greenspace allocations. Please see Volume 1 in conjunction with the area proposals for a full understanding of the context and work involved in producing the Issues and Options for the plan.
- 5.1.2 Plans for initial proposals for retail, housing, employment and greenspace are at the end of this document. View the plans on line at <http://www.leeds.gov.uk/siteallocations>. Please note that if you view this document on line, you can access the full site assessments for housing and employment sites. If you do not have access to a computer, you can use computers at libraries. The Council can make further details available on request, but printing costs may be incurred. It is not practical to put all site details in an appendix due to the volume of sites and information involved.
- 5.1.3 The North Leeds area is characterised by a number of distinctive communities which form part of the Main Urban Area of Leeds. These include Chapel Allerton, Alwoodley, Cookridge, Horsforth and Headingley. The Meanwood Valley and Roundhay Park, also form part of the wider network of Green Infrastructure.

5.2.0 NORTH RETAIL ISSUES AND OPTIONS:

- 5.2.1 The main retail centres are at Harehills, Moor Allerton, Meanwood and Horsforth. It is proposed to include eight new centres. There are 21 centres within the North area:
- Alwoodley – new proposed centre (See Plan 5.2A)
 - Butcher Hill – new proposed centre (See Plan 5.2B)
 - Chapel Allerton (See Plan 5.2C)
 - Harehills Corner (See Plan 5.2D)
 - Hawksworth – new proposed centre (See Plan 5.2E)
 - Headingley (See Plan 5.2F)
 - Hollins Park – new proposed centre (See Plan 5.2G)
 - Holt Park (See Plan 5.2H)
 - Horsforth New Road Side (See Plan 5.2I)
 - Horsforth Town Centre (See Plan 5.2J)
 - Kirkstall Road – new proposed centre (See Plan 5.2K)
 - Kirkstall Town Centre (See Plan 5.2L)
 - Meanwood (See Plan 5.2M)
 - Montreal Harrogate Road – new proposed centre (See Plan 5.2N)
 - Moor Allerton (See Plan 5.2O)
 - Moortown (See Plan 5.2P)
 - Oakwood (See Plan 5.2Q)
 - Slaid Hill – new proposed centre (See Plan 5.2R)
 - Station Road, Horsforth (See Plan 5.2S)
 - Street Lane (See Plan 5.2T)
 - Weetwood, Far Headingley – new proposed centre (See Plan 5.2U)

5.2.2 For each centre a review of the centre boundary and survey of current uses has been undertaken. This has involved redefining the boundaries of town centres to accommodate retail development within centres. The council is not allocating sites for retail in these centres but making boundary changes may provide scope to accommodate additional retailing. The survey of uses has been used to determine the primary shopping area and frontages (primary and secondary). Of those centres previously identified in the Leeds Unitary Development Plan (UDP), the proposed boundaries of both Kirkstall and Harehills Lane contain potential opportunity sites at the edge of centre.

5.2.3 Volume 1 page 14 defines these as:

Primary Shopping Areas (PSA)

This is the area where retail development and activity is concentrated.

Frontages

Primary Frontages include the main shopping core of the centre where class A1 premises, such as shops, post offices, travel agencies, hairdressers and dry cleaners, are normally protected.

Secondary Frontages include premises on the edge of centres where a wider mix of uses are permitted including financial and professional services, restaurants and cafés and pubs.

See page 14 Volume 1 for full details as to why these designations are required.

5.2.4 **Call for sites**

The following sites were submitted for retail use as part of the Council’s 2012 ‘call for sites’:

Site Name	REF	Ward	Nearest Centre	Distance to 300 mtr Edge	Sequential Location	Comment
Land adjacent to Clayton Wood Road, Weetwood, LS16 6NS	CFSR009	Weetwood	Butcher Hill Local Centre	251	Outside Centre & 300m Buffer	Sequentially inappropriate.
Clayton Wood Bank, West Park, Leeds, LS16 6QZ	CFSR004	Weetwood	Butcher Hill Local Centre	233	Outside Centre & 300m Buffer	Sequentially inappropriate.

See plan 5.2V showing the location of the ‘call for sites’ submissions in the table above.

QUESTIONS ON RETAIL ISSUES AND OPTIONS

The council would like your views on the proposed boundaries and frontage designations.

R1. Do you have any comments on the proposed centre and Primary Shopping Area (PSA) boundary? Please state the centre/s to which your comments relate.

Use plans to support your comments where possible.

- R2. Do you have any comments on the proposed frontage designations? Please state the centre/s to which your comments relate.**

Use plans to support your comments where possible.

- R3. Do you have any comments on the 'call for sites', sites coming forward for retail uses within the plan period?**

- R4. Do you have any other sites to suggest for retail development? (please provide details and plans).**

5.3.0 NORTH HOUSING ISSUES AND OPTIONS:

5.3.1 See Volume 1, pages 16 - 20 for a full explanation of the approach to considering which sites should be allocated for housing. See plan 5.3 Housing showing the sites referred to in this section.

5.3.2 **Total housing target for North** (set out in the Core Strategy) = 6,000 units (9% of District wide total)

Total number of dwellings/capacity we are seeking:

The target of 6,000 residential units does not mean that land for 6,000 new units has to be allocated for housing. From the overall total, existing allocations (previous UDP housing allocations not developed) and planning permissions with units still remaining to be built as at 31.3.12 will be deducted. These sites are listed in table 5.3.1 below and will count towards the overall target. They are shown in lime green on plan 5.3 Housing.

Table 5.3.1.

Table illustrating existing permissions and allocations as at 31.3.12. These sites are shown in lime green on the plan.

Site Ref	HLA Ref	Address	Capacity	Complete	Under construction	Not started
Allocations - not yet developed						
685	2600 230	Eastmoor Tile Lane LS16	67	0	0	67
687	2601 660	Dunstarn Lane Adel LS 16	30	2	0	28
688	2601 670	Silk Mill Drive LS 16	20	0	0	20
720	2700 070	Westbrook Lane Horsforth	31	16	0	15
721	2700 071	Westbrook Lane Horsforth	75	0	0	75
731	2700 990	Victoria Avenue Horsforth	15	0	0	15
Sites 0.4ha+ with planning permission						
34	2701 490	Riverside Mills, Low Hall Road, Horsforth, Leeds, LS18 4EF*	90*	0	0	90*
1092	2405 340	Former Boston Diner, St Anns Lane, Burley, Leeds	12	0	0	12
689	2600 161	Former Cookridge Hospital, Silk Mill Way LS16	19	0	0	19
689	2602 690	Cookridge Hospital LS16	169	0	19	150
3	2603 570	3 Monkbridge Road LS6	9	0	0	9
376	2603 750	Ring Road West Park, Silk Mill Way And Iverson Drive	485	0	0	485
3010	2603 790	Farrar Lane, Adel, Leeds, LS16 7NQ	52	0	0	52
626	2404 810	Kirkstall Forge Abbey Road LS5*	970*	0	0	970*
6	2603 830	Former Victoria Nursing Home, 224 Kirkstall Lane, Kirkstall, Leeds	50	0	0	50
0	2603 850	Spensfield House Otley Road Headingley	13	0	0	13
65	2701	Woodside Mill Low Lane Horsforth	59	0	0	59

