

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1190

Housing

Wetherby Road/Elmete Lane (land adjoining), Roundhay LS8

Name: Rita Heaton

Representor No: PRS01956

Representation ID: REP04139 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. This development would vastly increase traffic on Wood Hill Road, it can hardly cope as it is. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Buses and cars are often seen to mount the pavement in order to pass each other. There is no room to widen Wood Hill Road. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. This means even more traffic to get to horsforth and in the opposite direction to headingley. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Rain and melt water could cause flooding at horsforth station and beyond. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. My feeling is that this development would be disastrous to wildlife and add problems to our area.

Name: Anthony And Antonia Gilpin

Representor No: PRS02935

Representation ID: REP05498 Question Ref: H4

For full response see rep.

We have shown in this assessment that the site is wholly appropriate for development given its proximity to the main urban area, good public transport links and access to services and facilities including high quality open space. The site is therefore appropriately and sustainably located. In the context of the housing need we have also shown that there are no policy issues which would preclude the allocation of this land in that the site does not perform a material green belt purpose, its development will not impact on the green corridor function or impact on the Roundhay Conservation Area and the site does not flood. We have also shown that there are no technical issues which would preclude the beneficial development of the site. Furthermore we have shown that the site is available for development and lies within a high market area and as such the allocation of the land for residential development in an early phase of the plan period would deliver much needed new houses.

Given all of the above it is concluded that the site should fall within the green category of the Site Allocations DPD assessment and should be allocated for residential development.

Name: Judith Ann Friskney

Representor No: PRS02950

Representation ID: REP05444 Question Ref: H4

2063, 3315, 1190, 4013 Ambere to Red (Assumed)
NO because greenfield agriculture

Name: Christopher John Friskney

Representor No: PRS02958

Representation ID: REP05519 Question Ref: H4

2063, 3315, 1190, 4013 Amber to Red (Assumed)
NO because greenfield agriculture

Name: Joan Hick

Representor No: PRS03162

Representation ID: REP01075 Question Ref: H4

Site 3 ref 81 -- land at High Moor Court change to red to avoid loss of greenspace and designate whole site as N1site 8 ref 120--- Chapel Allerton-- we understand that planning permission has been granted for a Morrison's store on this site with no reference to residential use -- change to red or sieve outside 24 ref 1172 Former Yorkshire Bank Sportsground: proposed greenspace N1 on UDP and evidence of protection under N6(separate attachment to be submitted) important to retain greenspace in this densely built up part of Moortown so majority of site should not be used for housing consider changing to red. See also section below on greenspacesite 27 ref1190 despite Green Belt designation would sustainable development -- consider changing to greensite 38 ref2049 West Park Centre suitable for residential development but suggest building on same footprint as existing buildings to retain existing greenspace. Change to green?site 43 ref 2055 Alwoodley Lane: Site B suitable for housing despite Green Belt location but traffic implications great. much congestion at peak times. Park and Ride needed in this area.site 43 ref 2055 Carr Manor: agree with conversion of listed building if done sensitively and possibly revised capacity figures depending on demand for allotments in the area.sites 46 ref 2063, 53 ref 3315 64 ref 4013 --- agree with comments --- stay amber

Name: Gemma Koo

Representor No: PRS03167

Representation ID: REP00127 Question Ref: H9

1190 should not be used for housing due to traffic issues, removal of green land the community enjoy, overcrowding schools, nature issues, drainage problems

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1190

Housing

Wetherby Road/Elmete Lane (land adjoining), Roundhay LS8

Name: Edward Cosgrove

Representor No: PRS04933

Representation ID: REP00607 Question Ref: H5

Sites 1190, 2063, 3315 and 4013. I am not happy that my comments must be limited to 3000 characters and will submit my reasons for disagreeing by email.

Representation ID: REP00607 Question Ref: H9

Yes. Sites 1190, 2063, 3315 and 4013. Please refer to email sent separately.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1190

Housing

Wetherby Road/Elmete Lane (land adjoining), Roundhay LS8

Name: M, D & H Lupton

Representor No: PRS04988

Representation ID: REP07045 Question Ref: H4

1190, 2063, 3315, 4013

Yes

Reason

Site 4013 is being promoted by the Luptons for residential development. Whilst this site is the focus of this representation, it is our view that there is value in considering the group of amber sites identified in the vicinity of Elmete Lane in the North Leeds Housing Market Area. The other sites in question are 1190, 2063 and 3315. Therefore this response considers both the collective group of sites, and then considers 4013 individually.

The Elmete Lane sites

The collective group of sites 1190, 2063, 3315 and 4013 provide an opportunity of considerable importance to the Council in terms of securing a coherent allocation of enough housing land to meet future housing delivery targets in the North Leeds Housing Market Area.

Taken together they form a great opportunity for a draft Core Strategy compliant area of development that will facilitate acceptable selective Green Belt review and will deliver an urban extension to the Main Urban Area of the city.

The Green Belt assessment undertaken for the 3 major sites (1190, 2063 and 3315) in this location concludes for each site that there is low potential to lead to unrestricted sprawl, development will not lead to the merging of settlements, the sites do not perform an important role in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment, and the development of the sites would have marginal or no effect on the setting and special character of historic features. As a group of sites, the existence of the nearby Roundhay Park and the golf course, as well as the ring road, means that development will be naturally contained within new defensible Green Belt boundaries.

In the context of selective Green Belt release, it will be important that future development is sensitive to the location, and that it is carefully designed within a revised Green Belt boundary, minimising any impact on the locality. The best way to achieve this would be to consider an overall masterplan approach for the group of sites through land owner collaboration. Such an approach is something that my clients support and would be happy to be involved with. This would also facilitate discussions to resolve the key issue of delivering a suitable access solution that would meet the needs of all land owners.

It is GVA's professional opinion that as a group of sites they form a very attractive and deliverable opportunity and we are confident that interest will be forthcoming from the development market. The highly attractive location in proximity to Roundhay Park and the nearby local and town centres, as well as the excellent transport connections in the area, will provide a complementary opportunity alongside the neighbouring East Leeds Extension. When considered alongside this strategic housing commitment in the East Leeds Housing Market Area, the Elmete Lane group of sites is nothing short of an obvious opportunity for the review of the Green Belt boundary in this area.

In addition to this qualitative argument, there is an important quantitative argument that must be considered. The starting point for this is to review the number of units that it is estimated that could be delivered on the group of sites:

Site 1190 – identified as having capacity for 181 units
Site 2063 – identified as having capacity for 500 units
Site 3315 – identified as having capacity for 886 houses
Site 4013 – identified as having capacity for 52 houses

The total number deliverable is therefore 1,619 units.

The target for housing delivery in the North Leeds Housing Market Area when committed developments are accounted for is 3,035 units. In line with the figures quoted in paragraph 5.3.6 of the Volume 2 consultation document, as currently identified, the North Leeds green sites could deliver 911 units, with capacity for the amber sites to deliver a further 2,690. Therefore using green sites alone would not be sufficient to meet the target, so some amber sites must also be allocated.

If it is assumed that all green and amber sites excluding sites 1190, 2063, 3315 and 4013 are delivered, a total of 1,982 units would be provided in the area (911 units in green plus 2,690 – 1,619 = 1,982 units). This would leave a clear shortfall of 1,053 units (3,035 – 1,982).

Therefore, as the plans currently stand, a total of 1,053 units MUST be delivered on the Elmete Lane sites to meet housing requirements in the area. It is considered that a suitable developed masterplan for the collective group of sites, with full consideration of access and landscape constraints, would allow the delivery of this number of units in a considered and collaborative manner.

As the total capacity of the sites is noted in the figures as 1,619, any areas deemed as surplus to requirements for the plan period could be identified as PAS sites and delivered at a later stage, in line with the draft Core Strategy.

Representation ID: REP01977 Question Ref: H7

GVA has reviewed the detailed site assessments for the red sites with capacity for 50+ units in the North Leeds Housing Market Area. These sites are therefore of a comparable size to my clients' site (4013), and the associated wider development opportunity of the Elmete Lane sites (1190, 2063, 3315 and 4013). GVA supports the Council's review of these sites and the conclusion that they should all be red sites, primarily due to the fact they would in the main fail the tests set out in the Green Belt Review Methodology, but also due to the other detailed planning arguments that have informed the decision. One thing we have noted, however, is that no detailed site assessment has been published for site 84 on Elmete Lane – we would be grateful if this could be shared.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1190

Housing

Wetherby Road/Elmete Lane (land adjoining), Roundhay LS8

Name: M, D & H Lupton

Representor No: PRS04988

Representation ID: REP07045 Question Ref: H11

Considering the amber Elmete Lane sites as a group (1190, 2063, 3315, 4013) it is assumed that due to the current Green Belt / greenfield status, and the likely need to consider the infrastructure requirements and prepare a collaborative masterplan, then this would clearly render the sites a medium term rather than short term development opportunity.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1190

Housing

Wetherby Road/Elmete Lane (land adjoining), Roundhay LS8

Name: A & E Hebditch & Gemmill

Representor No: PRS05964

Representation ID: REP07275 Question Ref: H4

A large proportion of the capacity for amber sites is contained within six sites (1190, 1202, 2053B, 2063, 3315 and 4013), which are considered to have potential delivery issues. Our client is concerned at a lack of consistency within the assessment of sites as some sites that have been identified as amber appear to have similar constraints as sites that have been identified as red. No clear justification is provided as to why sites with similar constraints have been assessed in different categories. We do not therefore agree that the sites identified as amber represent sites with stronger potential for allocation for future housing development than our Clients Site.

For example, the Council have stated that the capacity of site 3315 is 886 despite the fact that the highways authority have stated that the capacity of the site should be limited to 300 due to access constraints. As the site is dependent on site 1190 coming forward it is considered that this site cannot be considered to be achievable and as such should be removed entirely, however if the Council continue to consider the site for allocation its capacity must be reduced to 300. This in turn means that sites 4013 and 2063 will not be achievable as only the southern half of site 3315 could be developed due to the limited capacity and as such sites 4013 and 2063 would have no physical attachment to the site and would therefore constitute isolated development and would be unsuitable.

Representation ID: REP06740 Question Ref: H4

A large proportion of the capacity for amber sites is contained within six sites (1190, 1202, 2053B, 2063, 3315 and 4013), which are considered to have potential delivery issues. Our client is concerned at a lack of consistency within the assessment of sites as some sites that have been identified as amber appear to have similar constraints as sites that have been identified as red. No clear justification is provided as to why sites with similar constraints have been assessed in different categories. We do not therefore agree that the sites identified as amber represent sites with stronger potential for allocation for future housing development than our Clients Site. 4.20 We have concerns regarding the suitability of site 1190, however we have considered that the site may come forward and as such have included the site with the amber capacity. Site Reference: 1190
Site Area: 6.87

Site Capacity: LPA: 181 BW 181

Council reasons for colour coding: Green Belt site. The site borders the main urban area to the south and is well contained, with trees to the north, and roads along the remaining three sides

Comments: It is our opinion that this site has potential issues as stated below. However, the site may come forward within the lifetime of the plan.

- Although the site is located within proximity to the existing built up area, it does not relate well to it, and could not be considered to 'round off' the settlement.
- The site still therefore maintains the purposes of Green Belt land.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1190

Housing

Wetherby Road/Elmete Lane (land adjoining), Roundhay LS8

Name: A & E Hebditch & Gemmill

Representor No: PRS05964

Representation ID: REP07275 Question Ref: H5

It is our opinion that this site has potential issues as stated below. However, the site may come forward within the lifetime of the plan.

- Although the site is located within proximity to the existing built up area, it does not relate well to it, and could not be considered to 'round off' the settlement.
- The site still therefore maintains the purposes of Green Belt land.

The site should not be assessed as amber for the following reasons:

- It is currently designated as greenspace within the UDP and the NPPF is clear in that open space should only be built upon where it can be demonstrated that the land is surplus to requirements or that a suitable alternative space of similar size and quality can be provided;
- The Council state that there is a surplus of 0.78 hectares of amenity space in Moortown, however the site is 0.903 and if the site was developed this surplus would very likely be removed.
- The site should not therefore be allocated until the Council can demonstrate that there is adequate land elsewhere within Moortown.

The site should not be assessed as amber for the following reasons:

- There has been a recent planning application for a foodstore on the site that does not include any provision for housing.
- The site is therefore identified for retail use and should be removed from consideration for residential allocation.

The site should not be assessed as amber for the following reasons:

- Although the site is located within proximity to the existing built up area, it does not relate well to it, and could not be considered to 'round off' the settlement.
- The site still therefore maintains the purposes of Green Belt land;
- The topography of the site is very steep and rises from the south of the site to the north. Any residential development at the site will be very visible and will have a significant impact upon the visual amenity of the area.

Representation ID: REP07275 Question Ref: H5

Site 81: LCC Capacity: 32, BW Capacity: 0
Site 120: LCC Capacity: 40, BW Capacity:
Site 1190: LCC Capacity: 181, BW Capacity: 181
Site 1202: LCC Capacity: 185, BW Capacity: 56
Site 2035B: LCC Capacity: 353, BW Capacity: 0
Site 2063: LCC Capacity: 500, BW Capacity: 0
Site 3315: LCC Capacity: 886, BW Capacity: 300
Site 4013: LCC Capacity: 52, BW Capacity: 0

The removal of the above sites from the amber yield gives a total capacity of 998. When this is combined with the revised green capacity of 796 it provides a total of 1,794 and in order to meet the residual requirement of 3,035, it means that there is a requirement of 1,241 units from red sites

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1190

Housing

Wetherby Road/Elmete Lane (land adjoining), Roundhay LS8

Name: Morley House Trust

Representor No: PRS06175

Representation ID: REP07066 Question Ref: H5

1190 - see submitted representation for full details

The site should not be assessed as amber for the following reasons:

-Although the site is located within proximity to the existing built up area, it does not relate well to it, and could not be considered to round off the settlement.

-The site still therefore maintains the purposes of Green Belt land.

Representation ID: REP07066 Question Ref: General comment

See also submitted representation for full details

large proportion of the capacity for amber sites is contained within six sites (1190, 1202, 2053B, 2063, 3315 and 4013), which are considered to have potential delivery issues. Our client is concerned at a lack of consistency within the assessment of sites as some sites that have been identified as amber appear to have similar constraints as sites that have been identified as red. No clear justification is provided as to why sites with similar constraints have been assessed in different categories. We do not therefore agree that the sites identified as amber represent sites with potential for allocating for future housing development.

Name: Chartford Homes & The Diocese Of Leeds

Representor No: PRS06224

Representation ID: REP07129 Question Ref: H4

4.20 We have concerns regarding the suitability of site 1190, however we have considered that the site may come forward and as such have included the site with the amber capacity.

Representation ID: REP07129 Question Ref: H5

Site Ref: 1190

Site Area: 6.87

LPA: 181 BW: 181

Council reason for colour coding: Green Belt site. The site borders the main urban area to the south and is well contained, with trees to the north, and roads along the remaining three sides

Comments: It is our opinion that this site has potential issues as stated below. However, the site may come forward within the lifetime of the plan.

Although the site is located within proximity to the existing built up area, it does not relate well to it, and could not be considered to 'round off' the settlement.

The site still therefore maintains the purposes of Green Belt land.

Name: Ian Smith

Representor No: PRS06235

Representation ID: REP07369 Question Ref: H4

This site lies within the Roundhay Conservation Area. When originally designated, it is presumed that this open area was considered to make an important contribution to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area. Therefore, one might assume that its loss and subsequent development would result in harm to that part of the designated area.

In view of the duty on the Council to preserve or enhance the character or appearance of its Conservation Areas, there will need to be some assessment of what contribution this plot of land makes to the character of

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1190

Housing

Wetherby Road/Elmete Lane (land adjoining), Roundhay LS8

Name: Michael Long

Representor No: PRS06750

Representation ID: REP07983 Question Ref: H4

1. Housing Sites

In order to assess the site we have considered the following accessibility criteria:

- Access to the Core Bus Network- within 400m of 4 buses per hour to Leeds, Wakefield or Bradford;
- 20 minutes to primary health;
- 20 minutes to primary education;
- 30 minutes to a secondary school.

The assessments undertaken are based on the current public transport network. For this consultation Metro have compared the outputs of these accessibility criteria against the RAG classifications given by the council. The results for the housing sites are shown in proceeding tables:

Table 1 -Green sites with poor accessibility

Table 2- Amber sites with poor accessibility

Table 3- Red sites with poor accessibility

Table 4- Green and Amber Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

Table 5- Red Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

[See full representation submitted for details of the tables]

Amber Sites outside the Core Public Transport Network

Table 2 below contains sites that are classified as 'Sites which have potential but issues or not as favoured as green sites' but fall outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We are be minded to recommend that these site were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible site have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

[see table in full representation submitted which lists sites]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michelle Leach

Representor No: PRS00008

Representation ID: REP06164 Question Ref: H1

I am contacting you with my objections against the above proposal on the following grounds:

Site Entrance

The proposed site entrance is NOT directly from a main road, but from a street full of vehicles parked therefore you have to "weave" around them along Moseley Wood Gardens plus encountering a "blind" bend along the way which again has usually got cars parked there (from the Green Lane end of MWG). I have had a few occasions of near misses on the blind bend already without additional traffic passing along. If 200 houses are built there is a potential for 400 additional cars passing along this street which would seriously affect the current residents enormously. Apparently there may be a potential second entrance (Cookridge Drive) but even this is not from a main road but a narrow cul-de-sac and absolutely unsuitable to accommodate this traffic.

This road would definitely not be suitable to accommodate lorries, wagons and other site vehicles getting onto the site!

Bad Weather Conditions/Emergency Services

Cookridge is the highest point in Leeds and when we have snow the residents of Moseley Wood Gardens cannot get off this street as there are hills at every entrance off it as it is the lowest street off the main road. I have had to take time of work due to this problem in the past. The proposed site is even lower down so they would be potentially cut off and wouldn't even get off their estate never mind getting out of Moseley Wood Gardens if they could. This could also cause problems for the emergency services getting on to the estate. Currently taxis won't drop off on Moseley Wood Gardens when it snows so the new estate would have no chance.

Schools

Holy Trinity, Cookridge Primary and Ireland Wood Primary Schools are all now over capacity therefore the additional 200 houses in the area could jeopardise current residents getting their children into local primary schools which isn't fair. Horsforth LS18 no longer accept LS16 applications therefore it just isn't logical to contemplate additional houses being built in the area without thought for school places.

Local Traffic and Infrastructure

The roads in Cookridge are full of pot holes from bad weather and wouldn't withstand heavy site vehicles. In addition people move to the area due to it being quiet and relatively low in traffic volume so again with 400 more cars this would have a massive impact for current residents with children particularly and also the elderly which are quite high in numbers in Cookridge. The ways out of Cookridge are over the rail bridge at Horsforth which is already a bottle neck coming from Cookridge during peak hours, and also from Otley Old Road which again is already extremely busy during peak hours which would put enormous pressure on people getting to school and work. There are just not enough main roads into the area for this plan to be realistic or logical.

Flood Risk

The proposed field is full of natural springs and also contains a small stream flowing down to the Beck. Network Rail are apparently extremely concerned about flood risk on the train lines, but in addition if these houses (if built) do encounter flood damage it could increase all our insurance premiums in the area too which isn't fair. As the field is at the bottom of Cookridge, all the rain water flows down there so it acts as a sponge currently – this would not be the case if it was concreted over so where would all the water go?

Wildlife and Rural Aspect

The area is beautiful and full of frogs, newts, voles, mice, foxes, deer, kites (flying over to catch said mammals), bats and many bird species. We are in danger of losing the rural aspect of the area which is one of the main reasons current residents have moved there in the first place so this would have a serious effect on our quality of life. Areas such as this should be protected and never be built on to stop the urban spread. Brownfield land should be used until exhausted before any land is even considered.

Local Transport

The Harrogate to Leeds train has currently got 2 carriages and is standing room only during peak times, but even to get to the station would mean leaving even more cars along Tinshill Road/Troy Road/Train Station Car park etc which are already causing problems for local traffic. There is also only one bus that goes along Green Lane into Leeds (no buses into Horsforth from Green Lane).

Local Amenities

There are no doctors or dental surgeries in Cookridge and there is one convenience store on Green Lane (small Tesco Express), so it's already insufficient for current residents without adding 200 houses worth of people trying to park outside Tesco's on Green Lane to shop.

Archeological

There is a chance that this could be archeological relics on the proposed building site as a few residents have found clay pipes etc in their gardens, apparently it was a glacier, then a lake so it would be awful to lose the potential to pursue this at some stage.

Summary

It is not a viable site to build a housing estate on for all the reasons shown above and the planning application should be refused point blank. Furthermore this land should be reclassified to green belt to stop future applications for good.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michelle Leach

Representor No: PRS00008

Representation ID: REP00009 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge remains a quiet and desirable place for families to live due to the low volume of traffic i.e. people tend not to just pass through the area because of its location. If 200 houses are built there is a potential of approximately 400 more vehicles which would have a significant impact on the roads which would be more congested and more noisy. I strongly believe a housing estate would devalue many houses in the area because it would lose its current attraction i.e. its very quiet.

Representation ID: REP00009 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom: The area is quiet and beautiful with lots of wildlife such as field mice, kite flying overhead, frogs, newts, foxes, hedgehogs and many varieties of birds such as robin, blue-tits, black-birds, even heron etc etc etc. Add to this the sheep which have grazed on this land for decades. The infra structure is inadequate to allow for c.400 additional vehicles passing along current roads to get into the new estate. The local schools are at full capacity already so the c.300 children moving in would add to this problem. It seems pointless to spoil an area when the infra structure would limit the number of houses to be built anyway. Areas with better road access would be much more suitable. There are many dog walkers that enjoy this area and it's a safe place for children to play (as I did as a child too).

Name: G Walker

Representor No: PRS00025

Representation ID: REP04322 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree It would be a disaster for Cookridge The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree This is already a very busy area around our two local schools the traffic is a nightmare Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree We see this after heavy rain and snow Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree It is a beautiful area

Representation ID: REP00431 Question Ref: H1

Having brought our home some 40 years ago because of the green open spaces around us, we now find this large development thrust on us. We do not have the infrastructure to maintain 200 houses our already crowded roads out of Cookridge are a testament to this, as any resident will tell you. The A660 into Leeds is a nightmare any time of day, and so are the roads through Horsforth. Also site traffic will cause major problems on our estate. Access to the site is a big worry having heavy wagons going past our houses and school where 4 to 11 year olds go is quite frightening. In the winter months we can soon be cut off from public transport and often can't get in or out of Cookridge, has anyone thought about that? I hope you will rethink this development. Yours

Name: Edgar Jenkins

Representor No: PRS00029

Representation ID: REP00435 Question Ref: H1

Of the sites it is the most suitable but access and egress will be a significant problem because of the narrowness of existing roads. The land is also prone to flooding and attempts to accommodate this seem likely to threaten the Leeds / Harrogate railway line. The traffic flow is already heavy and a further 200 houses may lead to unacceptable congestion.

Representation ID: REP00435 Question Ref: H14

Public transport is already served by the No. 6 bus route. If this is withdrawn or becomes less frequent this will present problems for potential elderly residents. At the other end of the scale, schooling capacity will not be adequate for the children likely to come with a further 200 houses. The education Department is quite unrealistic in its assumptions.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Edna Dransfield

Representor No: PRS00031

Representation ID: REP00437 Question Ref: H1

No - They are on green belt. There must be room in Boddington and ex-mill site on Low Lane to the area.

Representation ID: REP00437 Question Ref: H11

None on this list.

Representation ID: REP00437 Question Ref: H15

I feel most strongly that green fields should not be developed for buildings of any kind. Site 1199 is especially poor for housing given the proximity of the railway to an area of marshland i.e. Brierey Marshes.

Representation ID: REP00437 Question Ref: H2

No - They are on green belt. There must be room in Boddington and ex-mill site on Low Lane to the area.

Name: Susan Baldwin

Representor No: PRS00035

Representation ID: REP00441 Question Ref: H1

I strongly disagree that this site (identified green) represents a prime and suitable site for development for future housing. I feel the Council should prioritize the use of brownfield sites for future development.

Representation ID: REP04494 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The infrastructure both locally and in the wider area would struggle or be unable to cope with such developments. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Green Lane is extremely busy and congested now at school run and rush hour times. This situation will be greatly exacerbated by further traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local services, particularly schools are full and/or over subscribed now. Further housing will increase this problem. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Further development clearly means loss of wildlife and countryside habitats. These things, once lost are unreplaceable.

Representation ID: REP00441 Question Ref: H2

I disagree that site 1199 is suitable for the following reasons - the infrastructure of the local area is already stretched due to: traffic congestion at peak times. Parking throughout the local area. Proposal for reduced bus services. Pressure on places at local schools, already full / oversubscribed. These issues would be exacerbated by future development. Ecological issues should not be ignored. The destruction of yet more open land and spaces has serious implications and negative impact on the future of nature and wildlife. This site should be designated red.

Name: Christine Kendren

Representor No: PRS00042

Representation ID: REP00448 Question Ref: H1

I am totally opposed to this 7 year plan - It will totally spoil the land and having plant and machinery coming up and down the street an absolute nightmare plus the drainage overload. I was also informed that the green grass areas outside our houses will be dug up to make the road wider for all this plant and machinery - I am at a loss that this can happen on our quiet residential street for 7 years! Please do not let this take place.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Carol Needham

Representor No: PRS00043

Representation ID: REP00449 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP00449 Question Ref: H2

Comments- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. - The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Raymond Needham

Representor No: PRS00044

Representation ID: REP00450 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP00450 Question Ref: H2

Comments- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. - The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Harding

Representor No: PRS00045

Representation ID: REP00451 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP03889 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Most of Mosley Woods has been developed already
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. 200 houses means 250 extra vehicles. Ask no 6 Busmen their problems!
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Less trees means more flooding
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP00451 Question Ref: H2

Comments- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. - The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Elizabeth Davis

Representor No: PRS00046

Representation ID: REP00452 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP00452 Question Ref: H2

Comments- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. - The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Casey

Representor No: PRS00047

Representation ID: REP00453 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP00453 Question Ref: H2

Comments- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. - The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Joan Cooper

Representor No: PRS00048

Representation ID: REP00454 Question Ref: H1

My concern is that Moseley Wood Gardens will be overloaded with traffic if this site is developed, 200 houses will bring at least 300 cars (2 per household is usual) plus visitors. We already have a problem with parked cars. The configuration of this road does not lend itself to further loading. Due to its location from public transport, cars will be the option. I feel this site because of its location needs further investigation and consideration to the community who live here.

Name: Liss Vorlicky

Representor No: PRS00050

Representation ID: REP00456 Question Ref: H1

If you intend building in such a lovely area where there is much wild life, a stream and where a kingfisher has been spotted, the quality of life for the surrounding residents will deteriorate and the value of their properties decrease accordingly (many are now having difficulty selling their houses). People are attracted to Cookridge understandably - but do not realise that it is the highest spot in Leeds and is subject to much more frequent extreme weather conditions (very strong winds). I have been here for 10 years and have known some scary moments! Also, the site is near the Leeds - Harrogate railways line (one notorious for problems with the Leeds Bramhope tunnel - flooding etc) How will the developers deal properly with drainage, water etc! Cookridge is already a great urban sprawl with no actual centre. Why add to it? Can't you just leave it be? With all the disruption that this will ultimately cause and the effect it will have on those already living here I oppose vigorously the plans by Taylor Wimpey to build on this so far unspoilt site!

Representation ID: REP03698 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. It is already a widespread area with no actual centre. Why add to it? The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Young families - children running about -? Noise, petrol fumes - not healthy! Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Unusre. Certainly school places will be in demand, and health centres possibly (A big one is being built at Holt Park) The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Isn't it rather short sighted to propose building in an area that has this reputation? Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Anything that spoils wildlife should be condemned! Building companies have no scruples, it would appear!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michael John Pullan

Representor No: PRS00051

Representation ID: REP00457 Question Ref: H1

Access is very limited- More traffic will mean children playing are more at risk- Area is used by many for walking in the countryside.

Representation ID: REP04394 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Most concerned about traffic, schools overcrowded and public transport

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Already many potholes and cars parked on the road - more hazardous for children.

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand, it took 12months to find a dentist in this area.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. More hard surfaces will mean water will rise if it cannot soak in.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. I walk with my dog and children nearly everyday.

Name: Roy Baldwin

Representor No: PRS00060

Representation ID: REP00466 Question Ref: H1

I strongly disagree that this site ref 1199 identified as green represents the most suitable site to consider for future housing development as I believe the council should primarily be considering brown sites for such developments. This site should have been designated red!

Representation ID: REP04492 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The infrastructure of the area will be put under enormous pressure by such development. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Green lane is almost impossible twice a day at the start and finish of school times. Further traffic will add to this. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local schools are already over subscribed and the addition of some 200 extra houses will add to this pressure. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Another development will mean that even more open land/countryside habitats on the edge of the city will be lost.

Representation ID: REP00466 Question Ref: H2

I strongly disagree that this site 1199 is suitable for housing development because the addition of 200 dwellings and the intended primary access of Moseley Wood Rise will add to the existing heavy traffic congestion on Green Lane at school opening / closing times and rush hour in general. This will also create problems on the already parked up streets such as Moseley Wood Gardens. The infrastructure of the area could not cope with such an increased volume. I would also stress that such a development will add an impossible strain on the already over subscribed school places in the area. I also believe that the development on this site would mean that more green / open spaces / farmland adjoining a main urban area would be destroyed. Ecologically this would be a negative more and should be resisted.

Name: Claire Domenev

Representor No: PRS00070

Representation ID: REP00476 Question Ref: H1

Negative aspects for developing this land:- Access to medical services- High pressures on both primary and secondary schools.- Impact on highways development on surrounding roads.- Significant peak hour traffic problems- visual impact- Green space being removed- Access issues (Moseley Wood Rise) being cul de sac for 200 houses.- Potential flooding / drainage issues.- Property values - house prices may decrease- Will there be lots of empty properties?!- Public transport issues, we will need more, environmental impact and even more cars on the road!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Helen Lilley

Representor No: PRS00080

Representation ID: REP00486 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP00486 Question Ref: H2

Comments- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. - The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Dorothy Brannan

Representor No: PRS00081

Representation ID: REP02183 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP00487 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02183 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Representation ID: REP00487 Question Ref: H2

Comments- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. - The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Wilson Ellis

Representor No: PRS00085

Representation ID: REP00491 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP00491 Question Ref: H2

Comments- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. - The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Mary Ellis

Representor No: PRS00086

Representation ID: REP00492 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP00492 Question Ref: H2

Comments- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. - The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Barry Lawson

Representor No: PRS00114

Representation ID: REP00520 Question Ref: H1

The development will add a substantial amount of traffic which has not been allowed for the existing road, I would object to the grass verges which have been here for over fifty years being removed for road widening. One road into the site is not adequate for 200 households. Traffic through Otley Old Road and Headingley is diabolical now. Parking at Horsforth Station is not satisfactory for the amount of people that would use it now. It is too small for purpose. Schools are already over subscribed to now. The railway station was promised with a large car parking area, but nothing has been done about it. Before any new housing development is built or even considered we should have had a new station.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Janet Bove

Representor No: PRS00182

Representation ID: REP02109 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02109 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- the development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- the countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: David Foster

Representor No: PRS00202

Representation ID: REP02136 Question Ref: H1

No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02136 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Vickers

Representor No: PRS00286

Representation ID: REP02227 Question Ref: H1

1199 - I am writing to object to the Council's designation of the above site(s) which is currently green.

The following reasons support our objections:

Cookridge is already over-built, hence over-populated and the road structure is completely inadequate as it is. New housing will have a devastating impact on the surrounding roads; they are in a bad state of repair now, so more heavy traffic will cost highways a phenomenal amount of money. However will the dilapidated roads cope with any heavy lorries? The nearby infrastructure and road network is not at all conducive to additional housing. 200-400 more cars on these narrow, winding roads would be dangerous and ludicrous, as there is no easy access to a main road, (there will be many rat runs!)

I just cannot understand the logic in building on this inaccessible land. There are also many buildings towards Leeds that could be rejuvenated and redeveloped for first time buyers.

Local schools and medical services are oversubscribed. It will be hazardous for primary school children when there is more traffic on the main road.

There have been incidents of flooding on the railway track from Moseley Wood Beck to the Bramhope Tunnel, which have obviously delayed trains. Surely, the drainage will be completely inefficient with 200 additional houses. Moseley Wood Bottom is like a bog in winter!

Lastly of course, is the impact on wildlife. Young wild deer have been sited on Moseley Wood Bottom, as well as herons, etc. Does Mr. Pickles want to dispose of all the "green and pleasant land?"

In conclusion, surely common sense will prevail and planning will completely agree that the area is totally inadequate for building on.

Yours faithfully,

Representation ID: REP04409 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Already overpopulated.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. There will be 200 -400 more cars travelling on narrow roads, no easy access to A roads.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Schools are oversubscribed now.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. There have been incidents already when trains were delayed with flooding. 200 more houses will greatly increase the likelihood & frequency.

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Many fauna and animal habitats will be disturbed and disposed of.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Celia Savage

Representor No: PRS00321

Representation ID: REP02267 Question Ref: H1

1199 - Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge —Taylor Wimpey

I attended the meeting at the Cookridge Village Hall yesterday and was quite distraught to find out more details of the above proposed housing planning site. I write as an observer who has lived in Cookridge for 45 years and in the above house for 35 years.

Cookridge has become totally over-populated over the years and Green Lane was never built for the volume and type of vehicle that we now experience. Parking can become hazardous in the locality.

I understand that there will be two outlets onto Green Lane, one near the school (Moseley Wood Rise/Moseley Wood Drive?) and another at the end of Cookridge Drive. I would like to remind the Council that at the Horsforth end of Green Lane/Woodhill Road has a substantial rise and curve on to Tinsill Road which is now very busy and with much parking. Bear in mind the buses use this route as well. Many a time it takes a little while to exit on this hill — a backup of traffic would not be safe.

The Cookridge Lane end of Green Lane has its own problems. There is a curve round Green Lane where Cookridge Drive joins, leading to Cookridge Lane which is now a much busier road. There have been fatalities and accidents round this area, and with the buses turning into & out of Green Lane at Cookridge Lane junction, and the parking of cars where necessary and two bus-stops, makes it a busy corner especially in peak times. I would like to point out that there are three detached houses for sale at this end, two of which are vacant — when they are occupied the car situation will again become very busy — I imagine that in your Highway Survey of this area this would not have been taken into account. As the airport grows this also leads to more use of Cookridge Lane. Many people use Pinfold Lane for walking etc. and exiting to cross this road can be hazardous.

All this, of course, is where we are now. With the possibility of 200 extra houses, and the traffic that will feed into Green Lane and surrounding roads, will make the area a very dangerous one and I am extremely worried the effect this will have on us all, especially the vulnerable.

I would also mention, that the facilities have lagged behind as the population has grown (what is there for young people?), and I do wonder why on earth the green belt with limited access, is being used and not more suitable brown-fill sites.

As I mentioned, I am writing as a concerned observer who is deeply worried at the effect this badly thought-out project will bring and the dangers that lurk.

Representation ID: REP04402 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree that Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Cookridge is already over populated with roads never made for volume/type of traffic - lack of facilities - Highly dangerous.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Green lane a big problem and will cause safety issues with school etc.

I strongly agree that Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.

I strongly agree that the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. If there is flooding already it must be an issue. I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat, once wildlife is gone it never comes back.

This follows on from my letter to you dated 28th June

Name: Grace Clark

Representor No: PRS00448

Representation ID: REP02395 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Only one entrance to site for =173 dwellings – No access for emergency services i.e. fire, police, ambulance.

In inclement weather no road vehicles access Woodhill Road – Moseley Wood Gardens – Moseley Wood rise – Moseley Wood Bank – Moseley Wood Drive.

Representation ID: REP02395 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Local school (Church) is overcrowded – No space – alternatives? Horsforth not available – Irewood filled with overflow from Adel new housing development.

Representation ID: REP02395 Question Ref: H1

1199 – No doctor facilities for existing patients in area!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Colin James

Representor No: PRS00451

Representation ID: REP02398 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Reason: No benefit to area only more hassle with poor infrastructure.

Representation ID: REP02398 Question Ref: H2

1199 – Roads in the estate already used as a 'rat run' they are narrow 'with plenty of parked cars' badly maintained. More vehicular traffic would only add to problem, with potential for an incident greatly increased. Local schools are already oversubscribed. Doubts over water troughs for excessive run off water.

'Children could fall in.'

Stagnant water would make living conditions unpleasant for people already living about the wind 'generally' comes from that direction into the Moseley Wood estate.

Name: Elizabeth James

Representor No: PRS00452

Representation ID: REP02399 Question Ref: H1

1199 – No
Area is already over-developed with no thought to the poor infrastructure.

Representation ID: REP02399 Question Ref: H12

1199 – No.
Poor access. No work in the area for travellers.
Site prone to flooding and is often water-logged.

Representation ID: REP02399 Question Ref: H2

1199 – Poor access to the site – only one narrow road.
Roads in area already busy and in poor repair.
Area prone to flooding. Plans to include water troughs with landscaping and run-off to the beck. How will water be kept clean as will be stagnant during dry spells. How will children / animals be kept out.
Local schools are already oversubscribed.
No decent park / childrens playground in the area.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Andrew Mcglynn

Representor No: PRS00545

Representation ID: REP02495 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. The area is already overcrowded with houses. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Traffic is already a problem, the roads will be chaotic. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. I believe there are already waiting lists for local schools. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. This area is boggy and floods, more concrete will make it worse. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Housing will destroy wildlife habitat

Representation ID: REP00565 Question Ref: H1

Inadequate road infrastructure - will not be able to cope with more housing. Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding road. Increase on local population. Potential flooding - area already floods. Potential railway flooding on Leeds to Harrogate line which passes very close by. Site access will be very problematic. Ecology - will spoil the area for wildlife. Site access - will be a nightmare

Representation ID: REP00565 Question Ref: H5

Site ref 1199. Inadequate road infrastructure - will not be able to cope with more housing. Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding road. Increase on local population. Potential flooding - area already floods. Potential railway flooding on Leeds to Harrogate line which passes very close by. Site access will be very problematic. Ecology - will spoil the area for wildlife. Site access - will be a nightmare

Representation ID: REP00565 Question Ref: H9

Site ref 1199. Inadequate road infrastructure - will not be able to cope with more housing. Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding road. Increase on local population. Potential flooding - area already floods. Potential railway flooding on Leeds to Harrogate line which passes very close by. Site access will be very problematic. Ecology - will spoil the area for wildlife. Site access - will be a nightmare

Representation ID: REP00565 Question Ref: General comment

Site ref 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. I would like to object to the council's designation of the above site which is currently green, I suggest the site is put back as a PAS site.

Representation ID: REP00565 Question Ref: H2

Site ref 1199. Inadequate road infrastructure - will not be able to cope with more housing. Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding road. Increase on local population. Potential railway flooding on Leeds to Harrogate line which passes very close by. Site access will be very problematic. Ecology - will spoil the area for wildlife. Site access - will be a nightmare. Potential flooding - area already floods. Schools already full, there is a waiting list

Name: V Smith

Representor No: PRS00641

Representation ID: REP03423 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: John Turner

Representor No: PRS00770

Representation ID: REP02758 Question Ref: H4

1199 The bridge at Horsforth station is already a severe bottleneck. Parking facilities at the station and adjacent roads are already totally inadequate with only one access into the proposed development, all the local roads will become rat-runs. All primary schools in the area are already oversubscribed. There are already insufficient dentists in the area. Local surgeries are already at capacity. Part of the site is within the flood plain of Moseley Beck. Any flood protection to allow development will increase flood risk elsewhere, particularly to the railway line. Building in flood plains is against national policy. The site is home to herons, owls, wildfowl, greater crested newts, bats, small creatures, all of which promotes biodiversity in the area. Developing the site will cause significant loss of this wildlife habitat. Increased traffic on local roads will cause an increase in risk to local children walking to and from school and playing. Parking outside existing primary schools is already a problem, this will increase with the development. Construction traffic on local roads will be a particular problem for these reasons. With only one access to the proposed estate, access for emergency vehicles will be a problem.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: J M Turner

Representor No: PRS00779

Representation ID: REP02790 Question Ref: H1

1199 The schools, buses, roads, trains are overloaded already and these are not enough shops, or playing fields or parks in this area. Shop at times it is very difficult to drive down green lane because of the traffic parked outside the school and at the junction of Moorhill Rd and Tinshill lane. More houses would increase the problem. As there is only one entrance there would be massive problems for emergency vehicle and with parking on the road, delivery vehicles are a big problem. This is already showing without more traffic especially outside the school and A possible increase in the number of children in the area will give a huge problem for the oversubscribed schools in the area now. As there is already heavy flooding experienced in the proposed site, I am surprised that this site is being considered as it is against the national policy after the serious flooding as in 2007.

We have seen herons, owls, wild fowl, created newts, frogs, bats small animals and this is very important. It is tragic to think of losing this. It raises the moral of the present inhabitants to feel unhindered by impling the area and faling "shut in" by too many houses.

Name: Trevor Pullan

Representor No: PRS00780

Representation ID: REP02791 Question Ref: H1

1199

Name: Harry Plowright

Representor No: PRS00781

Representation ID: REP02792 Question Ref: H1

1199

Name: Sandra Plowright

Representor No: PRS00782

Representation ID: REP02793 Question Ref: H1

1199

Name: J Mann

Representor No: PRS00783

Representation ID: REP02794 Question Ref: H1

1199

Name: Ian Collinson

Representor No: PRS00784

Representation ID: REP02795 Question Ref: H1

1199

Name: Alison Collinson

Representor No: PRS00785

Representation ID: REP02797 Question Ref: H1

1199 Unlined road infrastructure and access.
Health centres seem unable to cope now never mind with extra patients.

Name: Sophie Collinson

Representor No: PRS00796

Representation ID: REP02808 Question Ref: H1

1199 The roads are inadequate for heavy traffic and the current bus service would be unsuitable.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: James Collinson

Representor No: PRS00800

Representation ID: REP02811 Question Ref: H1

1199 Parking already a problem. Road surfaces will break up even quicker.

Name: Winifred Joyce

Representor No: PRS00802

Representation ID: REP02816 Question Ref: H1

1199 Not enough infrastructure destruction g are of outstanding beauty.

Roads are very narrow. Access limited.