Site Ref	HLA Ref	Address	Capacity	Complete	Under construction	Not started
	380					
124	3001 990	Beech Lodge Park Avenue LS8	13	9	0	4
762	3002 380	Chandos Avenue LS8	55	54	1	0
764	3002 420	The Mansion Woodlea Drive LS6	49	24	0	25
3151	3002 620	Queenshill Court LS17	62	56	6	0
253	3002 660	Land Off Cranmer Gardens, Alwoody, Leeds, LS17	20	0	0	20
845	3401 782	Mansion House Mansion Gate Drive LS9	37	0	0	37
106	3402 610	Civil Service Sports Association Ground, Newton Road, Chapeltown, Leeds, LS7	14	0	0	14
1339	2701 480	Former Clariant Works, Calverley Lane, Horsforth, Leeds, LS18	400	0	0	400
Sites 0.2ha to 0.4ha with planning permission						
3217	2403 900	232 Burley Road LS4	11	4	0	7
3184	2405 390	The Former Dutton Arms, Queenswood Drive, Headingley, Leeds	9	0	0	9
0	2603 250	116 Cardigan Road LS6	14	0	0	14
3201	2603 840	The Former Lounge Cinema North Lane Headingley	12	0	0	12
3207	3002 520	Rear 268-274 Shadwell Lane LS17	8	0	0	8
3215	3002 550	The Grove North Lane LS8	7	1	0	6
3301	3002 670	128 Wetherby Road, Roundhay, LS8 2JZ	5	0	0	5
3341	3002 690	Rear Of The Hollies, Park Avenue, Roundhay, Leeds, LS8 2JJ	5	0	0	5
Sites below 0.2ha with planning permission						
0	2404 860	83 Cardigan Lane LS4	22	0	0	22
0	2404 950	Eden Mount LS4	17	0	0	17
0	2602 780	25-7 Bennett Road LS6	8	0	8	0
0	2603 270	45 St Michael's Lane LS6	11	0	0	11
0	2603 310	1 North Grange Mount LS6	11	0	0	11
689	2603 740	Cookridge Hospital - Conversion	77	0	0	77
0	2603 760	51 - 61 Otley Road And 3 - 9 North Lane, LS6	14	0	0	14
3177	2603 820	Granby Street, Headingley, Leeds, LS6	5	0	0	5
0	2603 920	8 Holly Bank, Otley Road, Headingley, Leeds, LS6 4DJ	7	0	7	0
0	2701 370	Long Row Horsforth	7	0	0	7
0	2701 410	Throstle Nest Villa, New Road Side	8	0	0	8
3178	2701 430	85 Broadgate Lane, Horsforth, Leeds, LS18	5	0	5	0
3185	2701 440	Former Stanhope Drive Youth Centre, Stanhope Drive, Horsforth, Leeds	7	0	0	7
0	2701 470	Low Fold Garage, New Road Side, Horsforth, Leeds	5	0	0	5
0	3002	Sutherland Avenue LS8	27	19	8	0

Site Ref	HLA Ref	Address	Capacity	Complete	Under construction	Not started
	370					
0	3002 600	468 Harrogate Road LS17	10	0	0	10
0	3002 640	467 Street Lane, Roundhay, Leeds	6	0	0	6
3181	3402 640	2 St Martin's Road, Leeds, LS7 3LX	5	0	0	5
0	3402 660	321 Chapeltown Road, Chapeltown, Leeds, LS7 3LL	6	0	0	6
0	3402 690	3 Park Crescent, Roundhay, Leeds	5	0	0	5
North Leeds TOTAL			3150	185	54	2911

* Riverside Mills, Low Hall Road, Horsforth - site split between Aireborough (60 units) and North Leeds (90 units)

* Kirkstall Forge, Abbey Road - site split between Outer West (415 units) and North Leeds (970 units)

The number of dwellings still to be built (still under construction or not started) is 54+ 2911 (last 2 totals in table) = 2965 dwellings still to be built from existing permissions and allocations.

So, the residual target is 6000 – 2965 = **3035 units remaining to find from pool of SHLAA sites as at 31.3.12.**

5.3.3 As Volume 1 para 8.3 explains figures will constantly change as planning permissions are granted through the course of production of this plan. In addition, the target set in the Core Strategy could change as the Core Strategy plan is not yet adopted. The target for each area is therefore based on information at a point in time. If the final target is less, we will be able to further select from the pool of sites the ones we consider most suitable for development. If the final target is more we will have to reconsider some sites, or consider further suggestions for sites.

5.3.4 Sites 'sieved out' of the assessment process (removed from further consideration)

See page 17 Volume 1 for an explanation of sites which have been sieved out as a first stage in the overall assessment process.

Table 5.3.2 Sieved out sites prior to site assessments in North

Site ref	Address	Reason sieved out
1339	Clariant Production UK Limited, Calverley Lane, Horsforth, LS18 4RP	Not within settlement hierarchy
3016	Low Hall Farm, Low Hall Road, Horsforth, LS18	Not within settlement hierarchy

These sites are shown in purple on Plan 5.3 Housing.

5.3.5 Remaining sites in the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) to assess

The sites remaining in SHLAA after taking account of sites in table 5.3.1 and table 5.3.2 are the ones left to assess to see which may have potential as housing allocations. A site assessment methodology has been developed. The site

proforma including the Green Belt Review Assessment is attached at Volume 1 Appendix.

All sites have been assessed using this proforma and the Green Belt Review assessment undertaken where relevant. In addition a sustainability appraisal has been undertaken of all sites surveyed. See the Issues and Options Sustainability Appraisal Report.

From undertaking this process, sites have been categorised according to the following colour coding:

Green – sites which have the greatest potential to be allocated for housing.

Amber – sites which have potential but there may be issues which need to be resolved, or the site may not be in such a favoured location as those highlighted in green.

Red – sites which are not considered suitable for allocation for housing.

Table 5.3.3 shows the colour coding and reasons for the sites being within the relevant categories. The colour coding and sites listed are shown on Plan 5.3 Housing.

TABLE 5.3.3: SITES ASSESSED FOR POTENTIAL HOUSING ALLOCATIONS IN NORTH

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
1	16	29 Sandhill Lane, Moortown, Leeds LS17 6AJ	Moortown	0.426	10	Brownfield site within urban area. Suitable in principle for residential development.
2	37	Land at Vesper Road, Kirkstall	Kirkstall	0.482	15	Brownfield site within urban area, suitable for residential development in principle.
3	81	Land Rear High Moor Court, High Moor Avenue, Moor Allerton	Moortown	0.903	32	Within the urban area. The eastern half of the site is designated as greenspace (N1) on the existing UDP. Loss of greenspace would need to be considered through the greenspace review. See greenspace section, page 30, question G8. Otherwise, residential use is acceptable in principle.
4	82	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 Moor Allerton Gardens, Moor Allerton	Moortown	0.470	16	Given proximity of the site to the town centre the site could be appropriate for retail and other town centre uses as well as residential. Residential use is acceptable on upper floors in principle.
5	94	7-9 Sandhill Lane, Moortown, Leeds, LS17 6AG	Moortown	0.408	2	Has planning permission granted 5th November 2012 for undeveloped section (two units). Work has begun on site.
6	97	Meanwood Road Working Men's Club, Meanwood Road, Leeds	Moortown	0.471	9	Town centre location - potential for mixed use or residential on upper floors acceptable in principle.
7	118	21 And 23 The View, Alwoodley, Leeds LS17 7NA	Alwoodley	0.436	6	Brownfield site within the main urban area. The site has two properties on site currently. Residential use acceptable in principle.
8	120	55 Harrogate Road, Chapel Allerton, Leeds, LS7 3RU	Chapel Allerton	0.563	40	Appropriate for retail and/or offices as within Chapel Allerton town centre. Current application for Morrisons foodstore on site. Residential would be suitable on upper floors in principle but primary use should be retail.

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
9	174	University Of Leeds Tetley Hall, 40-42 Moor Road, 11	Weetwood	2.557	114	The site has permission for redevelopment of the former halls of residence, granted 2nd August, 2012 for a total 114 units comprising 4 storey residential care home, 3 blocks of 54 flats, 2 blocks of 14 townhouses, conversion of stables to detached house, with landscaping and public open space.
10	177	54 And 56 Broomfield, Adel, Leeds, LS16 7AD	Adel and Wharfedale	0.443	5	Permission has been granted for demolition of number 54 Broomfield and replacement with two dwellings. Suitable in principle for residential development.
11	235	Land At Clarence Road, Horsforth, Leeds LS18 4LB	Horsforth	0.707	5	Site within the urban area. Suitable in principle for residential development.
12	1014	Land at Fraser Avenue, Horsforth	Horsforth	1.238	45	The site is designated as proposed greenspace (N5) on the existing UDP. See also greenspace section, page 30, question G9. Highway concerns re achieving a suitable access.
13	1015	Land South of Lee Lane West, Horsforth	Horsforth	30.13	791	Green Belt site. The site has an important function in providing access to the countryside and views across the site to open countryside beyond. It is highly visible, with landscape value and a designated Local Nature Area within the site. The site performs poorly in highways and accessibility terms.
14	1016	Land at Broadway and Calverley Lane, Horsforth	Horsforth	0.567	13	Green Belt site, adjoining the main urban area with strong links to Horsforth. A main road borders the site to the west, residential development to the east and the education site to the south, so the site is well contained. Highway concerns regarding local congestion, mitigation measures required.
15	1019	Land off Gledhow Valley Road, Chapel Allerton, LS7 4ND	Chapel Allerton	1.976	30	Part of site is unused allotments and designated as allotments (N1A) on the existing UDP. See also greenspace section, page 30, question G10. Significant tree cover on a large part of the site as part of wider Gledhow Valley Woods.

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
16	1026	Cardigan Fields, Kirkstall Road	Kirkstall	0.91	33	Half of the site is covered by a recent planning approval for an Aldi supermarket. Adjacent to waste transfer station.
17	1033	Government Buildings, Otley Road, LS16 5PU	Adel and Wharfedale	4.699	142	The site is in an established residential area. Residential development acceptable in principle. The southern boundary is treed offering a substantial buffer to the open amenity space to the south.
18	1062	The former waste water treatment work, Horsforth	Horsforth	3.089	53	Green Belt site. The site is contained by a main road to the north, the river to the south, residential to the west and the Kirkstall Forge site to the east, so relates well to the urban area and development would be well contained and not set a precedent for further Green Belt sprawl. The site is being considered as a possible future school site. We are consulting Education on all sites and any comments received will be considered before making final decisions on sites.
19	1079	Long Causeway, Adel, LS16 8DU	Adel and Wharfedale	3.260	86	Green Belt site. In conjunction with sites 1243 and 1246 development could be seen to effectively 'round off' the settlement form. Highway concerns regarding accessibility and access.
20	1120	Land at Headingley Lane, Headingley	Headingley	2.347	36	Previous approvals for residential. Site includes NGT (New Generation Transport) route off A660 making access difficult and significantly reducing the developable area.
21	1138	Land adjacent to Beechwood, Elmete Lane, Roundhay, LS8	Roundhay	2.948	59	Green Belt site. By itself the site does not relate well to the existing settlement pattern. The site includes a number of Tree Preservation Orders (TPO's) and a listed building to the east. Significant highway concerns relating to access, accessibility and suitability of local network.
22	1151	Cookridge Lane, Cookridge, LS16	Adel and Wharfedale	1.628	50	Green Belt site adjoining the main urban area bordered by residential development to the east and a cricket pitch to the south, so relates relatively well to the existing settlement. However, there is no existing defensible boundary to the west, which could set a precedent for further sprawl.

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
23	1170	Highbury Cricket Ground, Meanwood, North East Hollins Drive	Moortown	0.99	31	Green Belt site. The site is well contained and relates well to the existing settlement form. The site is designated as a protected playing pitch (policy N6) on the existing UDP. Loss of greenspace would need to be considered through the greenspace review. See greenspace section, page 30, question G11. There is a Tree Preservation Order (TPO) on the site. Highway concerns as no existing access to site and narrow local roads.
24	1172	Former Yorkshire Bank Sports Ground, LS17	Moortown	4.670	88	Designated as proposed greenspace (N5) on the existing UDP. Loss of greenspace would need to be considered through the greenspace review. See greenspace section, page 30, question G12. Could be suitable for residential development in principle, subject to greenspace analysis.
25	1178A	Land south of Dunstarn Lane, Adel, LS16	Adel and Wharfedale	2.353	62	Green Belt site. The site has been split into 2. Site A is south of the main residential area of Adel, sloping towards the ring road. Development of the site could be considered to 'round off' the existing built up area. Access would need to be through adjacent site 687 which is a housing allocation on the existing UDP, without planning permission.
26	1178B	Land south of Dunstarn Lane, Adel, LS16	Adel and Wharfedale	10.658	280	Green Belt site. The site has been split into 2. Site B slopes more steeply to the ring road and provides a buffer between the road and development and is more removed from the existing residential area.
27	1190	Land adjoining Wetherby Road/Elmete Lane, Roundhay LS8	Roundhay	6.870	181	Green Belt site. The site borders the main urban area to the south and is well contained, with trees to the north, and roads along the remaining three sides.
28	1199 (Part of site in Aireborough area)	Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge. LS16	Adel and Wharfedale / Otley and Yeadon	9.835	173	Protected Area of Search (PAS) site on existing UDP, so not within the Green Belt. Capacity limited to 200 due to access constraints (split over two areas).