1 primary school only (C of E abo)

We have had to build a drain at bottom of garden to prevent flooding.

Name: Hilda Horton

Representor No: PRS00804

Representation ID: REP02818 Question Ref: H1

1199

Name: J Wearmouth

Representor No: PRS00806

Representation ID: REP02819 Question Ref: H1

1199 Will be a danger to children

Name: Lynne Worthing

Representor No: PRS00808

Representation ID: REP02821 Question Ref: H1

1199 More pollution and a hazard to children.

Name: I Vickers

Representor No: PRS00810

Representation ID: REP02822 Question Ref: H1

1199

Name: W J Vickers

Representor No: PRS00811

Representation ID: REP02825 Question Ref: H1

1199

Name: Michael John Greenwood

Representor No: PRS00814

Representation ID: REP02827 Question Ref: H1

1199

Name: Paul Wyeth

Representor No: PRS00815

Representation ID: REP02829 Question Ref: H1

1199

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Joanne Heslop

Representor No: PRS00816

Representation ID: REP02830 Question Ref: H1

1199 Brownfield sites should be developed first and LCC should ensure all empty houses brought back into use. Many areas around already being developed – Cookridge Hospital, Low Lane, Bodington Hall, Centuria Fields etc. Already bottlenecks to get on and off estate in rush hour. Roads already in poor state. Trains already overcrowded. Bus service poor. Already difficult to get dentist – mine is in Headingley. Schools in demand. Small Tesco only. Railway line may be effected – has flooded in the past. Could current sewers cope with 200 houses. Used for dog walked and children playing. Wildlife habitat being eroded constantly.

Representation ID: REP00173 Question Ref: H2

Site ref: 1199 - land off Moseley Wood Gardens. Currently too much development in Leeds 16 - 157 houses being built on site of Cookridge Hospital; 40 at Centurian Fields in Adel; 160 on Bodington Hall site and possible further development on land next to that as well as Dixon's Garage site on Low Lane. Currently over 400 properties for sale in LS16, not including these! Infrastructure would not be able to cope! Already almost gridlock getting from Cookridge through Headingley and into City. Already bottleneck just getting off this estate - Woodhill Rd, Moseley Wood Lane and Green Lane junctions. Roads in poor state with current level of traffic. Train already full during rush hour. Bus route, terrible. This area is used by local residents for walking dogs and a safe area away from traffic for children to play. Not only would this development remove that but by adding up to 1000 vehicles through the estate daily, it would not even be safe for them to play around their own houses. No amenities of note on the estate. 1 small Tesco, already overcrowded and difficult to park round there. 1 small post office. Local schools full.

Name: James + Susan Mckenrie

Representor No: PRS00817

Representation ID: REP02831 Question Ref: H1

1199 The planned building is for profit and not for need, if need was the reason, a lot of the empty land in undesirable areas would be utilised and out green and once pleasant land would be preserved. The work traffic in a morning is already unpleasant. The quality of service is already poor due to the increase of population in estates. The builders will ensure flooding is only to the existing properties therefore ensuring a substantial profit. We have a better wildlife population than most of Leeds.

Name: Susan Mcjury

Representor No: PRS00818

Representation ID: REP02833 Question Ref: H1

1199 The volume & speed of traffic using Green Lane is a problem at present another 200 houses & vehicles would make it impossible for residents (elderly & those with children especially). Would the developers propose putting in traffic calming measures?

Name: Judy & Peter Till

Representor No: PRS00820

Representation ID: REP02834 Question Ref: H1

1199 Public Transport will be disrupted.

Name: Pamela Preston

Representor No: PRS00821

Representation ID: REP02835 Question Ref: H1

1199 Brownfield sites should be developed before any green belt areas. The access road is narrow and congested. Both schools are over subscribed. Will cause problems for the railway. Our children's heritage is very important.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Andrew Firth

Representor No: PRS00829

Representation ID: REP02844 Question Ref: H1

1199 There is no infraStructure to support an increase in local population. We already experience problems in winter with buses unable to reach cookridge during icy conditions.
Narrow residential streets - only one entrance to new development. Rat-run Horsforth Railway St. already causes problems with street parking.
Local schools already oversubscribed.
Also could effect more flooding to Leeds Harrogate Railway line- already experienced problems.
Deer have been seen in this location.

Name: Anne Stanway

Representor No: PRS00830

Representation ID: REP02845 Question Ref: H1

1199 Enough housing already.
The MW Drive is a rat run already as well as school parking - no more!
Can't get in to see doctors for over a week now.

Name: Leona Veitch

Representor No: PRS00831

Representation ID: REP02846 Question Ref: H1

1199 Plenty of houses are for sale - if houses were needed there would already be sold!
During winter, parking scare already on upper roads when lower roads to lay to use.
Railway and bridge is affected - how will commuters get to Leeds/Horsforth easily when this happens?
Gray Crested newts live at the bottom of Moseley Wood gardens.

Name: William Veitch

Representor No: PRS00832

Representation ID: REP02847 Question Ref: H1

1199 More than enough houses - ours is for sale but no one wants to buy it!
Rush hour through station is already too bad - it will get much worse & no alternative route to get to Pudsey!
Only 2 Schools - already full.
Flooding will get worse- how is council going to respond to Harrogate/Leeds trainline problems?
Unspoilt nature area currently - should build on derelict areas near city centre.

Name: Margaret Martin

Representor No: PRS00837

Representation ID: REP02852 Question Ref: H1

1199 Cookridge is a village, there is bad access to Moseley wood Rise in snow and ice.
There is too much traffic at present.
Local Schools full already.
Trains already affected by flooding more paving will increase floods.
There are lovely walks here wildlife must be protected.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Drake

Representor No: PRS00842

Representation ID: REP02857 Question Ref: H1

1199 - The following is my response to the Taylor Woodrow proposal to build 200 houses on the land at Moseley Wood Bottoms in Cookridge.

Firstly, I need to say that, as we live on Moseley Wood Gardens [x], my wife and I have a field at the bottom of our garden. This affords us a view that we have enjoyed for many years. However it is appreciated that this particularly piece of self-interest is not a reason in itself for objecting to the proposals and so the following is an objective assessment as to why I feel this project is not a good one.

1. Without doubt one of our main concerns relates to the additional traffic that will be generated, both during the rumoured 6 year building process and thereafter.

a) At present the only access to the proposed site is on Moseley Wood Rise, with a road of any width (Green Lane) at least 400 hundred yards away.

Inevitably this will mean massive disruption during any building works with huge delivery/construction vehicles wending their way through totally unsuitable fairly narrow streets leading to Moseley Wood Rise.

b) Also, after completion there will be additional cars (200 if every house has only one vehicle-although in reality it will probably be a lot more), each with a minimum of one in and one out journey per day, using these same inadequate streets. It is inevitable that a series of 'rat runs' will be created to the detriment of existing locals and the road structure.

c) Of course there is a possibility that another entrance will be found; probably at the end of Cookridge Drive, and I would suspect that Taylor Woodrow have this 'ace up their sleeve'. However, even if this possibility reached fruition, the problems will still remain if alleviated a little.

d) Assuming that the vast majority of the occupants of the '200' will be workers there are vast questions as to how easy it will be for them to get to work.

True, some will walk to Horsforth station or to Green Lane to catch a bus, but the remainder will have a 'hell of a job' exiting Cookridge village.

Already at rush hour it is difficult to get on to Otley Old Road (junction of Green Lane or Tinshill Road). Likewise, getting onto Tinshill Road from Woodhill Road is a nightmare at the best of times. Further afield, the main arterial roads into the City from this part of Leeds (A660 Otley Road & A65 Kirkstall Road) are already heavily congested at peak times.

e) A solitary shop (Tesco Express) in the village itself will mean nearby Asda will be the closest store of choice but that too will mean extra car journeys.

Moseley Wood Gardens has problems during the winter snow, particularly with the hill at its northern end, cars attempting and failing to get onto Moseley Wood Avenue and being abandoned at times.

g) All in all the traffic will be, at best chaotic, at worst dangerous for the children that live along these streets. They have no designated play areas and resort to using the pavements and road surface of Moseley Wood Gardensthey will do so at their peril in the future!

2. The infra-structure within Cookridge seems inadequate to cater for an extra 200 dwellings. There is no doctor or dentist, a solitary shop and a primary school that cannot take any more pupils. Transporting children to other schools will only compound the traffic problems highlighted earlier. With regards to infrastructure the only saving grace is that we still have a Post Office. Will it remain after privatisation I wonder!

3. We are concerned about drainage. In our garden there is a small underground culvert which is supposedly fed from a spring from somewhere up on high in the Moseley Wood estate. It breaks surface a few houses up from us and disappears magically a couple of houses down (56) into an old land drain that diagonally crosses the upper field of Moseley Wood Bottoms. Where it goes from there is anyone's guess but presumably down towards the railway eventually. What we do know is that we occasionally have a flood at the bottom of our garden and last winter was horrendous; we had frogs laying spawn on our bottom patio! Any further disruption (i.e. building work) that exacerbates this situation will lead to disgruntled MWG residents and maybe litigation.

4. There has been talk locally about the effects on the local environment and it is true that the variety of wild-life that uses Moseley Wood Bottoms at present will either have to find some other place to go or will die out. There has also been talk about newts in the area to the extent of comments being made like, 'wouldn't it be great if there was a colony of protected great crested newts'. I can tell you in all honesty that I have twice found a newt in my garden. Were they great crested newts? Not sure. Have they been seen this year? No, perhaps last seen a couple of years ago. Where are they now? Who knows but we must live in an environment that can support them!

5. I do also wonder who will buy these houses but surely Taylor Woodrow wouldn't want to go ahead with a white elephant development would they, unless of course the incentives lie elsewhere; say in meeting quotas imposed by Government and backed by the City Council!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Drake

Representor No: PRS00842

We have desperately tried to be objective about this opposition to building on Moseley Wood Bottoms. The need for additionally housing is apparent, both because of population increases and as a stimulus for the economy but in all honesty there seems to be no sense in building on green fields when so many brown field sites remain undeveloped, most of which have better transport links, acceptable infra-structures and some within short walking distance of town centre shops and other facilities.

In our opinion the proposals are totally impractical and do not seem to have been fully thought through. We object strongly to the proposal on the basis of all the above points.

Representation ID: REP03341 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Kathleen Styrin

Representor No: PRS00859

Representation ID: REP02876 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02876 Question Ref: H2

H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Styrin

Representor No: PRS00860

Representation ID: REP02878 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP04549 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Unsure the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Representation ID: REP02878 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Rebecca Styrin

Representor No: PRS00861

Representation ID: REP02879 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02879 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michael Styrin

Representor No: PRS00862

Representation ID: REP02880 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02880 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Lisa Smith

Representor No: PRS00863

Representation ID: REP02881 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02881 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Smith

Representor No: PRS00864

Representation ID: REP02882 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02882 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Christine Wood

Representor No: PRS00865

Representation ID: REP02883 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02883 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Lyon

Representor No: PRS00866

Representation ID: REP02885 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02885 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Jean Lyon

Representor No: PRS00867

Representation ID: REP02886 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02886 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Simon Reed

Representor No: PRS00868

Representation ID: REP02887 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02887 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Keeley Reed

Representor No: PRS00869

Representation ID: REP02888 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02888 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sheriden Fynn

Representor No: PRS00871

Representation ID: REP02889 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02889 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Sid Mayhew

Representor No: PRS00872

Representation ID: REP02890 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP02890 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

* I have been told proposed development is on site which is home to great crested newts!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: J Howard

Representor No: PRS00880

Representation ID: REP02900 Question Ref: H1

1199 – I am extremely concerned about the above proposed development for the following reasons (many of which stem from having been a Cookridge resident for over 40 years!)

1.Cookridge doesn't have the amenities or facilities to cope with any increase in population.

A.The local schools are full to capacity and already oversubscribed.

B.Our nearest doctor / dentist are in the Holt Park area and already under strain.

C.Our only 'playground' is a small plot at the end of Green Lane / Otley Road with very few swings etc.

2.The increase in the number of cars also alarms me. Already Horsforth / Headingley / Kirkstall are at breaking point. The railway bridge in Horsforth was I am sure, never meant to take the traffic it does and parking around that area to use trains is a nightmare already!

The Woodhill Road junction with Tunshill Road is a problem already with parked cars.

3.Access for fire engines would be limited with parked cars already in the area. Many houses have more than one car these days. Cookridge fire station is one earmarked for closure so it makes little sense to build an extra 200 houses in the area.

4.Environmental factors –

A.Has a flood assessment been done on the proposed site? Springs are everywhere in this area (many not on maps!) and because the clay strata is so near the surface, more water will flow into the beck as a result of increased building as there will be less vegetation to soak up the water. The area of the site is well known to be 'boggy' and indeed the railway line has already been flooded at times.

B.Wildlife - what provision has been made for the current animal population?

a.The bat population – has a study been done for the effects on the current bat population?

b.Has a study been carried out to ascertain the wildlife population in the beck? Greater crested newts were discovered not far away near Leeds / Bradford Airport. Increased flooding risk would seriously affect any population of these in the beck.

5.Whilst people have to live somewhere, I can foresee more problems in winter. From the proposed site, the only way out of Cookridge is up.

Already the exit routes on M W Rise, Gardens and Drive soon become iced up in winter.

The side roads are rarely gritted in bad weather and after snow, cars are then abandoned. Even the bin lorries abandon certain areas!

In view of the above consideration I wish to lodge my objections to the proposed development.

Representation ID: REP03340 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge has neither the amenities or facilities to support more housing. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree.these are at least 2 bottlenecks where buses cannot pass peak times are bad. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools already over subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Has a flood assessment survey been done? Clay soil and springs are everywhere in this area. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Has a survey been done on wildlife habitation around the wood/beck area? E.g bats, crayfish etc.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Philip Holden

Representor No: PRS00922

Representation ID: REP07512 Question Ref: H1

In response to the Site Allocations Public Consultation currently being undertaken by Leeds City Council in respect of potential housing sites in the North Leeds area, I would like to comment as follows.

I wish to object to the Council's designation of site 1199 which is currently coded green and support the Council's designation of sites 1151, 3044 and 3060 which are currently coded red.

For the purposes of my comments I have used the questions as set out on the Council's website, and respond as follows:

H1- Do you agree that the sites that have been identified as 'green' represent the most suitable sites to consider allocating for future housing development?

No. Detailed reasons are provided in my answer to Question H2

H2- Which sites do you disagree with and why? Please give site reference and reason.

Site 1199- Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Cookridge, for the following reasons:

Access to site and highway issues:

" Access to the proposed new development will be via Moseley Wood Rise which is at the furthest extreme of the Moseley Wood estate. Given that currently, people tend to take the shortest route through the estate (i.e. Moseley Wood Walk/Moseley Wood Drive/Moseley Wood Grove/Moseley Wood Bank; Cookridge Drive/Moseley Wood Avenue) to get to their destination, it follows that this will continue to happen, albeit with 200, 300, 400 more cars using these routes. These "Rat runs" will present a very real danger to the people of the Moseley Woods, not least the children making their way to and from school or playing out. The above mentioned roads are already extremely difficult to navigate with cars parked on the roadside. Additional volume of traffic will merely add to the danger. The addition of potentially 400 cars added to this area will cause significant congestion issues to the wider road network in the area. The A660 and A65 are already some of the most congested arterial routes in and out of the city centre. The Deneley Arms junction is extremely busy for people commuting to Otley and Harrogate. If you are travelling to Bradford, Horsforth Roundabout is chaos at rush hour. More locally, Woodhill Road/Tinshill Road into Horsforth is extremely busy, and not just at peak times. Horsforth Railway Station is not big enough to cope with demand now, (with car parking almost impossible unless you get there before 7am) in summary, the local road network is already at capacity. More cars will just exacerbate the problems. Many of the road surfaces in and around Cookridge (and further afield) are in a pretty poor state of repair following years of patching up "on the cheap" or over laying with slurry seal. It doesn't take much more than a winter of snow and ice (Freeze/thaw) and then a wet spring, before these repairs are back to the state they were before- i.e. very poor. If you then add a further 400 cars, this will only make the situation worse.

Following on from the previous point, Cookridge by nature of its geographical location as one of the highest parts of Leeds tends to suffer quite badly when there is snowfall in the winter months. Moseley Wood Gardens has a steep hill at the Northern end and a hill with a sharp bend at the Southern end, which makes for a very difficult time getting in and out of during snowy and icy weather. This very often leads to cars being abandoned, which creates a very dangerous situation for drivers and pedestrians alike. The only other ways out of Moseley Wood Gardens is Moseley Wood Bank (very steep) or Moseley Wood Grove. If you manage to negotiate either of these then you still have to get out of Moseley Wood Drive which has a steep hill and a sharp bend at the Northern end and a steep hill at the Southern end. All of this is before you even get onto Green Lane/Wood hill Road.

Public transport:

"Cookridge is currently served by one bus service, the Nr 6. It is understood that should the trolley bus scheme be implemented this could be withdrawn, and a service to the start of the trolley bus service at Weetwood would operate from Holt Park. Many of the people who use the Nr 6 service are elderly. How would they, along with hundreds of new residents at Moseley Wood Bottom cope with having to get to Holt Park to use the bus service? As mentioned previously Horsforth Railway Station is the nearest access to the train network. At peak times it is almost impossible to get on a train, and should you manage to do so, the likelihood is you would have to stand. Parking at the station is woefully inadequate considering the large number of commuters that use that line during the week, leading to roadside parking in the vicinity of the station which exacerbates the traffic congestion getting in and out of Horsforth. If hundreds more residents from a potential development at Moseley Wood Bottom are using the station, the problem of congestion on the trains will only worsen and have a knock-on effect to Headingley and Burley Park Stations further down the line.

Environmental impact:

"The underlying ground conditions in the Moseley Woods are a stiff clay soil which will not drain easily. Consequently the surface run off from the estate will follow gravity to the lowest point which is the fields at Moseley Wood Bottom. This results in the fields, particularly the one at the end of Moseley Wood Rise being very boggy all the time. The field acts like a big sponge as it is the only place that can hold the water. Any proposed new development would mean that these fields would need to be dewatered and controlled. Where is this water going to go? Into the beck, thus pushing the problem further downstream towards the Woodhill Road area, then the railway station and Horsforth Woodside? Can these areas cope with the additional volume and flow of water? Any proposed development would drastically increase the hardstanding in this area, with tarmac/paving to roads, footpaths and driveways. This in turn will reduce the capacity of the fields to store surface run off and therefore creating the same problem highlighted above, namely pushing the flows further downstream. In addition to the above, in storm conditions, Moseley Wood Gardens can sometimes resemble a river, with huge volumes of water heading rapidly in the direction of Moseley Wood Rise and ultimately the fields. (I have some spectacular video footage of this!) This would inevitably create a significant flood risk to any properties built in this field. It has been demonstrated by figures provided by Network Rail, that flooding is already a problem for the railway that runs along the bottom of the proposed development site. The issues highlighted above will lead to more instances of flash flooding (as the water can get there quicker over tarmac than it can through the soil) This in turn will lead to delays and cancellations of the train services thus making the problems already faced by Horsforth Railway Station even worse.

From an ecological point of view, the fields at Moseley Wood Bottom provide a habitat for a rich and diverse population of wildlife. There have been sightings of deer, foxes, shrews, voles, rabbits, badgers, kingfishers, herons to name but a few. It is simply not acceptable to destroy this habitat for wildlife when there are so many brownfield sites devoid of such flora & fauna across the city. Sims Pond, across the railway line is a known breeding habitat for Great Crested Newts, which are an endangered species. It is common for Great Crested Newts to migrate up to SOOM from their breeding pond, which would cover the majority of the site for the proposed development. The railway will not provide a barrier to this migration, but will in fact provide shelter and promote migration as the newts like to get into the ballast of the track. In addition to this pond, there is also a pond to the North West of the site on the same side of the railway. It is highly likely that this pond will also be a habitat for Great Crested Newts, creating another circle of migration of up to SOOM. The mitigation measures required to comply with legislation will cost many thousands of pounds and many, many months, maybe even years of delay to any construction activities on this site.

Schools:

" Adding another 200 households with a possible 2 children per household would potentially add a further 400 children into the local area; the two main primary schools in the immediate area (Holy Trinity and Cookridge Primary) are already over-subscribed. The only other schools in nearby are Ireland Wood, Holy Name and Iveson. If pupils from Cookridge are sent to these schools, this would lead to potential longer journeys to school, potential increased car usage adding to congestion, more children having to traverse busy roads to get to school, increasing the danger.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Philip Holden

Representor No: PRS00922

" Within the nearer local area there is only one secondary school (Ralph Thoresby), now that Horsforth School have excluded applications from anywhere outside the LS18 postal code area.

" The current education system is struggling to cope with the demand for places now. If, as has been indicated, there is no provision for further investment in schools within Leeds, then where are these additional children going to be educated?

Local Amenities:

" The main local amenities within Cookridge itself are a Tesco Express and Post Office on Green Lane.

" The location of these two amenities lies at a T-junction, (Tesco on one side, and Post Office on the other) opposite Holy Trinity School. This creates its own problems now with parking on Kirkwood Drive and Green Lane causing congestion and disruption to local residents most of the time. More concerning however are the safety issues surrounding the school, which I consider to be a very real and present danger now. Add the potential 400 more cars from the proposed development, and it is only a matter of time until there is a serious accident... Both these amenities are well used and as result, often busy. They are not large enough to cope with the additional custom that 200+ more houses will bring.

• Cookridge itself does not have its own Doctors Surgery, or Dentist. The nearest Doctors Surgeries are Holt Park and as a patient at one of these surgeries myself I am only too aware of how difficult it can be to get an appointment.

" Having recently attended a drop in session organised by the company looking to develop this proposed site, one of their recurring themes was how the new housing would benefit the local community. There is no evidence whatsoever that this will be the case. There is however a breadth of evidence outlined here, that the proposed development will only be detrimental to the area.

Population growth/increase in population:

" There appears to be some ambiguities in the projected figures for population growth within the city of Leeds, indicating that the population is not growing at the rate it is expected to. This casts doubt on the accuracy of the number of houses that may need to be provided as outlined in the Site Allocation Plans. The site in question at Moseley Wood Bottom {200 houses} is very small relative to the supposed number of houses required to be built in the city as a whole. Why then, is it considered to be beneficial to utilise this site, when to do so will only be adding to the pressures on local amenities and infrastructure? In the overall scheme of things the hole made in the housing requirement target by developing Site 1199, will be a insignificant, especially when you consider the amount of brownfield space already available within the city which, if developed, would add benefit to local communities.

Over-development of the area:

- Throughout Leeds there are so many disused sites where old buildings can be renovated, demolished and the sites rebuilt on or indeed houses that are currently abandoned and closed up that could be refurbished to create the housing that is required according to the Plans

- These disused sites will already have suitable access, infrastructure and utilities access and the abandoned/closed up houses will cost so much less to renovate than complete new builds. If affordable housing is what is needed why not use these houses?

- It is difficult as a resident of the City of Leeds to understand why the Council are not investigating these avenues when they have dearly been given the rights by Government to do so.

H3 -Do you think a site that is not colour coded green should have been? If so, please state site reference and reason.

No.

Representation ID: REP04253 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Existing infrastructure and services are at capacity now. Any new development will make the situation impossible. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The local infrastructure cannot cope now. Add 200+ cars to the situation any it will be mayhem. A660 and A65 are the most congested arterial routes, in and out of city. Any new development will only exalerate the situation. Rat runs through cookridge, concern over children's safety. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools are already full to capacity and applications are higher than places available. With there being no plans for new shcools, how is this situation sustainable. With regards to doctors and dentists it is hard enough to ge an appointment as it is. This will only get worse. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The fields at Moseley Bottom are a quagmire at the best of time. All the surgafe run off from the top end of the Moseley Woods unlimately ends up here, the site will have to be de-watered and the increases run off from anynew development will just be pushed further downstream. Can the access down stream copy? I doubt it. And that's before we even get onto the subject of the railway. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. The list of wildlife in this area is endless some species that are endengered are present here. This must remain the case.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Philip Holden

Representor No: PRS00922

Representation ID: REP02955 Question Ref: H1

No. Detailed reasons are provided in my answer to Question H2

H2 — Which sites do you disagree with and why? Please give site reference and reason.

Site 1199 — Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Cookridge, for the following reasons:

Access to site and highway issues:

- Access to the proposed new development will be via Moseley Wood Rise which is at the furthest extreme of the Moseley Wood estate. Given that currently, people tend to take the shortest route through the estate (i.e. Moseley Wood Walk/Moseley Wood Drive/Moseley Wood Grove/Moseley Wood Bank; Cookridge Drive/Moseley Wood Avenue) to get to their destination, it follows that this will continue to happen, albeit with 200, 300, 400 more cars using these routes. These "Rat runs" will present a very real danger to the people of the Moseley Woods, not least the children making their way to and from school or playing out.
- The above mentioned roads are already extremely difficult to navigate with cars parked on the roadside. Additional volume of traffic will merely add to the danger.
- The addition of potentially 400 cars added to this area will cause significant congestion issues to the wider road network in the area. The A660 and A65 are already some of the most congested arterial routes in and out of the city centre. The Dyneley Arms junction is extremely busy for people commuting to Otley and Harrogate. If you are travelling to Bradford, Horsforth Roundabout is chaos at rush hour. More local Woodhill Road/Tinshill Road into Horsforth is extremely busy, and not just at peak times. Horsforth Railway Station is not big enough to cope with demand now, (with car parking almost impossible unless you get there before 7am) in summary, the local road network is already at capacity. More cars will just exacerbate the problems.
- Many of the road surfaces in and around Cookridge (and further afield) are in a pretty poor state of repair following years of patching up "on the cheap" or over laying with slurry seal. It doesn't take much more than a winter of snow and ice (Freeze/thaw) and then a wet spring, before these repairs are back to the state they were before — i.e. very poor. If you then add a further 400 cars, this will only make the situation worse.
- Following on from the previous point, Cookridge by nature of its geographical location as one of the highest parts of Leeds tends to suffer quite badly when there is snowfall in the winter months. Moseley Wood Gardens has a steep hill at the Northern end and a hill with a sharp bend at the Southern end, which makes for a very difficult time getting in and out of during snowy and icy weather. This very often leads to cars being abandoned, which creates a very dangerous situation for drivers and pedestrians alike. The only other ways out of Moseley Wood Gardens is Moseley Wood Bank (very steep) or Moseley Wood Grove. If you manage to negotiate either of these then you still have to get out of Moseley Wood Drive which has a steep hill and a sharp bend at the Northern end and a steep hill at the Southern end. All of this is before you even get onto Green Lane/Woodhill Road.

Public transport:

- Cookridge is currently served by one bus service, the Nr 6. It is understood that should the trolley bus scheme be implemented this could be withdrawn, and a service to the start of the trolley bus service at Weetwood would operate from Holt Park. Many of the people who use the Nr 6 service are elderly. How would they, along with hundreds of new residents at Moseley Wood Bottom cope with having to get to Holt Park to use the bus service?
- As mentioned previously Horsforth Railway Station is the nearest access to the train network. At peak times it is almost impossible to get on a train, and should you manage to do so, the likelihood is you would have to stand.
- Parking at the station is woefully inadequate considering the large number of commuters that use that line during the week, leading to roadside parking in the vicinity of the station which exacerbates the traffic congestion getting in and out of Horsforth.
- If hundreds more residents from a potential development at Moseley Wood Bottom are using the station, the problem of congestion on the trains will only worsen and have a knock-on effect to Headingley and Burley Park Stations further down the line.

Environmental impact:

- The underlying ground conditions in the Moseley Woods are a stiff clay soil which will not drain easily. Consequently the surface run off from the estate will follow gravity to the lowest point which is the fields at Moseley Wood Bottom. This results in the fields, particularly the one at the end of Moseley Wood Rise being very boggy all the time. The field acts like a big sponge as it is the only place that can hold the water. Any proposed new development would mean that these fields would need to be dewatered and controlled. Where is this water going to go? Into the beck, thus pushing the problem further downstream towards the Woodhill Road area, then the milway_station and Horsforth Woodside? Can these areas cope—with the additional volume and flow of water?
- Any proposed development would drastically increase the hardstanding in this area, with tarmac/paving to roads, footpaths and driveways. This in turn will reduce the capacity of the fields to store surface run off and therefore creating the same problem highlighted above, namely pushing the flows further downstream.
- In addition to the above, in storm conditions, Moseley Wood Gardens can sometimes resemble a river, with huge volumes of water heading rapidly in the direction of Moseley Wood Rise and ultimately the fields. (I have some spectacular video footage of this!) This would inevitably create a significant flood risk to any properties built in this field.
- It has been demonstrated by figures provided by Network Rail, that flooding is already a problem for the railway that runs along the bottom of the proposed development site. The issues highlighted above will lead to more instances of flash flooding (as the water can get there quicker over tarmac than it can through the soil) This in turn will lead to delays and cancellations of the train services thus making the problems already faced by Horsforth Railway Station even worse.
- From an ecological point of view, the fields at Moseley Wood Bottom provide a habitat for a rich and diverse population of wildlife. There have been sightings of deer, foxes, shrews, voles, rabbits, badgers, kingfishers, herons to name but a few. It is simply not acceptable to destroy this habitat for wildlife when there are so many brownfield sites devoid of such flora & fauna across the city.
- Sims Pond, across the railway line is a known breeding habitat for Great Crested Newts, which are an endangered species. It is common for Great Crested Newts to migrate up to 500m from their breeding pond, which would cover the majority of the site for the proposed development. The railway will not provide a barrier to this migration, but will in fact provide shelter and promote migration as the newts like to get into the ballast of the track. In addition to this pond, there is also a pond to the North West of the site on the same side of the railway. It is highly likely that this pond will also be a habitat for Great Crested Newts, creating another circle of migration of up to 500m. The mitigation measures required to comply with legislation will cost many thousands of pounds and many, many months, maybe even years of delay to any construction activities on this site.

Schools:

- Adding another 200 households with a possible 2 children per household would potentially add a further 400 children into the local area; the two main primary schools in the immediate area (Holy Trinity and Cookridge Primary) are already over-subscribed. The only other schools in nearby are Ireland Wood, Holy Name and Iveson. If pupils from Cookridge are sent to these schools, this would lead to potential longer journeys to school, potential increased car usage adding to congestion, more children having to traverse busy roads to get to school, increasing the danger. Within the nearer local area there is only one secondary school (Ralph Thoresby), now that Horsforth School have excluded

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Philip Holden

Representor No: PRS00922

applications from anywhere outside the LS18 postal code area.

- The current education system is struggling to cope with the demand for places now. If, as has been indicated, there is no provision for further investment in schools within Leeds, then where are these additional children going to be educated?

Local Amenities:

- The-main loeal-amenities-within-Coo-kridge-itself-are-a Tesco-Express-a-nd Post O-ffice on Green Lane.

- The location of these two amenities lies at a T-junction, (Tesco on one side, and Post Office on the other) opposite Holy Trinity School. This creates its own problems now with parking on Kirkwood Drive and Green Lane causing congestion and disruption to local residents most of the time. More concerning however are the safety issues surrounding the school, which I consider to be a very real and present danger now. Add the potential 400 more cars from the proposed development, and it is only a matter of time until there is a serious accident.

- Both these amenities are well used and as result, often busy. They are not large enough to cope with the additional custom that 200+ more houses will bring.

- Cookridge itself does not have its own Doctors Surgery, or Dentist. The nearest Doctors Surgeries are Holt Park and as a patient at one of these surgeries myself I am only too aware of how difficult it can be to get an appointment.

- Having recently attended a drop in session organised by the company looking to develop this proposed site, one of their recurring themes was how the new housing would benefit the local community. There is no evidence whatsoever that this will be the case. There is however a breadth of evidence outlined here, that the proposed development will only be detrimental to the area.

Population growth/increase in population:

- There appears to be some ambiguities in the projected figures for population growth within the city of Leeds, indicating that the population is not growing at the rate it is expected to. This casts doubt on the accuracy of the number of houses that may need to be provided as outlined in the Site Allocation Plans. The site in question at Moseley Wood Bottom (200 houses) is very small relative to the supposed number of houses required to be built in the city as a whole. Why then, is it considered to be beneficial to utilise this site, when to do so will only be adding to the pressures on local amenities and infrastructure? In the overall scheme of things the hole made in the housing requirement target by developing Site 1199, will be a insignificant, especially when you consider the amount of brownfield space already available within the city which, if developed, would add benefit to local communities.

Over-development of the area:

- Throughout Leeds there are so many disused sites where old buildings can be renovated, demolished and the sites rebuilt on or indeed houses that are currently abandoned and closed up that could be refurbished to create the housing that is required according to the Plans

- These disused sites will already have suitable access, infrastructure and utilities access and the abandoned/closed up houses will cost so much less to renovate than complete new builds. If affordable housing is what is needed why not use these houses?

It is difficult as a resident of the City of Leeds to understand why the Council are not investigating these avenues when they have clearly been given the rights by Government to do so.

Representation ID: REP07512 Question Ref: H9

H9- Do you think a site that is not colour coded red should have been? If so, please give site reference and reason

Yes. Site 1199- For all the reasons stated above in my response to question H2.

Representation ID: REP02955 Question Ref: H9

H9 – Do you think a site that is not colour coded red should have been? If so, please give site reference and reason

Yes. Site 1199– For all the reasons stated above in my response to question H2.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Mrs Jacques

Representor No: PRS00927

Representation ID: REP02961 Question Ref: H1

GREEN SITE 1199 (Moseley Wood Bottom) should NOT be developed for more housing and should be re-designated as Green Belt Land, which was its former status.

Designated Green Areas should only be considered when all Brown Field sites in the Leeds City area have been fully developed.

REASONS FOR OPPOSING THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT,

SITE 1199(Moseley Wood Bottom)

1. This area is over capacity now.

2:1-There is no infrastructure to support this expansion.

3. There are insufficient State Primary school places, One of the two schools is Sectarian (C of E), leaving no choice for some parents : Ireland Mod School is out of area and difficult to access for Primary age pupils. There is limited choice of Secondary Schools since Horsforth School will only admit pupils from LS18.

4. There are Waiting Lists at local GP's surgeries.

5. Public Transport is not ideal or easy to access.

6. 200 new homes will mean 400 extra cars causing additional traffic on the A660 route into Leeds, which is already a known problem for commuters. Commuting through Horsforth to Leeds and Bradford is problematic, as the bridge at Horsforth station is a frequent bottleneck when it closes for repairs, flooding, snow or an accident.

Access in and out of the proposed development on the winding residential Moseley Wood roads will become more fraught for residents and catastrophic for the area if traffic increases, specifically more dangerous for children. The proposed access at Moseley Wood Rise is ridiculous.

Moseley Wood Gardens is a problem road because of the width and the bends. I live on the middle bend, have witnessed many incidents and been personally involved in 2. I have previously written to the Highways Dept expressing my concerns about the danger. The incidents may not have caused any injuries but the access to Moseley Wood Rise is adjacent to this bend and is unsighted by it.

7. The area has few easily accessible amenities. -

8. The local Fire Station is due for closure. This puts current residents' lives at risk. The proposed housing development is even further away!

9. Moseley Bottom is the small flood plain of Moseley Beck. The Water Table /Spring Line changed after the building development in the '50s and early '60s, resulting in much of the drainage from the highest point in Leeds, surfacing on Moseley Bottom, which is now waterlogged. Moseley Beck cannot cope and floods the railway line adjacent to the field, up to Bramhope tunnel and down to Horsforth Station. Building more homes, laying tarmac and creating more hardstanding will cause more flooding. Proposed suggestions of 'holding tanks' and 3 open water 'PONDS' foolish. Which parent wants open water Ponds near to their property? This is a Health and Safety issue.

10. An area of natural beauty, Wildlife habitat and meadowland will be destroyed if this proposal goes ahead. Nothing justifies this when there are Brownfield sites currently available. The only reason for wanting to destroy meadow sites in this area is GREED because the Developer knows he will attract higher prices in this area. This is not a reason for allowing this development to take place.

11. Having had sight of the plans I am appalled at the housing density per acre proposed and at the thought that some 3-storey properties could be built: This is totally out of keeping with the area.

12. We have to tolerate the noise of the nearby Airport. We do not want even more noise from increased traffic and certainly not from construction traffic over many years.

Representation ID: REP03364 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Since 1945 all the greenland from Spen Lane to Cookridge Lane has been given over to housing. Enough is enough! A previously rural area is the victim of creeping urbanisation. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The proposed will be catastrophic for the whole area. There are no suitable access roads to this site. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. All places is school are taken already. Is there a proposal to build a secondary & primary school?. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This is a flood plain, it floods regularly in winter and is the spring line for the whole area. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I have known this area from birth (1939) and it has always sheltered a variety of flora & fauna.

Name: Christine Turgoose

Representor No: PRS00930

Representation ID: REP02965 Question Ref: H1

1199 1. Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

Cookridge does not have the necessary infra-structure to cope with further development.

2. The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

Would result in increased hazards to pedestrians and creation of rat-runs.

3. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

Even as things stand, local schools and many local services are over-subscribed.

4. The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

Repeated flooding over many years will be exacerbated by further development.

5. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

Important to retain at a time when so much land is being lost to development.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jacqueline Smith

Representor No: PRS00932

Representation ID: REP02969 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

Other potential brownfield sites must be identified.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

The roads are too narrow & with only one netry/ exit to the proposed site it is utter nonesense to develop.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

Local residents are already unable to secure places for children at local schools & dentist lists are full.

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

The area is predominantly heavy soil and clay with streams which flood anyway.

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

Many species of birds/insects/non phibians can be found and we must protect them for furture generations.

Name: J.t Stanway

Representor No: PRS00937

Representation ID: REP02973 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

The area is crowded enough.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

We have enough traffic from the school already.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

Enough cars come from outside the area.

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

Name: R. F & B.m Ineson

Representor No: PRS00939

Representation ID: REP02975 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

Inadequate infrastructure and "affordable housing" is inappropriate in the area.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

Moseley wood Estate Roads are barely adequate for existing vehicle usage.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

Oversubscribed with present numbers.

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

The area has already been subject to flooding which has affected the adjacent railway line.

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

Has Anyone ascertained if any protected species are present on the site?

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Eve Dunn

Representor No: PRS00943

Representation ID: REP02979 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
-

Name: Andrew Heald

Representor No: PRS00944

Representation ID: REP02980 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
-

Name: Thomas Jenkinson

Representor No: PRS00946

Representation ID: REP02983 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Unsure
- Most of us will never want new development new new us unless it is to benefit our family. But I do feel building on greenbelt or greenfield sites should be avoided if brownfield sites available - don't think that we any in cookridge? Fire station site if closes?
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- Particularly if existing residents fail to parking driveways and park on streets. Not sure how good public transport access would be - not wide road at bottom of estate.
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- Probably can't cope as are now - but capacity could be expanded. Schools argument weak as parents will take several children to schools of choice wherever they are.
- 4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- building new streams, as flood plans etc seem a very bad idea given the increase in extreme weather (heavy rain). Risk for any new build & existing housing.
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
- Not just about wildlife but need for people to have green areas to walk in and see without having to drive to access them.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Mavis Jenkinson

Representor No: PRS00947

Representation ID: REP02985 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Agree
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Agree
-

Name: Anthony James Chuter

Representor No: PRS00955

Representation ID: REP02994 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Disagree
- If you allow immigration on the scale that has existed since WWII you are bound to have a greatly increased demand for housing.
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Agree
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly disagree
- It depends on the drainage installed as part of the scheme.
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Agree
- Would the objects be able to buy the land or would their enthusiasm fade?
-

Name: Stella Chuter

Representor No: PRS00959

Representation ID: REP03001 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- This site once formed part of the green belt, which was a strategy to prevent "Urban Sprawl".
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- Local traffic is already too much for some of our narrow roads.
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- I believe the local primary schools are over-subscribed. Local people complain about difficulty and delay in getting G.P. appointments.
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- Drainage is already a problem in parts of Cookridge.
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Agree
- I have no recent experience of this. I know that when we first came here (1971) people used the site for bird-watching and I have once seen deer there.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Mr & Mrs Lee

Representor No: PRS00964

Representation ID: REP03005 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
The infrastructure in Cookridge can not cope with the influx of a new development.
2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree

Name: Margaret H Garnett

Representor No: PRS00966

Representation ID: REP03009 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Agree
Cookridge does not have the infrastructure for 200 new homes.
2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
The roads leading to this site are too narrow, residents already park at the side of the road and on pavements which cause congestion.
3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
Our local school is oversubscribed all ready.
4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
My home drive way already gets flooded. There is a beck on mosely wood bottom that has 4 (four) scerso leading enter this sitesee attached
5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
Building on this site would cause decrease in wild life ahead in the cause.

Name: Valerie Broatch

Representor No: PRS00969

Representation ID: REP03014 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
It has already got plenty of houses we do not want to loose more greenbelt.
2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
Not safe for as many cars, trucks etc. 6 yrs too long going down the road noisy petrol fumes , dust.
3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
Bad enough as it is getting places in schools and appointment at the doctors and dentists !!!!
4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
Could cause rats etc to surface more!!
5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
Will be more people, less wildlife as it is . Its like being in the country which is nice-not noisy for wildlife.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Drew Broatch

Representor No: PRS00972

Representation ID: REP03019 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

We should concentrate on building on brownfeild sites not green belt.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

The roads around this area will not be able cope with the increase traffic.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

Most of these services are already quite full.

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

It is important to protect wildlife.

Name: Robin & Suzanne Tait

Representor No: PRS00973

Representation ID: REP03022 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

I live on Green Lane & it will cause more congestion at the MW Gdns junction.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

All services are full/oversubscribed & have waiting lists.

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

It is very boggy near the beck in the fields especially in winter.