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
29	1202	Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth LS18	Horsforth	8.745	185	Green Belt site. The site is well related to the existing urban area being contained by the college to the west, existing residential to the east and the river to the south, so reducing the potential for further sprawl. Highway concerns re access - development could be accessed through the existing UDP housing allocation in the north east corner. Capacity has been reduced so this site and the housing allocation total 200, the maximum amount of units acceptable due to Highway concerns.
30	1238	Land to the rear of Oakford terrace, Low Lane, Horsforth, LS18	Horsforth	0.507	15	Green Belt site. The site does not relate well to the existing settlement form but projects out to the east of Low Lane into Green Belt and is steeply sloping. Development of the site would not constitute rounding off of the settlement. The site is also designated as proposed greenspace by policy N5 of the existing UDP. See also greenspace section, page 30, question G13. Highway concerns as no road frontage to provide access.
31	1242	Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood, LS6	Moortown	0.736	25	Site is within the urban area, and surrounded by residential uses, so residential development is acceptable in principle. Parts of the site are sloping.
32	1243	Former Rectory Paddock, Back Church Lane, Adel, LS16	Adel and Wharfedale	0.574	18	Green Belt site. In conjunction with sites 1079 and 1246 development could be seen to effectively 'round off' the settlement form. Highway concerns regarding accessibility and can only be accessed via site 1079.
33	1246	Former Rectory Paddock North of Memorial Rec Ground, Back Church Lane, Adel, LS16	Adel and Wharfedale	2.51	66	Green Belt site. In conjunction with sites 1079 and 1243 development could be seen to effectively 'round off' the settlement form. Highway concerns regarding accessibility and can only be accessed via site 1079 which may limit housing numbers.
34	1299A	Boddington Hall, Otley Road, Lawnswood, LS16	Weetwood	9.341	160	The site has outline planning permission (20th September, 2012 - estimated 160 units) for residential development.

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
35	1299B	Boddington Hall, Otley Road, Lawnswood, LS16	Weetwood	17.365	521	The site is currently designated as Protected Playing Pitch (N6) on the existing UDP. Loss of greenspace would need to be considered through the greenspace review. See greenspace section, page 31, question G14. The site is also a proposed park and ride site on the existing UDP.
36	1310	Land at Outwood Lane, Horsforth, LS18	Horsforth	3.388	121	Part of the site is designated as proposed greenspace (N5) on the existing UDP, linking to greenspace to the north west, north east and south of the site. See also greenspace section, page 31, question G15. A planning application for residential development was previously refused due to loss of greenspace, conservation reasons, and access issues. Highway concerns regarding access as the site has no road frontage and accessibility.
37	2046	North Ives Farm, Brownberrie Gardens, Horsforth, LS18	Horsforth	14.561	383	Green Belt site. The site performs a role in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment, but is relatively well contained with residential development to three sides, except the north. The site is also designated as proposed open space (N5) on the existing UDP. See also greenspace section, page 31, question G16. The site also lies within the airport public safety zone where development is not permitted and there are Highways concerns regarding access and local network constraints.
38	2049	West Park Centre, LS16	Weetwood	2.297	69	Former West Park Centre, within the urban area. Residential development acceptable in principle as this is a brownfield site within a residential area. Existing buildings on site formerly in community use.
39	2051A (Part of site is in Outer North West area)	King Lane, Alwoodley, LS17	Alwoodley	53.99	1418	Green Belt site. Development of the site would lead to a significant incursion into the Green Belt creating unrestricted sprawl. Highways concerns re. poor accessibility, access and local network capacity.
40	2051B (Part of site is in Outer North West area)	King Lane, Alwoodley, LS17	Alwoodley	2.15	56	Green Belt site. The site is unrelated to the existing settlement pattern and as such would represent a significant incursion into Green Belt. Highways concerns re. poor accessibility, access and local network capacity.

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
41	2052	Tile Lane, Adel, LS16	Adel and Wharfedale	17.171	451	Green Belt site. Development would be contained by residential development to the west and north (once the existing centre is redeveloped), and by woodland on the eastern boundary. However, no existing defensible boundary exists to the south. Highway concerns regarding poor accessibility and the narrow width of the estate road linking to the site.
42	2053A	Alwoodley Lane, Alwoodley, LS17	Alwoodley	5.880	154	Green Belt site. The site has been split into 2 sites. Site A is unrelated to the existing settlement, is nearer to Eccup Reservoir and would create an incursion into Green Belt that could set a precedent for further sprawl.
43	2053B	Alwoodley Lane, Alwoodley, LS17	Alwoodley	13.430	353	Green Belt site. The site has been split into 2 sites. Development of site B would constitute 'rounding off' of the existing settlement and is well related to the existing urban area. Concerns about local ecology impact.
44	2055	Carr Manor, Meanwood	Moortown	4.68	15	Site includes designated allotments (N1A) on existing UDP, and listed building. See also greenspace section, page 31, question G17. Conversion of listed building to residential is a possibility, but would need to maintain character of Carr Manor. Capacity revised down from 37 to 15 to reflect this. Conversion only would allow retention of allotments.
45	2058	Allerton Grange High School, Talbot Avenue, Moor Allerton, LS17	Roundhay	1.952	70	Site adjoins school and is designated as N6 - Protected Playing Pitch on the existing UDP. See also greenspace section, page 31, question G18. We are consulting Education on all sites and any comments received will be considered before making final decisions on sites.
46	2063	Cobble Hall, Roundhay, LS8	Roundhay	19.017	500	Green Belt site. Contingent on site 3315 coming forward to better relate to the urban area, and for access. Well contained site, with golf course and highway acting as a strong defensible boundary to the north. Wind turbine in centre of site. Highway concerns regarding poor accessibility and impact on the Ring Road.

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
47	2160 (Part of site in Aireborough area)	Ling Bob, Scotland Lane, Horsforth	Horsforth / Otley and Yeadon	45.330	1189	Green Belt site. The site performs a role in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment, and despite its large size, is relatively well contained with residential development to three sides, except the north. The site is situated to the north of site 2046 which falls within the airport public safety zone where development is not permitted. This makes this site more remote from the centre of Horsforth. Highway concerns regarding access, accessibility and local network constraints.
48	3008	Former Elmete Caravan Park, Elmete Lane, Roundhay, Leeds,	Roundhay	2.870	47	Green Belt site. Isolated site. Development would represent an island of development within Green Belt, unrelated to the existing urban area. The site provides a buffer between Roundhay Park and the residential area and has planning permission for a cemetery. Highway concerns regarding accessibility and substandard local network.
49	3010	Holt Park Leisure Centre, Holt Road, Adel	Adel and Wharfedale	2.83	85	The site is part of the Holt Park design brief and has been identified as having potential for residential development.
50	3014	Area within Kirkstall Hill, Beecroft Street, Commercial Road (Kirkstall District Centre)	Kirkstall	3.625	109	Pending Tesco application on site. A mix of residential and commercial retail would be appropriate given town centre location. Amber as preferred retail use, residential could be in place on upper floors.
51	3034 (The majority of this site falls within Aireborough area)	Rawdon Billings, LS19 6AB	Horsforth / Otley and Yeadon / Guiseley and Rawdon	2.66	70	Green Belt site. Only a small part of the site is within this area. The site covers the area commonly known as Rawdon Billings and envelopes Billing Hill, a protected nature area. The site is large and development would have a serious impact on the openness of the Green Belt and result in a large area of urban sprawl. The area and capacity of has been split - 2.66ha, capacity of 70 in North Leeds housing market characteristic area, majority (56.25ha) in Aireborough. Highway concerns regarding access, accessibility and suitability of local network.