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

We need to protect the wildlife in the fields.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Emma Horne

Representor No: PRS00974

Representation ID: REP03021 Question Ref: H1

HAVE YOUR SAY
COOKRIDGE RESIDENTS ACTION GROUP
2013

'KEEP CALM AND OBJECT'

You may be aware of plans to build some 200 houses in Moseley Bottom: the green pasture fields close to the beck and rail line (site ref: 1199). This work if approved will take more than 6 years. That is 6 years of heavy traffic, noise and disruption in your neighbourhood.

Traffic will considerably increase with new houses, adding hundreds of cars using all of the area for rat runs. This affects everyone, not just those near to the fields, so have your say NOW!

Please take a few moments to complete your answers to the questions below.

Remember, this is for you and for all of us who enjoy living in Cookridge.

Your name (please print).....[x]
Address.....[x]
Postcode.....[x].....
Email.....[x].....
Phone.....

Please tick the following answer which is nearest to your view and add comments you feel are important.

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments
Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

(P) () () () ()

Your comments PTO Site ref:
1199

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem
Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

(P) () () () ()

Your comments

Traffic around Green Lane is already an issue, especially during school opening and closing. The area would not be able to cope with site traffic during busy periods and it would be very dangerous. In bad winters, it's almost impossible to get out of the streets in Moseley wood due to ice/snow – having an extra 200 houses which could be potentially 400+ cars could cause a serious problem. The other streets around the access street of Moseley Wood Rise could potentially become rat runs.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand
Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

(P) () () () ()

200 new family homes would put extra strain on our schools. All the schools in the local area are already over-subscribed. Extra competition means local families could miss out on getting a place in the school closest to them.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this
Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

(P) () () () ()

The land at Moseley Wood Bottom is extremely boggy and drainage is already a serious problem. Building on this site will cause further issues and may also causes serious problems for the houses as well as the train line near the beck. Will people want to buy houses that are at risk of flooding?

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat
Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

(P) () () () ()

The land to the rear of Moseley Wood Gardens is a wildlife haven.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Peter Summers

Representor No: PRS00995

Representation ID: REP03044 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
Already concentration of housing.
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
Restricted site access.
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
-

Name: Edith Standeven

Representor No: PRS00997

Representation ID: REP03046 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
Overcrowded now.
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
Cause more pollution
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
Longer waiting to see GP.
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
Would frighten lots of wildlifr away from area.
-

Name: L B Dyson

Representor No: PRS01006

Representation ID: REP03058 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
-

Name: D M Dyson

Representor No: PRS01007

Representation ID: REP03061 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
Cookridge is an area of unplanned development. Many houses, no amenities.
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Joseph Richardson

Representor No: PRS01013

Representation ID: REP03072 Question Ref: H1

1199 ReplIDComRefComInComSiteLinkComRefAssumedComRefPapText24
REP03069"1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

The infrastructure of Cookridge is already overstretched, schools, healthcare, emergency services.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

I have two young children and our estate(Dale Parks) is already a rat-run. This will become worse and is very worrying.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

Cookridge schools are already oversubscribed.

4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

Cookridge sits on many springs which drain into these fields.

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

For the developers to claim that they will improve the ecology of this site is disgraceful.

There are many species of birds and invertebrates, amphibians, roe deer and badgers use these fields regularly. Foxes live here. You can not improve ecology by constructing houses. The developers are insulting my intelligence by claiming you can."0119984087

Name: N Adcock

Representor No: PRS01022

Representation ID: REP03078 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

Cookridge will not stand anymore traffic the roads are far too busy.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

More surface damage to the roads will have problems parking outside our own house.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

we've to wait long enough now for an appointment.

4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

it will ruin all that has been built up over the years.

Name: David Camm

Representor No: PRS01023

Representation ID: REP03079 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

No provision has been made for the impact on traffic (no defined route) We were promised by both the city council and planning dept that Brownfield sites would be developed before this area would be affected. This promise has not been kept. This should be done by the city council.

The brownfield areas within the city are still to be developed. Why is this not been carried out before this development is considered.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

No defined traffic route has been considered. Both the contractor with his plant and equipment during construction and as well as increased traffic for the two hundred houses on already heavy trafficked roads unsuitable for any increase.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

These are already at capacity what provision has been made? Which should be done before any development is approved.

4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

British rail have expressed concern this development will cause flooding to the railway line and Horsforth station.

The increased impervious areas which will increase the prospect of flooding in Moseley Wood Gdns adjacent to the proposed roads which are lower than the level of the road proposed in the application.

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

The wildlife in these fields must be encouraged not ignored.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sandra Carol Slater

Representor No: PRS01024

Representation ID: REP03080 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
Cookridge is already over developed. Parking is a problem now.
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
Will be big problem for traffic and parking.
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
Schools over subscribed as it is.
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
Has been flood in the railways.
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
Wildlife is important it preserves the countryside.
-

Name: Amber Marie Lauanders

Representor No: PRS01025

Representation ID: REP03081 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
-

Name: M Pannett

Representor No: PRS01037

Representation ID: REP03082 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
-

Name: Gillian Billington

Representor No: PRS01039

Representation ID: REP03100 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments
Agree
If any development, it needs to be a lot less than 200 homes & a six year building time is totally unacceptable.
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
Moseley Wood Gdns is very difficult to use already due to on road parking.
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jennifer Rouse

Representor No: PRS01043

Representation ID: REP03106 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree

Name: Barry Anderson

Representor No: PRS01044

Representation ID: REP03258 Question Ref: H1

1199 - I would like to object to the Council's designation of the above site which is currently green for the following reasons:

- Sustainability – economic, environmental, socially etc. – it is not clear what the Council means by “Sustainable”. This site is not environmentally or socially sustainable for a number of reasons which are included below.
- Access to medical services, GP etc. – this is part of the infrastructure needs for the area. The NHS have confirmed in writing to me and the Area Committee officers that they have made no plans for any increase in patients arising from potential housing development in any of the areas in the north west of the city.
- Impact on access to both primary and secondary school places. Education have made no plans to resolve the lack of school places in the area.
- Highways issues – the impact of all the proposed developments on surrounding roads. Highways have carried out no proper analysis of the current levels of traffic in the area nor have they any plans to carry any out either.
- Inadequate road infrastructure to cope with the additional housing. As per Highways issues above.
- Significant peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roads. Again Highways have not carried out any analysis nor have they any plans to carry out any analysis.
- Access to sites will be dangerous and problematic. This will also be a problem for a number of years as the build out rate by any developer will be slow.
- Potential flooding/drainage issues. This is an issue that has been clearly set out by residents and also by Network Rail in terms of their concerns. There are supporting documents on file to back this up.
- The increase in local population will mean that the existing infrastructure will not be sufficient and no infrastructure audit has been carried out by the Council.
- Impact on the local environment.
- Potential public transport issues. NGT will have a negative impact on the number of buses in the area. Based on comments from the NGT project team who have confirmed there will be a reduction in service. Some officers have stated up to 50% others will only state that it will be reduced. The rail service from Horsforth station is inadequate as well.
- Local job prospects – where will people find jobs?
- Loss of agriculture – food sources – self-sufficiency. Food should be grown as close to its point of sale as possible. Using this agricultural land will reduce the ability of this land to be used for agriculture in the future.
- Potential visual impact and severe loss of amenity to local residents.
- Cumulative impact i.e. over-development of the area due to the lack of investment, infrastructure, highways, public transport, flooding, drainage, etc.
- Ecology – e.g. wildlife, flora and fauna that needs to be protected.

I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan.”

It is my view that the Council should prioritise building on brownfield sites throughout the city first before any consideration is given to any of the green field/green belt sites.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sally Leng

Representor No: PRS01046

Representation ID: REP03606 Question Ref: H1

I received your notification of plans to develop the fields known locally as Moseley Wood Bottom (Site Ref 1199). I am extremely concerned with this policy to build on the countryside rather than brownfield sites that are so precious to England. This seems to contravene several government policies; avoiding the sequential test, ignoring Making Space for Water and intentionally misrepresenting your Surface Water Management Plans all for the sake of development and a growth policy which cannot sustain the important green fields we feel are precious to Britain.

Moseley Wood Bottom is a natural soak away to the Cookridge area of Leeds. The surrounding valley is steep and this is an essential buffer of flat land, allowing the surface water from Cookridge to naturally soak into the ground gradually relieving any pressure on the beck. As a result the land has a very high ground water level (the rock heads also outcrop in the field itself). The field is boggy the majority of the year, there are reedbeds to illustrate this.

In simple terms I am confused how any development will be able to provide on site storage with a sufficient outfall to the beck. No drainage on site will be able to accommodate the natural water table and surface water as well as the development runoff. To justify this work, any model will need to assume a lower bed level and normal water level than is actually the case. Thus if honestly represented this will require all drainage to be pumped and stored off site. This would not be sustainable and will consequently have drastic consequences for any properties built there when any developer has sold the site and moved on.

Additionally, changing this land to hard standing or in any way will have drastic consequences to the area. Even in the short term (without even considering our changing environment of more intense rainstorms) the beck will be required to take additional flow and ground water and this will cause flooding to the local pinch points downstream, notably the railway and the train station. This would not only have reputational issues for the council but fiscal penalties for Network Rail, when the Harrogate line is forced to be suspended and Horsforth Train station closed.

While I appreciate that the development will be forced to provide greenfield runoff this scenario cannot be easily modelled and they will only model the effect of the development, not the overarching catchment issues of surface water and ground water, all collecting at the low point eg the field in question.

Irrespective of the aforementioned, this area is also a rich habitat being extremely critical feeding grounds to a lot of wildlife. It is criminal that we should sacrifice this land for the sake of 200 homes. This meadowland is such an important habitat, it is the only flat area in Cookridge and adjacent to the watercourse with mature trees surrounding it. Such a rare and special habitat. This meadowland is critical to many species. British birds find this area essential and I have recently witnessed buzzards, owls, kites, woodpecker, a large variety of birds feeding along this meadow. It is also home to foxes, bats and an abundance of butterflies and other waterborne insects. An ideal feeding and roosting site. I would not be surprised if the beck itself was not habitat to otters and voles with such soft natural banks.

Changing this environment will have irreversible implications not just to the wildlife but to the residents of Cookridge and Horsforth as well as commuters on Network Rail. We need to categorically stop this intention and any future ideas of changing this natural process now. We need to protect this site and ensure we don't make any irreversible land use changes to the fields which are so important to not only the eco system and river basin but our current flood risk.

Representation ID: REP03107 Question Ref: H1

1199 1. Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

2. The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

The access road is to an estate - not a secondary or main road. This will cause a real problem throughout the village.

3. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

Health centre is already inconvenient and needs driving to.

4. The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

Developers cannot easily model surface water or ground water and will make assumptions in their favour. Flooding will affect others not just new houses which will add damp and other problem.

5. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

we have seen numerous wildlife. It is an important feeding ground with an abundance of insects its boggy nature.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sally Leng

Representor No: PRS01046

Representation ID: REP06751 Question Ref: H1

I received your notification of plans to develop the fields known locally as Moseley Wood Bottom (Site ref 1199). I am extremely concerned with this policy to build on the countryside rather than brownfield sites that are so precious to England. This seems to contravene several government policies: avoiding the sequential test, ignoring Making Space for Water and intentionally misrepresenting your Surface Water Management Plans all for the sake of development and a growth policy which cannot sustain the important green fields we feel are precious to Britain.

Moseley Wood Bottom is a natural soak away to the Cookridge area of Leeds. The surrounding valley is steep and this is an essential buffer of flat land, allowing the surface water from Cookridge to naturally soak into the ground gradually relieving any pressure on the beck. As a result the land has a very high ground water level (the rock heads also outcrop in the field itself). The field is boggy the majority of the year, there are reedbeds to illustrate this.

In simple terms I am confused how any development will be able to provide on site storage with a sufficient outfall to the beck. No drainage on site will be able to accommodate the natural water table and surface water as well as the development runoff. To justify this work any model will need to assume a lower bed level and normal water level than is actually the case. Thus if honestly represented this will require all drainage to be pumped and stored off site. This would not be sustainable and will consequently have drastic consequences for any properties built there when any developer has sold the site and moved on.

Additionally, changing this land to hard standing or in any way will have drastic consequences to the area. Even in the short term (without even considering our changing environment of more intense rainstorms) the beck will be required to take additional flow and ground water and this will cause flooding to the local pinch points downstream, notably the railway and the train station. This would not only have reputational issues for the council but fiscal penalties for Network Rail, when the Harrogate line is forced to be suspended and Horsforth train station closed.

While I appreciate that the development will be forced to provide greenfield runoff this scenario cannot be easily modelled and they will only model the effect of the development, not the overarching catchment issues of surface water and ground water, all collecting at the low point, eg the field in question.

Irrespective of the aforementioned, this area is also a rife habitat, being extremely critical feeding grounds to a lot of wildlife. It is criminal that we should sacrifice this land for the sake of 200 homes. This meadowland is such an important habitat, it is the only flat area in Cookridge and adjacent to the watercourse with mature trees surrounding it. Such a rare and special habitat. This meadowland is critical to many species. British birds find this area essential and I have recently witnessed buzzards, owls, kites, woodpecker, a large variety of birds feeding along this meadow. It is also home to foxes, bats and an abundance of butterflies and other waterborne insects. An ideal feeding and roosting site. I would not be surprised if the beck itself was not habitat to otters and voles with such soft natural banks.

Changing this environment will have irreversible implications not just to the wildlife but to the residents of Cookridge and Horsforth as well as commuters on Network Rail. We need to categorically stop this intention and any future ideas of changing this natural process now. We need to protect this site and ensure we don't make any irreversible land use changes to the fields which are so important to not only the eco system and river basin but our current flood risk.

Representation ID: REP00005 Question Ref: H1

I received your notification of plans to develop the fields known locally as Moseley Wood Bottom (Site Ref 1199). I am extremely concerned with this policy to build on the countryside rather than brownfield sites that are so precious to England. This seems to contravene several government policies; avoiding the sequential test, ignoring Making Space for Water and intentionally misrepresenting your Surface Water Management Plans all for the sake of development and a growth policy which cannot sustain the important green fields we feel are precious to Britain. Moseley Wood Bottom is a natural soak away to the Cookridge area of Leeds. The surrounding valley is steep and this is an essential buffer of flat land, allowing the surface water from Cookridge to naturally soak into the ground gradually relieving any pressure on the beck. As a result the land has a very high ground water level (the rock heads also outcrop in the field itself). The field is boggy the majority of the year, there are reedbeds to illustrate this. In simple terms I am confused how any development will be able to provide on site storage with a sufficient outfall to the beck. No drainage on site will be able to accommodate the natural water table and surface water as well as the development runoff. To justify this work, any model will need to assume a lower bed level and normal water level than is actually the case. Thus if honestly represented this will require all drainage to be pumped and stored off site. This would not be sustainable and will consequently have drastic consequences for any properties built there when any developer has sold the site and moved on. Additionally, changing this land to hard standing or in any way will have drastic consequences to the area. Even in the short term (without even considering our changing environment of more intense rainstorms) the beck will be required to take additional flow and ground water and this will cause flooding to the local pinch points downstream, notably the railway and the train station. This would not only have reputational issues for the council but fiscal penalties for Network Rail, when the Harrogate line is forced to be suspended and Horsforth Train station closed. While I appreciate that the development will be forced to provide greenfield runoff this scenario cannot be easily modelled and they will only model the effect of the development, not the overarching catchment issues of surface water and ground water, all collecting at the low point eg the field in question. Irrespective of the aforementioned, this area is also a rife habitat being extremely critical feeding grounds to a lot of wildlife. It is criminal that we should sacrifice this land for the sake of 200 homes. This meadowland is such an important habitat, it is the only flat area in Cookridge and adjacent to the watercourse with mature trees surrounding it. Such a rare and special habitat. This meadowland is critical to many species. British birds find this area essential and I have recently witnessed buzzards, owls, kites, woodpecker, a large variety of birds feeding along this meadow. It is also home to foxes, bats and an abundance of butterflies and other waterborne insects. An ideal feeding and roosting site. I would not be surprised if the beck itself was not habitat to otters and voles with such soft natural banks. Changing this environment will have irreversible implications not just to the wildlife but to the residents of Cookridge and Horsforth as well as commuters on Network Rail. We need to categorically stop this intention and any future ideas of changing this natural process now. We need to protect this site and ensure we don't make any irreversible land use changes to the fields which are so important to not only the eco system and river basin but our current flood risk.[x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sally Leng

Representor No: PRS01046

Representation ID: REP00005 Question Ref: H7

I received your notification of plans to develop the fields known locally as Moseley Wood Bottom (Site Ref 1199). I am extremely concerned with this policy to build on the countryside rather than brownfield sites that are so precious to England. This seems to contravene several government policies; avoiding the sequential test, ignoring Making Space for Water and intentionally misrepresenting your Surface Water Management Plans all for the sake of development and a growth policy which cannot sustain the important green fields we feel are precious to Britain. Moseley Wood Bottom is a natural soak away to the Cookridge area of Leeds. The surrounding valley is steep and this is an essential buffer of flat land, allowing the surface water from Cookridge to naturally soak into the ground gradually relieving any pressure on the beck. As a result the land has a very high ground water level (the rock heads also outcrop in the field itself). The field is boggy the majority of the year, there are reedbeds to illustrate this. In simple terms I am confused how any development will be able to provide on site storage with a sufficient outfall to the beck. No drainage on site will be able to accommodate the natural water table and surface water as well as the development runoff. To justify this work, any model will need to assume a lower bed level and normal water level than is actually the case. Thus if honestly represented this will require all drainage to be pumped and stored off site. This would not be sustainable and will consequently have drastic consequences for any properties built there when any developer has sold the site and moved on. Additionally, changing this land to hard standing or in any way will have drastic consequences to the area. Even in the short term (without even considering our changing environment of more intense rainstorms) the beck will be required to take additional flow and ground water and this will cause flooding to the local pinch points downstream, notably the railway and the train station. This would not only have reputational issues for the council but fiscal penalties for Network Rail, when the Harrogate line is forced to be suspended and Horsforth Train station closed. While I appreciate that the development will be forced to provide greenfield runoff this scenario cannot be easily modelled and they will only model the effect of the development, not the overarching catchment issues of surface water and ground water, all collecting at the low point eg the field in question. Irrespective of the aforementioned, this area is also a rife habitat being extremely critical feeding grounds to a lot of wildlife. It is criminal that we should sacrifice this land for the sake of 200 homes. This meadowland is such an important habitat, it is the only flat area in Cookridge and adjacent to the watercourse with mature trees surrounding it. Such a rare and special habitat. This meadowland is critical to many species. British birds find this area essential and I have recently witnessed buzzards, owls, kites, woodpecker, a large variety of birds feeding along this meadow. It is also home to foxes, bats and an abundance of butterflies and other waterborne insects. An ideal feeding and roosting site. I would not be surprised if the beck itself was not habitat to otters and voles with such soft natural banks. Changing this environment will have irreversible implications not just to the wildlife but to the residents of Cookridge and Horsforth as well as commuters on Network Rail. We need to categorically stop this intention and any future ideas of changing this natural process now. We need to protect this site and ensure we don't make any irreversible land use changes to the fields which are so important to not only the eco system and river basin but our current flood risk.[x]

Representation ID: REP00005 Question Ref: H8

I received your notification of plans to develop the fields known locally as Moseley Wood Bottom (Site Ref 1199). I am extremely concerned with this policy to build on the countryside rather than brownfield sites that are so precious to England. This seems to contravene several government policies; avoiding the sequential test, ignoring Making Space for Water and intentionally misrepresenting your Surface Water Management Plans all for the sake of development and a growth policy which cannot sustain the important green fields we feel are precious to Britain. Moseley Wood Bottom is a natural soak away to the Cookridge area of Leeds. The surrounding valley is steep and this is an essential buffer of flat land, allowing the surface water from Cookridge to naturally soak into the ground gradually relieving any pressure on the beck. As a result the land has a very high ground water level (the rock heads also outcrop in the field itself). The field is boggy the majority of the year, there are reedbeds to illustrate this. In simple terms I am confused how any development will be able to provide on site storage with a sufficient outfall to the beck. No drainage on site will be able to accommodate the natural water table and surface water as well as the development runoff. To justify this work, any model will need to assume a lower bed level and normal water level than is actually the case. Thus if honestly represented this will require all drainage to be pumped and stored off site. This would not be sustainable and will consequently have drastic consequences for any properties built there when any developer has sold the site and moved on. Additionally, changing this land to hard standing or in any way will have drastic consequences to the area. Even in the short term (without even considering our changing environment of more intense rainstorms) the beck will be required to take additional flow and ground water and this will cause flooding to the local pinch points downstream, notably the railway and the train station. This would not only have reputational issues for the council but fiscal penalties for Network Rail, when the Harrogate line is forced to be suspended and Horsforth Train station closed. While I appreciate that the development will be forced to provide greenfield runoff this scenario cannot be easily modelled and they will only model the effect of the development, not the overarching catchment issues of surface water and ground water, all collecting at the low point eg the field in question. Irrespective of the aforementioned, this area is also a rife habitat being extremely critical feeding grounds to a lot of wildlife. It is criminal that we should sacrifice this land for the sake of 200 homes. This meadowland is such an important habitat, it is the only flat area in Cookridge and adjacent to the watercourse with mature trees surrounding it. Such a rare and special habitat. This meadowland is critical to many species. British birds find this area essential and I have recently witnessed buzzards, owls, kites, woodpecker, a large variety of birds feeding along this meadow. It is also home to foxes, bats and an abundance of butterflies and other waterborne insects. An ideal feeding and roosting site. I would not be surprised if the beck itself was not habitat to otters and voles with such soft natural banks. Changing this environment will have irreversible implications not just to the wildlife but to the residents of Cookridge and Horsforth as well as commuters on Network Rail. We need to categorically stop this intention and any future ideas of changing this natural process now. We need to protect this site and ensure we don't make any irreversible land use changes to the fields which are so important to not only the eco system and river basin but our current flood risk.[x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sally Leng

Representor No: PRS01046

Representation ID: REP00005 Question Ref: H9

I received your notification of plans to develop the fields known locally as Moseley Wood Bottom (Site Ref 1199). I am extremely concerned with this policy to build on the countryside rather than brownfield sites that are so precious to England. This seems to contravene several government policies; avoiding the sequential test, ignoring Making Space for Water and intentionally misrepresenting your Surface Water Management Plans all for the sake of development and a growth policy which cannot sustain the important green fields we feel are precious to Britain. Moseley Wood Bottom is a natural soak away to the Cookridge area of Leeds. The surrounding valley is steep and this is an essential buffer of flat land, allowing the surface water from Cookridge to naturally soak into the ground gradually relieving any pressure on the beck. As a result the land has a very high ground water level (the rock heads also outcrop in the field itself). The field is boggy the majority of the year, there are reedbeds to illustrate this. In simple terms I am confused how any development will be able to provide on site storage with a sufficient outfall to the beck. No drainage on site will be able to accommodate the natural water table and surface water as well as the development runoff. To justify this work, any model will need to assume a lower bed level and normal water level than is actually the case. Thus if honestly represented this will require all drainage to be pumped and stored off site. This would not be sustainable and will consequently have drastic consequences for any properties built there when any developer has sold the site and moved on. Additionally, changing this land to hard standing or in any way will have drastic consequences to the area. Even in the short term (without even considering our changing environment of more intense rainstorms) the beck will be required to take additional flow and ground water and this will cause flooding to the local pinch points downstream, notably the railway and the train station. This would not only have reputational issues for the council but fiscal penalties for Network Rail, when the Harrogate line is forced to be suspended and Horsforth Train station closed. While I appreciate that the development will be forced to provide greenfield runoff this scenario cannot be easily modelled and they will only model the effect of the development, not the overarching catchment issues of surface water and ground water, all collecting at the low point eg the field in question. Irrespective of the aforementioned, this area is also a rife habitat being extremely critical feeding grounds to a lot of wildlife. It is criminal that we should sacrifice this land for the sake of 200 homes. This meadowland is such an important habitat, it is the only flat area in Cookridge and adjacent to the watercourse with mature trees surrounding it. Such a rare and special habitat. This meadowland is critical to many species. British birds find this area essential and I have recently witnessed buzzards, owls, kites, woodpecker, a large variety of birds feeding along this meadow. It is also home to foxes, bats and an abundance of butterflies and other waterborne insects. An ideal feeding and roosting site. I would not be surprised if the beck itself was not habitat to otters and voles with such soft natural banks. Changing this environment will have irreversible implications not just to the wildlife but to the residents of Cookridge and Horsforth as well as commuters on Network Rail. We need to categorically stop this intention and any future ideas of changing this natural process now. We need to protect this site and ensure we don't make any irreversible land use changes to the fields which are so important to not only the eco system and river basin but our current flood risk.[x]

Representation ID: REP00005 Question Ref: H2

I received your notification of plans to develop the fields known locally as Moseley Wood Bottom (Site Ref 1199). I am extremely concerned with this policy to build on the countryside rather than brownfield sites that are so precious to England. This seems to contravene several government policies; avoiding the sequential test, ignoring Making Space for Water and intentionally misrepresenting your Surface Water Management Plans all for the sake of development and a growth policy which cannot sustain the important green fields we feel are precious to Britain. Moseley Wood Bottom is a natural soak away to the Cookridge area of Leeds. The surrounding valley is steep and this is an essential buffer of flat land, allowing the surface water from Cookridge to naturally soak into the ground gradually relieving any pressure on the beck. As a result the land has a very high ground water level (the rock heads also outcrop in the field itself). The field is boggy the majority of the year, there are reedbeds to illustrate this. In simple terms I am confused how any development will be able to provide on site storage with a sufficient outfall to the beck. No drainage on site will be able to accommodate the natural water table and surface water as well as the development runoff. To justify this work, any model will need to assume a lower bed level and normal water level than is actually the case. Thus if honestly represented this will require all drainage to be pumped and stored off site. This would not be sustainable and will consequently have drastic consequences for any properties built there when any developer has sold the site and moved on. Additionally, changing this land to hard standing or in any way will have drastic consequences to the area. Even in the short term (without even considering our changing environment of more intense rainstorms) the beck will be required to take additional flow and ground water and this will cause flooding to the local pinch points downstream, notably the railway and the train station. This would not only have reputational issues for the council but fiscal penalties for Network Rail, when the Harrogate line is forced to be suspended and Horsforth Train station closed. While I appreciate that the development will be forced to provide greenfield runoff this scenario cannot be easily modelled and they will only model the effect of the development, not the overarching catchment issues of surface water and ground water, all collecting at the low point eg the field in question. Irrespective of the aforementioned, this area is also a rife habitat being extremely critical feeding grounds to a lot of wildlife. It is criminal that we should sacrifice this land for the sake of 200 homes. This meadowland is such an important habitat, it is the only flat area in Cookridge and adjacent to the watercourse with mature trees surrounding it. Such a rare and special habitat. This meadowland is critical to many species. British birds find this area essential and I have recently witnessed buzzards, owls, kites, woodpecker, a large variety of birds feeding along this meadow. It is also home to foxes, bats and an abundance of butterflies and other waterborne insects. An ideal feeding and roosting site. I would not be surprised if the beck itself was not habitat to otters and voles with such soft natural banks. Changing this environment will have irreversible implications not just to the wildlife but to the residents of Cookridge and Horsforth as well as commuters on Network Rail. We need to categorically stop this intention and any future ideas of changing this natural process now. We need to protect this site and ensure we don't make any irreversible land use changes to the fields which are so important to not only the eco system and river basin but our current flood risk.[x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Stephen Rouse

Representor No: PRS01050

Representation ID: REP03112 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
- Brown field sites should be exhausted before green land is used for building.
-

Name: Stanley Merridews

Representor No: PRS01051

Representation ID: REP03113 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- Current road not wide enough for existing traffic.
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- Only one access planned for 200 houses is ludicrous.
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Simon Hinkins

Representor No: PRS01052

Representation ID: REP06763 Question Ref: H1

Site ref 1199

I recently moved into 138 Moseley Wood Gardens and received your letter dated 16th May, informing us that the land behind our house (Moseley Bottom) is likely to be developed for housing. My partner and I are extremely upset about this and would like to make it clear that we strongly object to this proposed development on the following grounds:

Environmental Concerns

I would like to point out that although we have only lived here for 2 months we have already seen numerous types of wildlife in and above the field. This includes woodpeckers, tawny owls, herons, foxes, frogs, red kites, buzzards & countless other types of birds. Two days ago I was watching a barn owl hunting in the field in the early evening. The field has a great deal of standing water in places and a very large area behind our house is in effect a bog with many reeds and long grasses. This area is an excellent habitat for wildlife and I would expect a thorough ecological survey to find newts and other endangered or valuable species. The standing water is excellent for insects which in turn feed the wide variety of birds. I have also seen at least 2 different species of bat feeding on insects above this field. If it is developed we will all lose an extremely valuable habitat and I find this proposal to be unacceptable. I will be contacting the Yorkshire Wildlife Trust to inform them of the plans.

Visual Impact

We are very concerned about the visual impact that the proposed development will have. Every single house on our side of Moseley Wood Gardens is enhanced by the beautiful countryside at the rear of the properties. A development here will destroy the attractive character of our neighbourhood. This is going to affect a very large number of people living along our street, ruining the peaceful setting and countryside aspect. I have read that councils will not take into account any loss of views when considering a planning application but I find this unbelievable. A housing development here will completely ruin the peaceful backdrop to our homes. It was the main selling point and I can honestly say that it was the reason that we selected this house. This development is going to wipe many thousands of pounds off the value of our house overnight. The first line of the estate agent's description of our house and the main selling point was 'stunning views at the rear'.

Loss of Privacy

The level of our back garden is approx 20ft above the field. Any new houses here will be at a level where we will be looking straight into their bedrooms and they will be looking directly into our conservatory and kitchen. If there are 3 storey buildings or apartment blocks then this will be even worse. We will lose all privacy to our home at the rear and will have to construct fencing and plant large trees or hedges. We currently have no fence along the back boundary and the garden opens out to a view of the field.

Infrastructure

Another concern is the increased traffic through our street. Access to this new development will be via Moseley Wood Gardens and we are probably talking about an extra 400 cars using our street every day. This will turn our street from a peaceful road to a busy thoroughfare, making it far more noisy and dangerous for children wanting to play outside our homes. This again drastically affects the character of the street. There will be increased pressure on our road system and local amenities. I am concerned about the impact that this will have on school places and access to medical resources. Our nearest doctors is currently located a long way from our home and the catchment for this doctor's surgery must be enormous already.

Drainage

The field in question is at the bottom of a valley and located next to a beck approx 3 metres wide. What impact will this large concrete area have on drainage? A new site here will certainly increase flood risk and most likely increase surface water problems which I expect to affect areas downstream or adjacent. The large amount of standing water in the field suggests that it acts as an important reservoir for ground water.

Feedback

Can I please make you aware that a large majority of residents on our street are elderly. They are not likely to be regular users of the internet, may not be able to attend public meetings and may struggle to effectively voice their concerns as much as they would have liked. On your website you encourage us to get as many neighbours as possible to complete the online feedback and to make our voices heard. I am concerned that the mostly elderly persons on our street will not be able to do this. This in turn could be seen as acceptance and the council may think that only a minority are protesting to the development.

Anticipated Housing Figures

It is clear that the ridiculous pie in the sky figures predicting the number of new homes required in North Leeds have panicked the local government into bending the rules and making sacrifices of developing areas that have previously been safe. Nothing about this field has changed but the classification has, to a green coded site. It seems the council have abandoned their principles about preserving the environment in order to satisfy this unrealistic anticipated rise in demand for housing.

This field has not been developed for all this time for a reason - because it was worth protecting and is an important green space. There must be dozens of brownfield sites which could be built on long before areas such as this are even considered for development.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Simon Hinkins

Representor No: PRS01052

Representation ID: REP03167 Question Ref: H1

I was recently informed that a field near our home in Cookridge is almost certainly going to be developed for housing. Taylor Wimpey have sent us their proposed housing layout for approx 200 houses, and we are currently in the consultation phase arranged by Leeds City Council. My partner and I are extremely upset about this and strongly object to this proposed development. Please see details below of our concerns.

Site location

The site is located behind my street: Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge, Leeds LS16 7JB GR: SE 24502 40317 (Leeds City Council site reference: 1199)

Background

It is my understanding that in 2001 this site was designated as a Protected Area of Search. It is meant to be protected until at least 2016, but I am advised that this has been brought forward and they will be building (once approved) ASAP.

Environmental Concerns

We only moved here recently, but have seen numerous types of wildlife in and above the field. This includes frogs, foxes, woodpeckers, tawny owls, barn owls, herons, red kites, buzzards and countless other types of birds. A lot of the field contains a great deal of standing water, and a very large area behind our house is in effect a bog with many reeds and long grasses. This area is an excellent habitat for wildlife.

The standing water is teeming with insects, which in turn provides very important foraging ground for birds and bats. Every evening we watch numerous bats feeding on insects above this field.

The entire western boundary of the site follows a beck (approx 3m wide), which in itself is a busy corridor for wildlife. I have concerns that the development will impact on the beck as well notably by disturbance, pollution, and surface water problems including drainage issues, I recently attended a public meeting with the local Councillor, and was appalled to learn that the Council do not carry out their own ecological assessments when reviewing green field sites for development.

I am very concerned that the ecological assessment (completed by a company appointed by the developer) will be basic and not very thorough. For example, the area is an important feeding ground for bats, and I do not think that the proposed development takes anywhere near enough steps to mitigate for this.

Visual Impact

We are very concerned about the visual impact that the proposed development will have. Every single house on our side of Moseley Wood Gardens is enhanced by the beautiful countryside at the rear of the properties. A development here will destroy the attractive character of our neighbourhood. This is going to affect a very large number of people living along our street — ruining the peaceful setting and countryside aspect of the neighbourhood.

The prospect of losing the view from our house has caused us considerable stress and made my partner and I very upset. A housing development here will completely ruin the peaceful backdrop to our homes. It was the main selling point and I can honestly say that it was the reason that we selected this house.

Loss of Privacy

The level of our back garden is approx 20ft above the field which is to be developed. Any new houses here will be at a level where we will be looking straight into their bedrooms, and they will be looking directly into our conservatory and kitchen. We will lose all privacy to our home at the rear, and will have to construct fencing and plant large trees or hedges. We currently have no fence along the back boundary, and the garden opens out to a view of the field.

Infrastructure

Another concern is the increased traffic through our street. Access to this new development will be via Moseley Wood Gardens, and we are probably talking about an extra 400 cars using our street every day. This will turn our street from a peaceful, quiet road, to a busy thoroughfare — making the street far more noisy, and dangerous for children wanting to play outside our homes. This again drastically affects the character of the street.

There will be increased pressure on our road system and local amenities. I am concerned about the impact that this will have on school places, and access to medical resources. Our nearest doctors is currently located a long way from our home, and the catchment for this doctors surgery must already be enormous.

Drainage

The field in question is at the bottom of a valley and located next to a medium sized beck — approx 3m wide. What impact will this large tarmac/concrete area have on drainage? A new site here will certainly increase flood risk and most likely increase surface water problems — which I expect to affect areas downstream or adjacent.

The large amount of standing water in the field suggests that it acts as an important reservoir for ground water.

I have been advised that the railway line is prone to flooding, and that Network Rail are concerned that this development will increase flooding to this very busy main line route between Leeds and York.

Feedback

A large majority of residents on our street are elderly. These residents are not likely to be regular users of the internet and may not be able to attend public meetings. If many residents are unable to effectively voice their concerns — this in turn could be seen as a general acceptance to the plans, and the Council may think that only a minority are protesting to the development.

Anticipated housing figures

It is clear that the ridiculous pie in the sky figures predicting the number of new homes required in North Leeds — have panicked the local government into bending rules and making sacrifices of developing areas that have previously been safe.

Nothing about this field has changed, but the classification has (to a green coded site). It seems the Council have abandoned their principles when it comes to preserving the environment in order to satisfy this (unrealistic) anticipated rise in demand for housing.

This field hasn't been developed for all this time for a reason - because it was worth protecting and is an important green space. There must be dozens of brownfield sites which could be built on long before areas such as this are even considered for development.

Our Councillor stated that LCC have now openly changed their policy regarding green fields. Previously green field sites wouldn't be considered for housing until all brownfield sites were developed. If they are seen as suitable, they are now approaching sites in whatever order they choose — meaning green fields are being built on before all other options are considered.

I am writing to voice my concerns, and I sincerely hope that LCC will take further steps to assess in detail the potential impacts of this development - in particular on drainage, environmental impacts and infrastructure.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Simon Hinkins

Representor No: PRS01052

Representation ID: REP00677 Question Ref: H11

MOSELEY WOOD BOTTOM. SITE REF: 1199. This site should return to PAS status. If this site must be developed - it shouldn't be touched until all brownfield sites are considered/developed. Preference is long term. I believe that more information is required - particularly regarding drainage and environmental impacts - before this site is even considered for development. I think that development here will lead to serious drainage problems - which have not currently been modelled or considered in enough detail. I also strongly suggest and sincerely hope that LCC will carry out an independent and thorough ecological assessment rather than glance over the developers information.

Representation ID: REP00677 Question Ref: H2

MOSELEY WOOD BOTTOM. SITE REF: 1199. I strongly object to this proposed development. I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan. ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS. I have seen numerous types of wildlife in and above the field – including bats, frogs, foxes, woodpeckers, barn owls, heron, red kites, and countless other birds. A large area of the field has standing water with reeds and long grasses. This is an excellent habitat for wildlife. The standing water is teeming with insects, and provides an important foraging ground for birds and bats. The western boundary of the site follows a beck which in itself is a busy corridor for wildlife. I have concerns the development will impact on the beck as well – notably by disturbance, pollution, and surface water issues. VISUAL IMPACT. Houses on Moseley Wood Gardens are enhanced by the beautiful countryside at the rear of the properties. A development will destroy the attractive character of our neighbourhood and ruin the peaceful setting and countryside aspect. LOSS OF PRIVACY. The level of our back garden is approx 20ft above the field which is to be developed. New houses will be looking directly into our conservatory and kitchen. We will lose all privacy to our home at the rear, and will have to construct fencing and plant large trees/hedges. INFRASTRUCTURE. Another major concern is the increased traffic through our street. We are facing an extra 400 cars using our street every day. This will turn our street from a peaceful, quiet road, to a busy thoroughfare – making the street far more noisy, and dangerous for children. There will be increased pressure on our road system and local amenities. I am concerned about the impact that this will have on school places. Also, our nearest doctors is currently a long way from our home, and the catchment for this doctors must already be enormous. DRAINAGE. The field in question is at the bottom of a valley and located next to a medium sized beck. What impact will this large tarmac/concrete area have on drainage? A new site here will certainly increase flood risk and most likely increase surface water problems – which I expect to affect areas downstream or adjacent. The large amount of standing water in the field suggests that it acts as an important reservoir for ground water. I have been advised that the railway line is prone to flooding, and that Network Rail are concerned that this development will increase flooding to this very busy line. Shallow bedrock in this field will prevent any mitigating deep ponds or drainage pipes. This field hasn't been developed for all this time for a reason - because it was worth protecting and is an important green space. I sincerely hope that LCC will take further steps to assess in more detail the potential impacts of this development.

Name: Daphne Fakley

Representor No: PRS01056

Representation ID: REP03119 Question Ref: H1

1199 1. Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

It is my view that the council make sure that Brownfield sites are developed first before any consideration is given to any of the greenfield green sites. We do not have the infrastructure to cope with development of this size.

2. The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

adding extra cars through the estate will cause significant congestion.

3. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

schools, doctors dentists are already oversubscribed what happens then?

4. The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

This development would even more surface water from roads and less space to absorb water.

5. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

The wildlife in this area is beautiful please conserve it.

This is a lovely area of Leeds & as a retired person the thought of more houses more traffic around roads lorries etc. is very dangerous & frightening.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Dorothy Edwards

Representor No: PRS01058

Representation ID: REP03122 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

Already short supply of shops,school, decent roads.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

Street too narrow. Public transport issues noise heavy traffic.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

One local shop, schools already full. Health centres, dentist etc. full to capacity.

4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

Effect on property values and roads etc.

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

Wildlife needs to be protective.

Name: Susan Reeve

Representor No: PRS01059

Representation ID: REP03124 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Barbara O'Hanlon

Representor No: PRS01061

Representation ID: REP03128 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

There is no infrastructure to support this development.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

Moseley wood Gardens is a rat run now 2 hils either side in winter dreadful.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

schools full now & health centre at Holt Park just about copes!!

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

In the past we have been flooded, being at the bottom of a hill.

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

Representation ID: REP03960 Question Ref: H1

1199 -

H1 — Do you agree that the sites that have been identified as 'green' represent the most suitable sites to consider allocating for future housing development?

No, see next question for reason

Representation ID: REP03960 Question Ref: H4

1199 - Yes, see attached sheet

[sheet is answer to question H2]

Representation ID: REP03960 Question Ref: H12

Unable to suggest any sites, but not on Land Site Ref: 1199. Too close to existing homes, and local roads unsuitable for thie 'kind' of traffic.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Barbara O'Hanlon

Representor No: PRS01061

Representation ID: REP03960 Question Ref: H2

1199 -

H2 — Which sites do you disagree with and why?

Site 1199 — Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge, reasons for this:

Access to site and highway issues:

- Access will be through the current estate which is very busy with multiple cars per household
- The roads are already difficult to navigate with cars parked on the roadside
- Adding potentially 400+ cars added to this area feeding through the estate will immediately cause significant congestion issues to the estate but also for the wider road network in the area, which will only escalate the issue of peak hour congestion which is already an issue in several bottle-necks in the area, most specifically in Horsforth
- The majority of the residents in the current estate are young families and older persons so to add this amount of additional traffic poses an increased and unnecessary danger on the roads in the estate
- The road surfaces themselves are diabolical and inadequate to support the amount of traffic that already uses them
- Not to mention in winter months the estate is very difficult to get out of and into when snow and ice are compacted; gritters do not venture into the estate and cars already get abandoned, adding an increase in cars on the estate will just exacerbate the problem and make it more dangerous for other drivers and pedestrians

Public transport:

- The estate is only serviced by one bus service which allegedly is due to be reduced in the near future
- Horsforth train station is the nearest train access and at peak times it is near impossible to get on a train, if you do get on it is standing room only and you are crammed in like sardines in a tin
- Furthermore, the parking at the station is inadequate for the number of commuters that use that line during the week
- The impact of more people using this train line is that this type of congestion will be exacerbated at peak hours which will have a roll on impact for commuters at the stations nearer to Leeds as well

Environmental impact:

- The soil in the area is very dense clay which doesn't drain easily and the whole field is very boggy, though particularly at the bottom because any water slowly drains down there; adding so much concrete and tarmac to the field will only have the effect of pushing the natural water level elsewhere, which will inevitably be the bottom of the field and the gardens of the houses that are adjacent to the site. These gardens that back onto the land already suffer from flooding when any level of persistent or heavy rain is experienced so this problem will only be increased
- Many home owners adjacent to the boundary of the land will have a road running along the bottom of their garden; this poses a danger in itself, especially in winter as the roads in the area get particularly icy, but also pollution from the cars on this road will discourage residents from growing their own fruit and veg in an attempt to be self-sufficient
- In addition to the Great Crested Newts there have been sightings of Herons in the field also

Schools:

- Adding another 200 households with a possible 2 children per household would add a further 400 children into the local area; the two primary schools in the immediate area are already oversubscribed and within the nearer local area there is only one secondary state school
- This provision for education is struggling to support the current number of children in the estate let alone a potential influx/increase from an add-on estate

Local Amenities:

- Other than the Tesco Local and the Post Office Cookridge does not have any local amenities
- Parking around these two amenities is restricted as they are opposite the nearest school which causes such a hazard to school children crossing, pedestrians and an inconvenience to residents and buses
- The small Tesco Local often struggles with long queues from the current number of local residents and passing customers who use it; adding 400+ residents will add to this strain
- There is no provision for a doctor or dentist surgery, these all lie in outer areas not immediately in Cookridge; adding 400+ residents to these outer area services will have an impact on the services they can provide and if they are already oversubscribed then where do these people register?

Population growth/increase in population:

- If it is true, as Cllr Anderson has intimated, that the population of Leeds is not growing at the rate it is expected then why do we need to 'tag' a meagre number houses onto a current estate which only creates further pressure on the local community, which already does not have the infrastructure to support it sufficiently?

Over-development of the area:

- Throughout Leeds there are so many disused sites where old buildings can be renovated, demolished and the sites rebuilt on or indeed houses that are currently abandoned and closed up that could be refurbished to create the housing that apparently is so desperately needed
- To quote MP Greg Mulholland in a recent email communication with a resident in the Moseley Woods sent on 10 June 2013

"I'm concerned about a number of the green field sites that have been proposed by Leeds City Council and would much prefer that the council used new powers given to it by the Government

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Barbara O'Hanlon

Representor No: PRS01061

to bring more empty homes back into use. It is also important that the council makes sure that brown field sites are developed first and I'm concerned that these proposals will be seen as goahead to developers to build on some green field sites."

- These disused sites will already have suitable access, infrastructure and utilities access and the abandoned/closed up houses will cost so much less to renovate than complete new builds. If affordable housing is what is needed why not use these houses?
 - It is difficult as a resident of the City of Leeds to understand why the Council are not investigating these avenues when they have clearly been given the rights by Government to do so
-

Name: Kate Toplass

Representor No: PRS01064

Representation ID: REP03129 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

Name: Simon Toplass

Representor No: PRS01065

Representation ID: REP03132 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

Name: Neil Johnson

Representor No: PRS01067

Representation ID: REP03133 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

With Cookridge being such a small village. It's infrastructure is too fragile to support the potential influx. Use the empty houses and the previous housing development which still haven't sold suggest there is no demand for housing in Cookridge.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

Our roads are safe for our children. With the increase in traffic, safty will be an issue.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

Being a Primary school teacher, our schools and waiting lists canot cope with more.

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

This increases the risk of damage to our local area and potential disruption to services.

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

There is currently a plethora of wildlife inhabitants. This would destroy this.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Matthew Rouse

Representor No: PRS01069

Representation ID: REP03135 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- 4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Strongly agree
-

Name: Lynda Murphy

Representor No: PRS01070

Representation ID: REP03136 Question Ref: H1

- 1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree
- There is no open space in Cookridge and already too many houses. There is nowhere for children to play except the small playground on otley old road which is in a ridiculous position, requiring children to cross a busy road.
- 2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree
- There will be a massive increase in traffic going into Leeds through Headingley which is already a bottleneck.
- 3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree
- The Primary schools are already struggling to cope. There are not enough facilities to accommodate the number of houses in Cookridge.
- 4.The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Unsure
- 5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
Agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Abigail Blacker

Representor No: PRS01073

Representation ID: REP03139 Question Ref: H1

1199 1.Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

Already lots of houses with too much traffic and not enough services eg. School places, train capacity.

2.The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

Green Lane around the school is already a problem MWG is already heavily trafficked.

3.Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

My child is 1 yr old and I was advised by health vistor if a baby boom in area. More picularly coming it will worsen it.

4.The area including Moseley becks is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

Our garden already floods!

5.Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree

We take our youg son there to enjoy & learn about the habitats.

Representation ID: REP01609 Question Ref: H1

We strongly believe that site 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Cookridge should be re-allocated as a RED site.We believe that LCC should prioritise building on brownfield sites before ANY green sites are considered suitable. In addition to this, we strongly believe that LCC should also be redeveloping empty houses.

Representation ID: REP01609 Question Ref: H5

1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Cookridge. This should be reallocated to RED

Representation ID: REP01609 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Cookridge This area does not have the infrastructure to cope with a development of this size. In addition to the potentially detrimental increase in traffic congestion, we are very concerned about the strain on existing local services ie schools, transport, doctors etc. Our first child is 1yr and I am concerned I will be unable to send him to the local school we moved here for.Cookridge is the highest point in Leeds and we struggle to get out during even the slightest snow fall/ice. Many residents leave their vehicles on Cookridge Drive, Green Lane etc during the winter as it is very difficult to get out of the Moseley Woods - an additional 200/300 homes with at least 1 car each will exacerbate the problem. The drainage in this area is already poor. Adding homes will increase the frequency of flooding - as with the snow, we are very prone to flooding. Our garden always floods during heavy rainfall plus the roads are unable to cope with surface water. Building on this land will just reduce further land which can absorb water.Plus, it is a travesty to destroy such a beautiful area that is home to many diverse wildlife. The residents strongly value the surrounding fields and frequently use the land to explore, learn and enjoy with our children. One of outstanding features of Cookridge is the beautiful surrounding countryside that is all too quickly being sold out to developers. Once its gone, its gone.

Name: David Lewis

Representor No: PRS01092

Representation ID: REP04467 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There over 14000 homes for sale and 5000 empty houses in leeds and no other will by Wimpy houses. Taylor wimpy the wimp. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The little roads will become main roads and some other will get run over, because of 400 new cars. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. To many people to cope with at cookridges facilities. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Mosley Wood becks off springs will be destroyed by the new houses and cause a flood. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Many animals have been sited there.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Roger Jacklin

Representor No: PRS01098

Representation ID: REP02004 Question Ref: H1

1. There are a substantial number of 'Brownfield' sites throughout Leeds which should be utilised which would also regenerate these areas which are currently 'blighted' by their condition. In addition, there are currently thousands of unoccupied properties in Leeds which are suitable for residential use. L.C.C. should be proactive in encouraging/progressing the use of the above two categories before the 'green' sites are contemplated. 2. There is a distinct lack of infrastructure to support these sites e.g schools - children, including primary, are currently being transported many miles across the city as the education authority do not recognise close proximity as a priority which is a clear conflict with the Planning Authority's insistence that developments must demonstrate a 'green travel' policy; especially as the school starting times are a recognizable and large contributor to the current acute, morning rush hour congestion with the associated pollution.

Representation ID: REP03170 Question Ref: H1

1199 - Cookridge needs no more housing - strongly agree. The infrastructure cannot support an increase in population. Social housing is the nation's need however social housing must be located in positions convenient for its residents to economically live. The second priority is starter homes which, in this location, are not profit attractive to developers.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem - strongly agree. This proposal will double the traffic on MW Gardens and quadruple the current 'pinch points' congestion at the MW Rise junction compared with any existing.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand - strongly agree. Schools especially primary, are already over subscribed.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this - strongly agree. The clue is in the name Moseley Bottom!

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat - strongly agree. Several protected species in a naturally farmed habitat.

Representation ID: REP02004 Question Ref: H2

Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. Site Ref. 1199.1. Developers' priorities should not automatically become LCC's priority. 2. The site provides a rich abundance of protected species and is a natural habitat, which, whilst protected from the normal incursions, provides the public and their children with an appreciation of this provision with good pedestrian access linking to the footpaths which criss-cross the site. This is a unique provision in the neighbourhood. 3. There are several naturally occurring underground land drainage courses serving the higher areas of Cookridge which issue at the surface within this site and then flow into Moseley beck on the west boundary whilst supporting the marsh grasses. The additional hard surfaces of any development, both roofed and paved, will create the potential for flooding in addition to the run off already occurring from the adjacent roads which create a discharge during heavy rain down Moseley Wood Rise - again these are all at the 'low point' of Cookridge. There is a fall of 65 metres from the highest point in Cookridge (on this north west side) to this land - Moseley Bottom - which produces an average gradient of 1:14 or 7%. 4. This site also acts as a buffer to prevent further sprawl of development northwards along the railway line which would result in a further removal of open space.

Name: Ken Bell

Representor No: PRS01175

Representation ID: REP03273 Question Ref: H1

[blank]

Name: Vera Bell

Representor No: PRS01178

Representation ID: REP03276 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottin is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Julie Bell

Representor No: PRS01180

Representation ID: REP03277 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottin is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Andrew Bell

Representor No: PRS01181

Representation ID: REP03280 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottin is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Liam Archibald

Representor No: PRS01182

Representation ID: REP03281 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottin is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: James Smith

Representor No: PRS01184

Representation ID: REP03282 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottin is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Mark Smith

Representor No: PRS01186

Representation ID: REP03284 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottin is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Vivien Wigglesworth

Representor No: PRS01188

Representation ID: REP03286 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Cookridge cannot support increasing housing, transport & schools. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. The road are already busy and difficult pass parked cars without more traffic. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. Not enough facilities things like schools bus service health centre. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottin is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. Many species like Bats deer seen in the area birch, wild flowers

Name: Susan Smith

Representor No: PRS01189

Representation ID: REP03287 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottin is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Rachel Smith

Representor No: PRS01192

Representation ID: REP03288 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottin is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Beatrice Smith

Representor No: PRS01193

Representation ID: REP03289 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Children living in cookridge can no longer qualify for schools in horsforth. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Traffic using the Bridge over the Train Line will be more chaotic early morning. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. Infrastructure especially school places are over subscribed already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. Where will the water go when flooding does occur. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. Local deer have been seen in this area.

Name: Angela Waksworth

Representor No: PRS01194

Representation ID: REP03290 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Mark Brown

Representor No: PRS01196

Representation ID: REP03292 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Schools Already Full, Services at straining point. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Already not Enough space for existing car ownership - parking on road is a problem. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Less land drainage more flooding. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Nicholas Kemp

Representor No: PRS01210

Representation ID: REP03312 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Exiting that development, is restrictive, cookridge already has enough traffic at rush hour especially trying to get over the narrow bridge into Horsforth and the ring road. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Our daughter didn't get a place at our local school due to oversubscription already. Where are you going to place the children in 200 new houses? The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Beck area is already known to flood. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I have foxes and roe deer. In this area their habitats should be protected.

Name: Francine Passmore

Representor No: PRS01211

Representation ID: REP03314 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Gloria Rouse

Representor No: PRS01212

Representation ID: REP03315 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge does not need to become any bigger. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The main Roads are busy already extra traffic will cause congestion & air quality will be affected. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The primary schools in cookridge are over subscribed there are no dental surgeries. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. As a resident of Cookridge my back garden floods every time there is a heavy rainfall. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. During my time living next to Moseley Bottom I have witnessed wildlife such as doe, Badgers, bats, foxes and a whole range of different birds. I would like to know reasons why these housing developments are not using brown area. Also within Leeds there are areas of houses that are boarded up these could be redeveloped. The area of Moseley bottom is not a suitable area to turn into an area of houses. As there is inadequate roads and access to this area, the surrounding street will become "Rat" runs into the proposed area which will have an effect on the residents. The roads in cookridge were built in the late 50's & were not designed to accommodate the amount of traffic that will arise if these houses were allowed to be built. For every one house that is to be built equals two cars. Also there is a concern regarding flooding of this area. I would also like to comment that I cannot see how this would solve the housing problems but would only see Taylor Wimpey shareholders would be the only ones to benefit. This area has been rejected on many occasions. Please look at redevelopment brown sites & leave all the Green Belts.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Peter Chadwick

Representor No: PRS01213

Representation ID: REP03313 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Feel if development goes ahead our street will become a rat run.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Heather Hufton

Representor No: PRS01214

Representation ID: REP03316 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Brown fields should be better developed and uses instead of fields and /or greenbelts. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Each household will have at least one car obviously affecting streets or threatening more undeveloped area. How can existing streets be improved to cope, as there is no room to do this. More greenbelt environmentally sensitive areas will be threatened. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Primary Schools already full. People already have to travel to health centres. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Evidence that development adds to flooding risk. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Wild life have been enjoyed by many.

Name: Rona Chadwick

Representor No: PRS01215

Representation ID: REP03318 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Afraid if development our street will become a rat run.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding.
More building will affect this Strongly agree.
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: J G Hodgson

Representor No: PRS01216

Representation ID: REP03320 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Gail Kaye

Representor No: PRS01217

Representation ID: REP03319 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Leeds Bradford Airport has recently become much busier without first improving the road and rail links. This has made traffic in this area much busier as taxi drivers try to avoid the queues at Yeadon traffic lights (Murgatroyds). We simply cannot manage any more traffic in an area where roads are still country lanes. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Just because a builder is able to buy land that is currently woodland or green belt shouldn't mean that he can use it for development. His planned use should be stated at the time and the land given to another buyer who honours the land for what it is, 'green belt' this should effect the cost of the land, acre by acre. A past flash flood and its run of water possibly from the airport runway caused much damage to owl farm (scotland lane). It stripped the soil off the stable doors and used the access lane as its 'river bed' it then poured down through the fields at a frightening speed onto the railway lines and onto Moseley Bottom.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jennifer Lyons

Representor No: PRS01218

Representation ID: REP03321 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree - especially Troy Road

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree - not enough school places as it is

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Che Muncaster

Representor No: PRS01219

Representation ID: REP03322 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There are plenty of houses around here already. Other development in the area are ongoing. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The local Schools are already full- how will building more houses help that situation. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: George East

Representor No: PRS01220

Representation ID: REP03323 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The land should remain green belt.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Traffic in winter due to snow/ice. Heavier traffic will not help.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP00098 Question Ref: H1

Highway issues-increased traffic flows on narrow roads, blind junctions leading to more accidents. Also there may be flooding problems. New houses will be much further from schools, bus stops and railway station, necessitating more use of cars.

Representation ID: REP00098 Question Ref: H5

Site reference 1199. Traffic issues, flooding potential, distance from amenities as listed earlier.

Representation ID: REP00098 Question Ref: H2

Site reference 1199, land off Moseley Wood Gardens. For reasons, see above.

Name: David Ellis

Representor No: PRS01221

Representation ID: REP03324 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge fully developed. What few green spaces need to remain as amenities for all residents. There is not the infrastructure or amenities to cope with any large developments. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The Moseley woods are already heavily populated and the roads around were not designed to cope with existing traffic let alone further traffic which will be expected from in excess of 200+ new properties. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This is likely to result in a blanket increase in all LS16 home insurance premiums. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Leave it alone.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Val East

Representor No: PRS01222

Representation ID: REP07280 Question Ref: H1

1199 Mosley Bottom, GREEN TO RED.

I have attached 4 photos which I feel illustrate how clearly I feel that Site 1199 is unsuitable for development

2 photos show what happened after a storm over Cookridge when water poured down the Moseley Wood estate roads channelling down what is the proposed access road with the water being absorbed over a wide area of the Moseley Wood Bottom land.

Another photo shows the exit from Moseley Wood Rise onto Moseley Wood Gardens on a blind corner. Until the second access road is built for the second phase of development, Moseley Wood Rise is the only proposed vehicle access for all the buildings in the first phase of development.

There have been many vehicular accidents at this corner which are only recorded by insurance companies.

The final photo shows what is called locally the 'Moseley Wood Chicane' this is caused by so many dwellings having multi car occupancy. Cars parked on the road cause congestion and would be a real problem for construction vehicles to access the site.

Over the 48 years I have in Moseley Wood Gardens the Moseley Wood Bottom land has been deemed unsuitable for building and I feel nothing Taylor Wimpey have argued to the contrary should change this opinion

[x]

Representation ID: REP03325 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. All traffic from the first development will exit on a blind corner in Moseley Wood Gardens.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. I have photos of water flooding down Moseley Wood Rise and spreading out to be absorbed in the field.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. A survey of wildlife should be done this month when the area is alive with butterflies and other insects

Name: Gaynor Ellis

Representor No: PRS01223

Representation ID: REP03326 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge has not got the infrastructure or amenities to cope with any large development. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The Moseley woods are already populated and the roads there are not designed to cope with existing traffic let alone further cars from in excess of 200+ new properties. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This will surely result in a blanket effect increase in all LS16 insurance premium charges. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP00111 Question Ref: H2

Site reference 1199. The Moseley Wood area is already a very busy area and the area marked as a possible site is highly inaccessible. The access is through residential streets that are not suitable to carry the extra volume of traffic that is sure to be generated, firstly by a large scale building venture and secondly by the additional cars that 200+ new homes would generate. The area around Holy Trinity School and Tesco and the Post Office on Green Lane is already badly congested, especially so at school times. Also the additional traffic that would be using Green Lane, Woodhill Road and Tinshill Road as the main thoroughfare to this area from Lawnswood, West Park, Horsforth (and the Rail Station) are already heavily used, again these are residential streets without the capacity to cope with the traffic this development would generate. Parked vehicles on Tinshill Road due to the Horsforth rail station is a huge problem in the area as there is already insufficient parking available at the station, how is the area to cope with further capacity? I strongly object to this development.

Name: Rita Bland

Representor No: PRS01224

Representation ID: REP03327 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. We have enough now without the facilities.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Our residential roads are not designed to take more traffic.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. There are no school places now.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. I have witnessed the flooding which affects the railway.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. We need to protect this area for wildlife.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michael Walter

Representor No: PRS01225

Representation ID: REP03328 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Is it necessary to virtually double the size of cookridge? There are very few amenities and services already. Are there plans for increasing these things. Probably not. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. It is difficult enough getting in and out of cookridge with existing traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Is a new school going to be built? Likewise more medical centres?. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I have seen this year after year where will the water go then. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We are told all the time how it is necessary to conserve wild life here we are with plan to rip everything out - when it suits and money is to be made.

Name: Terry Steel

Representor No: PRS01226

Representation ID: REP03329 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. The road system can't cope with the existing traffic in bad weather. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. It's madness to think about building on land that does flood. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Sue Orson

Representor No: PRS01227

Representation ID: REP03330 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Nick Orson

Representor No: PRS01228

Representation ID: REP03332 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Jackie Steel

Representor No: PRS01229

Representation ID: REP03331 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. The roads are blocked every time it snows now so with more cars it will be worse. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. All the local schools are full now. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Our gardens flood now so with new houses it will be worse. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Joanne Masters

Representor No: PRS01230

Representation ID: REP03333 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. I have a young boy (2) and I am concerned about how safe the roads will be if the volume increases. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. unsure. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: J.m Rowland

Representor No: PRS01231

Representation ID: REP03335 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads will be more congested at rush hour - especially otley old road, otley road etc. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Other facilities too are already insufficient for people's need. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Insurance will be unaffordable, area has many springs. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Wildlife has already begun to decline owing to the paving of gardens so proposed development would be catastrophic

Name: Simon Haigh

Representor No: PRS01233

Representation ID: REP03337 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Jim Rowland

Representor No: PRS01234

Representation ID: REP03336 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Agree. No room without taking out green sites. We need green sites. Facilities inadequate for expansion. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Houses further up hill are creating excessive run off. The area is wet and some houses have water ponding underneath them at times. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. As this area is squeezed it will not be able to sustain its present diverse fauna.

Name: Frances Rowland

Representor No: PRS01235

Representation ID: REP03339 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Agree. We need more houses but brownfield sites should be used first. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Irene Drake

Representor No: PRS01236

Representation ID: REP03338 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. I think that brown field sites should be used before encroaching on the countryside
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Agree.
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree.
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Colin Priestley

Representor No: PRS01238

Representation ID: REP03342 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. All the additional traffic cannot be added to already inadequate road. Other infrastructure and services/schools/shops etc. are lacking. Tackle brownfields sites before anywhere else should even be considered. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Existing parking will make it difficult for any extra traffic. What happens when we get lots of snow such as in last 4 winters. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. And if this is the case incomers will be forced to drive further afield adding to our traffic problems. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. More run off from roads, drives and gardens will add to this risk. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Adjoining greenbelt part of large wedge of wooded and open land from the Chevin down into Horsforth.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sarah Wilson

Representor No: PRS01239

Representation ID: REP03344 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Traffic is already a terrible problem

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Faye Priestley

Representor No: PRS01240

Representation ID: REP03343 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The capacity of the infrastructure is at the maximum already so more housing development is not sustainable. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. There will only be one road of access to the proposed new developments so rat runs will develop not safe. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. There are limited capacities for facilities already in place eg. School places. Doctors appointments, more demand is not possible. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Building on floodplains increase risk of flooding, in turn costing more money in the long run so why spend money on flood defence when you could prevent flooding by not building the development in the first place. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. It is an area that includes water habitats, trees, fields, which are all important habitats for wildlife. Environmental conservation should be the most important consideration and it is green land, not brownfield.

Name: Phil Worsley

Representor No: PRS01241

Representation ID: REP03345 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Caveat - brown field sites

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Moseley Woods are already busy enough and can't support more cars.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Thomas Priestley

Representor No: PRS01242

Representation ID: REP03346 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Not enough infrastructure (school places, doctors dentists, road, etc) to sustain a larger population. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Limited road access to proposed site will greatly increase traffic problems during and after building, as well as a health risk. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Waiting times and places are limited enough at existing health care, dentists as it is, an extra 200 properties will cause a bigger problem. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. It is clear to see that building on flood plan of Moseley Beck will limit overflow capacity. Any adverse weather condition and a flood will likely occur, flooding most important the railway line. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Of course it is, it consists of grass, wood, a stream where wildlife thrives. What of the impact on local conservation area such as caring for life?

Name: Patricia Clarkson

Representor No: PRS01243

Representation ID: REP03347 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Anthony Clarkson

Representor No: PRS01244

Representation ID: REP03349 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Kim Smith

Representor No: PRS01245

Representation ID: REP03348 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. This area should be returned to green belt status, and all brownfield sites should be used as priority. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local road will be used as 'Rat Run' to the entrance proposed on Moseley Wood Rise. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.

Strongly agree. The local schools are full this year with some children who live close to Holy Trinity have been refused a place. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Rhonda Smith

Representor No: PRS01246

Representation ID: REP03350 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. If anything, Cookridge needs affordable renting. Please use brownfield sites. Not our green spaces. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The last thing Cookridge needs is more cars, Rat Running through the Moseley Woods especially the rise and the bank traffic at rush hours already bottleneckss over the station. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. My daughter did not get a place at Holy Trinity due to over subscription, we live only 0.3 mile away. Where will the children from 200 new houses goto school? The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The Horsforth train line often flood already, more housing will create more "run off" and hence more flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We can never have this land back, we have seen foxes and roe deer in our local area, and habitats should be protected.

Name: Steven Stokoe

Representor No: PRS01247

Representation ID: REP03351 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. A massive housing development like this will require further infrastructure elsewhere, causing more disruption

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Traffic jams already over Horsforth Bridge. It will not cope with extra traffic.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. Either the existing facilities will have to cope and this would mean a poorer service or new schools, etc (more building!)

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. Extremely important to me> We need our fields and wildlife environment.

Name: Kenneth Smith

Representor No: PRS01248

Representation ID: REP03352 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The present road system was designed and built proportionate to the existing houses and modes of transport. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cookridge itself has a poor road system restricted by narrow bridge on one side and very heavy use on the other into Leeds City. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools in this area cannot cope with current demand, locals cannot get entry!. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Margaret Green

Representor No: PRS01249

Representation ID: REP03353 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Javomg ;oved jere fpr a considerable number of years I now see cookridge fully stretched. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Driving in Cookridge is proving more difficult with parked vehickes and trade traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Keeping on the appointed time at doctors or clinic (even booked) is becoming more rare. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Due to the water table we always have flooding after heavy reain. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Many relaxing beneficial hours pass watching the interaction of wild life that come and pass through our garden alone. A prefect balance.

Name: Alan Green

Representor No: PRS01250

Representation ID: REP03354 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Name: Fitton Sarah

Representor No: PRS01251

Representation ID: REP03355 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The infrustructure can't cope!! The road are already over congested/parked. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. As already stated the residential access roads around the prosped development are already over parked, congested. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The local servies surgeries are already over subscribed and waiting times for appointment at already an unacceptable level. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The fields surrounding Moseley Beck have, over the years increasingly become like marsh level and more hard standing with increase water run off/flooding risk. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. The ecological impact of further development will be significant.

Name: Beryl Mayhew

Representor No: PRS01252

Representation ID: REP03356 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Current infrastructure already fully stretched. Due to its positionm, winter periods cause traffic to come to a halt and cars abandoned everywhere The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The roads are too narrow and already blocked with parked cars. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. All struggling to cope with demands already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Also the railway station and line. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Great crested newts, foxes, bats, frogs , toads - all in this area.

Name: Jessica Smith

Representor No: PRS01253

Representation ID: REP03357 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP04506 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Ian Passmore

Representor No: PRS01254

Representation ID: REP03358 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Suzanne Oliver

Representor No: PRS01255

Representation ID: REP03359 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Frances Prior

Representor No: PRS01256

Representation ID: REP03360 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Impact on school, infrastructure & disturbance while already being built. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Mike Prior

Representor No: PRS01257

Representation ID: REP03361 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Unsure. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: B Bell

Representor No: PRS01258

Representation ID: REP03362 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Access totally inappropriate. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Already problems with parked cars outside people's houses. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Already Schools are full, also takes weeks to see doctor of your choice. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. Problems already with flooding on railway embankment. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Deer graze in adjacent woodland.

Name: Jacqueline Bastow

Representor No: PRS01259

Representation ID: REP03363 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The current infrastructure is unable to support such a huge development. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Many Cookridge residents are retired or will be before proposed completing - this will affect their quality of life, also increased danger of accidents to young children and the elderly due to heavy traffic during proposed building. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools are already over subscribed and appointment at health centres difficult to book. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Unsure. Do not know enough about that to comment but it must also impact on wild life habitat. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom supports a vast array of wildlife from insects and birds to deer & foxes. Foxes already encroach into housing and this can only force them to encroach further. Nature wildlife is under constant pressure from developments and this will only add to the problems wildlife currently faces. Wildlife should be allowed to live in their native habitats and not forced out by development.

Name: Norman F Watson

Representor No: PRS01260

Representation ID: REP03365 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Far too much road traffic at the moment, especially at the ends of Green Lane at busy periods.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jean Gosling

Representor No: PRS01261

Representation ID: REP03368 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Development should be on a RED site, or bringing empty homes back into use. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Parking will be even more of a problem than it is now. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: David Bailey

Representor No: PRS01262

Representation ID: REP03369 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There are enough houses in the area without planning extra strain on local infrastructure & amenities and services. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. As a resident of Moseley Wood Gardens this will be a huge increase in passing traffic both construction and residential. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools are already over subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Surface over flow from roads will have a detrimental affect on this. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Having grown up in the area and now bringing my children up and visiting Moseley Wood Bottom all of this will be threatened. It is an area of natured beauty and should remain so.

Name: John Gosling

Representor No: PRS01264

Representation ID: REP03371 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. I'm sure there are less contentious sites suitable for development. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. It's crazy to build where flooding could be a problem. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Lucy Johnson

Representor No: PRS01265

Representation ID: REP03370 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Intermis of infrastructure, it is at absolute maximum and cannot sustain further housing. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Living on Moseley Wood Gardens, my family and I will be subjected to a huge increase in traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. I came to Cookridge Wanting to put my son currently aged 20 months into a primary school locally - what change now?. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. It already floods causing huge disruption to commuters - this will compound the problem further. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. It is full of wild life and will be an absolute trausity to destroy this area. You can not allow this development to go ahead. There are plenty of empty houses in Leeds to cater for population predicted increase, along side brown/field sites ripe for development. This will destroy Cookridge and cannot go ahead. I will definity move house should it be agreed. Think sensibly about the long term not a knee jerk short term solution. Please do what you know is right.

Name: Doreen Cook

Representor No: PRS01266

Representation ID: REP03373 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Where will any children who come to the area find a school place locally? The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. I may not be affected directly in my street, but I strongly support the people in the roads immediately around the area concerned. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. I already have problems making appointments within a reasonable time lag. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. I certainly would not want to build a property in that area. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: S Maskill

Representor No: PRS01268

Representation ID: REP03374 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The area has over the years been swamped with buldings i.e. Holt Park and the selling of Moseley Wood for the large development there. Over the last 80 years "Cookridge" has gone. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The area -particularly Cookridge Lane is already a rat run from direction of Bramhope through to Horsforth then Bradford. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. One already has difficulty getting appointments at G.P practice. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Wild life area in & around Cookridge Village have already suffered over the years with buildings and traffic.

Name: L Kennedy

Representor No: PRS01270

Representation ID: REP03375 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Greenbelt should stay greenbelt for all the people of Leeds to enjoy. Plenty of brownfield sites.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree. Road safety an issue in an area with many children and many elderly people.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. It's daft to build and worsen flooding problems.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. Keep greenbelt for all to enjoy including wildlife and for education about wildlife.

Biodiversity matters for all our futures.

Name: Peter Maskill

Representor No: PRS01271

Representation ID: REP03377 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree.

Already every weekday morning and evening I can wait up to 20 minutes to exit our Grove to Cookridge Lane. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local school are full and doctor surgery are over subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Strongly agree.

Name: Patricia Summers

Representor No: PRS01272

Representation ID: REP03378 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. More green land gone forever.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Even with 2 access points this will not dilute the heavy traffic through Cookridge.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. All the local schools are full already

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree. The development would create more surface run off.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. Concerned about more trees being felled- loss of habitat.

Name: Nicola Perry

Representor No: PRS01273

Representation ID: REP03379 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge is already over crowded and we do not have the facilities/capacity to cope with the addition of more houses/households. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The potential addition of 400 vehicles will exacerbate the existing problems cookridge has regarding traffic especially around the school (dangerous) and Tescos. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. As a resident I am fully aware of the difficulty already involved with getting a doctors appointment within 2 weeks of needing one, New housing developments with no plans for more medical facilities will make this worse as hundreds more residents will swamp the already over burdened facilities. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Run off of water from the new development will increase flooding of Moseley Wood beck and inevitably cause flooding on the railway track between Harrogate and Leeds. This will affect commuters not just from cookridge but also North Leeds and North Yorkshire. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. My House has an outlook over Moseley wood bottom and we are lucky enough various species of wildlife including some endangered specis. The UK government department for environment food and rural affairs have stated in their recent 'protecting biodiversity and ecosystems at home and abroad' policy (June12/2013) that contact with nature is good for our physical and mental health, (something the new building development would surely hinder) furthermore they have stated that in england much of our biodiversity including many of our birds, butterflies and plants is declining due to wildlife areas being too disjointed (something the new housing development will contribute to). The policy stresses the importance of preserving natural ecosystems in England something a new housing development clearly goes against.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: M.d Brill

Representor No: PRS01274

Representation ID: REP03383 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Too many people for the existing amenities. Where are the children going to school? Brownfield sites must be used first. Bad weather in winter affects drivers. 400 extra cars would make this situation worse. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. I needed an emergency ambulance which had to park 250 yards away because of parked cars. Additional traffic will lead to chaos. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The above are already full. Where are people supposed to go when ill etc. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This area is always marshy but acts as a good soak away for the clay in these fields. Flooding would be a real problem if they are built on. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Full of insect life, aquatic pond life, profuse pond life, hares, bats, deer, birds galore and an important place for migrating birds coming in from Russia & scandinavia. The marginalisation of our precious wild places must stop now.

Name: Tony Waite

Representor No: PRS01275

Representation ID: REP03384 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Ann Christys

Representor No: PRS01276

Representation ID: REP03382 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Nairn Kennedy

Representor No: PRS01277

Representation ID: REP03381 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Croded area already. Severe polution from domestic fires a growing problem already.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Hillcrest Rise traffic has increased drastically during the past few years - a danger to children at Cookridge Primary.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Agree. Already unable to get ordinary appointments at Holt Park Surgery.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree. And flooding may affect buildings. Does it make sense these days to build besides a river? Should the reasons/motives of the council be investigated?

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree. And a useful green space for residents. Please do not use green belt as building land.

Name: James Leach

Representor No: PRS01278

Representation ID: REP03385 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Totally and utterly unrealistic, causing nothing but more problems to the area just for the sake of 200 houses. There are plenty of empty boarded up houses in Leeds that could be redeveloped to ease the housing shortage. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. I live in Moseley Wood Gardens and the problems getting in & out of the area during the week is already terrible. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. People are already traveling out the area for these services 200 more houses mean more infrastructure problems. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. We live at the bottom of Cookridge and are already prone to bouts of flooding in heavy rainfall. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. A beautiful area is going to be destroyed and the habitats of wild creatures flowers and trees decimated.

Name: Mavis Kershaw

Representor No: PRS01279

Representation ID: REP03386 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Wayne Adams

Representor No: PRS01280

Representation ID: REP03388 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Concern over excessive traffic, lack of school places, over growing, excessive traffic/ speeding. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: David Eyre

Representor No: PRS01282

Representation ID: REP03389 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. If more housing is necessary it should be on "brown field ", not on what was greenbelt. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. 200 houses means about 400 cars - there are no bus routes there, and a long walk to the station. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. I understand that all local schools are full - has a study been undertaken? The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. There are problems for the railway line. Parking and tarmac on this field will make it worse. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. This is a home to Red Kite, newts and foxes, and is part of the character of Cookridge.

Name: June Walker

Representor No: PRS01283

Representation ID: REP03390 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Sheila Eyre

Representor No: PRS01284

Representation ID: REP03391 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge cannot support more housing, roads already in poor repair. Brownfield sites should be developed first. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The area for development is not close to a bus route or station by foot Moseley Wood Gardens residents have difficulty in snow to get out of estate now. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local schools are over subscribed and doctors & dentist full. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. this will made worse by tarmas and paving from residential housing. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. These fields are hoemto foxes red kite and newts.

Name: Paul Martin

Representor No: PRS01285

Representation ID: REP03394 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Additional housing will create too much traffic in a built up area. Services such as schools will be stretched and building work should be assigned to brown field sites. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local Schools already at capacity. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The rate of urbanisation contribute to run off therefore increasing the rate of flooding in area. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Loss of wildlife to this green belt is unacceptable.

Name: Veronical Ross

Representor No: PRS01286

Representation ID: REP03395 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Donna Galek

Representor No: PRS01288

Representation ID: REP07293 Question Ref: H1

1199 Mosley Bottom Green to Red.

Dear Sir

Further to my objection to this application to build, which I completed and file switch CRAG, please find attached footage of Moseley Wood Beck in spate. 1 of 3

Yours Faithfully

[x]

Representation ID: REP03397 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The road of cookridge are already struggling and overloaded and each house could add at least 2 cars if not more to the roads which are not now currently maintained to an acceptable level. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Many schools were shut years ago leaving a shortage now. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I used to live on Woodhill Road and I have photos and video footage of the beck in spate. This piece of land is also prone to extensive flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Bats gagers, foces, deer, frogs and great crest newts and owls all live in this area this will be detrimental. Also the bees which are in danger.

Name: Matthew Holt

Representor No: PRS01289

Representation ID: REP03398 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Other brownfield sites. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Traffic already heavy seen no plans for better road facilities. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. No doctors nearby. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. needs to be considered. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Important to get balance right.

Name: Janet Holt

Representor No: PRS01290

Representation ID: REP03399 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Traffic congestion is a concern. Given current situation and around local area accessibility in school and GP surgeries etc. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Jonathan Lyons

Representor No: PRS01291

Representation ID: REP03387 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree. Cookridge is already densely populated

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Cookridge is already affected by too many vehicles and families with 3 + vehicles.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. Waiting times are often over 10 minutes post appointment time.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom attracts a variety of wildlife which will inevitably be disturbed.

Name: R K Storr

Representor No: PRS01292

Representation ID: REP03400 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The surrounding area cannot take any more traffic

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Just one car at each new house means 200 more cars

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The schools cannot take any more children

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. We do not have enough habitats anyway

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Karen Rogan

Representor No: PRS01295

Representation ID: REP03403 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments - Strongly agree.

To build such a huge development would create a disaster! Rat-runs through all the existing housing. But would the developers care.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree.

Traffic is always a problem and again rush hour traffic and 'rat runs' would be so dangerous!!

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly agree. All schools are struggling with existing children in the area, please, no more.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding, More building will affect this - Strongly agree. Flooding would be a huge issue. 'Climate change' is happening and extremely heavy rainfall would be a disaster waiting to happen if this development went ahead.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

My strongest issue- we need to conserve all wildlife!! Foxes, deer, newts found in the area would be wiped out. Along with all kinds of birds. This is wrong!!!

Name: Emma Finney

Representor No: PRS01296

Representation ID: REP03404 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments - Strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree.

Otley Old Road/ Eeven Lane are busy enough as commuting loads into Leeds

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly agree. Waiting times and appointments are difficult to get now!

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding, More building will affect this - Strongly agree.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Here is a lot of wildlife activity this will certainly suffer, we even have deer.

Name: I.m. Wood

Representor No: PRS01298

Representation ID: REP03405 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments - agree. Cookridge can only take a limited amount of extra housing

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. The north-west of Leeds already has a major traffic problem and access to the new site will make the local traffic intolerable

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Agree

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding, More building will affect this - Agree

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Vera Potter

Representor No: PRS01299

Representation ID: REP03407 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments - Strongly agree. No where near enough infrastructure!

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. There is more than enough already

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding, More building will affect this - Strongly agree.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Anne Barrett

Representor No: PRS01301

Representation ID: REP03410 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Oversubscribed in the schools - traffic horrendous already

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Most of Cookrodge built on marshy land but especially down there at Moseley Bottom.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. It would be a shame to disturb and we have to look after our green spaces for our wildlife.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jonathan Laye

Representor No: PRS01302

Representation ID: REP03411 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Agree. I do not think Cookridge needs more housing unless it is properly thought out first. This development is not appropriate.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. The planned site will have a very detrimental effect on the quiet streets leading to its single access point.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Mirian A Watson

Representor No: PRS01304

Representation ID: REP03412 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Susan Brayshaw

Representor No: PRS01309

Representation ID: REP03367 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. New housing in the region not selling so why build more - people cannot afford to buy and Building Soc's and banks not lending. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The bridge at the station is a bottleneck. Otley Old Road already has traffic calming measures in place. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Primary Schools all over subscribed and secondary schools a poor standard for area. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This will cause major problems to the rail line which already floods. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. There is a breeding pair of red kites on the north part - an endangered species.

Representation ID: REP00031 Question Ref: H2

In particular I wish to object to the plans to develop the land adjacent to Moseley Wood Gardens for the following reasons - Poor Access - Moseley Wood Gardens is a long, narrow and winding residential road which in severe winter conditions becomes impassable. It could not cope with the additional traffic a possible additional 200+ housing would create. The access to this particular site can only be from one point - currently a cul-de-sac which will mean a concentration of traffic to one point which will cause risk to local residents, children and animals. The bridge over the railway line at Station Road is already too busy and cannot cope with additional traffic resulting in the development of housing on this site and the Otley Old Road access from the top has had traffic calming measures in place for the past few years. The lack of both primary and secondary schools in the area - currently all the primary schools are over subscribed and this situation will only get worse. The other local facilities such as shops and transport are not sufficient to cannot cope with additional housing in this area. I cannot see how this land could be developed without the cutting down of ancient trees and spoiling what little open land remains of the old Moseley Wood which is referred to in the Domesday book and other historic documents. The land is currently a wildlife haven and this year has seen the arrival of a pair of Red Kites. Building on this land would destroy a very established natural habitat for many species of wildlife. This is currently a quiet tranquil part of Cookridge which will be ruined by the development of housing on this site and the value of the properties adjacent to this land will become devalued and their outlook ruined.

Name: Eileen Walshaw

Representor No: PRS01314

Representation ID: REP03424 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. No more housing needed. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Cookridge is a peaceful place and should stay so - why I decided to live here! Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Nf Wilson

Representor No: PRS01316

Representation ID: REP03426 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Moseley Beck subject to being swollen in heavy rain as witnessed over the years. Therefore unsuitable for building. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Traffic lights would be a help on green lane now! Without further building! Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. As on the previous page. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Barbara Limon

Representor No: PRS01317

Representation ID: REP03427 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. A smaller development may be acceptable but still not enough facilities would be available. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Traffic will be diverted to all the local streets where lots of young families live now. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Health centres will be overrun and not be able to accommodate more people. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. It has been proved that building does affect the lord of causes flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We need to protect wildlife as it's important ecologically.

Name: Jean Goodwin

Representor No: PRS01322

Representation ID: REP03429 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Not enough schools or shops to accommodate. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Sam Heals

Representor No: PRS01323

Representation ID: REP03433 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Jonathan Smith

Representor No: PRS01326

Representation ID: REP03436 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. I grew up in Cookridge and have memories of growing up and don't want to be repatriated
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Enough road users at present
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Don't want to disturb the natural habitat, should be left untouched.