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
52	3044	Cookridge Hall Golf Course/Driving Range, Cookridge Lane, Cookridge, LS16 7LQ	Adel and Wharfedale	10.448	274	Green Belt site. The site is not well related to the existing settlement form and development would represent a significant incursion into Green Belt which could set a precedent for further unrestricted sprawl.
53	3315	Land adjacent to Elmete Lane, Roundhay	Roundhay	33.769	886	Green Belt site, on the edge of the urban area. Existing defensible boundaries would prevent further sprawl. Development is contingent on site 1190 being brought forward. Highway concerns regarding suitable access may reduce capacity of site.
54	3327	Land at Bayton/Layton Road Junction, Rawdon, Leeds, LS18 5ET	Horsforth	2.224	58	Green Belt site. The site is set to the west of Bayton Lane, relatively remote from the centre of Horsforth. The site itself is relatively contained on all sides by the road and existing residential development, but development would further reduce the Green Belt gap between residential areas Highway concerns regarding access.
55	3328	Land at Layton Road, Rawdon, LS19 6QT	Horsforth	5.087	133	Green Belt site. Development would result in the coalescence of Rawdon and Horsforth, contrary to one of the purposes of Green Belts in preventing the merging of settlements.
56	3330	Land at West End Lane, Horsforth, Leeds	Horsforth	1.503	47	Green Belt Site. The site is set to the west of West End Lane which is the current boundary to Horsforth. Development here would be unrelated to the existing settlement pattern, encroach into the Green Belt and reduce the gap between settlements (Horsforth and Rawdon) contrary to one of the purposes of Green Belts in preventing the merging of settlements. Highway concerns regarding suitable access and accessibility.
57	3360 (Part of site in Outer North West area)	Cookridge Hall, Cookridge Lane, LS16 7NL	Adel and Wharfedale	39.747	1043	Green Belt site. The site is not well related to the existing settlement form and development would represent a significant incursion into Green Belt which could set a precedent for further unrestricted sprawl. Highway concerns regarding access and accessibility.

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
58	3376	Weetwood Avenue, Leeds, LS16 5NQ	Weetwood	3.971	89	Green Belt site. The site is relatively well related to the urban area, bounded by residential development to the west and south and trees to the north and east, but performs an important function as open space. The bulk of the site is designated as protected playing pitch (N6) on the existing UDP. See also greenspace section, page 31, question G19. It is also in an Urban Green Corridor and a Conservation Area.
59	3381	Brownberrie Farm, Brownberrie Lane, Horsforth, LS18	Horsforth	1.255	35	Part of the site is within Green Belt (30%). Part of the site is also a Local Nature Area (LNA) on the existing UDP. The remaining area is occupied by existing dwellings. This area alone would be too small to be allocated. Highway concerns regarding access - would need to be combined with site 3384.
60	3384	Land at Brownberrie Lane, Horsforth, LS18 5	Horsforth	0.842	12	Site within urban area. Residential use acceptable in principle. Scope for road frontage development (capacity reduced to reflect this).
61	3402	Ring Road West Park, Clayton Wood Road, Leeds LS16 6NS	Weetwood	0.98	30	Existing employment site, surrounded by other employment uses and Clayton Wood. Therefore considered inappropriate for residential.
62	3457	Land adjacent to Water Treatment Works, Headingley, LS6 3	Weetwood	0.913	32	Site within existing urban area where residential use is acceptable in principle. Highway concerns regarding access.
63	4000	Ralph Thoresby (Site F) Holt Park, Leeds	Adel and Wharfedale	0.373	15	Site is designated as greenspace (N1) on the existing UDP. Loss of greenspace would need to be considered through the greenspace review. See greenspace section, page 31, question G20. No existing facilities or features on the site. Could have some potential for residential development. Adjacent a school and residential area.
64	4013	Land at Elmete Lane, Roundhay	Roundhay	1.458	52	Green Belt site. Residential property and associated land to west, and field to east. Would only allocate alongside site 3315. If 3315 was released for development, site 4013 would round off development. Significant Highway concerns regarding access, accessibility and local network constraints.

No. of sites assessed	Site Ref and colour coding	Site Address	Ward	Site Area (ha.)	Site Capacity	Summary Reason for Colour Coding.
65	4021	Horsforth Mills, Low Lane, Horsforth	Horsforth	0.696	22	Site currently on the market with vacant possession. Site is in flood zone 3 (high risk). We are consulting the Environment Agency on all sites and their comments will be taken into consideration before making a final decision on allocations.
66	4055	Former Police Station, Broadway, Horsforth	Horsforth	0.406	13	Site would be suitable for residential development in principle. However could also come forward for employment/retail use as a result of proximity to centres.
67	4056	Church Lane, Horsforth	Horsforth	0.744	23	Site would be suitable for residential development in principle. May also be appropriate for offices given proximity to Town Street and New Road Side.
68	4057	St Joseph's, Outwood Lane, Horsforth	Horsforth	0.833	26	Residential use acceptable in principle. Previous applications on site.
69	4058	Cockcroft House, Cardigan Road, Headingley	Headingley	0.524	17	Part of site has modern residential institution which could be redeveloped. The older property should be maintained, but could potentially be converted to residential subject to detail. Suitable for residential use in principle.
70	4092	St Annes Mills	Kirkstall	0.878	28	Current employment site that has been highlighted as necessary to retain.
71	4094	South of Fearnville Place	Gipton and Harehills / Killingbeck and Seacroft	7.532	198	Designated greenspace (N1) and allotments (N1A) on the existing UDP. Even if some of this wider green space were necessary for housing this site is poorly situated and would encroach greatly into its corridor function. Site boundary includes stretch of Wyke beck itself. See also greenspace section, page 31, question G20.
CALL FOR SITES:						
72	CFSM024 (Site ref 1033)	Government Buildings, Lawnswood, Otley Road, Leeds, LS16 2PU	Adel and Wharfedale	4.697	150-200	Uses requested - Housing, Retail, Other (Car Showroom / Hotel). Same site as site ref 1033, principle for housing acceptable.