Name: Dennis Binns

Representor No: PRS01331

Representation ID: REP03441 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Cookridge is already over-developed – The infrastructure can't cope with more
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. These roads in this estate cannot cope with traffic, parking, rat-runs now, especially in bad weather – PLEASE do not bring anymore.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. I know people who can't get their kids into Cookridge school now, even though they have a brother there.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. More building will bring more flooding and disrupt the rain line
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Don't destroy what beautiful wildlife we have.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Barbara Binns

Representor No: PRS01333

Representation ID: REP03447 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Already too many to cope with facilities
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. I am old (87) and am frightened of the traffic now
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. I can never get a doctors appointment because they are too busy
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Agree. I know trains have been stopped in the past because of flooding
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. In these days of protecting diminishing wildlife, I think we should preserve what we have

Name: David Mcpherson

Representor No: PRS01359

Representation ID: REP03480 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree.Increased traffic (in Cookridge and to Leeds) especially in bad weather - ice/snow - dangerous! Impact on wildlife and risk of flooding - railtrack.
The inevitable increase in traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Already rat runs. Dangerous for children in winter - Cookridge is isolated.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. Pressure on these areas already. Immense waiting lists for medical care, etc.
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. This is a fact!!
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. A beautiful area to be concreted over - no way!!

Representation ID: REP00081 Question Ref: H5

SITE REF:1199#INCREASE IN TRAFFIC ON ALREADY OVER USED MINOR ROADS WITH LOTS OF ON STREET PARKING.#WITH ONLY ONE EGRESS/ENTRANCE POTENTIAL FOR BLOCKAGE TO EMERGENCY VEHICLES#GREAT DANGER OF DRAINAGE ISSUES ONTO RAILWAY#IMPACT ON LOCAL SCHOOLS/ PLACES ALREADY OVERSUBSCIBED/ CHILDREN TRAVELLING OUT OF AREA #BEING THE HIGHEST POINT IN LEEDS COOKRIDGE USUALLY 'SUFFERS' WORSE IN BAD WEATHER (ICE/SNOW)THE ESTATE GRINDS TO A HALT WITH BUSES NOT REACHING US.INCREASING HOUSING 'FURTHER DOWN THE HILL' WOULD 'SNOWBALL' THE PROBLEM...ESPECIALLY IN ICE/SNOW WITH CARS BECOMING STANDED.#PASSAGE OF HEAVY PLANT EQUIPMENT USING MINOR ESTATE ROADS/DANGER TO CHILDREN AND ACCIDENTS/NOISE AND DISTURBANCE WHILST DELEVOPMENT TAKING PLACE OVER PROTRACTED PERIOD (YEARS IF IN PHASES)#THE ALREADY OVER USED AND CONGESTED MAIN ROADS INTO LEEDS FROM COOKRIDGE WOULD BECOME WORSE.WITH PUBLIC TRANSPORT STRUGGLING TO COPE ALSO.#DISTRUPTION/DAMAGE TO ECO SYSTEM AND WILDLIFE ON SITE RENOWNED LOCALLY FOR NEWTS ETC.

Representation ID: REP00081 Question Ref: H2

SITE REF:1199#INCREASE IN TRAFFIC ON ALREADY OVER USED MINOR ROADS WITH LOTS OF ON STREET PARKING.#WITH ONLY ONE EGRESS/ENTRANCE POTENTIAL FOR BLOCKAGE TO EMERGENCY VEHICLES#GREAT DANGER OF DRAINAGE ISSUES ONTO RAILWAY#IMPACT ON LOCAL SCHOOLS/ PLACES ALREADY OVERSUBSCIBED/ CHILDREN TRAVELLING OUT OF AREA #BEING THE HIGHEST POINT IN LEEDS COOKRIDGE USUALLY 'SUFFERS' WORSE IN BAD WEATHER (ICE/SNOW)THE ESTATE GRINDS TO A HALT WITH BUSES NOT REACHING US.INCREASING HOUSING 'FURTHER DOWN THE HILL' WOULD 'SNOWBALL' THE PROBLEM...ESPECIALLY IN ICE/SNOW WITH CARS BECOMING STANDED.#PASSAGE OF HEAVY PLANT EQUIPMENT USING MINOR ESTATE ROADS/DANGER TO CHILDREN AND ACCIDENTS/NOISE AND DISTURBANCE WHILST DELEVOPMENT TAKING PLACE OVER PROTRACTED PERIOD (YEARS IF IN PHASES)#THE ALREADY OVER USED AND CONGESTED MAIN ROADS INTO LEEDS FROM COOKRIDGE WOULD BECOME WORSE.WITH PUBLIC TRANSPORT STRUGGLING TO COPE ALSO.#DISTRUPTION/DAMAGE TO ECO SYSTEM AND WILDLIFE ON SITE RENOWNED LOCALLY FOR NEWTS ETC.

Name: Julie Walker

Representor No: PRS01362

Representation ID: REP03482 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Already many problems in winterwhen snow and ice are on the roads.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. Schools already over subscribed.
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree.
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Unsure

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Leslie Morris

Representor No: PRS01363

Representation ID: REP03485 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. Cookridge is arguably overdeveloped now. The roads are overcrowded. There are insufficient school places. There is a shortage of shops and a lack of open space for children to play.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. There will be serious accidents if more traffic has to use the estate roads. Since the only shop (Tesco) and the school face each other more development will increase the problems there.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. More run off from land or concreted areas will inevitably increase the problem.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: George Burnell

Representor No: PRS01374

Representation ID: REP03498 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development strongly agree. The local infrastructure can't cope with more housing and vehicles. Why isn't South Leeds being developed in this way?

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. The area's roads will be turned into a network of rat runs. It's already at full capacity.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. More building will affect this Strongly agree. The local schools are full. The dentists aren't accepting new patients health centres are at capacity. It all means more cars to take more people to further afield services on roads that can't cope now.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. I have noticed water going down MWG. Any new housing will be prone to flooding and the railway will flood also.

Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. Having walked through the field over the years, I have witnessed a large variety of wildlife there.

Representation ID: REP00082 Question Ref: H9

1199 as this site is not suitable for development as the local infrastructure can't cope with extra development, the roads especially

Representation ID: REP00082 Question Ref: H2

1199 & 1151, the local road structure is not capable of the traffic now let alone with all the extra vehicles a new development would add. The local schools are already full to capacity meaning new residents would have to drive their children to a school. Site 1191 is already prone to flooding, all the extra wash off would cause extra problems to a sensitive nature area & probably cause problems to any new development. There isn't enough doctors & dentists in the local area meaning more driving for new residents

Name: Mike Holwill

Representor No: PRS01375

Representation ID: REP03446 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. There are a lot of houses up for sale - buy one of these. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. It will become even more unsafe for children playing out - school traffic will be even more dangerous. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Schools already full - health services are stretched already - you will have to wait even longer for appointments. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Come and do your drainage survey in November Not in a hot dry July! We have talked that way and know what it is like - railway has flooded already. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Ruth Holwill

Representor No: PRS01377

Representation ID: REP03501 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The appeal of Cookridge is in its location on the very edge of Leeds near openland + woodland - don't want to be surrounded by more development. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. I want less traffic, safer roads for playing out + less damage to road surfaces. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Holy Trinity school already full - my child's class has 30 children already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. It's been lovely seeing the red kites thriving and visiting the woods around Moseley Bottom.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Joanne Riley

Representor No: PRS01378

Representation ID: REP03503 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. Primary schools are full but secondary school is not. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Unsure. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Name: Mr Marshall

Representor No: PRS01380

Representation ID: REP03500 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree. Too saturated as it is.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. I can't see how that many cars can come off one small exit point.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Agree.
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. Flash flooding in the beck.
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Peter Glenn Dubery

Representor No: PRS01382

Representation ID: REP03506 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Brenda Burnell

Representor No: PRS01383

Representation ID: REP03507 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree. There are already huge residential areas with few recreational places where we can still grass!
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Our road is busy enough already. The prospect of heavy traffic during any building is frightening. The recent work on the pavements and road has caused blockages on the roads and a great [deal] of noise
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. It is already difficult to get appointments at the doctors in less than several days
The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree. The land tends to be marshy and any heavy rain could cause flooding problems for the railway.
Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree. Anything that lives there naturally should be left in peace

Name: Paul Veevers

Representor No: PRS01386

Representation ID: REP03510 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The area is already full of housing areas. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Tinshill road and green lane are already very busy. These roads do not need more through traffic. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The health service is already at bursting point we cant cope with more people needing the service. The schools can not manage more children/houses. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Flooding and climate change is a problem of the future. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I am led to believe the great crested newt is habitat is in Moseley Bottom.

Name: Juliet Veevers

Representor No: PRS01390

Representation ID: REP03513 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. There must not be a development unless there is another school built!! The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Richard Jones

Representor No: PRS01399

Representation ID: REP03524 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local roads unsuitable for increase in traffic. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Local network already unsuitable. Already have to wait too long to see GP. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Natural habitat should not be destroyed when brown field lights still available.

Name: Elena Jones

Representor No: PRS01401

Representation ID: REP03527 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. No houses should be built on seen fields. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Two local primary schools are already full and are subsidised. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Green belt should be protected.

Name: Js Aston

Representor No: PRS01406

Representation ID: REP03532 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: James Bland

Representor No: PRS01412

Representation ID: REP03535 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. No point roads too busy etc The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. You can't afford to maintain the road. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. No know. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: W Cooper

Representor No: PRS01413

Representation ID: REP03544 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Name: Lisa Mcmanus

Representor No: PRS01415

Representation ID: REP03545 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Holy trinity are already refusing local people. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Alan Brady

Representor No: PRS01417

Representation ID: REP03546 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: T.e Brady

Representor No: PRS01418

Representation ID: REP03548 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Schools are full to capacity so are GP's surgeries + not enough facilities in area to cope. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Queues getting out of Cookridge are increasing and will get worse. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. At present it can take 7-10 days to see a GP. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Just seems to be moving the problem to another area to avoid flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree. Wild life habitats take a long time to establish it would be a crime to destroy it.

Name: Sarah Park

Representor No: PRS01420

Representation ID: REP03549 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. I feel there is no need for building at the proposed site, the environment will be badly damaged. In addition, the only exit routes come out either side of the school. In addition, there is insufficient infrastructure to deal with the demand these homes will bring. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Bill Ellis

Representor No: PRS01439

Representation ID: REP03569 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. With only one exit/entry to this conurbation, traffic will be horrendous. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Name: H Wendy Bannister

Representor No: PRS01440

Representation ID: REP03571 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Lydsey Porter

Representor No: PRS01441

Representation ID: REP03572 Question Ref: H1

I am writing in support of the Cookridge Residents' Action Group and out of personal concern about Taylor Wimpey's proposed plans for building at Moseley Wood Bottom.

As a long time resident of Cookridge Drive I have already noticed significant increased traffic and disturbance (including heavy vehicles) following the installation of speed humps on Otley Old Road, resulting in a "rat run" through Cookridge Drive. I can only imagine the impact that the addition of a further 200 houses in the area will have and the congestion this will cause.

There could also be major road safety implications as there are also 20/30 learner driver companies using this road for instruction, together with the weekly cycling proficiency classes from a local primary school.

In terms of the local schools there is not only the problem that they are working to capacity and would not have room for the offspring of a further 200 families, but traffic and congestion around them is already a problem due to children travelling in from outside the area.

Not only that, but Cookridge as a small village, has only very limited services, amenities and a poor/unreliable bus service, so most households have at least one car or more and parking is already problematic. Some local shops complain that there is little enough parking even for their current customers. Access roads in the area are only designed for residential purposes and many are already in poor repair. There has been a recent problem on Cookridge Drive with a collapsed drain in the middle of the carriageway and further heavy vehicle over a prolonged period will only make matters worse.

In addition, should we really expect local residents to endure 6 years of heavy traffic and disruption on roads that are not fit for that purpose? The poor residents of Moseley Wood Rise must be devastated at the prospect.

As to the proposed site itself, there are numerous reasons why, aside from access problem this is a poor choice and was always likely to result in major objections.

The wood is a long established and much loved part of the area and a haven for wildlife and plant species that are in decline in this country. There are trees with preservation orders on them and it is easily accessible for recreational purposes.

We know that from a developer's viewpoint, they can potentially make more money than they could on a brown field site, but there have been problems with repeated flooding on areas of the proposed site over many years, so maybe they need to re-think their plans. More importantly, they will be selling houses to people in an area where there are known problems with flooding, which doesn't sound very ethical to me.

Finally, I understand that as part of its own site allocation plan Leeds City Council have only designated a small part of the overall proposed development area as having any merit in terms of housing. Whilst the Government has seen fit to decimate the planning regulations on the advice of those most likely to benefit, in this instance I would rather rely on the expertise of local planners.

Name: Richard Rowley

Representor No: PRS01442

Representation ID: REP03573 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Would put too much pressure on the infrastructure, roads, schools and shops. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Green lane already busy - 200 more houses would create potentially 400 more cars in the local area. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Unless preventative measures are taken the inevitable surface run-off from new development could create flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. New development would be bound to increase pressure on wildlife.

Name: Angelique Wake

Representor No: PRS01443

Representation ID: REP03574 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. The surrounding roads struggle with the amount of traffic that we currently have. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. There are limited places for schools of choice as it is. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Peter Johnson

Representor No: PRS01444

Representation ID: REP03575 Question Ref: H1

Comments:

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
 - We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic.
 - Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
 - Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak time. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
 - Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
 - The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit
 - Cookridge in the winter months.
 - The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
-
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
 - Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local School, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
 - The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside of Leeds. park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
 - There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
 - Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
 - The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Helen Johnson

Representor No: PRS01446

Representation ID: REP03577 Question Ref: H1

Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic,
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodh111 Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development,
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in the winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local School, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development, Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times, Parking at Hgrsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside of Leeds park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sally Johnson

Representor No: PRS01447

Representation ID: REP03578 Question Ref: H1

Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic,
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodh111 Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development,
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in the winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local School, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development, Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times, Parking at Hggsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside of Leeds park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Colin Johnson

Representor No: PRS01448

Representation ID: REP03580 Question Ref: H1

Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic,
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodh111 Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development,
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in the winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local School, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development, Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times, Parking at Hggsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside of Leeds park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Colin Wake

Representor No: PRS01449

Representation ID: REP03581 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Agree. Inappropriate to the community. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Chris Gilbert

Representor No: PRS01450

Representation ID: REP04158 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Not enough schools poor road infrastructure. Flooding to rail, not enough shops. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Too many parked cars already. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. What services. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Concrete will increase flood. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP03582 Question Ref: H1

Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic,
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodh111 Road Is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development,
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point In Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in the winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties In navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local School, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development, Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service Is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times, Parking at Hggsforth Station Is totally Inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside of Leeds park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there Is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Julia Gilbert

Representor No: PRS01451

Representation ID: REP04155 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Schools places for our young children, road safety and noise, poor infrastructure that will struggle to cope with 200 new homes. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Concerned about our young children crossing roads with parked cars obscuring view and traffic volume. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. I have 3 young children and struggle to see a GP when needed. (clinic is massively over crowded. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Especially with recent volumes of rain over the years. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP03584 Question Ref: H1

Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic,
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodh111 Road Is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development,
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point In Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in the winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties In navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local School, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development, Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service Is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times, Parking at Hggsforth Station Is totally Inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside of Leeds park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there Is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Ann Franckel

Representor No: PRS01452

Representation ID: REP03583 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. I live on the side of Green Lane which will be less affected by the building. However, I feel astonished that someone feels it a suitable place to build. I Would like to bet that if any of the people responsible for the decision to build in the Moseley woods, lived there, this would be considered completely unsuitable. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. I understand there will be only one entrance to the homes - this is the only exit also. How many cars will be kept at the 200 proposed new homes. It's madness. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. - Not only dentists + health centres, but Tesco express, the post office and the no 6 bus service. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Obviously. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. There are already many foxes roaming the streets in Cookridge at night. Presumably the building area is their habitat - quite apart from other creatures.

Name: E Shackleton

Representor No: PRS01463

Representation ID: REP03592 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The current infrastructure cannot cope with a development of this size or even bigger. Years of construction traffic and noise will be intolerable and dangerous to children, the old and the infirm especially near the school/post office. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Moseley wood gardens is too narrow to cope with existing traffic. Never mind construction vehicles, local trains and station parking is inadequate now. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Schools are oversubscribed now and very little in the way of local services available. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. If this is a flood plain, will home owners be able to get out of Cookridge. In the winter could be out off for days and the buses don't run either. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Once destroyed, gone forever - Green fields become a "concrete jungle" not what I want to see from my window.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Paula Fallon

Representor No: PRS01485

Representation ID: REP03621 Question Ref: H1

I would like to object to the Council's designation-of 1-1-99 which is currently green status for the following reasons:

Healthcare services

There is already a lack of adequate GP's, dentists and health centres. These services appear to be oversubscribed and for non emergency appointments I have had to wait 5-7 days to see a doctor. I travel a 24 mile journey to my dentist in Pannal, Harrogate. The proposed development will put further strain on these key services.

School provision

Schools in the local area are already at full capacity meaning there will be insufficient places available for additional children. There are no plans to build additional schools to cope with the increase in demand. It would seem that there has been no needs assessment carried out by Leeds City Council to date.

Road infrastructure

The impact of the proposed development on the local road infrastructure will be major. The addition of at least 200+ vehicles on the roads (based on Office of National Statistics — 1.2 cars per household) will further increase the 'rat-runs' throughout the roads in the area. Heavy construction traffic will add to the pressure on local roads; causing traffic delays and will have an effect on road surfaces, the roads are currently eroded with pot holes. With increased vehicles, including construction traffic there is a likelihood there will be more accidents as vehicles currently wind their way through streets that often become single rights of way due to the increase of on-street parking by residents and local tradesmen. The impact of more traffic will also affect the roads adjacent to the Cookridge area; increasing traffic volumes and putting additional strain on the surrounding areas.

Traffic in north Leeds already grinds to almost a stand still on week days during peak hours.

During winter months residents are often unable to enter or leave Moseley Wood Gardens due to snowfall / ice coupled with the inclines of the local roads and insufficient gritting.

In periods of snow / ice, busses don't come into Cookridge instead terminating at Coolridge Forestation.

In periods of snow / ice refuse collection vehicles are unable to access on Moseley Wood Gardens so rubbish goes uncollected for a number of weeks.

Over the 14 years I have lived in Moseley Wood Gardens I have had to take un-planned holiday during the winter months.

Public transport

The public transport provision is very poor to the area meaning residents continue to choose their car as the transport in and out of the area. At peak times it takes almost an hour for the number 6 bus to travel the five miles between the University of Leeds and where I get off the bus at Holy Trinity Church on Green Lane, Coolridge. Attached are 2 bus tickets from my recent bus journey showing the time of embarkation and disembarkation. I wanted proof of the time I got off the bus and the bus driver gave me a 'senior' ticket to illustrate this.

The train service between Horsforth and Leeds on occasion is cancelled during morning rush hour. When train services are cancelled commuters have to find a place on the next available train, trains which are already full to capacity. When this happens I can't always get on the next train and then I am late getting to work, sometime I miss meeting and this affects my working life. The train service from Leeds and Horsforth particularly the Harrogate / York train is frequently reduced from four carriages to two on an evening. Commuters are squeezed onto these trains in order to get home on an evening. There have also been instances when the Leeds and Horsforth train has been cancelled due to flooding on the railway line around Horsforth.

I do not believe that the NGT will encourage greater use of public transport.

Flooding / drainage

Moseley Bottom is a water soak-away into the beck at the bottom of the proposed development site. Numerous local residents including myself have suffered with localised flooding on their land adjacent to the fields highlighting further that at times of high rainfall the ground is heavily saturated and prone to flooding (see attached photographs of flooding in my garden). This is due in part to the existing level of the land being higher than that of our gardens.

There have been 13 flooding incidents reported in the last seven years in and around Moseley Beck including impact on the railway line through Horsforth. Hard surfacing this area will increase the incidence of flooding onto my land, and will put the railway line further at risk of flooding unless significant investment is made in effective water management solutions.

Pollution

There is already evidence of black dust to be found on out buildings in Moseley Wood Gardens which suggests pollution coming from the local airport aeroplane traffic. Additional construction traffic over a period of years will add to this pollution of 'sooty' particles produced from diesel exhausts (reference Richard Gray — Daily Telegraph science correspondent) has found that even a small increase in air pollution can raise the risks of lung cancer and heart attacks.

Noise from the local airport coupled with that from heavy construction traffic will push the decibel levels close to or above the permitted levels during peak times.

Ecology

Whilst Moseley Wood Bottom is Protected Area Search, it is countryside which is precious, inspirational and irreplaceable. Moseley Wood Bottom is a haven for wildlife and many different species of animal have been seen in the area including the endangered red kite, bats and great crested newts. The created newts were highlighted during the environmental report of the proposed extension to the Leeds Bradford airport runway.

Alternative sites

There are approximately 15,658 (reference Leedsempies.org.uk) properties standing empty in the West Yorkshire area. A large number of houses in Leeds have been standing vacant for at least six months. These statistics were recently broadcasted on BBC Look North. The programme highlighted the need to look at empty housing as a priority over building unnecessary additional housing in the area.

I also question the requirement for the council to identify sufficient sites to accommodate 66,000 new houses over the next 15 years. These figures seem ridiculously high and are nowhere in line with the increase in population over the past decade.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Paula Fallon

Representor No: PRS01485

It is my view that the Council should prioritise building on brown field sites before any consideration is given to any of the green field / green belt sites. A recent review has shown that there are at least 133 brown field sites across Leeds which would be suitable for housing development / regeneration.

I would suggest that 1199 is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan.

Name: Patricia Spencer

Representor No: PRS01486

Representation ID: REP03623 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Area would be overpopulated.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. No direct route to estate so traffic would filter into streets. Bridge on railway station would become more congested.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Schools too small now.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Water drains from tunnel – natural springs

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Many wild birds e.g. Kingfishers and Heron will leave area.

Name: Suzanne Karran

Representor No: PRS01487

Representation ID: REP03625 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Where I live the traffic is already a problem with school rush, coming too fast

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. What about bus route, this will have to change

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Leigh Ellwood

Representor No: PRS01488

Representation ID: REP03626 Question Ref: H1

I would like to object to the council's designation of the above site which is currently green status and recommend that it is returned to amber (PAS) status for the following reasons:

Limited access to healthcare services

There is already a lack of GP's, dentists and health centres. Services appear to be oversubscribed and for non emergency appointments you normally have to wait 5-7 days to see a doctor. The proposed development will put further strain on these key services.

Lack of school provision

Schools in the local area are already near full capacity meaning there will be insufficient places available for additional children. There are currently zero plans to build additional schools to cope with the increase in demand and it is clear that there has been no needs assessment carried out by Leeds City Council to date and that this is needed urgently.

Inadequate road infrastructure

The impact of the proposed development on the local road infrastructure will be major. The addition of at least 200+ vehicles (ref: 2011 Census — 1.2 cars per household) on the roads will create 'rat-runs' through the limited entry and exit roads in the area. This will inevitably lead to road erosion and an increase in accidents as vehicles wind their way through streets that often become single rights of way due to the increase of on-street parking by residents and local tradesmen. The increase in traffic will also impact the roads adjacent to the Cookridge area; places such as Horsforth and Otley Road near Headingley are particularly traffic heavy during peak hours.

During harsh winter months residents are often unable to enter or leave Moseley Wood Gardens due to snowfall and ice coupled with the inclines of the local roads and insufficient gritting. Buses stop running and bin emptying services grind to a halt.

Public transport

The public transport provision is very poor to the area meaning residents continue to choose their car to transport them in and out of the area. At peak times it takes almost an hour for the number 6 bus to travel the five miles between Leeds city centre and its destination at Cookridge.

The train service between Horsforth and Leeds on occasion is cancelled during morning rush hour. When services are cancelled commuters have to find a place on the next available train, trains which are already full to capacity. The train service between Leeds and Horsforth is frequently reduced from four carriages to two. Commuters are squeezed onto these trains in order to get home on an evening.

Flooding and drainage issues

Moseley Bottom is a water soak-away into the beck at the bottom of the proposed development site. Numerous local residents including myself have suffered with localised flooding on their land adjacent to the fields highlighting further that at times of high rainfall the ground is heavily saturated and prone to flooding. This is due in part to the existing level of the land being higher than that of our gardens and the gradient of the land down towards the beck.

There have been 13 flooding incidents reported in the last seven years in and around Moseley Beck including impact on the railway line through Horsforth. Concreting over the proposed development area will put the railway line and residential land further at risk of flooding unless significant investment is made in sophisticated proven drainage technology.

Pollution

There is already evidence of black dust to be found on out buildings in the area which suggests pollution coming from the local airport aeroplane traffic. Additional construction traffic over a period of six years will add to this pollution of 'sooty' particles produced from diesel exhausts. Research (ref: Richard Gray — Daily Telegraph science correspondent) has found that even a small increase in air pollution can raise the risks of lung cancer and heart attacks.

Noise from the local airport coupled with that from heavy construction traffic will push the decibel levels close to or above the permitted levels during peak times.

Impact on ecology

Whilst Moseley Wood Bottom is a Protected Area Search site, it is countryside which is precious, inspirational and irreplaceable. It is a haven for wildlife and many different species of animal have been seen in the area including the endangered red kite, bats and great crested newts. The great crested newts were highlighted during the environmental report of the proposed extension to the Leeds Bradford airport runway. Building on this land and taking away this natural habitat would be a travesty.

Alternative sites

There are approx. 15,658 (ref: Leedsempties.org.uk) properties standing empty in the West Yorkshire area and a large number of these in the Leeds area standing vacant for at least six months. These statistics which were brought to the public's attention during a recent local TV broadcast highlight the need to look at empty housing as a priority over building unnecessary additional housing in areas such as this proposed development.

Brownfield sites should also be considered throughout the city and prioritised before any consideration is given to any of the green field/green belt sites. A recent review has shown that there are at least 133 brownfield sites across Leeds which could be suitable for housing development.

I also question the requirement for the council to identify sufficient sites to accommodate 66,000 new houses over the next 15 years. These figures seem ridiculously high and are nowhere in line with the increase in population over the past decade.

In summary, proposed development of site 1199 has many potential issues which clearly demonstrates its unsuitability for development. I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: George Michael Kenworthy

Representor No: PRS01490

Representation ID: REP03629 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Flooding, Highway issues, peak hour traffic increase in local population, impact on environment

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Check amount of traffic now, with no public transport there will be more cars.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Waiting list school. Doctors, I gave up my self over a while to see a doctor. Also taking fire station away, homes in more danger as no fire station.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Check floods now, when hard, will be very bad. Rail lines flood.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. There is wildlife there now. Get environment on job.

Name: Moira Garland

Representor No: PRS01492

Representation ID: REP07744 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199

Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem and this will add to traffic from other developments, eg Kirkstall Forge and Low Lane.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.

The area, including Moseley beck, is prone to flooding. More building will affect this - how can it not?

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. I take regular walks on this site and observe a variety of flora and fauna.

Name: Gwendoline Ruddy

Representor No: PRS01493

Representation ID: REP03632 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. And this will add to traffic from other developments e.g. Kirkstall forge, Low lane

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. How can it not??

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. I take regular walks on this site and observe a variety of flora and fauna

Name: Anita Marshall

Representor No: PRS01494

Representation ID: REP03633 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Havent the infrastructure for development.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Paul Edward Oliver

Representor No: PRS01496

Representation ID: REP03635 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Miller

Representor No: PRS01497

Representation ID: REP03636 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Traffic and parking are already a problem!
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Anne Miller

Representor No: PRS01498

Representation ID: REP03637 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Jane Sefton

Representor No: PRS01499

Representation ID: REP03638 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. The effect on increased traffic, noise, pollution and loss of amenity far outweigh the benefits of a development in this location.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. We struggle to get out of the street in winter due to its low location so increased traffic will only compound this problem.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. We have 3 school age children and it is a battle now to get a high school place. Extra families will only serve to make this problem worse
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Our rear garden has serious flooding problems in heavy rain now and building work near our rear boundary will not improve drainage.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. We moved here 5 years ago for the location, rear outlook and peaceful neighbourhood. This development would affect the birds, foxes, squirrels and other animals that live close by

Representation ID: REP04049 Question Ref: H1

H1. See H2 for main reasons.
H2. Our main concern is the increased traffic generated in our local streets. We have 3 children under 12 so safety is our priority. Our rear garden backs onto the field earmarked for development so we will be subjected to 6 years of increased traffic, noise, pollution and disruption throughout the development.
The local infrastructure ie. Schools, transport links, roads etc., cannot cope with the increase in vehicles and people. Due to its low level, winter can be a problem in actually getting off the street as local roads are not gritted.
H3. Without reviewing each individual sit, we cannot comment on other potential development areas. However we strongly feel that the council should be making more use of the houses we have already got. Pressure should be out on landlords to offer affordable rents as too many properties are sitting empty. There are also many empty council properties that could be utilised to deal with the increasing demand without disturbing brown/greenbelt land.

Name: Simon Drake

Representor No: PRS01500

Representation ID: REP03639 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: M.j. Strother

Representor No: PRS01501

Representation ID: REP03640 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. There are more areas for them to build on. More expensive but the cost should be theirs, not that of wildlife and the community of Cookridge.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Chaotic now, even more when building commences.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. The whole thing is wrong.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Most definatley, ask residents who have been flooded in the past!

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Destroying wildlife can not be right.

Name: O.m. Strother

Representor No: PRS01502

Representation ID: REP03641 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. This is luxury, not affordable housing and will be a drain on the community.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Can't get out of my drive now at peek times and it takes an hour to 1.5 hrs to drive into Leeds which should be a 20min to half hour journey.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Can't get a doctor's appointment now without great difficulty.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Would love to know how the builders will make it a safe area. They could not answer that at the meeting.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. How to wreck a beautiful area!!! The plans are wrong and always been

Name: J.p. Strother

Representor No: PRS01503

Representation ID: REP03642 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. The infrastructure cannot possibly cope with more intrusion in this area.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Its difficult now at peak times to leave my property – 5-10min.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Have to wait 2-3 weeks now before able to obtain a doctors appointment.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Its is known as 'soggy bottom' which speaks for itself. It is not suitable for building on.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. It is one of the best wildlife areas for children of residents and dog walkers to enjoy.

Name: Kathleen Watkinson

Representor No: PRS01508

Representation ID: REP03647 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Debra Stocks

Representor No: PRS01509

Representation ID: REP03650 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Scott Jacques

Representor No: PRS01511

Representation ID: REP03651 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jane Jacques

Representor No: PRS01512

Representation ID: REP03653 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: June Marshall

Representor No: PRS01513

Representation ID: REP03654 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Martina Child

Representor No: PRS01515

Representation ID: REP03655 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: K Burn

Representor No: PRS01516

Representation ID: REP03656 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Darren Needham

Representor No: PRS01518

Representation ID: REP03658 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Fayle

Representor No: PRS01519

Representation ID: REP03660 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Barbara Tyldesley

Representor No: PRS01520

Representation ID: REP03661 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Carol Noble

Representor No: PRS01522

Representation ID: REP03664 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Robert Pitts

Representor No: PRS01523

Representation ID: REP03665 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Janet Bennett

Representor No: PRS01526

Representation ID: REP03667 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Russell Holland

Representor No: PRS01529

Representation ID: REP03670 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Darren Grubb

Representor No: PRS01531

Representation ID: REP03672 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: C.m Murray

Representor No: PRS01532

Representation ID: REP03673 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Karen Willows

Representor No: PRS01533

Representation ID: REP03674 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: K Meye

Representor No: PRS01534

Representation ID: REP03675 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Rogers

Representor No: PRS01535

Representation ID: REP03676 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Christopher Child

Representor No: PRS01536

Representation ID: REP03677 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: P Kelly

Representor No: PRS01537

Representation ID: REP03678 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Muriel Ann Myers

Representor No: PRS01538

Representation ID: REP03679 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: David Lowe

Representor No: PRS01539

Representation ID: REP03680 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Judith Hardy

Representor No: PRS01540

Representation ID: REP03681 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: June Eagleton

Representor No: PRS01541

Representation ID: REP03682 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: James Simpson

Representor No: PRS01542

Representation ID: REP03683 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Jean A Tiffany

Representor No: PRS01543

Representation ID: REP03684 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Peter Myers

Representor No: PRS01544

Representation ID: REP03685 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Anne Bean

Representor No: PRS01548

Representation ID: REP03688 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. We do not have the infrastructure to cope with a development this size. Change this site to red status. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. In winter there is snow and ice, vehicles find it difficult to get out of the Mosley Woods and often abandon cars on the roads. This problem will be made worse adding increased in cars (200-400 extra cars) Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. There is no doctor of dentist immediately in Cookridge. I already have to wait about 3 weeks to get a doctor's appointment at the Ireland Wood Surgery. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. I have lived in Mosley Wood Gardens and my garden floods when there is heavy rainfall. Building behind my house will cause further flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. I have seen badgers, greates crested newts, bats over the last 34 years. Sheep currently graze in the fields. Over the next 20 years it is predicted there will be a food shortage so don't build on this agricultural land.

Name: John Bean

Representor No: PRS01551

Representation ID: REP03691 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. The local infrastructure is already insufficient for our needs. More housing = more chaos!! The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Access to the site is inadequate, using narrow residential streets. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Local schools and health provision are already at full stretch and cannot cope with an increase in demand. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Recent increase in heavy rainfall has made my garden flood. The site is a boggy mess. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Over the past 35 years I have observed many types of animals using these fields. Never again?

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Bean

Representor No: PRS01552

Representation ID: REP03694 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. There are plenty of houses already for sale in Cookridge, which are taking long time to sell.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. The roads are already busy enough with a lack of parking, more traffic will make it dangerous.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. School s are already full.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. The gardens in Mosley woods flood regularly, where will the water go?

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. This is a beautiful area, why destroy it for future generations

Name: Sue Greenwood

Representor No: PRS01554

Representation ID: REP03695 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. We do not have adequate local services to cope with zoo extra families, not enough school places as it is! The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. What happens when there is an obstruction in M W Rise which blocks access in and out of the new estate? RTA, flooding, vehicle breakdown. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. It is already extremely difficult to get a doctors appointment at short notice. More residents/patients will compound this problem. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. More properties will exacerbate the likelihood of flooding and possibly create an un-insurable hazard! Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. As a lover of countryside and wildlife I would be very sorry to have this beautiful area, currently easily accessible, taken away.

Name: Kennedy Mcphee

Representor No: PRS01556

Representation ID: REP03697 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Gamble

Representor No: PRS01559

Representation ID: REP03701 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Paul Crossland

Representor No: PRS01560

Representation ID: REP03704 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Andrew Lawson

Representor No: PRS01561

Representation ID: REP03708 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Garry Fox

Representor No: PRS01562

Representation ID: REP03710 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Nigel Seal

Representor No: PRS01563

Representation ID: REP03711 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Agree There are not enough school places now without adding to the problem.
Agree - There are not enough school places now without adding to the problem.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree
Wildlife is very important to all those interested and should not be destroyed.

Name: Lyndsey Brown

Representor No: PRS01564

Representation ID: REP03709 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. More building will have a detrimental impact on the culture and desirably in the area. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Roads 2nd schools are not. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area cannot support significant increase in the population. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: George Watkinson

Representor No: PRS01565

Representation ID: REP03712 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Unsure. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Unsure

Name: Jennie Bean

Representor No: PRS01566

Representation ID: REP03714 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. There's already houses for sale on MWG, why do we need more?
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. The small streets will not cope with higher traffic volumes and kids can't play out unsupervised if roads are busier
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. It's virtually impossible to get children into Holy Trinity as it is currently stands
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Our back garden already floods in heavy rain, building will make this worse
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Where will the animals go? I used to enjoy playing there as a child

Name: Robert John Bean

Representor No: PRS01568

Representation ID: REP03716 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. There is insufficient infrastructure to handle additional population in the area. The roads are already blocked with too many parked cars, the train station is too busy with not enough parking etc
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Roads built in 60's were not designed for the load they will have to cope with
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Local doctors are already too busy i.e. waiting times for appointments
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. There is already flooding in the are. I know as I lived on Mosley Woods for 30 years. More building will make this even worse
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. The area has a wide range of wildlife over the years, I have seen lots of hedgehogs, foxes, rabbits, owls, small field mice etc. Their natural habitat will be destroyed

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sophie Stenton

Representor No: PRS01569

Representation ID: REP03715 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Unsure. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Unsure. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Unsure. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree.

Name: Vicci Lockett

Representor No: PRS01571

Representation ID: REP03717 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Agree There is plenty of housing and not enough recreational ground for children and young people. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree there are currently less than 6 exits out of Cookridge. The increase in traffic would cause mayhem. It would greatly increase pollution. The only entrance to the site would be MW rise this is clearly wide enough for 2 cars let alone construction vehicles. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree The local existing services are already full. It is difficult to get doctora appointments as it is.. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree.The site would be built in the bottom of a valley - flooding is inevitable. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree Cookridge is a samll village surrounded by farmland and natural habitats - why would anyone want to ruin this..

Name: Gardner

Representor No: PRS01573

Representation ID: REP03721 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree. Insuffiecient acces, Building traffic would need its own access. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree Damage to local roads. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Unsure . The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect thisStrongly Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly AgreeWater voles will be affected in Mosely wood Beck There have been brown trout. Red Kites now use the area to hunt.

Name: Noreen Hawkshaw

Representor No: PRS01574

Representation ID: REP03723 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Traffic is major problem especially around the school
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. This affects where I live
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Used by locals a lot

Name: Paul Croft

Representor No: PRS01576

Representation ID: REP03725 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Another example of more disregard for peoples views. It's a disgrace. Ill thought out, STOP IT NOW
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Gardner

Representor No: PRS01577

Representation ID: REP03722 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree poor access. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree Damage to local roads. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree threats to wildlife.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jane.m Booth

Representor No: PRS01578

Representation ID: REP03727 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. It puts pressure on other services i.e. schools, doctors, we are supposed to be greenbelt area?

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Need to preserve our wildlife

Name: Lucy Woffenden

Representor No: PRS01579

Representation ID: REP03728 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Agree.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP04752 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Our roads cannot take the increased volume of traffic that this development would bring.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. How can the area possibly accommodate this? Schools in Cookridge are over subscribed as are feeder high schools.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. This will impact the Harrogate to Horsforth train line which would cause chaos to commuters.

Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat. This will impact the wildlife in the area and will kill a great deal.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Lorraine Davies

Representor No: PRS01580

Representation ID: REP03731 Question Ref: H1

1199 - This land became a protected area of search as a result of the Leeds UDP.

It is understood that Leeds requires more houses. Generally the houses needed fall into certain categories, such as small houses suitable for starter homes, flats suitable for single people and low cost housing for those on benefits. It is probable that these houses would best be built where there are good transport links (especially public transport), access to places of employment, and access to facilities such as welfare, cinemas, pubs and shops etc.

Cookridge meets none of these requirements. The only valuable asset we have in Cookridge is peace, relative quiet, nearby countryside and a sense of community. For that, the people of Cookridge put up with being cut off in bad winters, waiting for buses and trains and a struggle to find a place in schools for their children.

When Leeds has many 'brown areas' that could be developed, creating new communities along with the facilities that they will need, it seems vandalous to take and ruin an existing site, spoiling the community that lives alongside. The housing provided will not be of the sort that is most needed, but of the type to provide the best profit for the builder, regardless of the harmful effect on the surrounding area and community.

Perhaps the greatest fear of the residents is the awful effects on school places. Places have been reduced recently and there is now pressure on the schools, with infants having to go to schools too far to walk to. This is going to be so much worse, and reassurance from the builder that they will pay for extra classrooms in existing schools is no reassurance at all. Schools have a natural limit on the desirable size to remain a community rather than an education factory.

The traffic situation in this area is already a problem. Roads were built for conditions years ago and have no capacity for more traffic. We have severe winters here on the north facing slope at the edge of the city and roads in winter cause problems. Extra traffic will put more strain, and the proposed site will be a fair walk from the bus route including roads that some people will find steep to walk, forcing some into cars, and then steep to drive along in (frequent) icy weather when people discover that a car is a necessity. Most primary children will probably have to be driven to school.

It seems very little has been done about impact studies. I have seen no reports about how many doctors have spare places on their lists, or whether there are dentists, community nurses etc.

At the same time we will lose our beloved open space that is valued so much. This land should not be built on until every other available space has been used.

Representation ID: REP06309 Question Ref: H1

1199 (MOSELEY WOOD)

This land became a protected area of search as a result of the Leeds UDP. It is understood that Leeds requires more houses. Generally the houses needed fall into certain categories, such as small houses suitable for starter homes, flats suitable for single people and low cost housing for those on benefits. It is probable that these houses would best be built where there are good transport links (especially public transport), access to places of employment, and access to facilities such as welfare, cinemas, pubs and shops etc.

Cookridge meets none of these requirements. The only valuable asset we have in Cookridge is peace, relative quiet, nearby countryside and a sense of community. For that, the people of Cookridge put up with being cut off in bad winters, waiting for buses and trains and a struggle to find a place in schools for their children.

When Leeds has many 'brown areas' that could be developed, creating new communities along with the facilities that they will need, it seems vandalous to take and ruin an existing site, spoiling the community that lives alongside. The housing provided will not be of the sort that is most needed, but of the type to provide the best profit for the builder, regardless of the harmful effect on the surrounding area and community.

Perhaps the greatest fear of the residents is the awful effects on school places. Places have been reduced recently and there is now pressure on the schools, with infants having to go to schools too far to walk to. This is going to be so much worse, and reassurance from the builder that they will pay for extra classrooms in existing schools is no reassurance at all. Schools have a natural limit on the desirable size to remain a community rather than an education factory.

The traffic situation in this area is already a problem. Roads were built for conditions years ago and have no capacity for more traffic. We have severe winters here on the north facing slope at the edge of the city and roads in winter cause problems. Extra traffic will put more strain, and the proposed site will be a fair walk from the bus route including roads that some people will find steep to walk, forcing some into cars, and then steep to drive along in (frequent) icy weather when people discover that a car is a necessity. Most primary children will probably have to be driven to school.

It seems very little has been done about impact studies. I have seen no reports about how many doctors have spare places on their lists, or whether there are dentists, community nurses etc.

At the same time we will lose our beloved open space that is valued so much. This land should not be built on until every other available space has been used.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Carol Cooper

Representor No: PRS01582

Representation ID: REP03730 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree. Increased traffic will pose a danger to children and the elderly. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree. All schools in this area currently oversubscribed. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Wendy Keefe

Representor No: PRS01583

Representation ID: REP03733 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Infill galore already has the whole district densely populated with very few local amenities and services. There is nothing for the elderly or non-driving folk with steep streets in every direction out of Cookridge. Any more traffic driving or after the building development will restrict further the safety of those on foot, young and old
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Already have queues at every junction, bottle neck at Horsforth bridge, difficulties in winter as high ground, flash floods as steep roads, too busy for child safety to play or cycle
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Try getting a doctors appointment and find out! Holy Trinity site cannot take more. Cookridge primary is far enough away to increase school rush-hour traffic and reduce current walking to school.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. No reason to doubt expect opinion. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. This is a swathe of land for wild-life traffic and species inhabiting increasingly with the massive conservation projects by 'Caring for life' nearby

Name: David Cooper

Representor No: PRS01587

Representation ID: REP03734 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree An increase in housing brings an increase in other social amenities which we do not have:- school places, Drs/Dentist, shops public transport etc. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree Danger to pedestrians with the traffic increase, difficult to negotiate the narrow streets now. Situation will become intolerable. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree I believe most of the schools are currently oversubscribed Holy Trinity & Cookridge Primary. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree During heavy downpours the land currently becomes a quagmire. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree Building on this land would mean a loss of the current wildlife forever. This can never be replaced.

Name: Kevin Keefe

Representor No: PRS01588

Representation ID: REP03740 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. The past 40 years have seen a massive increase in housing in this area – infrastructure of roads/ services etc. barely coping now. There is very little in the way of shopping/play area/ social amenity. That suggested by the developer is very much out on a limb and inadequate
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Traffic is already extremely heavy in Cookridge and the local area. Moseley Wood Gardens is often very difficult to drive along already. It would be a nightmare!
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Experience of friends and family in recent times confirms that these services are saturated
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. I have lived in Cookridge for 35 years and know that this land is prone to flooding – increased hard surfacing will exacerbate this
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. As a keen bird watcher am aware of the excellent wildlife diversity of this area

Name: Christine Barraclough

Representor No: PRS01591

Representation ID: REP03743 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Especially as plans are for only one access road via Mosley woods – Rat Run!
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Primary schools already v.popular and full – how do the housing plans make provision for young children's schools
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Agree. It is also very near to Leeds-Bradford Airport
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Denise Solari

Representor No: PRS01592

Representation ID: REP03742 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree. The existing amenities will not support anymore residents e.g schools, doctors surgeries etc. Please consider putting all proposed applications in one area of Leeds and build the necessary amenities and facilities to support these additional residents. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree The width of the roads and increased parking will result in more accidents - pedestrian and car. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree Schools in the area are already oversubscribed. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree Increased 'hard standing' = more flooding risk. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree.

Representation ID: REP00162 Question Ref: H2

1199 Moseley Wood Gardens I object to any housing development on this site for the following reasons 1) the local amenities e.g. primary and high schools and GP surgeries are already under pressure to serve the demand from the existing residents in the area. 200(+) new houses would create a demand that was unsustainable for the existing amenities. 2) The road infrastructure both local and main routes in their current form would be under increased pressure to accommodate the rise in traffic accompanying such a development. (200 houses = approximately 200 x 2 additional vehicles) This is both harmful to the environment and results in further congestion on these routes particularly at commuting times. In addition the local roads around the existing primary school would become even more congested with parked cars at school drop off and pick up times increasing the risk of accidents - something which is already an issue. 3) Cookridge would lose a considerable amount of green space for the area - a reason why people choose to live in this area. Further housing in the area decreases the environmental/health benefits that green spaces provide.

Name: J Smith

Representor No: PRS01595

Representation ID: REP03746 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree over crowding & heavy traffic. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: S P Perry

Representor No: PRS01600

Representation ID: REP03752 Question Ref: H1

1199 -

I strongly oppose the plans to build on the above mentioned site. Should the development proceed it would have a wide impact upon all Cookridge residents and not just those who live on Moseley Wood Gardens and the Rise.

Here are just some of the issues that this development would create:

We do not have the infrastructure to support a development of this size.

The proposed vehicular access is to be from Moseley Wood Rise. This leads onto MW Gardens and then up through the estate before reaching the main road. All these roads are relatively narrow, some winding and some steep with blind bends with cars often parked on both sides of the road. This reduces the access space particularly for larger vehicles. Furthermore, it is not easy for emergency service vehicles to navigate these already busy roads. Another 200 or more cars will seriously add to the existing problems. There has been talk (by Taylor Wimpey) of an emergency access point being created in Cookridge Drive which appears to highlight that there are access issues generally.

In previous winter months, the roads in Cookridge have been difficult and sometimes impossible to utilise due to snow and ice. Cookridge is a very high point in Leeds we are exposed to more severe snow and icy conditions. It is unlikely that the planning advisors/officers or inspectors have experienced the severe weather conditions in Cookridge first hand and it is important to emphasise that they differ greatly to those in the city centre. This year we had several issues with the buses unable to run further that the top of Tinshill due to bad weather conditions. Cars are often left abandoned on the road, adding an increase in cars on the estate will just exacerbate the problem making it dangerous for other drivers and pedestrians. A further example highlighting the problematic nature of winter road conditions in Cookridge is that Leeds City Council refuse collection vehicles could not access the Moseley Wood Gardens due to adverse weather conditions on numerous occasions this winter. In light of this it seems prudent to stress that the residents of the proposed development properties would be totally marooned in such conditions.

Parking is already problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office.

Road safety is of prime concern. The problem would worsen should the development proceed.

Residents are already severely affected by the current parking situation.

The train service is already inadequate at present. Trains to Leeds are often full when the train arrives at Horsforth. This means that passengers are often required to stand for the duration of their journey into Leeds or are not able to board the train. This has a knock on effect with other train stations further up the line such as Headingley and Burley Park. Parking facilities at the station are very limited. There are existing parking problems both at the station and in the surrounding area. If the new development went ahead this would worsen with more vehicles being parked on the roads in Cookridge, causing even more congestion and more passengers to add to the already strained services.

Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base and the road will require constant attention.

The primary schools in Cookridge and Ireland Wood are all oversubscribed. It is understood that no provision has been made for a new school in the new development. Where would the children from the new estate go to school?

Doctors and dentists in the area are already oversubscribed, adding 400 residents to these services will have an impact. Where do these people register? It is already difficult to get a doctor's appointment.

According to the site allocation online plan, the vast majority of this site is in floodzone 1 (low Risk). The report does also mention part of the site to be in flood zone 3 (non-development area. The implications of this need to be considered in further detail as the site is prone to flooding (picture provided). I am also aware that you have been sent video footage showing the flooding on the road at Moseley Wood Gardens. The development would create more surface water from the roads and concreted areas with less space to absorb the water. Moreover the site is of a sloping nature with a beck and underground spring running through which would unescapably aggravate the drainage issues.

The gardens of the existing properties in Moseley Wood Gardens are prone to flooding with heavy rainfall. The development will create a situation where our gardens will become more prone to frequent flooding due to surface run off from the new roads and drives if this land is allowed to be developed.

The railway line near to the site has been flooded in the past causing delays to passengers, again the development would add to this flooding situation.

It is well-known that the site at Moseley Wood Bottom is a haven for nature and wildlife. The beck, trees, hedgerows and grassland/meadows create a wonderful habitat for a diverse and valuable variety of wildlife. We have sighted bats, badgers, fox, deer, toads, frogs, newts (great crested) and owls to name just a few. The site is currently home to a herd of sheep and has been so for many years. It is nature at its best and gives a feel good factor to those who live in and around the area. For this to be taken away would alter the balance of life in a detrimental way. Once it's gone its gone do you want to be responsible for the loss of such valuable assets?

It is important that the Council make sure that brownfield sites are developed first before any other sites are considered. I would much prefer that the council used new powers given to it by the government to bring empty homes back into use before destroying our beautiful countryside. If houses have to be built, choose a site that is big enough to accommodate a whole new purpose built

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: S P Perry

Representor No: PRS01600

village where full services could be provided such as schools, doctors, dentist's shops, and community buildings. This would provide employment for many residents. The only employment to be gained on the proposed site in Cookridge would be in the development and building of the site, thereafter no further new employment would arise as no shops, offices, doctors, dentists, schools, library, community buildings or allotments have been included in the plans proposed by the builders. It seems that profit is of the highest concern and not the well-being of the village as a whole. How can this site be deemed as GREEN as it is far short of what is required in order to build/maintain a happy and healthy community. Please change this to RED status.

Name: M Barraclough

Representor No: PRS01611

Representation ID: REP03763 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Housing development on this scale must be followed by development of local services especially local
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Unsure.

Name: Thomas Mullen

Representor No: PRS01612

Representation ID: REP03764 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. No more horrible rush hour traffic
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Michelle Storer

Representor No: PRS01613

Representation ID: REP03766 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. We do not have the infrastructure to support 200 houses and residents; school places, traffic, loss greenfield, train congestion inconvenience of construction. We strongly object to this new housing development.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Matthew Pollard

Representor No: PRS01615

Representation ID: REP03767 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Before any developments can be considered, thought needs to go into infrastructure; roads, schools, doctors, traffic ec. No need for this development
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. 200 houses with 2 cars each will create too much traffic
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. It takes too long now to get a doctors appointment
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Rail track have genuine concerns re: flooding
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Judith Williams

Representor No: PRS01617

Representation ID: REP03768 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. The present infrastructure is not enough to cope with the influx. Why not build on brownfield sites? Here are more than enough in Leeds

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. With new housing and probably very small green space/gardens where will all the rainfall go?

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. There little enough areas for wildlife without removing this important corridor

Name: S Braithwaite

Representor No: PRS01618

Representation ID: REP03769 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. We do not have the road infrastructure to add to the already busy roads. Desecration of wildlife, trees, lack of drainage could lead to flooding – there is already an occasional problem with flooding on the rail line. Where will the drainage go?

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Extra traffic will have a great impact. There are long queues already at peak times, Cookridge/Horsforth bridge. The infrastructure will not take any more.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. The schools are already over subscribed, cannot cope with additional demand

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. When there is heavy rain the area is prone to flooding. Owners of the new houses could have problems in obtaining insurance – or great cost.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. It is a beautiful area. Trees and birdlife will be destroyed – devastation of the environment when we should be preserving green land.

I can only see that there are going to be many people who will probably be in this for financial gain only to the detriment of the environment. It must not go ahead.

Name: Joan Hopper

Representor No: PRS01619

Representation ID: REP03770 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Roads need repaired now, bus service is inadequate

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Wild life habitats should only be built on as a last resort. There are many suitable areas in the city

Name: Lawrence Braithwaite

Representor No: PRS01620

Representation ID: REP03771 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Agree. Until the infrastructure is in place. Transport, access schools, shops, post office

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. During and after construction

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Horsforth railway station could be rendered inoperative by faster run-off of surface water

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Agree.

Name: Stephen Mills

Representor No: PRS01621

Representation ID: REP03772 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Its already a populated community with heavy traffic and slim amenities

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Its already busy, we need no more traffic

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Waiting lists are already an issue

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Wildlife is already in decline and endangered vermin such as fox and rats

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Stefan Galek

Representor No: PRS01623

Representation ID: REP03774 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Icookridge servies are streched already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I used to live at wodhill Rd and backed into the beck, flooding is a massive issue and this will increase run of into an already overloaded beck. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I have personaly seen - bats, frogs, toads, foxes, trout, deer, kingfisher, red kite, badgers. The single access to this proposed development is totally inpractical. This concept will need better entry services.

Name: Holly Galek

Representor No: PRS01624

Representation ID: REP03776 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There are plenty of housed to be sold in cookridge which would be better to sell because it would save the land and the animals in ot also people could live in cookridge without building new houses. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Rush hour will get busier trying to get to school and work will be harder. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. There are already people in the area trying to get their children into schools. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The gardens in Moseley woods already flood if new houses were to be build people may be uninterested in buying because of this. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We are always trying to be ecofriendly this will make animals loos their habitats and give the area less wildlife more animals may become extinct.

Name: Rosmary Graham

Representor No: PRS01625

Representation ID: REP03777 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Brownfield sites should be first priority for development !! Existing houses have been on the market for a cosiderable period of time. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Coodking roads already in need of regular repair. Can local roads cope with constant traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Surface run-off will contain oil/petrol/diesel which has dripped from vehiclles. This wil need to be prevented from entering the beck. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Foxes and deer have been seen there. Taylor Wimpy were unable to say wheter the existing servies could cope with the increaded output. Niether could they say whether the existing services (gas, electricity, water, internet) will be able to meet the increased demand. Extensive roadworks may be required if one or all need to be upgraded to meed demand.

Name: Richard Davis

Representor No: PRS01628

Representation ID: REP03779 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Don't built houses on a flood plain! Thinks. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Andrew Davis

Representor No: PRS01630

Representation ID: REP03784 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Unless there is a major development of suitable infra-structure -schools, roads, etc. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The roads are not built for more traffic and so problems will follow. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The are full. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Although we could do more to develop this aspect of the area.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Heather Holm

Representor No: PRS01634

Representation ID: REP03785 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The roads around cookridge cannot take anymore traffice. The The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cookridge residents are fed up already with congested roads and parking problems. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The Schools are over-subscribed. We need a car to get to doctors and dentist hence more traffic on roads. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. How are buiders and planning officers going to solve this problem. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. The residents in cookridge enjoy and take an interest in wildlife ane we want to keep it.

Name: Martin John Holm

Representor No: PRS01637

Representation ID: REP03791 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The roads and its double parking of vehicles is dangerous the roads will not take any more traffic. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Will be a big problem with any increase in traffic the roads now are ina poor state. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The schools are over-subscribed. The doctors are a lone way off. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This will also effect the railway which is prone to flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We must think about wildlife habitat and the land we will never get back if housed are built.

Representation ID: REP05597 Question Ref: H1

"NO" The roads to the proposed site will not take increased traffic Tin shill Road, Green Lane, Tinshill Lane. The hazard of Railway Bridge at Horsforth. The main roads to Cookridge are now over loaded with traffic. The schools are over-subscribed in the Cookridge area and the roads are not passable for 1 hour of the day. Take Green Lane with its school, Tesco Express and Post Office it's so dangerous now to children and adults crossing the road with parked cars and its bus service. The roads in Cookridge are in a poor state of repair and are getting worse now so we do not want any more traffic.

The proposed Trolley Bus from Leeds to Cookridge will bring more congestion. The proposed site is prone to flooding now from the beck which in turn affects the land and the delay of trains. More and more taken away with houses, roads, drives etc. means more floods. We have few shops, the doctors are miles away. Moseley Wood Avenue now is the main road to Otley because of speed ramps in otley old road. I don't know how the emergency services would cope with all the double parking there in now.

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing development. Mosley Bottom is important to wildlife habitats and we will never get the land back once it is built on. I am opposed to development of Greenbelt sites also Greenfield Development. All other options should be considered properly for building.

Name: G Denny

Representor No: PRS01639

Representation ID: REP03793 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: James Howard

Representor No: PRS01641

Representation ID: REP03795 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Ian Meikle

Representor No: PRS01648

Representation ID: REP03797 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge is on edge of greenbelt. Development of land which has always been nice to look at must not occur. There are plenty of other areas in Leeds which would provide better sites for future development. We do not want additional houses in the area. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Years of building traffic is a dangerous to childrens. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools are full at present without new development, where would new school be built? Doctors surgeries are full at present and is very difficult to see a doctor now. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This has already been a damp area further development will cause a greater risk. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. A 6 year building site would push birdlife away from area. Cookridge is an area I choose to live in many years ago. It has always been relatively free of pubs, takeaway food shops all @which would lead to pollution. A 200 house development would lead to pubs, takeaway food shops and other amenities that we don't want in cookridge a building development is not acceptable.

Name: Mark Davis

Representor No: PRS01650

Representation ID: REP03804 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Traffic is already very bad during the morning, lunchtime and school closing time. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Paula Davis

Representor No: PRS01652

Representation ID: REP03806 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The road leading to the proposed development are much too narrow to cope with anymore traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I cannot understand how new houses can be built on what is obviously a flood plain. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Samuel Davis

Representor No: PRS01654

Representation ID: REP03808 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Its an a flood plan and would look poor in the area. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Lynne Butler

Representor No: PRS01656

Representation ID: REP03809 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. At peak times its difficult to get to main road. The local roads are already inadequate for the amount of traffic. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The local schools are already full and the health centres cannot cope. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The railway line was flooded a couple of times last year. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: James Butler

Representor No: PRS01658

Representation ID: REP03812 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The facilities in the area would not be able to cope with this amount of new housing. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. We have already get numerous rat runs in the area and this would only make matter worse. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local school are already over subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Building on land that is prone to flooding can only make matter worse and endanger the nearby railway. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Harry Brown

Representor No: PRS01664

Representation ID: REP03819 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge has already provided its fair share of new housing, i.e existing moseley woods from Horsforth to cookridge and holt park estate, The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Moseley wood lane is already a rat run and ot wide enough for the present traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Kath Hewitson

Representor No: PRS01666

Representation ID: REP03821 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. there is insufficient school places as it is. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The land proposed is a bog area in effect and is prone for flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Wildlife will be massively effected it would be an ecological tragedy.

Name: Jonathan White

Representor No: PRS01670

Representation ID: REP03824 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Concerned about Moseley Wood Lane being used as a rat run shortcut. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Concerned about Moseley Wood Lane, Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. School almost at capacity I am an ex.Governor. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Flooding has always been an issue, I have lived here 48 years and played there as a child. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Wildlife is clearly an issue.

Name: Viv Stringer

Representor No: PRS01673

Representation ID: REP03829 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Rat runs, lack of schools, land to be built on looks very likely to flood. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Rat Runs noise. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools full to already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. As already stated over. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Carole O'Hare

Representor No: PRS01675

Representation ID: REP07742 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. There are enough houses in Cookridge already with very few facilities. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. A lot of traffic already in the Cookridge and Horsforth area, especially at peak times. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Not enough school places already. The area, including Moseley beck, is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Karen White

Representor No: PRS01677

Representation ID: REP03831 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Our street is already a rat run for through traffic and 200 more hosues will make it even worse. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The parking/traffic around the tesco store is already bad. The new estate will make it even worse. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The Schools are already over subscribed where are the children on the new estate going to go?. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. This is one of the few nature walks in the area without getting in the car. We need it to stay - peaseful area are important.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Christine Pollard

Representor No: PRS01681

Representation ID: REP03839 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. There is not the infrastructure to support large number of extra residents
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Green Lane already very busy, cannot take extra traffic
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Excellent local services will be jeopardised
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Sarla Smith

Representor No: PRS01684

Representation ID: REP03835 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Bulding on this site would put a huge strain on the little community facilities/services available and add to traffic congestion. Borwn field sites must be priority before any other sites are considered. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Road safety is on prime concern. Potentially adding 400 cars the estate will experience significant conjections. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. 200 more household/400 more possible children, school are already oversubscribed as are dentists and doctors. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Properties in Moseley woods will become prone to more frequest flooding as will the railway lines. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Destruction of habitats to wild life would be inevitable and the consequences disasterous and should not under any circumstances be allowed.

Name: Ben Smith

Representor No: PRS01687

Representation ID: REP03844 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Council should fill empty houses before building a new ones. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Increased traffic will cause extra damage to roads which already experience high level of traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local School do not have the facilities to increase staffing level growing clas sizes only creates further problems. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Underground springs in the area mean that even small scale buiding will be very difficult. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. The wildlife in the Cookridge is not suitable for new residents removing it will mean many new houses dontl get filled.

Name: Helen Chana

Representor No: PRS01691

Representation ID: REP03849 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Samuel Smith

Representor No: PRS01693

Representation ID: REP03847 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Although I appreciate the need for new housing, building on green field is not the answer. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads on the area are prone to wear increasing taffic will require either Council investment or poor quiality road ways. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools is the area have frequently faced threat of closure. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Although serious flooding is more, burst of rain do cause damage. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I would rather see more environmental investment in the area.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jill Douglass

Representor No: PRS01694

Representation ID: REP03851 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. The green space below the Mosley Woods is the only space for children to play this side of the Otley Old Road
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Kirkwood drive is already a main for mosley woods. It is the shortest connecting road between green lane and kirkstall road.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Kirkwood Drive is direct road between 3 local schools.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. The area has natural springs these are already effected by extra house extension and hard surfaces.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. We have already lost enough wildlife

Name: Maria Aziz

Representor No: PRS01696

Representation ID: REP03853 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Local infrastructure cannot support the increase in housing, - traffic would be an issue, Moseley Wood already a bottle nick this would worsen considerably. It would compromise the safety of our childrens who play by the roads which would inevitable become a rat run. Not enough local amenities, school, dentist, doctors etc, the plot of land suitability flooding, fog, snow are issues with being highest point in Leeds. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Rat Run, making our streets unsafe for our children. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Not just flooding, issues for residents in bad weather fog, snow and ice would isolate the community. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Local walks, green spaces, important the we should maintain these.

Name: Claire Jablonski

Representor No: PRS01697

Representation ID: REP03852 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. The roads are already very difficult to get down due to traffic and parking. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Kirystyna Jablonski

Representor No: PRS01698

Representation ID: REP03855 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Phillip Dunn

Representor No: PRS01699

Representation ID: REP03854 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge is an area that has seen significant development without the necessary local amenities or infrastructure. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. One School, one post office (under threat) one store (Co-Op). Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schooling is a very emotive and controversial issue this development would only exacerbate the problem. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Have witness flooding over the last fifty years. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Elaint Evans

Representor No: PRS01701

Representation ID: REP03857 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Already a bog land. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Emlyn Evans

Representor No: PRS01702

Representation ID: REP03859 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Linda Johnson

Representor No: PRS01703

Representation ID: REP03860 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Elsie Standerline

Representor No: PRS01704

Representation ID: REP03856 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Roads unsuitable for heavy traffic. A regular bus service refused because of the roads being too narrow. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. The extra traffic caused by building is beyond belief, noise not tolerable. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. In 2005 I had to attend the LGI for treatment. I was not aware of any destists in this area. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Flooding in summer already suffer on bottom of Green lane, hill crest and also snow thawing in winter. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I have been at this address since May 1961. Wild birds and animals around, soon will disappear for good. God's creatures are there for a purpose.

Name: Gwen M. Hancock

Representor No: PRS01708

Representation ID: REP03866 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Kirkwood drive is already a rat run for people in Moosley Woods. This development would make matters a lot worse
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. There are problems now and and this development will only accelerate the problem in the future
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. We have enough flooding in the area due to all the natural underground springs and increased hard surfaces due to house extensions
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. We have a lot of wildlife when houses were built in the Mosley Woods. We do not need to lose any more wildlife

Name: Peter Johnson

Representor No: PRS01709

Representation ID: REP03862 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Once on the site the builders will creep on with easy ways for them to move equipment, and vehicles around. They won't give a dam about disturbing any residents. Pure greed those people, I have seen them perform in other areas. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Traffic will be using any means to get from A to B including all side streets. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree.we have garden floods due to bad builders not laying the correct materials, believe me, the builders will take short cuts also save on materials and we will end up with floods in that area to. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I love to see wildlife, and carry on like they are now with housing, we will have no wildlife in this area, and eventually none at all.

Name: Alexandra Smith

Representor No: PRS01711

Representation ID: REP03869 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John March

Representor No: PRS01712

Representation ID: REP03870 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Already too many houses in area. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads around are not fit to cope. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Paul Buckle

Representor No: PRS01713

Representation ID: REP03872 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree.

Name: Godley John

Representor No: PRS01716

Representation ID: REP03873 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Parking around Tesco and all local roads is bad and potentially dangerous already. Congestion will be bad, poor public transport infrastructure. Prone to more accidents. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Fire service ambulances eill struggle to be effitive when roads become more congested. My detist is in Kirkstall. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Locals are having to use pumps to drain gardens when rainy weather is havey. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Red Kite important evolution of food chain.

Name: Ronald Castelow

Representor No: PRS01719

Representation ID: REP03878 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. I have great difficulty in reversing my car into my drive because of inconsiderate parking throughout the day
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. This is a wildlife haven which would be destroyed by this proposed development

Name: Katherine Hill

Representor No: PRS01721

Representation ID: REP03880 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. I anderstand local Schools are currently full. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Marian Davis

Representor No: PRS01723

Representation ID: REP03883 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Hout Park and Dale Park estates have already been built, plus several "infill" houses and 2 houses replacing 1
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Local traffic, particularly round Holy Trinity School is already a problem, 200 houses will make this worse
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. We are discouraged from concreting gardens because of flood increase, this will be far worse
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Too much green space has already been built on

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Lynne Yeadon

Representor No: PRS01726

Representation ID: REP03882 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local road already busy, congested with parked cars, road in poor condition. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local schools already over subscribed, no dentist or doctor is cookridge. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Flooding in garden is already on and increased development will increase problems. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom I the only small remainder of the original wood. The only benefit of this development is profit for the builders.

Name: Edith Castelow

Representor No: PRS01727

Representation ID: REP03886 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Cookridge cannot cope with any more traffic pollution. Many houses already on the market
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Agree. Schools already over subscribed

Name: Norman H. Green M.b.e

Representor No: PRS01731

Representation ID: REP03891 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Already Cookridge is an overcrowded area of Leeds with insufficient local facilities
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. This is an inevitability, common sense tells us this!
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Health centres are over worked and over loaded, difficulties occur now in obtaining appointment
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. inevitable
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Do the proposed builders care?

Name: Paul Horsbrough

Representor No: PRS01733

Representation ID: REP03893 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree. Infrastructure is too small, you will ruin lives
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree. Roads are already a terrible state
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree. Queues and waiting times are already ridiculous
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree. Existing area already struggles to cope
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Strongly agree. Meddle at your peril, builder only interested in profit

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Alistair Mackinnon

Representor No: PRS01734

Representation ID: REP03892 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Why build on Green space and not brown field sites, lack of infrastructure, schools, doctors, shops etc. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Moved here years ago and struggled to get a dentist. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. WG already floods. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP00088 Question Ref: H1

1199 (land of Moseley wood gardens)The impact this will have on the community from have overcrowded roads (200 house with one access point) to schools (Holy Trinity is already over filled). Cookridge is not a great plan when it snows and you chose to build 200 houses at the bottom of a hill.Cookridge area does not have a crèche, doctors, dentist, petrol station but yet you plan to put more people crammed into a small area.Access for the existing residents in not good and many people cut through. My opinion is that but adding a further 200 + cars you are going to cause an accident and a child will be killed.Considering there are areas such a Cookridge Hall (room for 1,500 dwellings) I find it absurd that you chose to cram in more houses on a small over run area.As for the residents of the new houses, 1 access for 200 house meaning if there was a fire and this road was blocked it could again cause loss of life. The extra 200 dwellings come with no amenities such as shops, local pub or crèche/ play park thus adding noting to the community.

Representation ID: REP00088 Question Ref: H4

it seem crazy that site 1199 (Moseley wood) has ben given green status and the other alternatives are Red as the above mentioned concerns have not been considered.The Ery Pub next to Holt park has closed and should be re developed, if Cookridge fire station is to close it should be re developed. The School next to the Asda in Holt park has moved and so has the lesiure centure WHY are we not re developing this with houses? (these houses would have great shops, lesiure centre and great transport to Leeds.

Representation ID: REP00088 Question Ref: H5

1199 (Moseley wood)The impact this will have on the community from have overcrowded roads (200 house with one access point) to schools (Holy Trinity is already over filled). Cookridge is not a great plan when it snows and you chose to build 200 houses at the bottom of a hill.Cookridge area does not have a crèche, doctors, dentist, petrol station but yet you plan to put more people crammed into a small area.Access for the existing residents in not good and many people cut through. My opinion is that but adding a further 200 + cars you are going to cause an accident and a child will be killed.Considering there are areas such a Cookridge Hall (room for 1,500 dwellings) I find it absurd that you chose to cram in more houses on a small over run area.As for the residents of the new houses, 1 access for 200 house meaning if there was a fire and this road was blocked it could again cause loss of life. The extra 200 dwellings come with no amenities such as shops, local pub or crèche/ play park thus adding noting to the community.

Representation ID: REP00088 Question Ref: H8

1199 (Moseley wood)The impact this will have on the community from have overcrowded roads (200 house with one access point) to schools (Holy Trinity is already over filled). Cookridge is not a great plan when it snows and you chose to build 200 houses at the bottom of a hill.Cookridge area does not have a crèche, doctors, dentist, petrol station but yet you plan to put more people crammed into a small area.Access for the existing residents in not good and many people cut through. My opinion is that but adding a further 200 + cars you are going to cause an accident and a child will be killed.Considering there are areas such a Cookridge Hall (room for 1,500 dwellings) I find it absurd that you chose to cram in more houses on a small over run area.As for the residents of the new houses, 1 access for 200 house meaning if there was a fire and this road was blocked it could again cause loss of life. The extra 200 dwellings come with no amenities such as shops, local pub or crèche/ play park thus adding noting to the community.

Representation ID: REP00088 Question Ref: H10

There are many sites that would not have as large an impact as the 1199 (moseley wood) site would have such as.Cookridge Hall (3360)Old Modernians The land between Cookridge Land (Cookridge) and Moor Road (Bramhope) would give you a great opportunity to build on existing community's without disrupting what is already there. Again you could put in shops, bar restaurants, offices and this would also create jobs.May I add the existing 1199 (moseley wood) plans add nothing to the community other that overcrowding schools, doctors, dentists and the transport network. We don't get our bins collected when it snows as they can't come down so you will make this problem even worse.

Representation ID: REP00088 Question Ref: General comment

My view is using the Moseley wood bottom site would cause so many problems that YOU Leeds City Council planners have put no thought what so ever into the implications of the community.By developing the Cookridge hall 3360 site you could help create a community for the long term instead of making worse an existing one.

Representation ID: REP00088 Question Ref: H2

1199 (land of Moseley wood gardens)The impact this will have on the community from have overcrowded roads (200 house with one access point) to schools (Holy Trinity is already over filled). Cookridge is not a great plan when it snows and you chose to build 200 houses at the bottom of a hill.Cookridge area does not have a crèche, doctors, dentist, petrol station but yet you plan to put more people crammed into a small area.Access for the existing residents in not good and many people cut through. My opinion is that but adding a further 200 + cars you are going to cause an accident and a child will be killed.Considering there are areas such a Cookridge Hall (room for 1,500 dwellings) I find it absurd that you chose to cram in more houses on a small over run area.As for the residents of the new houses, 1 access for 200 house meaning if there was a fire and this road was blocked it could again cause loss of life. The extra 200 dwellings come with no amenities such as shops, local pub or crèche/ play park thus adding noting to the community.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: A Fitton

Representor No: PRS01735

Representation ID: REP03895 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments- Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem- Strongly agree.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand- Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this- Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- Unsure.

Name: Colin Mottershead

Representor No: PRS01736

Representation ID: REP03896 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Michael Yeadon

Representor No: PRS01738

Representation ID: REP03897 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The infrastructure of cookridge can not cope with an increase of housing. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The road structure in the area are not adequate for the increase in traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The local schools are already over subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The extra hard staning will only increase flooding which at this moment is a major problems nationwide. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. The area is greenbelt for a reason and should be kept that way.

Name: Carol Mottershead

Representor No: PRS01740

Representation ID: REP03899 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. On Moseley Wood Avenue the road is constantly poorly maintained. Plus there is an accident waiting to happen because of speed and volume of traffic up and down. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. I don't have children so don't know if schools are suver subscribed but agree regarding doctors and dentists. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Would be a tragedy to lose this habitat. I have seen deer in the area on several occasions.

Name: Karen Priestley

Representor No: PRS01742

Representation ID: REP03901 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Insufficient infrastructure to support new housing. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The traffic is terrible at the moment and excess parking on roads, No. 6 bus terrible, Horsforth station at capacity. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. It is difficult to get a GP's appointment at Highfield Surgery. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Replacing greenfield with hard surface will cause more flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We don't eed developers to provide us with open access space in place of natural habitat for birds, insects, frogs, foxes.

Representation ID: REP00078 Question Ref: H2

1199Lack of access to site. Lack of school places, poor public transport (no 6 bus), traffic congestion outside Holy Trinity School, Tesco, road junction at Woodhill Rd/Tinshill Rd. Increased traffic. Potential for further sprawl of building onto open spaces. Lack of capacity at Horsforth station.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Colin Heaton

Representor No: PRS01745

Representation ID: REP03906 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Extra houses must mean even more traffic using Wood Hill Road, The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cars & Buses have to mount the pavement to pass one another. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools & health centres already over subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Flooding may casue problems for rail servies and the tunnel access for Harrogate. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. This is a lovely field for wildlife and birds it shold remain so.

Name: Helen Kirwin

Representor No: PRS01746

Representation ID: REP03903 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Cookridge is already well developed as a community. More housing would add pressure to local services. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local roads were not designed to cope with the additional traffic a large development will bring. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The local schools are already over-subscribed. It is increasingly difficult to make appointments at health centres + will put greater pressure on A + E departments. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. There is already flooding around Moseley Beck. This is unlikely to improve. Additional housing here will add to the problem. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Why are considerations not being made for the development of brown fill sites, rather than green belt?

Name: Valery Crabtree

Representor No: PRS01750

Representation ID: REP08285 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199 - Green to Red (Assumed)
Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Wood Hill Road would have even more cars to cope with.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree.
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Helen Driscoll

Representor No: PRS01752

Representation ID: REP03915 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: M Pullan

Representor No: PRS01753

Representation ID: REP04397 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.
Cookridge needs no more housing developments. The infrastructure cannot cope especially with only one exit from the new development.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Danger to children and pedestrians public transport will also be evenmore overcrowded.
Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. I regularly walk in this area - almost every day and enjoy the countryside.

Name: John Driscoll

Representor No: PRS01755

Representation ID: REP03916 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge already had enough housing for the capacity of local schools, community services etc. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Paul Cooper

Representor No: PRS01759

Representation ID: REP03919 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Concerned about safety of our Children and pollution. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The school is already over subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Or gardens are already waterlogged. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Lindsey Cooper

Representor No: PRS01761

Representation ID: REP03924 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Albert Lewty

Representor No: PRS01762

Representation ID: REP04131 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Representation ID: REP03927 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Katherine Hoole

Representor No: PRS01763

Representation ID: REP03910 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Wood Hill Road would have even more cars to cope with. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: G Caven

Representor No: PRS01766

Representation ID: REP03929 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Access road very unsuitable. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads are not wide enough for more traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Shuold be building on brownfield not greenfield.

Name: Donald Cole

Representor No: PRS01768

Representation ID: REP03932 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Once the land is convertedover it has gone forecer, better perhaps to plan water friendly trees/ bushes for contro the flooding that occurs from time to time. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The existing road are far too narrow for the inevitable growth of traffic and some are already easily blocked. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Consultation with heads and staffs of present schools essential. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I am very surprised that builders are wanting to build on a former glacial lake. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. See suggestion under "housing development". It would enhance the area as a wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: S Cole

Representor No: PRS01771

Representation ID: REP03934 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The infrastructure is not sufficient. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. A lot of heavy traffic will be involved for several years followed by increase in local traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The shortage of school places will require children (primary) having to travel. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. It is unfair to all concerned to create this problem. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Peter Kellas-kelly

Representor No: PRS01776

Representation ID: REP03936 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. All brown field sites should all be developed first. All vacant Council owned properties need to be relet. There are considerable social problems on the Holt Park/Tinshill Council estate. These need to be addressed - so people actually want to live there. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Moseley Wod Gardens is not big enough to cope with up to 200 extra daily jourmies. Iti s also very high over 450 feet andi s snowed in. how on eath will the propsted residents of the new development set out. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Already full, traffic at school drop off/collecting is already chaotic/dangerous. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Also sever snow/ice problems. British rail calim that propsted development would cause more flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Kingfisher, deer and other wildlife have been spotted. Need to be a wildlife survey. I also consider this area could be developed to provide a proper greenspace.

Representation ID: REP06737 Question Ref: H1

I do understand that at the public enquiry in 2005 this land was not restored to the green belt but instead it reverts to 2001 status as PAS land which could be considered for development after 2016. I understand that it is propsted that up to 200 houses are proposed for this site. My objections are as follows:

1. The local primary school is full. The 2013 intake is 60 places. I understand that over 120 applicants have applied for these 60 places. If this is the case how can any new residents expect to get any of their under 11 aged children a place at a local school? What would be the knock-on effect of driving these children to either a local school or a school further away?
 2. I also understand that the local high school has a very poor reputation. Again why whould residents opt to send their children to this high school?
 3. The local road, Moseley Wood Gardens, is very busy. I do not think it will be able to cope with the extra demand. If 200 houses are built and 2 cars per household are the norm, you can quickly calculate that along with delivery vehicles, trades people, school runs, work/commuter travel there will be well over 2,000 extra journeys per day.
 4. The trains to Leeds are already overcrowded. Parking at the station and around the station has reached full capacity. You will be aware of this issue already! So how can this be a sustainable option? How can a further 200 houses be catered for by the train service?
 5. On a related transport issue, it is almost certain that if NET is built, the 10 minute no. 6 bus service to Leeds from Cookridge will cease to exist or will be at a far reduced service as residents will be expected to pard and ride, so again my question is how would this affect the propsted 200 households?
 6. Public transport would also be an issue for 200 households as the nearest bus stop would be 1/4 to 1/2 a mile away from the bottom end of Moreley Wood Bottom.
 7. Local doctor services are also bursting at the seams. As a resident, I am already very concerned about access to my local doctors.
 8. There is no real bus service to Horsforth.
 9. On street parking around the school is a major nuisance and hazard at school opening and closing times and would be for work with the increase in traffic this proposed development would have.
 10. The parking around Tesco the only local shop is increasing, becoming chaotic and dangerous with cars parked on double yellow lines, on corners, in the bus stop. Again I cannot see how the situation will get any better - but it would be increasing traffic problems in the future.
 11. All the above objections are based on what I believe would be the environmental impact of 200 households.
- I also object to the loss of green space. I have seen deer, kingfishers, red kite and fish on this land. It is regularly grazed by sheep. It is also used by dog walkers. I think this land could be developed as a local amenity as a natural wildlife area. It is also a quite boggy area with lots of springs. This raises the question of future flooding problems for future housing developments.
- Do you think the council would be interested in developing this land as a local wildlife area? The nearest green site is Golden Acre Park!

Name: Harriet Makin

Representor No: PRS01777

Representation ID: REP03944 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Will make road safety a problem. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Hard enough to see doctrors due to high demand as it is. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Elizabeth Maign

Representor No: PRS01784

Representation ID: REP03946 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. This is a highly built area, local shops. Businesses, schools & public services already struggling to accommodated existing residents and businesses. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Infrastructure is inadequat, roads are narrow, not made for levels of traffic. 200 extra houses will generate at least 200 to 300 or 400 extra cars not 120 as quoted. This is 2013 most houses have at least 2 cars. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. My niece already unable to get her child into a local primary school, despite his sister being at the same school, GP's are difficult to see due to high number of patients. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Building what effectively are flood plaine will cause problems with insurance companies, affect the railway line. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Daphne Kelly

Representor No: PRS01791

Representation ID: REP04172 Question Ref: H1

1199 -
Potential Development Sites
Site Reference Number 1199
Land at Moseley Wood Bottom off Moseley Wood Gardens,
Cookridge

I would like to object to the Council's designation of the above site which is currently colour coded as Green. I strongly suggest that this site should be re-allocated as a Red site for the following reasons:-

1. The proposed development land is situated at the lowest lying area in Cookridge. Local residents report repeated flooding in parts of this site. In addition, the local railway, which runs very close to the proposed site, already suffers from flooding and drainage problems. Work undertaken by Network Rail may lessen the impact of some of the flooding but is not going to eliminate it. The problem will be compounded by the proposed development. This, coupled with the fact that our climate is becoming wetter means that it is grossly irresponsible to consider developing this site, leaving future residents exposed to flooding and difficulties in obtaining insurance cover.
2. There are no plans for additional local facilities, such as a school, medical centre, shops, or a post office. No needs assessment has been to date carried out by Leeds City Council. Local schools, dentists and health centres are unable to cope with a further increase in demand.
3. The Cookridge area is already densely populated.
4. Any further development will generate a substantial increase in local traffic. People who have come to live in Cookridge have paid more for their housing in order to avoid traffic filled roads, and to enjoy the open spaces provided by surrounding green areas including Moseley Wood Bottom. Taylor Wimpey make reference to only one access point to the proposed new development, from Moseley Wood Rise. This would obviously cause a bottleneck. They also state that emergency access from Cookridge Drive. would be provided via collapsible bollards.

What they have not said, however, is that they in effect own the two houses at the head the cul de sac end of Cookridge Drive. Clearly, their real intentions are to create a second access road via Cookridge Drive, thereby destroying the quiet and peaceful atmosphere, and leading to further air pollution.

5. Roads in the Moseley Wood area have a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of Tarmac is periodically placed on top. Extra traffic, particularly heavy plant used during construction will inevitably further damage the concrete base. In addition, roads are rarely gritted during the winter, making access to, and exits from homes extremely difficult during periods of ice and snow. The Addition of at least two hundred more cars resulting from further development would add substantially to these problems.

Cookridge roads are frequented by daily commuters from Harrogate, Otley, and outlying areas, who use both Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route, often using Cookridge Avenue and Drive, and Moseley Wood Avenue to avoid the speed bumps on Cookridge Lane. The roads around Cookridge are already in a poor state of repair. Extra traffic would only aggravate this problem.

There are already parking problems due largely to the fact that Horsforth train station car park is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters are forced to park their cars on road sides further and further away from the station, which has led to single line traffic and regular delays. Further development will exacerbate this problem.

Parking outside Green Lane Primary School, Tesco's and the Post Office is restricted, and frequent congestion adds to the danger of accidents occurring. Woodhill Road is already extremely busy at peak times.