5.3.6 Para 5.3.2 identifies that in this area we need to allocate sites to accommodate 3035 residential units. From table 5.3.3 above, the total capacity from green sites alone is 911. The total capacity from amber sites is 2690. The total from both green and amber is 3601 which is over and above the 3035 we are seeking, so not all green and amber sites will eventually need to be allocated. At this stage, we are seeking views as to whether we have got the colour coding right and which are the most suitable sites. Alternative sites can also be suggested.

QUESTIONS ON SITES PUT FORWARD TO CONSIDER FOR HOUSING

'GREEN' SITES

H1. Do you agree that the sites that have been identified as 'green' represent the most suitable sites to consider allocating for future housing development?

Yes/No

Reason

H2. Which sites do you disagree with and why? (Give site ref no. and reason)

H3. Do you think a site that is not colour coded green should have been? If so, please give site ref no. and reason.

'AMBER' SITES

H4. Do you agree that the sites that have been identified as 'amber' represent sites with potential for allocating for future housing development?

Yes/No

Reason

H5. Which sites do you disagree with and why? (Give site ref no. and reason)

H6. Do you think a site that is not colour coded amber should have been? If so, please give site ref no. and reason.

'RED' SITES

H7. Do you agree that the sites that have been identified as 'red' are not suitable for allocation for future housing development?

Yes/No

Reason

H8. Which sites do you disagree with and why? (Give site ref no. and reason).

H9. Do you think a site that is not colour coded red should have been? If so, please give site ref no. and reason.

OTHER SITES?

H10. Do you think there are other more suitable sites not shown on the plan that could be considered as future housing allocations? If so, please supply details – address and site plan.

PHASING

H11. The Site Allocations Plan will need to also identify phasing of housing allocations (see Volume 1 page 20). The phases are:

Delivery/development in the short term, 0-5 years

Delivery/development in the medium term, 5-10 years

Delivery/development in the long term, 10 + years

Do you think any particular sites should be developed in the short, medium or long term? If so, please state site ref no of site and phase (short, medium or long term) and why.

GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITES (See Volume 1, para 8.18)

H12. Do you think that any sites being considered in this area could be suitable for gypsy and traveller site use? Please state reason, and list site ref no. of any specific sites.

H13. Do you think there are other more suitable sites not shown on the plan that could be considered for future gypsy and traveller site use? If so, please supply details – address and site plan.

ELDERLY ACCOMMODATION (See Volume 1, para 8.19)

H14. Do you think that any sites being considered in this area could be suitable for use solely or in part for elderly housing accommodation? Please state reason, and list site ref no. of specific sites.

H15. Do you think there are other more suitable sites not shown on the plan that could be considered for elderly housing accommodation? If so, please supply details – address and site plan.

5.4.0 NORTH EMPLOYMENT ISSUES AND OPTIONS:

See Plan 5.4 Employment

5.4.1 The employment sites in North have been assessed to determine their total contribution towards an overall district requirement of **1,000,000 sq m** office based development and **493 hectares** of industrial and warehousing. Sites which either have planning permission for employment uses (as at 31.3.12) and/or are allocated for employment as part of the existing development plan and are to be retained for employment are shown as lime green on plan 5.4. These sites will count towards the employment requirement.

In North these sites are:

Table 5.4.1: Office based development

'Lime Green' sites for office development.

Site Ref	Address	Site area (ha)	Total floorspace (sq m)	Reason for retention
Lime Green				
2601361	Ex-Gilchrist Bros Ltd Ring Road West Park LS16	1.43	4950	Retain recommended due to its location adjacent to existing employment uses. Employment land is the dominant land use in the area.
2701350	S/O Troy Mills Troy Road LS18	0.57	1140	Retain for offices in mixed use scheme 07/02260/FU approved 6/3/2008 and part-developed. Consent for remaining office building.
3002680	355 Roundhay Road, Leeds	0.26	580	Current site with planning permission for employment purposes.
TOTAL		2.26	6670	

Table 5.4.2: Industrial & Warehousing

'Lime Green' sites for industrial and warehousing development.

Site Ref	Address	Site area (ha)	Reason for retention
Lime Green			
2404192	Premises Of J W Hinchliffe Scrap Yard Weaver Str	0.28	Current site with planning permission for employment purposes
2404190	Lcc Depot Off Viaduct Road Leeds LS4	0.29	Current site with planning permission for employment purposes
2404193	Former Gas Holder Station Burley Place Leeds LS4	0.46	A small site with remediation completed. Best suited to small-scale low-sensitivity commercial uses such as B1c/B2/B8.
2405330	Unit 2 St Anns Mills off Commercial Road Kirkstall	0.22	Current site with planning permission for employment purposes
TOTAL		1.25	

5.4.2 Sites assessed for employment are those sites from the Employment Land Review which are categorised as 'LDF to determine' sites and new sites submitted through the 'Call for Sites'. There were no 'call for sites' for employment, or mixed use including employment submitted in North. There will of course be numerous existing employment sites both in use or last in use for employment which do not require planning permission or allocation. From undertaking assessments, sites have been categorised according to the following colour coding:

Green	'To assess' sites which have the greatest potential to be allocated for employment.
Amber	'To assess' sites which have potential but there may be issues which need to be resolved, or the site may not be in such a favoured location as those highlighted in green.
Red	'Remove' sites from the Employment Land Review and 'To assess' sites which are not considered suitable for allocation for employment.

Table 5.4.3 below shows the colour coding and reasons for the sites being within the relevant categories. The sites are shown on Plan 5.4 Employment.

Table 5.4.3 SITES ASSESSED FOR POTENTIAL EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATIONS IN NORTH

Colour code	Site Ref	Address	Site area (ha)	Total floorspace (sq m)	Assessment type	Conclusion	Reason for colour coding
Offices							
Green							
	2404570	Office Element Kirkstall Forge Scheme Abbey Road LS5	3.57	14270	ELR	Retain	Reflects the outline planning permission for mixed use granted 20/7/2007.
	2602760	Bodington Business Park Otley Road LS16	6.50	24375	Site assessment	Retain	Retain. Boundary to be amended. Northern part of site residential but retain remaining as employment.
Amber							
None							
Red							
	3401980	Mansion & Former Kitchen Garden Gledhow Park Dri	0.72	2700	ELR	Remove	Planning permission granted for conversion of offices to flats and erection of a further block of flats; 07/02971/FU refers.
Industry							
Green							
None							
Amber							
None							
Red							
	2404191	Premises Of A Taylor & Son Weaver Street LS4	0.49		ELR	Remove	Site is not available.
	2601811	Moor Grange West Park LS 16	0.68		ELR	Remove	Land-locked site within a Local Nature Reserve (LNR 026). Any development would result in loss of established trees. Highway issues.
	2601360	Ex-Woodside Quarries Clayton Wood Road LS16	8.86		ELR	Remove	Constraints too costly to deal with. Outline consent for a housing-led mixed use 'urban village' granted March 2010. Not suitable for B2-B8.