Very recently, due to extensive roadside parking, an ambulance was unable to gain access to a patient's home in the Moseley Wood area. The paramedics had to park several hundred yards away and run along the pavement with a stretcher and other emergency equipment.

6. The train service is far from ideal. Too few carriages are provided at peak times, and the timetable cannot be relied on.

7. There is already concern about the inadequacy of the bus services whose timetable also cannot be relied on. Neither do they provide a comprehensive service

9. The land to the rear of Moseley Wood Gardens is a wildlife haven. Many birds, deer, and other animals live in or visit this area. Building on this land would affect this natural ecosystem apart from depriving the local residents of their much valued open space, for which they have already paid extra when

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Daphne Kelly

Representor No: PRS01791

purchasing their properties.

10. There are one hundred and thirty three Brownfill Sites in the Leeds area with the potential to provide twenty thousand houses.

There are currently fourteen thousand empty houses in the Leeds area, five thousand of which have been empty for over six months; and which could be brought back into use.

My understanding is that there is a great need for affordable social/ council housing which can be provided via the many Brownfill Sites. The proposed development on a Greenfield Site will not ease the demand for this sort of housing.

11. Leeds planners have twenty two colour coded criteria which have to be met to make a site suitable for planning in their view.

For the proposed development at Moseley Wood Bottom they have allotted eighteen amber lights, and three red. They gave the development only one green light, citing as a reason that new houses will improve the quality of housing in the area.

I trust that following detailed scrutiny of the condition of the land proposed for the development coupled with the inevitable effects on existing residents, that the city planners will see that there is no basis for even one green light.

Representation ID: REP03954 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge is already over developed. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. There are already parking problems - too few at Horsforth station car park places/cars parked further and access to local shops and businesses increasingly difficult. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local primary schools are already full, as are dentists, and health centres. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Network rail already have flooding problems and local residents report flooding on the proposed site. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We need to conserve wildlife areas. There are many brownfield sites which can be and should first be used to build on. 133 sites for 20,000 homes. There are 14,000 empty homes 5000 of which have been empty for over 6 months.

Name: A Mann

Representor No: PRS01795

Representation ID: REP03962 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There is sufficient housing already in the area. There are plenty of houses already for sale and taking time to sell. The amenities in the area are already full. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Unless green lane is improved in a major fashion the extra traffic (and construction traffic) will destroy the surface. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Have been full for a number of years. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This area acts as a flood plain. Hard surfacing will inevitably cause flooding and damage to the railway. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Have walked through this area for years since my children used it and was impressed with the variety of wildlife.

Name: Carol Mann

Representor No: PRS01799

Representation ID: REP03965 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There are hundreds of houses for sale in Cookridge. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cookridge already has a problem with cars. It all the time as a turning circle from green lane. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The school situation is ridiculous already local schools are struggling. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Malcolm Taylor

Representor No: PRS01803

Representation ID: REP03971 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The roads in Cookridge cannot cope with current traffic and are under constant repair. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. We have friends who are being affected primary/junior school places in Otley as no placed in this area. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Representation ID: REP00167 Question Ref: H1

SITE REF 1199 - THIS AREA ADJOINS THE EXISTING HEAVILY POPULATED AREA OF COOKRIDGE. THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE COOKRIDGE AREA CANNOT COPE WITH A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN POPULATION NUMBERS.

Representation ID: REP00167 Question Ref: H2

SITE REF 1199 - THIS AREA ADJOINS THE EXISTING HEAVILY POPULATED AREA OF COOKRIDGE. IT WILL IMPACT ON THE ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE WHICH ALREADY IS VERY HEAVILY USED AND IS UNDER CONSTANT REPAIR AS CURRENTLY ON GREEN LANE AND THE ADJOINING STREETS. AT PEAK TIMES THE EXISTING ROADS ARE VERY BUSY SO WITH ANOTHER POTENTIAL 400 CARS (2 PER RESIDENCE) THERE WILL BE BOTTLENECKS AND AN INCREASE IN THE RISKS OF ACCIDENTS. THE FIRESTATION IN COOKRIDGE IS CLOSING DOWN AND SO HOW CAN AN ADDITIONAL 200+ RESIDENCES ON TOP OF THE EXISTING BE SERVICED BY THE EMERGENCY SERVICES? THE CURRENT SCHOOLS IN THE AREA ARE FULL TO CAPACITY AND ARE NOT ABLE TO EXPAND. THERE ARE NO PLANS FOR NEW SCHOOLS SO HOW CAN ADDING 200+ RESIDENCES WITH SOME POTENTIAL 400+ ADDITIONAL CHILDREN (FROM CRECHE THRU TO SECONDARY) BE CATERED FOR WITHOUT CAUSING SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND THE FAMILIES WHO MOVE INTO THE AREA? THE TARGET AREA IS WELL KNOWN FOR POOR DRAINAGE AND THIS COULD LEAD TO SIGNIFICANT FLOODING ISSUES THAT COULD IMPACT THE RAILWAY LINE AND THE AREA OF HORSFORTH NEAR IT, INCLUDING AGRICULTURAL LAND.

Name: Cheryl Spencer

Representor No: PRS01805

Representation ID: REP03974 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Malcolm Spencer

Representor No: PRS01807

Representation ID: REP03978 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Carol Dunn

Representor No: PRS01809

Representation ID: REP03979 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The right infrastructure need to be put in place before going forward on any project. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Bad at the moment. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We need to care for our wildlife for future operations.

Name: Andrew Mccoll

Representor No: PRS01811

Representation ID: REP03982 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Our Schools are already over subscribed. A development of this size would cause masive issue. I would also worry about house prices. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Our roads already require upgrading. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Again our schools already we cannot have more. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. We have natural springs running through the estate. More hard standing will a fleet this. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Barry Cooper

Representor No: PRS01812

Representation ID: REP03983 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. I have the same view as my wife for all the questions. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Peter Wilson

Representor No: PRS01814

Representation ID: REP03977 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Agree. I don't want the quiet cul-de-sac of Cookridge Drive to become the main cross road to a near housing estate. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Traffic crossing the railway already a problem. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Unsure. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Unsure.

Name: Linda Mary Masters

Representor No: PRS01815

Representation ID: REP03985 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Especially as public transport is so poor plus issues with winter ice/snow causing traffic problems. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Plus fire station planned closure. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: David Pye

Representor No: PRS01816

Representation ID: REP03947 Question Ref: H1

I cannot believe that anyone who is familiar with the Mosley Wood area could ever support the building scheme being proposed. Just to consider the location and the on-going problems we experience every winter alone. The roads are always a major issue in particular Mosley Wood Gardens. This road alone must cost the residents dearly each year in lost working hours when you just cannot move out of the area for severe road/ weather conditions. I know I have experienced loss of pay through missed working days although retired now we still have to walk out of the gardens. In many cases in the winter through the extreme conditions.

Parking has over the recent years made this much worse as the road cannot cope with the increase of cars having to park in the street. Any building work/builders vans parked on the road is causing major problems with roads blocked. This is before the excess of road traffic that will be experienced with this scheme!!!

We have already experienced school issues in the area. My grandson was without a place two years ago in a local school despite me going to a tribunal we had to a Horsforth school because there was no places. How can it possibly work with the influx the 200 houses will bring?

The school locally as major problems with dangerous situations there will be a real danger of a fatality in this area if things do not improve!! All the side roads we be like rat runs to Mosley wood Gardens. But why build on such an heaven of nature!! Why not brown field sites> Is this instructions from London without any consideration. If this goes through we are all in for an uncertain future and 5 years noise and dirt.

Name: Michael Barry Masters

Representor No: PRS01817

Representation ID: REP03986 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Green areas must be retained for future generations to enjoy unless there is no option. Leeds has plenty of undeveloped brown fields sites. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Ian Walker

Representor No: PRS01818

Representation ID: REP03987 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Infrastructure is already stretched to the limit. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Unsure . Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Maureen Walker

Representor No: PRS01819

Representation ID: REP03988 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads are too poor to support more traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools are full. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Unsure. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree

Name: Andrew Stodolny

Representor No: PRS01822

Representation ID: REP03989 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The risk of spoling the calm of the area. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Pretty obvious that 200 houses = 200+ cars on streets not designed for such traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. If you convert a flood area that are less places for water to go into the ground. Surveyors know this perfectly well. Picked up when moved here, has to check to see if insurance was ok. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree

Name: P.h Matthews

Representor No: PRS01825

Representation ID: REP03993 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. More houses more crime. Cant sustain anymore traffic. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The roads are already used as rat urns to avoid speed Bumps. The roads are in poor condition. Also more noise. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. These servies are full already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. It's a shame to spoil the area.

Name: Andy Linyard

Representor No: PRS01827

Representation ID: REP03996 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Rush hour traffic into leeds is already extremely busy. More houses/cars will only make things worse. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The roads are already full of pot holes. The Council cannot afford to maintain the roads now. What will happen with more cars. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Shools are already full. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I wouldn't buy a house close to somewhere that is prone to flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I often walk through this area with my family we love playing in the fields.

Name: Geoffrey Bailey

Representor No: PRS01832

Representation ID: REP04000 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The local infrastructure/roads etc are alrady busy (especially during peaks 7:30 to 9:00 and 15:30 to 18:30 and Cookridge is already used as a rat-run. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. See previous the junction of woodhill rod/woodhill court is currently an accident waiting to happen. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Holy Trinity C of E school and cookridge primary school are already full to capacity. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Due to increase hard landscaping froma y new development (driveways/roads/pavement) further drainage flooding is increased. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Mosely bottom is currently used by walkers etc and these and wildlife will severly suffer by any lossof habitat to new development.

Name: K Sowerby

Representor No: PRS01848

Representation ID: REP04019 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Lived at the above address since June, 1956 and was supposed to be left greenbelt from the property downwards!!!! Now they are wanting to build even more!!! The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Do not want this!!! Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Bad enough trying to get an appointment now!!! The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Where is the water going to go??? Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. It would be a crying shame to disturb this!!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Maureen Spencer

Representor No: PRS01849

Representation ID: REP04020 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. We only need affordable homes for the young people of Cookridge, first time buyers especially. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Name: John Spencer

Representor No: PRS01851

Representation ID: REP04022 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Leeds needs affordable housing, not property which will sell at a premium as property in Cookridge does. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Making Cookridge Drive a through access to a new development would require traffic calming measures to be introduced as it is a lone straight road. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Waiting times to see some doctors in the area can be up to 14 days. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Having lived in Cookridge for over 50 years Moseley Beck has flooded on a very regular basis. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. All wet areas encourage a wide variety of wild life and Moseley Bottom is no exception.

Name: Emily Prest

Representor No: PRS01860

Representation ID: REP04028 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There are plenty of houses already for sale in the area and not being sold, so why build more?. Cookridge is a lovely place to live and bring up a family. There is ano need for this. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. How can planning permission be granted where there will be only one access road to 250 houses. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Strongly, strongly, strongly agree!!!. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Just selfish act of Taylor Wimpey to line their own pocket !! They will use "creating jobs" as an excuse. Let them build elsewhere.

Name: Sandra Macallister

Representor No: PRS01862

Representation ID: REP04030 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The area is already built up. We have little green space. Traffic is also a problem. Would also impact on wildlife in aea and school places. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Traffic already a problem. Public transport is also very busy especially at peak times. Trains are overcrowded. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area already has few enough medical services and more housing would make it even harder to get dental and doctor places. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I live near the beck. The flooding over the last few winters and summers has been very high, the flooding in the railway tunnel aprime example. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I frequently have wood peckers in my gardens. I have seen red kite on the trees int eh woodland. Kingfisher nest in the banks of beck -what would happen to them.

Name: Rebecca Warden

Representor No: PRS01863

Representation ID: REP04033 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. I have an 18 month old son and I worry about schooling numbers. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I have a family of foxes lining close to the house in Moseley Bottom any development would be a disaster to their habitat.

Name: Judith Greenwood

Representor No: PRS01866

Representation ID: REP04034 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads in this area are quiet narrow don't need more traffic, parking difficult enough now. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. School places already full. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Seems to happen every year, would only get worse. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Wildlife must be protected not lost.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Greenwood

Representor No: PRS01867

Representation ID: REP04037 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Traffic volumes already heavy so don't need situation become worse. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Christine Rollett

Representor No: PRS01868

Representation ID: REP04040 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. I wish Cookridge Drive to remain a lovely quiet area to live in. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. See comments on the 1st page. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Local schools already over subscribed. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. More housing will make flooding worse. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. There is nowhere else for a wildlife habitat if this area is built on.

Name: Bethan Wilkin

Representor No: PRS01870

Representation ID: REP04043 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. It is already well developed and has more than sufficient housing. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. More through traffic, parking problems, more cars on the road. The streets are busy enough! Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Local schools and nurseries/doctors etc cannot cope with more demand. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. More flooding problems. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Don't disrupt the wildlife.

Name: Heather Diane Marshall

Representor No: PRS01873

Representation ID: REP04046 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: C Burn

Representor No: PRS01874

Representation ID: REP04047 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Adjacent streets will become rat runs. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Christine Thornton

Representor No: PRS01875

Representation ID: REP04048 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Would spoil woodlands and countryside. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. The roads in Cookridge are congested enough. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Too goggy for building on. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I love living adjacent to this bit of woodland.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Anita Handford

Representor No: PRS01876

Representation ID: REP04050 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Insufficient access, inadequate public transport, inadequate local infrastructure, drainage issues and loss of woodland for local wildlife. Insufficient space at local schools + doctors surgeries. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Roads will not be able to cope. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Not enough space out at schools + local doctors surgeries are very busy already. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Lowest point of whole area. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Katherine Turner

Representor No: PRS01881

Representation ID: REP00951 Question Ref: H1

I do not believe that developments on these sites would be sustainable many of them are currently greenfield sites and I believe that as such should only be developed once viable brownfield sites have been exhausted.

Representation ID: REP04054 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Brownfield sites should be prioritised & this site returned to the PAS site in the development plan. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. The road network is not suitable for any new development without serious investment. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Schools are over-subscribed, gp surgeries are over 1km from the proposed development: no available NHS places and local dentists. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Development would lead to an increase in surface run-off which could flood railway lines. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Important habitat for butterflies, insects, birds and other species important to the local ecology.

Representation ID: REP00951 Question Ref: H2

Site reference: 1199 I strongly believe that the infrastructure in this area is already stretched due to reductions in a number of services in recent years and that the scope of current concepts for development in the area does not appear to be adding anything materially to the area's infrastructure. The proposed additional housing stock is not supported by appropriate expansion in the area's education, transport and health services infrastructure so I feel that further development will be detrimental to the area and local communities would suffer.

The local primary and secondary schools are already at over-subscribed so the additional demand on school places will not be met locally resulting in more children reliant on car journeys to get to school contrary to green transport initiatives. The transport and road network would not support expansion, there is already significant congestion at peak times and local residential routes are also incompatible with the construction traffic which would be generated during the development phases. Cookridge is also frequently difficult to access in adverse winter weather conditions with transport services unable to service the area in these conditions. This could potentially place any vulnerable residents of new housing developments at risk in times of medical emergencies. This is also of concern as the nearest GP surgeries would be at least over 1km away. The local topography of the area means that the site is unsuitable for development. Rainfall in the area drains towards the railway line and network rail have already had to undertake costly remedial work to the line into Leeds because of flooding issues. Development in this location would lead to increased surface run-off which would negatively impact the railway line, especially as climate patterns are forecast to become wetter (<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-19848112>). Line disruption would negatively impact Leeds as a whole.

Furthermore development on this greenfield site would have an extremely negative impact on local ecology. Butterfly, birds and bee populations are in severe decline and development on greenfield sites such as this one would exacerbate this. I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Robert Turner

Representor No: PRS01884

Representation ID: REP00945 Question Ref: H1

I feel that the current urban landscape within Leeds has large areas of brownfield sites which should be re-developed before greenfield sites are affected. These brownfield sites (often failed factories or similar) are more complicated to develop, but should be considered first as they would reduce the overall 'run down' impression of large parts of the city.

Representation ID: REP07746 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199

Until infrastructure improves to cope with existing residents, more would stress beyond capacity - this includes transport, shops and schools. Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Additional traffic around Moseley Wood Gardens would cause major issues combined with issues at Horsforth Station which would extend congestion.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. With Horsforth School changing admissions policies and Holy Trinity being over-full, spaces are at a premium.

The area, including Moseley beck, is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Existing drainage can just about cope with heavy rain but hard surfaces on MWB would exacerbate the issues.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Existing animals I have seen include foxes, squirrels and considerable avian populations. These would be scared off by building work and additional houses.

Representation ID: REP00945 Question Ref: H5

As stated previously, I feel that greenfield sites (eg sites in Cookridge [1199], Bramhope [1080, 3367A] and Pool [1369, 1095B] are straightforward greenfield sites which should be preserved as long as possible. These should therefore be re-classified as 'Purple' until all possible brownfield sites have been occupied.

Representation ID: REP00945 Question Ref: H6

Site 1199 in particular should not be Green due to the lack of suitable transport, lack of relevant school places in the vicinity since the closure of Tinsill primary school to public attendance and the decision of Horsforth Secondary School to de-prioritise attendance from LS16 postcodes. As a minimum, this site should be re-classified Amber.

Representation ID: REP00945 Question Ref: H8

In particular, sites 2046 and 2160 in Horsforth are equally as suitable for development as site 1199 in Cookridge. In many aspects, these are more suitable as they are partially brownfield, are closer to more civil and academic facilities and have the capacity to have direct connectivity to public transport, integrating more simply with public facilities such as Horsforth Station.

Representation ID: REP00945 Question Ref: H2

One example of a greenfield site being considered in advance of brownfield is 1199 in Cookridge. This site has poor vehicular access and although near to Horsforth railway station, the lack of an integrated viewpoint means that residents will be forced to use cars to travel to use public transport, defeating the stated objective of increasing use of public transport. The decision to approve this particular development seems to be made on the basis of corporate profits, rather than the enhancement and development of housing appropriate to the requirements of the city.

Name: R Pidd

Representor No: PRS01886

Representation ID: REP04060 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Already full. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Ian H Hill

Representor No: PRS01888

Representation ID: REP04061 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. We, like others close to live here because it was next to open country. Further development takes away our free choice. Also, there are over 100 Brownfill sites available in the Leeds area, and 14000 empty homes. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. 200 new houses means something like 1000 extra car journeys through the locality per day. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. All these services are already full. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Network rail have had about 12 serious flooding incidents in the last 6-7 years. Removing the "soaking up" ability of farmland by building on it will severely increase the likelihood of flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: S Fozzard

Representor No: PRS01893

Representation ID: REP04064 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. After 6 years of noise, lorries, bottle necks of traffic on the roads and streets. What will we have, 200 new homes and no improved infrastructure? The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Which ever direction you go out of Cookridge you meet bottle necks of traffic and public transport. What will happen when the trams come? Will Cookridge have connections or just be forgotten? Bad weather, Cookridge is cut off. Where will 200 more families park their cars. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. Agree with local schools but dentist and health centres no longer exist in Cookridge. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Unsure. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Unsure.

Name: David Lowry

Representor No: PRS01894

Representation ID: REP04069 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Jane Hutton

Representor No: PRS01899

Representation ID: REP04073 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Susan Beardsworth

Representor No: PRS01914

Representation ID: REP04088 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The use of brown field sites should be prioritised and affordable housing for the Leeds area. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. The roads into the Cookridge area are already a traffic problem. Increase of pollution and hazards for children will be on the increase. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Schools in Cookridge are already over-subscribed. Increases will result in children being housed to schools in the Otley area. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Lessons should be learned from previous housing developments on land prone to flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Rare species are known to have habitats in the area near the beck eg Greater Crested Mews.

Representation ID: REP00778 Question Ref: H1

Use of Greenbelt instead of brown. Lack of amenities already eg shops, schools,public transport, roads. No affordable housing planned.Risk of flooding, destruction of wild life habitats.

Representation ID: REP00799 Question Ref: H1

lack of amenities eg schools, shops, parks, roads adequate for traffic, transport.Erosion of countryside,Risk of flooding, destruction of wildlife habitatNo provision of affordable housing.Big profits for developers who have links to government re advice on relaxation of planning rules

Representation ID: REP00778 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom. site ref 1199.Use of Greenbelt instead of brown. Lack of amenities already eg shops, schools,public transport, roads. No affordable housing planned.Risk of flooding, destruction of wild life habitats.

Representation ID: REP00799 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom site ref 1199lack of amenities eg schools, shops, parks, roads adequate for traffic, transport.Erosion of countryside,Risk of flooding, destruction of wildlife habitatNo provision of affordable housing.Big profits for developers who have links to government re advice on relaxation of planning rules

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Eve Townsley

Representor No: PRS01929

Representation ID: REP04110 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Lack of amenities already. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Green Lane is already dangerous. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. We have 2 young children so are concerned about this. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. This is really important for young families.

Name: Eric Yates

Representor No: PRS01930

Representation ID: REP04111 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: F Kennedy

Representor No: PRS01931

Representation ID: REP04112 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Elizabeth Charlton

Representor No: PRS01932

Representation ID: REP04113 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Bev Knaggs

Representor No: PRS01933

Representation ID: REP04114 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Roads in Cookridge are already overloaded. What will happen to station road + The bridge to cope with 200 more homes. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. And leisure site for people of Cookridge.

Name: Beryl Howden

Representor No: PRS01934

Representation ID: REP04115 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Graham Paul Howden

Representor No: PRS01935

Representation ID: REP04116 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The area is over developed for the facilities in LS16 and LS18. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Increase in traffic - especially up hill from bottom of Cookridge Drive - possible 'emergency' access is not the answer. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. Additionally problems at Horsforth station/Harrogate rail line is already over capacity. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Run off from the roads etc will increase flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michael Lindsey Porter

Representor No: PRS01936

Representation ID: REP04117 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Already problems with residential parking on the roads and even grass verges - not going to get to get easier. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Will potential residents be appraised of the problems or will they just take their money! Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: James Rotheram

Representor No: PRS01949

Representation ID: REP04133 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge regard itself as a village community. The infrastructure is not designed to handle more. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Green Lane, Woohill Road would suffer as a result of considerably more traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Green Lane is at school pickup times is dangerous. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I see the beck go from a quik flowing beck to a raging torrent spilling up gardens and encroaching on properties. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We have wood peckers, tree creepers in our garden and have has a kingfisher. Increase housing will drive the wildlife away.

Name: Greg Mulholland MP

Representor No: PRS01950

Representation ID: REP04219 Question Ref: H1

[Letter from Greg Mulholland MP]

Linda and Neil Brewster
130 Moseley Wood Gardens
Leeds
LS16 7JB
Our Ref: GM/DevelopmentPlan/PJH
17 July 2013

Dear Linda and Neil Brewster

I am writing to you in relation to the Local Development Framework (LDF) which Leeds City Council is currently consulting on. The LDF designates the areas within the Leeds Metropolitan area that are suitable for development. This is extremely important as Council has initially designated Moseley Wood Bottom as a development site for 200 new homes.

You can view the proposals and make comments on the consultation here:

<http://www.leeds.gov.uk/council/Pages/Site-Allocations-Plan-%E2%80%93-Issues-and-Options.aspx>.
The consultation closes on the 29 July 2013.

I am opposed to development of greenbelt sites and I am also opposed to greenfield development until all other options are properly considered. As I have said on many occasions Leeds City Council should be prioritising the numerous brownfield sites across the City area as well as bringing back into use empty properties.

I have spoken a number of times in Parliament on the issue of greenbelt development. Many of my comments can be found on my website. The most recent comments can be found here
<http://gregmulholland.org/en/article/2013/694886/mulholland-criticises-green-belt-threat>.

I am currently in the process of drafting my submission to the Council in relation to their plans and can confirm that I will be objecting the proposals set out for Moseley Wood Bottom.

I would urge you and your neighbours to get involved in this consultation as it will affect development in the city over the next 15 years. It is clear that we need more affordable housing in the city but this must be built in the right way and with the right infrastructure in place to make it sustainable. The consultation closes on the 29 July.

Representation ID: REP04135 Question Ref: H1

1199 -

Moseley Wood Gardens (Ref:1199) - I do not believe that this site should be developed. Part of this site falls in the Green Belt and should therefore not be developed. In addition the number of houses being considered would have a hugely detrimental affect on the local community and infrastructure.

Representation ID: REP04135 Question Ref: H1

1199 -

Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Coolridge, LS16 (Ref:1199) - I do not believe that this site should be developed. Development on this site would have too negative an impact on local infrastructure.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: June Rotheram

Representor No: PRS01951

Representation ID: REP04134 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Population not growing as expected already empty properties. Develop brown sites of which there are many in & around Leeds. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Inadequate road infrastructure to cope with additional housing. Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roads. Potential public transport issues. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. All local schools already full to capacity medical service full. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Already flooding every year. Additional run of from development will make this worse. Affects surrounding houses already and also railway, trains delayed now. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Wildlife flora and fauna needs to be protected. Kingfisher, water voles&bats already there this land is the "lungs of Leeds. We need these green spaces.

Name: Carole & Dave Frankland

Representor No: PRS01952

Representation ID: REP04136 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Its time people took notice. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. We have problems now getting out of the drive. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. My children couldn't get in to this school. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. It affects us. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Don't spoil it.

Name: Katie Willis

Representor No: PRS01954

Representation ID: REP04137 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Agree. Need better road access if this to happen especially towards Kirkstall. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Unsure. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Name: Georgina Jakubiak

Representor No: PRS01955

Representation ID: REP04138 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge is already too busy, too much traffic. The road cannot cope with more cars. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. During rush hour the roads are already jammed, no one will be able to get to and from work. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools are already very full! Why have these points not been taken into consideration. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We live here to be on the edge of a city where there is open space and wildlife, why not build further into the city.

Name: P Reffin

Representor No: PRS01959

Representation ID: REP06834 Question Ref: H1

GREEN TO RED

Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Schools full/area prone to flooding/ Roads in poor state/ More Traffic in area/ Transport?

Strongly agree increase in local traffic will be a problem. More side street parking by rail users. Damage to poor state roads by more traffic.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Representation ID: REP07747 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199

The schools are full and oversubscribed. Hard to get a doctor's appointment now. Will cause more flooding to railway line. Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Tinshill Road and Green Lane are very busy now.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Primary schools are over subscribed.

The area, including Moseley Beck, is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. The railway line gets flooded now. It will cause disruption to rail travellers.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. I go blackberrying every year. Grandchildren love going there.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: A Cumming

Representor No: PRS01960

Representation ID: REP04147 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There seems to be plenty of empty houses/council and private/ no room in schools for the people in Cookridge now. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. All roads in Cookridge are narrow and full of parked cars. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Already have to wait 2 weeks to get an appointment with a doctors. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Floods as far down as Haigh Wood Road. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Heather Holden

Representor No: PRS01962

Representation ID: REP07295 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199 – Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Cookridge, for the following reasons:

Access to site and highway issues:

§ Access to the proposed new development will be via Moseley Wood Rise which is at the furthest extreme of the Moseley Wood estate. Given that currently, people tend to take the shortest route through the estate (i.e. Moseley Wood Walk/Moseley Wood Drive/Moseley Wood Grove/Moseley Wood Bank; Cookridge Drive/Moseley Wood Avenue) to get to their destination, it follows that this will continue to happen, albeit with 200, 300, 400 more cars using these routes. These “Rat runs” will present a very real danger to the people of the Moseley Woods, not least the children making their way to and from school or playing out.

§ The above mentioned roads are already extremely difficult to navigate with cars parked on the roadside. Additional volume of traffic will merely add to the danger.

§ The addition of potentially 400 cars added to this area will cause significant congestion issues to the wider road network in the area. The A660 and A65 are already some of the most congested arterial routes in and out of the city centre. The Dyneley Arms junction is extremely busy for people commuting to Otley and Harrogate. If you are travelling to Bradford, Horsforth Roundabout is chaos at rush hour. More locally, Woodhill Road/Tinshill Road into Horsforth is extremely busy, and not just at peak times. Horsforth Railway Station is not big enough to cope with demand now, (with car parking almost impossible unless you get there before 7am) in summary, the local road network is already at capacity. More cars will just exacerbate the problems.

§ Many of the road surfaces in and around Cookridge (and further afield) are in a pretty poor state of repair following years of patching up “on the cheap” or over laying with slurry seal. It doesn’t take much more than a winter of snow and ice (Freeze/thaw) and then a wet spring, before these repairs are back to the state they were before – i.e. very poor. If you then add a further 400 cars, this will only make the situation worse.

§ Following on from the previous point, Cookridge by nature of its geographical location as one of the highest parts of Leeds tends to suffer quite badly when there is snowfall in the winter months. Moseley Wood Gardens has a steep hill at the Northern end and a hill with a sharp bend at the Southern end, which makes for a very difficult time getting in and out of during snowy and icy weather. This very often leads to cars being abandoned, which creates a very dangerous situation for drivers and pedestrians alike. The only other ways out of Moseley Wood Gardens is Moseley Wood Bank (very steep) or Moseley Wood Grove. If you manage to negotiate either of these then you still have to get out of Moseley Wood Drive which has a steep hill and a sharp bend at the Northern end and a steep hill at the Southern end. All of this is before you even get onto Green Lane/Woodhill Road.

Public transport:

§ Cookridge is currently served by one bus service, the Nr 6. It is understood that should the trolley bus scheme be implemented this could be withdrawn, and a service to the start of the trolley bus service at Weetwood would operate from Holt Park. Many of the people who use the Nr 6 service are elderly. How would they, along with hundreds of new residents at Moseley Wood Bottom cope with having to get to Holt Park to use the bus service?

§ As mentioned previously Horsforth Railway Station is the nearest access to the train network. At peak times it is almost impossible to get on a train, and should you manage to do so, the likelihood is you would have to stand.

§ Parking at the station is woefully inadequate considering the large number of commuters that use that line during the week, leading to roadside parking in the vicinity of the station which exacerbates the traffic congestion getting in and out of Horsforth.

§ If hundreds more residents from a potential development at Moseley Wood Bottom are using the station, the problem of congestion on the trains will only worsen and have a knock-on effect to Headingley and Burley Park Stations further down the line.

Environmental impact:

§ The underlying ground conditions in the Moseley Woods are a stiff clay soil which will not drain easily. Consequently the surface run off from the estate will follow gravity to the lowest point which is the fields at Moseley Wood Bottom. This results in the fields, particularly the one at the end of Moseley Wood Rise being very boggy all the time. The field acts like a big sponge as it is the only place that can hold the water. Any proposed new development would mean that these fields would need to be dewatered and controlled. Where is this water going to go? Into the beck, thus pushing the problem further downstream towards the Woodhill Road area, then the railway station and Horsforth Woodside? Can these areas cope with the additional volume and flow of water?

§ Any proposed development would drastically increase the hardstanding in this area, with tarmac/paving to roads, footpaths and driveways. This in turn will reduce the capacity of the fields to store surface run off and therefore creating the same problem highlighted above, namely pushing the flows further downstream.

§ In addition to the above, in storm conditions, Moseley Wood Gardens can sometimes resemble a river, with huge volumes of water heading rapidly in the direction of Moseley Wood Rise and ultimately the fields. (We have some spectacular video footage of this!) This would inevitably create a significant flood risk to any properties built in this field.

§ It has been demonstrated by figures provided by Network Rail, that flooding is already a problem for the railway that runs along the bottom of the proposed development site. The issues highlighted above will lead to more instances of flash flooding (as the water can get there

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Heather Holden

Representor No: PRS01962

quicker over tarmac than it can through the soil) This in turn will lead to delays and cancellations of the train services thus making the problems already faced by Horsforth Railway Station even worse.

§ From an ecological point of view, the fields at Moseley Wood Bottom provide a habitat for a rich and diverse population of wildlife. There have been sightings of deer, foxes, shrews, voles, rabbits, badgers, kingfishers, herons to name but a few. It is simply not acceptable to destroy this habitat for wildlife when there are so many brownfield sites devoid of such flora & fauna across the city.

§ Sims Pond, across the railway line is a known breeding habitat for Great Crested Newts, which are an endangered species. It is common for Great Crested Newts to migrate up to 500m from their breeding pond, which would cover the majority of the site for the proposed development. The railway will not provide a barrier to this migration, but will in fact provide shelter and promote migration as the newts like to get into the ballast of the track. In addition to this pond, there is also a pond to the North West of the site on the same side of the railway. It is highly likely that this pond will also be a habitat for Great Crested Newts, creating another circle of migration of up to 500m. The mitigation measures required to comply with legislation will cost many thousands of pounds and many, many months, maybe even years of delay to any construction activities on this site.

Schools:

§ Adding another 200 households with a possible 2 children per household would potentially add a further 400 children into the local area; the two main primary schools in the immediate area (Holy Trinity and Cookridge Primary) are already over-subscribed. The only other schools in nearby are Ireland Wood, Holy Name and Iveson. If pupils from Cookridge are sent to these schools, this would lead to potential longer journeys to school, potential increased car usage adding to congestion, more children having to traverse busy roads to get to school, increasing the danger.

§ Within the nearer local area there is only one secondary school (Ralph Thoresby), now that Horsforth School have excluded applications from anywhere outside the LS18 postal code area.

§ The current education system is struggling to cope with the demand for places now. If, as has been indicated, there is no provision for further investment in schools within Leeds, then where are these additional children going to be educated?

Local Amenities:

§ The main local amenities within Cookridge itself are a Tesco Express and Post Office on Green Lane.

§ The location of these two amenities lies at a T-junction, (Tesco on one side, and Post Office on the other) opposite Holy Trinity School. This creates its own problems now with parking on Kirkwood Drive and Green Lane causing congestion and disruption to local residents most of the time. More concerning however are the safety issues surrounding the school, which I consider to be a very real and present danger now. Add the potential 400 more cars from the proposed development, and it is only a matter of time until there is a serious accident.

§ Both these amenities are well used and as result, often busy. They are not large enough to cope with the additional custom that 200+ more houses will bring.

§ Cookridge itself does not have its own Doctors Surgery, or Dentist. The nearest Doctors Surgeries are Holt Park and as a patient at one of these surgeries myself I am only too aware of how difficult it can be to get an appointment.

§ Having recently attended a drop in session organised by the company looking to develop this proposed site, one of their recurring themes was how the new housing would benefit the local community. There is no evidence whatsoever that this will be the case. There is however a breadth of evidence outlined here, that the proposed development will only be detrimental to the area.

Population growth/increase in population:

§ There appears to be some ambiguities in the projected figures for population growth within the city of Leeds, indicating that the population is not growing at the rate it is expected to. This casts doubt on the accuracy of the number of houses that may need to be provided as outlined in the Site Allocation Plans. The site in question at Moseley Wood Bottom (200 houses) is very small relative to the supposed number of houses required to be built in the city as a whole. Why then, is it considered to be beneficial to utilise this site, when to do so will only be adding to the pressures on local amenities and infrastructure? In the overall scheme of things the hole made in the housing requirement target by developing Site 1199, will be a insignificant, especially when you consider the amount of brownfield space already available within the city which, if developed, would add benefit to local communities.

Over-development of the area:

§ Throughout Leeds there are so many disused sites where old buildings can be renovated, demolished and the sites rebuilt on or indeed houses that are currently abandoned and closed up that could be refurbished to create the housing that is required according to the Plans

§ These disused sites will already have suitable access, infrastructure and utilities access and the abandoned/closed up houses will cost so much less to renovate than complete new builds. If affordable housing is what is needed why not use these houses?

§ It is difficult as a resident of the City of Leeds to understand why the Council are not investigating these avenues when they have clearly been given the rights by Government to do so.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Heather Holden

Representor No: PRS01962

Representation ID: REP07295 Question Ref: H7

Site Ref Nos 1199; 1151; 3044; 3360

In response to the Site Allocations Public Consultation currently being undertaken by Leeds City

Council in respect of potential housing sites in the North Leeds area, I wish to object to the Council's designation of site 1199 which is currently coded green and support the Council's designation of sites 1151, 3044 and 3060 which are currently coded red.

It is my view that Leeds City Council should prioritise building on Brownfield sites throughout the city first, before any consideration is given to any of the green field sites. For the purposes of my comments I have used the questions as set out on the Council's website, and respond as follows:

Name: Susan Davis

Representor No: PRS01963

Representation ID: REP04149 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the additional traffic this would create. This would be a particular problem in the winter as roads are rarely gritted and this makes it difficult to travel on the local roads in the bad weather. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cookridge already has to deal with commuters from outside areas. Who use cookridge as a through route - this would only make matters worse. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. School places are already an issue as are dentists and this development would have a serious impact. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This development would also cause flooding to the railway line. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. The wildlife habitat would be destroyed by such a development.

Representation ID: REP00786 Question Ref: H1

only brownfield sites should be identified as 'green'; until they have all been built upon. following that current green belt and PAS sites may be considered to be 'green';

Representation ID: REP00786 Question Ref: H5

1199 This is currently productive farmland and should not be used for housing.

Representation ID: REP00786 Question Ref: H9

site 1199 Access to site will be via Moseley Wood Rise, Moseley Wood Gardens and not suitable for the substantial amount of extra traffic which would be generated by this development. The traffic on Woodhill Rise, Horsforth Bridge, Station Road is already extremely busy at peak times with people travelling to and from work and schools and this development would add substantial amounts of extra traffic in these areas. The traffic problems would extend further onto Otley Old Road down to Lawnswood roundabout and the heavily congested A660. Commuters from Otley, Yeadon and other outlying areas use Cookridge as a through route and a new development will only add to the volume of traffic. The increase in traffic will add to the chaos in the winter months, when Council grit wagons cannot access Cookridge. Cars are often abandoned at the side of the road and this development would add to the chaos. Also, in periods of ice and heavy snow fall, buses do not access Cookridge so therefore travel in and out of Cookridge is heavily curtailed. Parking around the Primary School, Tesco Local and Post Office is extremely problematic already and this development would only add to that. There is no provision for schooling for extra children and school places are already an issue. The only opportunity to extend the local school (if the Council can provide the money!) would be onto its playing fields. The train service on the Leeds-Harrogate line is already inadequate. On-street parking around the station area is already a problem because the station car park is not fit for purpose. The site has numerous springs running from it which flow through our garden as the land behind us is higher than our garden. In periods of heavy rain fall we already have a flooded garden and this will be made worse by the area being built on. With the hard surfaces on the site there would be certainly more run-off to Moseley Beck which has in the past flooded the railway line between Bramhope Tunnel and Horsforth Station. This is currently productive farmland and should not be used for housing. The State of Nature Report on Britain's natural environment states that many species are in decline (66% considerably) and this development would only add to this situation, as this area is a haven for wildlife. Doctors' services in the area are already overstretched (with a 1 week wait for an appointment) so this development would make matters even worse.

Representation ID: REP00786 Question Ref: H2

site 1199 Access to site will be via Moseley Wood Rise, Moseley Wood Gardens and not suitable for the substantial amount of extra traffic which would be generated by this development. The traffic on Woodhill Rise, Horsforth Bridge, Station Road is already extremely busy at peak times with people travelling to and from work and schools and this development would add substantial amounts of extra traffic in these areas. The traffic problems would extend further onto Otley Old Road down to Lawnswood roundabout and the heavily congested A660. Commuters from Otley, Yeadon and other outlying areas use Cookridge as a through route and a new development will only add to the volume of traffic. The increase in traffic will add to the chaos in the winter months, when Council grit wagons cannot access Cookridge. Cars are often abandoned at the side of the road and this development would add to the chaos. Also, in periods of ice and heavy snow fall, buses do not access Cookridge so therefore travel in and out of Cookridge is heavily curtailed. Parking around the Primary School, Tesco Local and Post Office is extremely problematic already and this development would only add to that. There is no provision for schooling for extra children and school places are already an issue. The only opportunity to extend the local school (if the Council can provide the money!) would be onto its playing fields. The train service on the Leeds-Harrogate line is already inadequate. On-street parking around the station area is already a problem because the station car park is not fit for purpose.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Donald Clegg

Representor No: PRS01964

Representation ID: REP04150 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There are plenty off brown sites to build on without using up green belt land. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. With a potential 200 houses cookridge wont cope with an extra possible 400 cars when it snow all those cars will be left everywhere. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Its hard enough getting an appointment at doctors or dentists now + schools are already full. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Even now in hot weather the beck is boggy so all those houses will make it worse also the railway company are very concerned about water flooding the tracks. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Any wildlife living there will obviously be destroyed by any development.

Name: Lesley Clegg

Representor No: PRS01966

Representation ID: REP04151 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. We do not need any more housing development in our area, we moved here 4 years ago because of the peace and quiet.this will make a big change to a lovely area. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. It will be far too dangerous for children and older people + the noise. The nice walks in Cookridge will not be a pleasure. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Some people in this area, have ilved here for many years they should not have to wait for appointments (which will happen). The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. At the moment, even in the summer, the beck is quite soggy, so this will make this even worse. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. There are many different kinds of wildlifes on these fields, some of them ou don't see often. If the building start it will drive them away.

Name: J Pawsey

Representor No: PRS01969

Representation ID: REP04260 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP04159 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP04164 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Representation ID: REP04260 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jean Grant

Representor No: PRS01975

Representation ID: REP04168 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Already a built up area with plenty of houses. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cars are already double parked making access difficult for emergency services. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Waiting lists for schools, difficult in getting a doctors appointment. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. More concrete will cause floodign, also the railway line may flood. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Housing will spoil the country feel to the area.

Representation ID: REP00560 Question Ref: H1

Highways can not take anymore traffic.Road infastructure can not cope with additional housing.Potential flooding - the area already floods.Spoiling the visual aspect of the area.Medical services access - area already has many cars which are double parked.School places - there are already waiting lists.Concern over type of housing.Site access will be very problematic.

Representation ID: REP00560 Question Ref: H5

Site ref 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardensreasons as above

Representation ID: REP00560 Question Ref: H6

Site ref 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardensreason as above

Representation ID: REP00560 Question Ref: H9

Site ref 1199 Land off Moseley Wood GardensReasons as above

Representation ID: REP00560 Question Ref: General comment

I would like to object to the council's designation of the site ref 1199 land off Moseley Wood Gardens.I would suggest that this site is put back as PAS site.