QUESTIONS ON SITES PUT FORWARD TO CONSIDER FOR EMPLOYMENT

E1. Do you think a site that is not colour coded 'green' should have been? If so, please state which site (site ref) this is and why.

E2. Do you think a site that is not colour coded 'amber' should have been? If so, please state which site (site ref) this is and why.

E3. Do you agree that the sites that have been identified as 'red' are not suitable for allocation for future employment or office development?

Yes/No

Reason

E4. Do you think there are other more suitable sites not shown on the plan that could be considered as future employment or office allocations? If so, please supply details – address and site plan.

5.5.0 NORTH GREENSPACE ISSUES AND OPTIONS:

5.5.1 The two plans at the end of this document show 1) greenspace sites currently designated through the UDP Review 2006 and sites identified through the open space audit in the North Area (Plan 5.5A) and 2) the categories or types of greenspace (Plan 5.5B). There are two elements to consider, firstly the changes being proposed to the allocated greenspace as a result of the 2011 Open Space, Sport & Recreation Assessment (*hereafter referred to as the 'Open Space Audit'*) and secondly the implications of the subsequent assessments undertaken in relation to surpluses and deficiencies, quality and accessibility to greenspace. Sites that are proposed for deletion following the Open Space Audit are listed at the end of this document and are the sites which are not overlain by hatching on Plan 5.5A. The detailed assessment of greenspace that supports this section can be found in the Greenspace Background Paper. Sites proposed to be deleted will be considered in the context of the surpluses and deficiencies identified in each particular area

5.5.2 Core Strategy policy G3 sets quantity, quality and accessibility standards for these different types of open space:

Type	Quantity per 1000 people	Quality (Sites were scored from 1 to 10, 10 being excellent quality, 1 very poor. A score of 7 is considered good)	Accessibility
Parks and gardens	1 hectare	Good (7)	720 metres
Outdoor sports provision	1.2 hectares (does not include education provision)	Good (7)	Tennis court 720 metres, bowling greens and grass playing pitches 3.2km, athletics tracks, synthetic pitches 6.4km
Amenity greenspace	0.45 hectares	Good (7)	480 metres
Children and young people's equipped play facilities	2 facilities (per 1000 children/young people 0-16 years old)(excluding education provision)	Good (7)	720 metres
Allotments	0.24 hectares	Good (7)	960 metres
Natural greenspace	0.7 hectares main urban area and major settlements, 2 hectares other areas	Good (7)	720 metres and 2km from site of 20 hectares
City Centre open space (all types including civic space)	0.41 hectares	Good (7)	720 metres

5.5.3 There are no standards in the Core Strategy for cemeteries, green corridors and golf courses, therefore there is no analysis of surpluses and deficiencies for these typologies. They are, however, shown on Plan 5.5B for completeness.

5.5.4 Quantity

5.5.5 The table below sets out the amount of surplus land or the deficiency in provision for each greenspace type. It does not include sites that are in educational use since these can only be used by the children attending that school and therefore cannot be considered to be publicly accessible.

Table 5.5.1 Surpluses and deficiencies in different types of greenspace in North

	Parks and Gardens	Outdoor Sports (excluding education)	Amenity	Children & Young People	Allotments	Natural
Standard	1ha/1000 people	1.2ha/1000 people	0.45ha/1000 people	2 facilities/1000 children	0.24ha/1000 people	0.7ha/1000 people
Horsforth	Deficiency (-0.08ha)	Surplus (0.5ha)	Deficiency (-0.25ha)	Deficiency of 2.8 facilities	Deficiency (-0.07ha)	Surplus (3.03ha)
Adel & Wharfedale	Surplus (2.57ha)	Surplus (0.54ha)	Deficiency (-0.05ha)	Surplus of 1 facility	Deficiency (-0.22ha)	
Kirkstall	Surplus (1.76ha)	Surplus (0.34ha)	Surplus (0.06ha)	Surplus of 0.16 facilities	Surplus (0.44ha)	Surplus (0.15ha)
Headingley	Deficiency (-0.9ha)	Deficiency (-1.08ha)	Deficiency (-0.36ha)	Surplus of 1.45 facilities	Deficiency (-0.02ha)	Deficiency (-0.12ha)
Weetwood	Surplus (0.05ha)	Deficiency (-0.29ha)	Deficiency (-0.14ha)	Deficiency of 1.07 facilities	Deficiency (-0.14ha)	Surplus (2.9ha)
Moortown	Surplus (0.45ha)	Deficiency (-0.74ha)	Surplus (0.78ha)	Surplus of 0.11 facilities	Deficiency (-0.18ha)	Surplus (1.2ha)
Alwoodley	Deficiency (-0.55 ha)	Deficiency (-0.36ha)	Surplus (0.15)	Deficiency of 6 facilities	Deficiency (-0.23 ha)	Surplus (1.91 ha)
Roundhay	Surplus (5.67ha)	Deficiency (-0.06ha)	Deficiency (-0.26ha)	Deficiency of 1.8 facilities	Deficiency (0.09ha)	Surplus (1.78ha)
Chapel Allerton	Deficiency (-0.15ha)	Deficiency (-0.32ha)	Surplus (0.32ha)	Deficiency of 1.5 facilities	Surplus (0.01ha)	Surplus (0.19ha)
Average	Surplus (0.975ha)	Deficiency (-0.31ha)	Requirement met (0.45ha total)	Deficiency of 1.137 facilities	Deficiency (-0.056ha)	Surplus (1.71ha)

5.5.6 Overall the North area is well provided for in terms of certain types of greenspace, though deficient in others. The most notable deficiency is in allotments, with some wards having very significant deficiencies. It should also be noted that most of the 9 wards generally have a reasonable level of provision, whilst lacking in some typologies this tends to be compensated with other typologies. However this is not the case in Headingley ward where there are deficiencies in all typologies except children's and young people's equipped play facilities. Kirkstall Ward has the best provision with surplus in all typologies.

5.5.7 The quantity of Parks and Gardens is very unevenly distributed across the area with some wards being very deficient (Headingley) and other wards having a large surplus (Adel and Wharfedale, Roundhay). The best provision is in natural greenspace with only Headingley ward having a deficiency. Horsforth, Adel and Wharfedale and Weetwood have very significant provision of natural greenspace. It should be noted that outdoor sport excludes a significant number of sport facilities within education facilities as they have been universally regarded as for the use of the school only and private. In some cases communities will have access to school pitches and facilities therefore these deficiencies may not exist.

5.5.8 There is a need to provide certain specific types of greenspace across all 9 wards. This could be achieved by laying out some of the surplus areas of alternative

greenspace types e.g. lay out some of the surplus natural greenspace to allotments which are deficient. Alternatively new areas which aren't currently greenspace could be laid out to improve quantity of provision. This could be delivered by a developer as a requirement on new residential development or by the Council following the payment of commuted sums. If the typology of an area of greenspace is to be changed, it will need to be carefully assessed to ensure it is suitable and appropriate for the new type and not a well used and valued area of the original typology.

5.5.9 A number of greenspace sites have been suggested for housing. The following questions (page 29 to 31) seek views as to whether the sites should be retained for their current or alternative greenspace use, or might be better used for housing in preference to land elsewhere within the area.

5.5.10 Quality

5.5.11 Across the North area, the majority of sites (194 out of 238) are below the required quality standard of 7, which indicates an issue of substandard greenspace provision across all typologies in the area. The lack of good quality natural greenspace and allotment sites is particularly noticeable.

5.5.12 Accessibility

5.5.13 Most of the built up area is accessible to at least some types of greenspace. Accessibility to amenity space and tennis courts is generally good, though there are areas to the west around Horsforth which are beyond the G3 standard of 480m. Accessibility to natural greenspace and children and young people's play facilities are very good with the majority of the area falling within the 720m radius of each. There is good accessibility to all other typologies.

QUESTIONS ABOUT GREENSPACE PROVISION IN NORTH

General

- G1. Do you have any comments on the proposed boundary amendments, additions and deletions to the greenspace provision in the area as shown on greenspace plan A?**
- G2. Do you think the Council should consider changing the type of greenspace where that type of greenspace is in surplus (ie more than meets the standard) to another type of greenspace that falls short of the standards?**
- G3. Do you think the Council should consider allowing development of any of the greenspace sites where that type of greenspace is in surplus (ie more than meets the standard)? If so, which sites?**
- G4. The quality of many existing greenspace sites in the area falls below the required standard. Do you agree that resources (including commuted sums obtained from planning permissions and legal agreements) should be channelled to improving quality of existing sites?**

- G5. Alternatively, if a site is of poor quality and/or disused, do you think it is better to consider allowing development of that site to generate resources to invest in greenspace elsewhere?**
- G6. Do you agree that, where opportunities arise, new greenspace provision should be provided in areas that fall below accessibility distance standards, to ensure residents have adequate access to different types of greenspace?**
- G7. Have you any other comments/suggestions about greenspace provision in the area?**

Specific to North:

- G8. The existing UDP N1 greenspace designation at High Moor Avenue and the open space to the west identified as amenity greenspace in the Open Space Audit, has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 81, see page 10). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G9. The existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at land at Fraser Avenue, Horsforth has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 1014, see page 11). It was not identified as in a greenspace use in the Open Space Audit and has clearly not been delivered through Policy N5, therefore it is proposed to delete the allocation. Do you think this land should be retained as an opportunity for possible future greenspace or could it be released for housing?**
- G10. Part of the existing UDP N1A (allotments) designation at land off Gledhow Valley Road, Chapel Allerton has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 1019, see page 11). It was not identified as in a greenspace use in the Open Space Audit therefore it is proposed to amend the boundary of the allocation to exclude this land. Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G11. The existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at Highbury Cricket Ground, North East Hollins Drive, Meanwood and the open space to the west identified as outdoor sport in the Open Space Audit, has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 1170, see page 13). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G12. The existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at the Former Yorkshire Bank Sports Ground, Shadwell Lane and the open space to the east identified as outdoor sport in the Open Space Audit, has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 1172, see page 13). Do you think this site could be developed for housing or should it be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies)?**
- G13. A significant part of the existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at land to rear of Oakford Terrace, Low Lane, Horsforth has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 1238, see page 14). The majority of this site was identified as natural greenspace in the Open Space Audit. Do you**

think this land should be retained as an opportunity for possible future greenspace or could it be released for housing?

- G14.** A small part of the existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at Boddington Hall, Otley Road, Lawnswood has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 1299B, see page 15). The whole N6 allocation (including the area beyond the site) and the whole site was identified as outdoor sport in educational ownership in the Open Space Audit. Do you think this land (the existing N6 allocation and the new areas identified through the Open Space Audit) should be allocated as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?
- G15.** The existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at land at Outwood Lane, Horsforth has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 1310, see page 15). It was not identified as in a greenspace use in the Open Space Audit and has clearly not been delivered through Policy N5, therefore it is proposed to delete the allocation. Do you think this land should be retained as an opportunity for possible future greenspace or could it be released for housing?
- G16.** The existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at land at North Ives Farm, Brownberrie Gardens, Horsforth has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 2046, see page 15). It was not identified as in a greenspace use in the Open Space Audit and has clearly not been delivered through Policy N5, therefore it is proposed to delete the allocation (called land north of Brownberrie Walk/ Avenue for greenspace purposes). Do you think this land should be retained as an opportunity for possible future greenspace or could it be released for housing?
- G17.** The existing UDP N1A (allotments) designation at Carr Manor, Meanwood has been put forward as part of a possible housing site (site ref 2055, see page 16). The site was identified as allotments in the Open Space Audit. Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?
- G18.** Part of the existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at Allerton Grange Hill School, Talbot Avenue, Moor Allerton, identified as outdoor sport in educational ownership in the Open Space Audit, has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 2058, see page 16). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?
- G19.** The existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at Weetwood Avenue, Far Headingley (Weetwood Sports Ground) has been put forward as part of a possible housing site (site ref 3376, see page 19). The site was identified as outdoor sport in the Open Space Audit. Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?
- G20.** The N1 greenspace UDP designation South of Fearnville Place has been put forward as a possible housing site (site ref 4094, see page 20). Do you think this site should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?

Appendix 1

UDP designated greenspace sites not identified as greenspace in the Open Space Audit – proposed to be deleted

Open Space type	Ref number	Address	Reasons for proposed deletion
N1	9/3	Holtdale Approach, Holt Park	Less than the 0.2ha threshold.
N1	16/16	Kirkstall Lane, Kirkstall	Privately owned, no public access
N1	16/19	St Michael's Lane, Headingley	2 areas less than the 0.2ha threshold.
N1	16/34	The Village Street, Burley	Less than the 0.2ha threshold.
N1	18/5	Leafield Towers, Moortown	2 areas less than the 0.2ha threshold.
N5 (proposed open space)		Land at Outwood Lane, Horsforth	Proposed site still in an agricultural rather than a greenspace use
N5 (proposed open space)	14/6X	Land at Fraser Avenue, Horsforth	Proposed site still in an agricultural rather than a greenspace use.
N5 (proposed open space)	14/21	Land north of Brownberrie Walk/ Avenue	Proposed site still in an agricultural rather than a greenspace use
N6 (playing pitch)	27/4X	Talbot Road Sports Ground, Roundhay	Developed for housing
N6 (playing pitch)	13/8	Springbank Primary School, Headingley	Developed – hard standing/car parking.



Contact Details

Write to: Local Development Framework
Leeds City Council
Thoresby House, Level 3
2 Rossington Street
LEEDS LS2 8HD

Telephone: 0113 247 78092

Email: ldf@leeds.gov.uk

Web: www.leeds.gov.uk/siteallocations

Site Allocations Plan

Leeds Local Development Framework

Issues and Options for the Plan

June 2013