Representation ID: REP00560 Question Ref: H2

Site reference 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens.Highways can not take anymore traffic.Road infastructure can not cope with additional housing.Potential flooding - the area already floods.Spoiling the visual aspect of the area.Medical services access - area already has many cars Site access will be very problematic many cars with are double parked.School places - there is already a waiting list.Concern over type of housing.

Name: Ben Malin

Representor No: PRS01976

Representation ID: REP04170 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There is already too much traffic congestion & parking problems. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Bottlenecks already e.g. Wood Hill Road exit. Dangerous junction. Dengerous at top of Coocridge drive too. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Already hard to get doctor's appointment and to find a dentist. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I used to play there, it is very very wet even in dry summers. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Listen to the birds.

Name: Tony Morris

Representor No: PRS01977

Representation ID: REP04169 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly AgreeThere are 133 Brownfield sites in Leeds with space for up to 20,000 homes also 14,000 empty homes 5,000 of which have been empty for 6 months or more. There is no need to destroy Green space. 200 new homes will devalue existing housing, stretch resources and add to traffic burden. I wonder why Tayla Wimpey are in a position to by two houses at the end of Cookridge Drive if they only intend to provide a cycle and foot path. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree 200 new homes will mean between 200 & 600 extra vehivles all attempting to access Green Lane and Otley Old Road, via Mosely Wood Rise, which is not adequate. The road surface is already crumbling. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree Doctors surgeries are already packed and NHS dental services few and far between. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree It is foolish to build on ar adjacent to land which is known to flood. Residents of the new houses would have difficulty obtaining insurance without a government subsidy and any emergency access is down Mosely Wood Rise. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree The area is rich in wildlife and is the last remaining part of Moseley Wood which has been devastated by development.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Claire Bates

Representor No: PRS01982

Representation ID: REP04175 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree Cookridge is already densely populated. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree Local roads are already busy - More development can only cause more congestion. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree Schools are oversubscribed and Doctors have long waiting lists. The new development does not provide any new amenities. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree Local residents report flooding on the site. Development will make the situation worse. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree.

Name: Sandra Morgan

Representor No: PRS01983

Representation ID: REP04179 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Over subscribed schools local children already being schooled out of area. Hospitals with no capacity. Are services already seeing 50,000 more patients a year than is designed to do. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads already in poor state of repair and traffic is a problem. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. A am currently having dental treatment n wales, there are often no beds in our hospitals to comit people to - nursing patient on corridors will onl get worse. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Furthr drainage into the beck will increase the frequency of flooding to the train line. Which already is prone to flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Bats, red kites, frequent visitors and inhabitants, reducing their feeding sites would move them from our area and possbly effect their numbers.

Name: Tim Bates

Representor No: PRS01985

Representation ID: REP04183 Question Ref: H1

RepIDComRefComInComSiteLinkComRefAssumedComRefPapText24

REP04180H1Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly AgreeThe local area is already densely populated. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree The local roads are already extremely busy and congested. 200 houses will clearly bring a large amount of additional traffic to the area. The local area will only suffer as a result. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree The local schools are already oversubscribed. The proposed plans bring no new amenities - This will just make an already difficult situation far worse. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree The area already struggles with flooding. Makes no sense to build on such an area when other sites without this problem exist.. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree Local residents in this area verify the abundance of wildlife.86287

Name: Sharon Brown

Representor No: PRS01987

Representation ID: REP04184 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. I support The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Its difficult enough to get an appointment within 2 weeks now. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Kerry Forde

Representor No: PRS01988

Representation ID: REP04187 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Too much traffic with small roads. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads cannot cope withmore traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moreley Wood area is a bog. So building on it will cause more flooding on train line. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Deidre Jennings

Representor No: PRS01989

Representation ID: REP04185 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree Cookridge village does not have the infrastructure to support such a huge development. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree The traffic joining Otley Old Road is very heavy on a morning. More traffic control would be necessary thereby removing the village of Cookridge. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree Both local schools are over subscribed. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree at a time when children need to experience nature to protect the future surely building in this area is unacceptable.

Name: Eric Paul Davison

Representor No: PRS01990

Representation ID: REP04189 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The current infrastructure cannot support any more housing in cookridge. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Horsforth bridge, only access to get to horsfort, will get grind locked with extra traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Only recently phoned for a doctors appointment I could not get an appointment till the following week. More patients will only make this worse. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Water will have only one way to go downhill onto the railway lines. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Ret Kites, badgers, have all been seen in this area - a natural habitat.

Representation ID: REP00069 Question Ref: H5

Site ref 1199, 1151, 3044, 3360Access, drainage, schools, public transport, excess traffic, weather conditions i.e. snow Cookridge gets cut off in winter, damage to wildlife and countryside, length of time give for completion of build, noise pollution, lack of amenities, more pressure on medical and dental facilities, lack of recreational areas.

Representation ID: REP00069 Question Ref: H8

Site ref 1199, 1151, 3044, 3360Access, drainage, schools, public transport, excess traffic, weather conditions i.e. snow Cookridge gets cut off in winter, damage to wildlife and countryside, length of time give for completion of build, noise pollution, lack of amenities, more pressure on medical and dental facilities, lack of recreational areas.

Representation ID: REP00069 Question Ref: H9

Yes. Site ref 1199Access, drainage, schools, public transport, excess traffic, weather conditions i.e. snow Cookridge gets cut off in winter, damage to wildlife and countryside, length of time give for completion of build, noise pollution, lack of amenities, more pressure on medical and dental facilities, lack of recreational areas.

Representation ID: REP00069 Question Ref: H2

Site ref 1199Access, drainage, schools, public transport, excess traffic, weather conditions i.e. snow Cookridge gets cut off in winter, damage to wildlife and countryside, length of time give for completion of build, noise pollution, lack of amenities, more pressure on medical and dental facilities, lack of recreational areas.

Name: Michael Marriott

Representor No: PRS01994

Representation ID: REP04191 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree The attraction to purchase my house was the open fields at the back of my house and the tranquility. Not a housing estate of which would be unappealing for re-sale. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree Moseley Wood Garden is a difficult road to drive on at times, weaving around parked cars, extra cars would make it the M25 of Cookridge. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree As my wife is a member of staff at Holy Trinity school it is clear that the school is already oversubscribed & on the rare time I need to see a doctor I have to wait a week. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree A lot of people have had to put drainage in their gardens myself included, this is a major worry. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree There is deer in the woods and you only have to drive on Moseley Wood Gardens to see foxes due to lackof natural woodland.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Yvonne Cooper

Representor No: PRS01996

Representation ID: REP04198 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There is not enough schools, doctors shops, etc. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. We bought our home because it is not on a rat run, a quiet wide road, we paid extra at the time for this position. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. And there is no room to build these. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. It has been seen by a friend who moved from a house in this area because of it. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We take a way more greenfields we lose what is basically what cookridge is about. This proposal is just big money taking for the builders& Leeds corporation, there are so many site around Leeds that could be re-built on without building on the greenfields.

Name: Michael Miller

Representor No: PRS02002

Representation ID: REP04200 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree It is known that the council is short of money, but allowing building on an appropriate site will affect generations of people North Leeds does not have the roads to cope with more cars or the facilities for extra residents. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree We already have to queue to get in or out of Cookridge at peak times Estimated 400 extra cars will exacerbate the problem. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree We don't believe the council has planned ahead for an estimated 400 extra children in schools, as well as the need for other health related facilities. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree As well as being dangerous, we feel that buyers will be put off houses in an area prone to flooding. Will be left with unoccupied houses.. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree In 25 years we have seen all kinds of wildlife including deer, foxed and newts.

Representation ID: REP01380 Question Ref: H5

Moseley bottom - adjacent to Moseley Wood gardens - site 1199 There is ample land available for housing without the need to build on Greenfield. The greenfield site proposed for housing has never been built on before and will be destroyed permanently by the proposal. It is also adjacent to a wood that has been untouched for centuries. This will also be affected by the proposals as will the local wildlife Additional housing will also increase congestion in the local area

Representation ID: REP01380 Question Ref: H2

Moseley bottom - adjacent to Moseley Wood gardens - site 1199 The greenfield site proposed for housing has never been built on before and will be destroyed permanently by the proposal. It is also adjacent to a wood that has been untouched for centuries. This will also be affected by the proposals as will the local wildlife

Name: Stephen Wigglesworth

Representor No: PRS02006

Representation ID: REP04199 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge as an area cannot be developed onno infrastructure to support houses not required in green belt, build in brown belt. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cookridge has poor access 3 main roads almost impossible to commute, snow bound in winter. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. the area has no such services. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I have walked to route and seen the winter conditions in the area, have read the network rail report. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Unspoilt area geenbelt noticeable bat and wildlife habitats.

Name: Jane Rushworth

Representor No: PRS02008

Representation ID: REP04205 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree One of the reasons the majority of people chose to live in cookridge is because of the green belt and the fact that we have all this green space on our doorstep. This development will change this. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree Parking around schools/shops is already a massive issue. An additional 300 plus cars will only make this situation worse. There are only two routes out of Cookridge towards the city centre which cannot take this additional pressure. The alternative would be the train but the service cannot cope with the existing demand. with many people not being able to get on the train at Horsforth. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree The local schools are under pressure to accommodate the existing children. Our local doctor is increasingly busy making the wait for appointments too long. Further housed will only make this worse. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree A number of Cookridge residents have already at personal expense had to have some type of drainage installed in their garden. More building will only make the problem worse. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree Same reasons as question 1.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Anthony Waites

Representor No: PRS02010

Representation ID: REP04209 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree the infrastructure cannot withstand more people. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree especially around schools. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree it is already difficult to get a doctors appointment. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Unsure.

Name: J Scrace

Representor No: PRS02011

Representation ID: REP04210 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree not enough facilities etc i.e schools. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree Will effect people getting to work. Nightmare in bad weather conditions. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Records show that schools are at maximum level already. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree Net Work Rail concerned of the effects. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree Our wildlife need their homes too.

Name: Petere Simpson

Representor No: PRS02012

Representation ID: REP04211 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree We need to keep our countryside intact. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree Our roads are overcrowded as it is and this will be unfair on local residents. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree Too many schools are already overcrowded and health services etc are stretched. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Agree with the introduction of more houses this beck will be prone to damning. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree we need to look after our wildlife not drive them out.

Name: Joan Dougill

Representor No: PRS02013

Representation ID: REP04212 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree There is insufficient infrastructure. Traffic levels are already high. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree New houses will be a long way from public transport. Traffic will therefor increase. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree Schools are already oversubscribed. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree Flooding is already an issue for the land, Moseley Wood Gardens and the railway. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree The local ecology will be destroyed.

Name: Nicola Bennett

Representor No: PRS02014

Representation ID: REP04213 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree Streets in proposed building area are too narrow and congested already. Concerns re safety/children crossing roads etc. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree More traffic/more congestion/problems caused to roads/environmental pollution. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree Services oversubscribed currently - how can more needs be sustained . The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree.

Name: Chris Bennett

Representor No: PRS02015

Representation ID: REP04214 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree Safety of children in already narrow and crowded streets. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree Children playing. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree Local schools are already oversubscribed. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree Urbanising the flood plain will lead to increased risk and size of flooding. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree protecting green area and wildland was a government priority for a reason.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: K Riley

Representor No: PRS02016

Representation ID: REP04215 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly Agree Too much traffic, rat runs & noise may be accidents due to more cars. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Agree We in cookridge live here because it is a quiet residential area and horse riding here is very popular. Local schools and services such as Dentists & Health Centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly Agree The local school is full at present. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding More build will affect this Strongly Agree The land will certainly be more prone to flooding with more houses on fields. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree Many animals (deer, foxes and Herons) frequent the area.

Name: Pat Jennings

Representor No: PRS02017

Representation ID: REP04216 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments strongly agree Area cannot cope with more traffic etc. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree it is too busy at present especially at school time. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree It can take up to three days to get a doctors appointment now. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Cookrodge just about copes with heavy rainfall at present. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree Yet again wildlife is at threat. Developers only interest is profits.

Name: Roy Dougill

Representor No: PRS02018

Representation ID: REP04217 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments strongly agree There are other Brownfield sites in Leeds. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Entry & Exit by car is already difficult in rush hour. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Schools are already oversubscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Flooding takes place on the land and the railway. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree the ecology and wildlife will be lost.

Name: Garry Jacques

Representor No: PRS02021

Representation ID: REP04218 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments strongly agree Why build on green field site when there are plenty of brownfield sites in leeds. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Rural areas do not have the road network to cope with large developments . Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Increase in house unnecessarily will create a domino effect of more building to accommodate increase in population. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree There are already too many houses on flood plane why increase the problem and add more strain to the emergency services. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree Our wildlife habitat is important to all and our well being, so why concrete over it.

Name: C Anderson

Representor No: PRS02024

Representation ID: REP04224 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The roads are full. Pavements are used as car parks. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. You will have rat runs and the roads will be hab to get through. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Where will they go for the above. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. They have said water will be pumped from ponds, problems passed down the line. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Leave it a lone.

Name: Geoffrey Scott

Representor No: PRS02025

Representation ID: REP04226 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. No infrastructure to support more Houses. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. I cannot believe that lorries will try and get down some loval streets which are sometimes difficult by car. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Have seen the effects of floodings (2006). Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. There are gadgers, toads, newts, deer present.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: H.e.b Ball

Representor No: PRS02026

Representation ID: REP04227 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Road used as a rat runs now i.e. Cookridge Avenue. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. Existing health centres already over committed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Name: Kath Field

Representor No: PRS02027

Representation ID: REP04225 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments strongly agree Cookridge is already short of school places and the roads are generally in a poor state of repair. I disagree with eating into greenfield sites and very unfair on affected residents who moved into their properties with no expectation that such development could be undertaken. Could even devalue some properties and make them harder to sell. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree The council cant or wont keep the roads in a good state of repair and this scheme would be more detrimental. Unfair on residents directly affected. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree This is even more of a problem now that Horsforth School is giving priority to LS18 postcodes. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Could lead to subsidence for nearby properties - a very expensive and uninsurable problem. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree The contractors should be looking to build on brownfield sites elsewhere and the council should not allow the destruction of wildlife habitats
There are so many strong arguments against this development that one is left wondering whether 'backhanders' have been, or will be taking place. Leeds has some excellent elected councillors (including Barry Anderson who works tirelessly) but I would not trust the paid 'mini civil servants' who run our council - They are competent at best and need a wake up call! They should be serving our interests - not those of Wimpey Taylor

Name: A Barraclough

Representor No: PRS02028

Representation ID: REP04228 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: D B Booth

Representor No: PRS02029

Representation ID: REP04229 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I agree with every this you say.

Name: Ray Anderson

Representor No: PRS02030

Representation ID: REP04230 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: John Grice

Representor No: PRS02033

Representation ID: REP04234 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The proposed housing is destroying what is at present and wildlife amenity of this area. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Tinsill surgery is now at full capacity. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This area has been flooded previously. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Too important to destroy.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Duncan Jacques

Representor No: PRS02034

Representation ID: REP04235 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Ena Fozzard

Representor No: PRS02035

Representation ID: REP04236 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Christine Stodolny

Representor No: PRS02037

Representation ID: REP04238 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The only way out of the new estate will be a small narrow road it will be impossible for 200 - 400 cars to use it at rush hour. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. I have just moved to the area and the flooding in that area was picked up on the survey. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Trudi Hannaford

Representor No: PRS02038

Representation ID: REP04239 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Insufficient infrastructure . The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Christopher Neil Miller

Representor No: PRS02039

Representation ID: REP04240 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There are plenty of brown field sites in the Leeds City Council area that should be developed before using the greenbelt sites. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. A peaceful village will be turned into building site with all the associated vehicle increase. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Trains have been disrupted due to flooding between Horsforth station and bramhope tunnel. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Phillip T Jowett

Representor No: PRS02041

Representation ID: REP04241 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge and the Moseley Woods in particular, does not have the required infrastructure to accommodate what will be up to a further 1000 or more vehicle journeys into and out of the development per day. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Taylor Wimpey have stated that there will be only one entrance to the site i.e. Moseley Wood Rise, this will make what is already an extremely busy time (morning & evenings), please consider where all this extra traffic will go. I imagine a significant proportion will aim to park at or near to Horsforth Railway Station, this is already over sused and the surrounding roadways are very dangerous, with all the parked cars on both sides of the road. Shops in the area are in very short supply and the closest, Tesco Express on Green Lane is almost entirely devoid of parking cars. Even now this leads to people circling around the area waiting to grab a space. Parking at Asda car park is not much better – please take a look on any weekend afternoon and explain where the excess traffic created by your development will park at peak time. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. There are insufficient local school- in fact they are already significantly over subscribed (consider where the excess will travel to and indicate how you will reserve places at existing schools for children who currently live in their catchment areas. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Moseley Wood Bottom is home to some rare examples of wild birds and even the occasional deer. There would be no safe place for the wildlife if your development goes ahead. To my certain knowledge Moseley Wood Bottom has always proved to be a safe place for us to allow our children to play without the fear of traffic on the surrounding roads.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jane Jowett

Representor No: PRS02043

Representation ID: REP04243 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge cannot accommodate the extra housing, road, schools, etc will all suffer. Cookridge is already at bursting point. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Peak times will be horrendous. Local roads will become rat-runs. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools are already oversubscribed - health centres will not cope. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. There are lots of underground streams under Moseley Wood gardens, we have experienced this. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Wildlife should be protected at all costs.

Representation ID: REP06117 Question Ref: H1

Site Reference: 1199

I strongly object to the proposed housing development at the above mentioned sites.

Quite simply, Cookridge is already at bursting point. This village does not have the infrastructure, the capacity, amenities, schools or the transport to support this housing development.

Whilst there is no doubt that current housing needs need to be met, surely a development within an already saturated suburb is not the solution.

This city, as I am sure you are aware, has a number of neglected brownfield sites that are in desperate need of renovation and investment.

I have lived in Cookridge all my life, and on Moseley Wood Gardens for 26 years. My son will be 3 in October. I intend to apply for the schools in the area for September 2015. You are aware that there are two schools in the area – these are already oversubscribed. My concern, therefore, is that, despite living in the area, my son will not be able to attend the school (which I also attended when I was a child!) because the number of applications will obviously increase, and children coming into the area from another school will quickly fill the spaces. Whilst mentioning the amenities, you are aware that there is only one Tesco Express (a small convenience store!), and one Post Office. Already these are stretched to full capacity. How are these amenities expected to support the population of Cookridge if the development proceeds?

What will happen to the natural eco-system? Cookridge is a haven for wildlife. When this area is destroyed, all the natural habitats will be gone forever. All the wildlife, creatures, flowers and the wonderful countryside that makes Cookridge a beautiful place to live in will disappear, and we will never ever be able to get that back. My brothers, friends and I spent our childhood playing and exploring on the land in and around Cookridge. I fear that my child and his friends will not have the same freedom to explore, because this land is intended to be destroyed – I want my son to have the same freedom and to experience the beauty that Cookridge has.

The development means that there will be an extraordinary increase in traffic. Going back to my childhood, I have always ridden my bike in and around Cookridge, and played out on the streets without fear of a road traffic accident. Moseley Wood Gardens is a quiet street; and it should remain this way. In addition to the increased traffic, there will be increased noise, not only from the traffic itself but from the building site, and construction traffic which I am led to believe will last for around 6 years.

An increase in traffic will also mean poorer road conditions. The residents of Cookridge will already be able to tell you that the roads are not in the best condition – can you imagine what damage large construction lorries will make?

In addition, how does Taylor Wimpey expect to resolve issues surrounding transport? The bus and the train service cannot support an increase in population. Information tells me that Network Rail currently monitor the water levels of Moseley Wood Beck during times of high flows within the watercourse, to ensure the safe passage of trains from Horsforth onto Bramhope. These water levels state if trains can pass at normal speed, reduced speed or are stopped. Due to high flows at Moseley Wood Beck, there are numerous reports of train delays and flooding to the track and the station. The number of incidents will clearly increase as there will be more run-offs from the housing towards the track and station. This will also cause damage to the structure of the bridge.

Following on from the above point, Cookridge is notorious for poor drainage. Has there been much assessment on the drainage needs? Where will the water go? There will be severe drainage and flooding issues, and the land will not support the development. Cookridge is also notorious for extreme bad weather. Often when it snows, people have been forced to abandon cars, particularly on Moseley Wood Gardens. Can you imagine an extra 2000 cars being abandoned on the same roads?

In summary, the development should not proceed. There are many other areas in Leeds which would benefit from development, but Cookridge is not one of them.

Name: Nicola Jowett

Representor No: PRS02045

Representation ID: REP04247 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: C.r Brill

Representor No: PRS02047

Representation ID: REP04249 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Too many people for the present amenities, borwn field sites must be used first. Also, bad weather in winter affects car drives, 400 extra cars would make this even worse. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree.my husband needed an emergency ambulance which has to park 250 yrds away because of parked cars, additional cars will lead to chaos. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The above are already fully subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This area is always marshy but acts as a good soakaway. Flooding will be a real problem if the fields are built on. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Full of insect life, birds, aquatice pond life, hares and red kites, butts & dear. Important area for migrating birds, arriging from Russia & scandanavia . We must stop marginalising wild spaces.

Representation ID: REP06105 Question Ref: H1

I would like to object to the development of Moseley Bottom on the following grounds.
Many people will have read or heard of the recent publication "The State of British Nature" by most of the leading wildlife organisations. It paints a desperate picture of the serious decline of our flora and fauna. Overall, our native species are down by 35% including common birds such as starlings (down 82% since 1979, sparrows down 63%) butterflies, bats, hedgehogs and most of our general insect populations (so necessary in the food chain) have been adversely affected. 13% of our native species will probably be extinct by the end of this century.
Moseley Bottom is a wonderful wildlife habitat. It is quite large and part of a green corridor leading into the city of Leeds and, therefore, not an isolated island with limited food etc. It offers woodland, grass grazed by sheep and wetland areas towards the bottom with a large pond where you will find a feast of aquatic plants and animals and, very often, herons and Mallard ducks.
Bird life is prolific in this area. The following are just some of the more unusual birds I and my neighbours have seen:
Red Polls, Waxwings, Siskins, Nut Hatches, Gold Finches, Fieldfares, Woodpeckers, Tawny Owls, Tree Creepers, Sparrow Hawks, a Woodcock and once, a heartstopping moment when a Red Kite touched down in my back garden! Foxes leave their den (which has probably been there for 50 years or more) to bring their cubs to learn the "Fast Food" circuit, hares box in the Spring and deer are even seen on occasions.
Another cause for concern is the line of old oak trees that grow in the gardens of those of us whose homes back onto this field. Each oak will carry at least 150 types of insect so necessary as a food source. Do they have tree preservation orders to keep them safe? I hope so, as bats can be seen hunting down the line of them at dusk in Summer.
It would be nothing less than urban vandalism to destroy this valuable wildlife habitat. The Planning Committee has the power to prevent this destruction. The constant marginalisation of our wild places must stop, and soon. Remember that what affects our fellow creatures will ultimately affect ourselves!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: C.r Brill

Representor No: PRS02047

Representation ID: REP06169 Question Ref: H1

I would like to object to the Council's designation of the above site for the following reasons:-

(1) I understand that most Doctors' lists in the area could not absorb another 400 people plus children.

(2) The local schools are again running at full capacity.

(3) The impact on local roads will be unacceptable, especially at peak times. They are simply not made to take on all this extra traffic.

(4) At present, these fields are grazed by sheep owned by a local framer. He will now have to find other pastures which are rapidly dwindling.

(5) There appears to be no appropriate infrastructure and no needs assessment carried out by Leeds City Council. This should be done sooner rather than later. Cookridge is a village and is just not big enough to absorb all these extra people. This urban sprawl will encroach, yet again, into our green areas thus marginalising our green areas.

(6) Last, but not least, is the appalling impact this development will have on this valuable wildlife site. Many people will have read or heard of the recent publication "The State of Nature" in the United Kingdom, by all of the leading wildlife organisations in this country. It paints a desperate picture of the serious decline in our flora and fauna. Overall, our native species are down by 35% including such common birds as starlings (down 82% since 1979), sparrows (down 63%), butterflies, bats, hedgehogs and most of our insect populations (so necessary in the food chain) have all been adversely affected. 13% OF OUR NATIVE SPECIES ARE EXPECTED TO BE EXTINCT BY THE END OF THIS CENTURY.

Moseley Bottom is a wonderful wildlife habitat. It is large and part of a green corridor leading into the city of Leeds and, therefore, not an isolated island with limited foodstocks etc. It offers woodland, grass grazed by sheep and wetland areas towards the bottom with a large pond where you will find a feast of aquatic plants and animals together with herons and ducks etc.

Bird Life is prolific in this area. The following are just some of the more unusual birds I and my neighbours have seen:

Redpolls, Waxwings, Bull Finches, Goldfinches, Fieldfares, Woodpeckers, Tawny Owls, Tree Creepers, Sparrow Hawks, Siskins, Nuthatches and Red Kites. Foxes leave their earth (which may have been in use for 50 years) and bring their cubs to learn the "Fast Food" circuit, brown hares box in the Spring and deer are not an uncommon site. All this would go if these fields are built on. Wildlife is excellent at being wild - all it needs is for human beings to keep their distance, as has been the case for nigh on 50 years.

(7) I agree that Site Nos. 1151 Cookridge Lane,

3044 Cookridge Hall Golf Club and 3360 Cookridge Hall should remain as Red sites.

(8) I understand that the population in Leeds is not growing at the expected rate, which begs the question, do we actually need this development. Too many newbuild properties are still standing empty due to the recession.

All brownfield sites must be exhausted before PAS sites are used.

(9) Finally, I would suggest that this site 1199 is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Cynthia Foster

Representor No: PRS02055

Representation ID: REP04261 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP04261 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Clare Burton

Representor No: PRS02056

Representation ID: REP04258 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. However each ward has to find space for housing there are other sites this site 1199, that can provide other infrastructure and benefits to the community by providing doctors, shops, play areas etc. site 1199 is totally a nonsensical option as it just adds issues and puts more pressure on the poor infrastructure. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The roads in the current estate are difficult to navigate already and the are not able to cope with the current number of vehicles. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. All 3 primary schools are over subscribed, there is only 1 High school and cookridge as an area does not have any health care provision. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Absolutely, heavy rain causes flooding in gardens, the trainline floods etc. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. The area is abundant with wildlife including herons and deed that have been spotted.

Representation ID: REP00156 Question Ref: H1

see next question for reason

Representation ID: REP00156 Question Ref: H9

Yes, site 1199, for all the reasons stated in my response to question H2.

Representation ID: REP00156 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 – Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge, reasons for this: Access to site and highway issues: - Access will be through the current estate which is very busy with multiple cars per household- The roads are already difficult to navigate with cars parked on the roadside

- Adding potentially 400+ cars added to this area feeding through the estate will immediately cause significant congestion issues to the estate but also for the wider road network in the area, which will only escalate the issue of peak hour congestion which is already an issue in several bottlenecks in the area, most specifically in Horsforth- The majority of the residents in the current estate are young families and older persons so to add this amount of additional traffic poses an increased and unnecessary danger on the roads in the estate- The road surfaces themselves are diabolical and inadequate to support the amount of traffic that already uses them- Not to mention in winter months the estate is very difficult to get out of and into when snow and ice are compacted; gritters do not venture into the estate and cars already get abandoned, adding an increase in cars on the estate will just exacerbate the problem and make it more dangerous for other drivers and pedestrians Public transport:- The estate is only serviced by one bus service which allegedly is due to be reduced in the near future- Horsforth train station is the nearest train access and at peak times it is near impossible to get on a train, if you do get on it is standing room only and you are crammed in like sardines in a tin- Furthermore, the parking at the station is inadequate for the number of commuters that use that line during the week- The impact of more people using this train line is that this type of congestion will be exacerbated at peak hours which will have a roll on impact for commuters at the stations nearer to Leeds as well Environmental impact:- The soil in the area is very dense clay which doesn't drain easily and the whole field is very boggy, though particularly at the bottom because any water slowly drains down there; adding so much concrete and tarmac to the field will only have the effect of pushing the natural water level elsewhere, which will inevitably be the bottom of the field and the gardens of the houses that are adjacent to the site. These gardens that back onto the land already suffer from flooding when any level of persistent or heavy rain is experienced so this problem will only be increased- Many home owners adjacent to the boundary of the land will have a road running along the bottom of their garden; this poses a danger in itself, especially in winter as the roads in the area get particularly icy, but also pollution from the cars on this road will discourage residents from growing their own fruit and veg in an attempt to be self-sufficient- In addition to the Great Crested Newts there have been sightings of Herons in the field also Schools:- Adding another 200 households with a possible 2 children per household would add a further 400 children into the local area; the two primary schools in the immediate area are already over-subscribed and within the nearer local area there is only one secondary state school- This provision for education is struggling to support the current number of children in the estate let alone a potential influx/increase from an add-on estate Local Amenities:- Other than the Tesco Local and the Post Office Cookridge does not have any local amenities- Parking around these two amenities is restricted as they are opposite the nearest school which causes such a hazard to school children crossing, pedestrians and an inconvenience to residents and buses- The small Tesco Local often struggles with long queues from the current number of local residents and passing customers who use it; adding 400+ residents will add to this strain - There is no provision for a doctor or dentist surgery, these all lie in outer areas not immediately in Cookridge; adding 400+ residents to these outer area services will have an impact on the services they can provide and if they are already oversubscribed then where do these people register? Population growth/increase in population:- If it is true, as Cllr Anderson has intimated, that the population of Leeds is not growing at the rate it is expected then why do we need to 'tag' a meagre number houses onto a current estate which only creates further pressure on the local community, which already does not have the infrastructure to support it sufficiently? Over-development of the area:- Throughout Leeds there are so many brownfield sites where old buildings can be renovated, demolished and the sites rebuilt on or indeed houses that are currently abandoned and closed up that could be refurbished to create the housing that apparently is so desperately needed - To quote MP Greg Mulholland in a recent email communication with a resident in the Moseley Woods sent on 10 June 2013 "I'm concerned about a number of the green field sites that have been proposed by Leeds City Council and would much prefer that the council used new powers given to it by the Government to bring more empty homes back into use. It is also important that the council makes sure that brown field sites are developed first and I'm concerned that these proposals will be seen as go-ahead to developers to build on some green field sites."- These brownfield sites will already have suitable access, infrastructure and utilities access and the abandoned/closed up houses will cost so much less to renovate than complete new builds. If affordable housing is what is needed why not use these houses?- It is difficult as a resident of the City of Leeds to understand why the Council are not investigating these avenues when they have clearly been given the rights by Government to do so and allowing developers to increase pressure on current communities such as Cookridge

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Ellen James

Representor No: PRS02057

Representation ID: REP04262 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP04262 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Raymond Pratt

Representor No: PRS02058

Representation ID: REP04264 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP04264 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sandra Pratt

Representor No: PRS02059

Representation ID: REP04265 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP04265 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

This site is close to airport with much noise and pollution!

Name: Carol Clarke

Representor No: PRS02060

Representation ID: REP04266 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP04266 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.
- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.
- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.
- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.
- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.
- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.
- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.
- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.
- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.
- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.
- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

I strongly agree with all the objections above. Also in the present economic crisis is it feasible or will the venture be incomplete and an eyesore, having wasted land and resources.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Elizabeth Bryer

Representor No: PRS02061

Representation ID: REP04343 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments, the area has enough traffic in the local vicinity without another 200 cars.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem, our road is like a rat run now and the bottom of the road is like a car park.

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this, this land has always been prone to flooding and the houses will only make it worse.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

What about snow in the winter. Will new residents be informed about this ??

Representation ID: REP04267 Question Ref: H1

1199 - No - See the next question for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP04267 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Comments

- A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.

- We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra traffic.

- Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.

- Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.

- Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.

- The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos.

Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in winter months.

- The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.

- The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.

- Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.

- The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their cars on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.

- There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.

- Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.

- The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

What about utility services for the area??

Name: James Capell

Representor No: PRS02063

Representation ID: REP04263 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There is no infrastructure to support the traffic and sewage systems. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The plans to build on a known flood plain will only affect insurance and other homes along the beck line. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Been home to birds, fish, newts, what is the conservation plan for these.

Name: Chris Hall

Representor No: PRS02065

Representation ID: REP04270 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Far too much traffic. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cause of accidents reducing speed limits. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Rebecca Needham

Representor No: PRS02076

Representation ID: REP04293 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Money should be spent restoring abandoned areas not building on new land. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree When horse riding in the area cars already present enough of an added danger without the increase in traffic which would be inevitable Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: David Wilson

Representor No: PRS02080

Representation ID: REP04296 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree The road infrastructure can not cope the Doctors are oversubscribed as are the schools The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Currently traffic using Green Lane there are long delays on a morning Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree Holy Trinity Primary School currently has a waiting list so children will have to go outside the area causing more traffic at school time The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree The area is often problematic when it rains. Buildings will cause flooding also problems with flooding on the railway line Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat When walking in the area you can see lots of wildlife including Deer & Foxes these will be destroyed.

Name: Judith Wilson

Representor No: PRS02083

Representation ID: REP04297 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree I have lived in Cookridge all my life, there are sufficient houses in the area with often homes having 2 cars if the houses were built there would be an increase in traffic which would cause danger to children playing out. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree With all streets being used as 'Rat Runs' at the moment. Increase in traffic will cause problems and trying to exit from Green Lane onto Tinshill Road will cause problems Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree Our children and now our grandchildren all go to local facilities. I object to them having to attend schools etc out of area- That's why we moved here to access all facilities The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Wood bottom is very boggy - I walk there regularly and often the ground is saturated. Houses will cause increased problems in this area. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Over the 40+ years I have lived in Cookridge I have seen varies wildlife in that area - Deer Foxes etc if the development took place where would these animals live!!

Name: Jane Mitchell

Representor No: PRS02085

Representation ID: REP04300 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree This infrastructure could not support this development and it would have a detrimental effect on the quality of life of all residents including the ones in the proposed new houses The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree The roads and traffic queues in this area are already bad, I have a daily commute of at least 1 hour to travel 10 miles (it is terrible and that is 1 hour each way) Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand It is difficult to get an appointment. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree wildlife should be protected.

Name: Valerie Howe

Representor No: PRS02091

Representation ID: REP04306 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Having lived in Cookridge 2/3rds of my life Cookridge has grown in my opinion to its limit, It has always had the heaviest and busiest commuter route into Leeds, to have a bigger population with all that would entail on the infrastructure would be unthinkable The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Very Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree The water table must be one of the highest in Leeds Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat and has always been a haven for wildlife.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Geoffrey Noble

Representor No: PRS02094

Representation ID: REP04310 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Redeveloping Brownfield sites a better alternative to destroying Greenbelt land The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Possibly 400 cars using roads already busy. The roads have enough potholes already without this addition Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Julie Lockett

Representor No: PRS02097

Representation ID: REP04313 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Cookridge - aside from being a housing estate already -is surrounded as it is by several other estates. Why is yet another one necessary The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree The local bus service is about one of the worst in Leeds. Many of the new residents using cars would have a huge detrimental effect on existing traffic problems in the area and many of the existing streets will become rat runs. This cannot be safe for children living and travelling to school in the area. Many children walk to the local schools and increased traffic would be extremely dangerous. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The local schools are already oversubscribed - along with other pre school bodies i.e playgroups etc. There is potential for at least another 400 children to cater for. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree The site is at the very bottom of Cookridge and can only just about cope with the drainage at present. There was so much groundwater at the top of the streets in the Moseley Woods it would be hard to imagine how much would accumulate in the valley were it completely concreted over. Only 2 years ago Green Lane was cut off due to flooding- where will the excess water go if this goes ahead. If the development goes ahead will new residents be informed that they will probably be unable to obtain house insurance?? Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.Strongly Agree this would be a catastrophe!!!

Name: Ian Chrystie

Representor No: PRS02101

Representation ID: REP04319 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree The area is already well populated and pressure exists on local facilities The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree The roads and traffic have increased over the last few years. Pot holes etc. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree Waiting times exist The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree The development will be detrimental to Cookridge.

Name: Brenda Ingham

Representor No: PRS02110

Representation ID: REP04327 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Getting to and from Leeds via Otley Road and the ring road is a nightmare during rush hour at present. Another 200 houses - No thank you The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree All the streets around the area will be potential rat runs and only one access road is unacceptable Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree It already takes up to 2 weeks to get an appointment with my Doctor as it is. Another 200 houses will make matters much worse The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree During the 37 years I have lived in the area I have seen flooding on this site on many occasions. More building will make the problem worse Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.Any pasture land is important to wildlife

Name: Laura Dougill

Representor No: PRS02111

Representation ID: REP04329 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree There are other brownfield sites that should be developed first The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree The development is at the lowest point of Cookridge and will add to busy road Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree There is insufficient infrastructure to cope with the extra houses - Schools are full The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree There will be drainage issues as the land at the bottom of the slope and already floods. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree The local ecology will be destroyed.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Philip Dougill

Representor No: PRS02113

Representation ID: REP04331 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree We do not have the infrastructure to cope with a development of this size The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree The entrance to Cookridge from Horsforth via Tinshill Road and Woodhill Road is already extremely busy Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The local schools are already oversubscribed The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Residents in Cookridge report flooding as do Network Rail Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree We often see wildlife in Moseley Bottom which would be destroyed.

Name: Elisabeth Carpenter

Representor No: PRS02114

Representation ID: REP04330 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing development, the area is at full capacity.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem, the area is already a ratrun on narrow streets. The A660 Otley Road is already the most congested into Leeds.

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand, there is insufficient infrastructure to support new developments.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this, the railway has already confirmed this risk.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat, the area supports crested newts, deer, foxes, rabbits, red kites and many other species.

I strongly oppose the use of this site use brownfield sites before developing sites like this. Take a better, more evidence based look at the wider geography (eg East Leeds) to identify those areas which could sustain increased development. Cookridge cannot sustain it.

Name: John McGlynn

Representor No: PRS02115

Representation ID: REP04334 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments, already a built up area.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem, the roads will not take anymore.

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand, waiting lists for schools, difficulty getting a Dr's appointment.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this, area floods now - more roads will mean more flooding.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat, the area is full of wildlife.

Representation ID: REP00569 Question Ref: H1

Inadequate road infrastructure - will not be able to cope with more housing. Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding road. Increase on local population. Potential flooding - area already floods. Potential railway flooding on Leeds to Harrogate line which passes very e by. Site access will be very problematic. Ecology - will spoil the area for wildlife. Site access - will be a nightmare

Representation ID: REP00569 Question Ref: H5

site 1199 Inadequate road infrastructure - will not be able to cope with more housing. Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding road. Increase on local population. Potential flooding - area already floods. Potential railway flooding on Leeds to Harrogate line which passes very e by. Site access will be very problematic. Ecology - will spoil the area for wildlife. Site access - will be a nightmare. Overlooks my garden.

Representation ID: REP00569 Question Ref: H8

Site Inadequate road infrastructure - will not be able to cope with more housing. Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding road. Increase on local population. Potential flooding - area already floods. Potential railway flooding on Leeds to Harrogate line which passes very e by. Site access will be very problematic. Ecology - will spoil the area for wildlife. Site access - will be a nightmare. 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. Overlooks my garden

Representation ID: REP00569 Question Ref: General comment

Site 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Should not be GREEN Should be PAS

Representation ID: REP00569 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Inadequate road infrastructure - will not be able to cope with more housing. Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding road. Increase on local population. Potential flooding - area already floods. Potential railway flooding on Leeds to Harrogate line which passes very e by. Site access will be very problematic. Ecology - will spoil the area for wildlife. Site access - will be a nightmare. Impact on Environment - overlook my garden

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Shirley Mcglynn

Representor No: PRS02116

Representation ID: REP04335 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments, we do not need anymore houses in Cookridge.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem, traffic will increase greatly with 200 more houses in the area.

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand, it is very hard to get a Doctors appointment at present.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this, this area has always flooded, more paving and roads will make it worse.

Moseley Bottom ia an important wildlife habitat, the area is a lovely place for wildlife housing will damage their habitat.

Representation ID: REP00566 Question Ref: H1

Highways issues on surrounding roads.Inadequate road infrastructure to cope so many extra houses.Concern over type of housing required.Access to site will be problematic.Impact on the local environmemnt.Potential visual impact - the site overlooks my garden.Access to medical services.Schools are already full.Potential flooding.

Representation ID: REP00566 Question Ref: General comment

site 1199 should not be green, please put back as PASThank you

Representation ID: REP00566 Question Ref: H2

Ref 1199Highways issues on roadsInadequate road infrastructure to cope with so many extra housesConcerns over types of holnadequate road infrastructure - will not be able to cope with more housing.Peak hour traffic problems on surrounding road.Increase on local population.Potential flooding - area already floodsVisual impact the site overlook my gardenPotential railway flooding on Leeds to Harrogate line which passes very e by.Site access will be very problematicEcology - will spoil the area for wildlife.Site access - will be a nightmareusing

Name: Lowry Michael

Representor No: PRS02118

Representation ID: REP04336 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Urban sprawl destroying communities and has a negative effect on local identity and quality of life for residnets. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cookridge has period of Services congestion which is hazardous more cars will worsen situation. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools heavily stretched, health care spread widely and haveily subscidged, more residents will cause meltdown. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Serious flood risk in a significant wet land area. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Very wide rane of wildlife which would be decimated by buildings.

Name: J Bullock

Representor No: PRS02119

Representation ID: REP04339 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: J Turton

Representor No: PRS02120

Representation ID: REP04338 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments, plenty of brown sites to build on.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem, traffic is bad already (i.e ring road roundabouts. Lawnswood, Horsforth, Rodney.

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand, my grandson lives 150yds away from local school has to go to Ireland wood 1.5 miles, 10-14 days wait to see doctor.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this, should not build on areas liable to flood.

Moseley Bottom ia an important wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jill Camm

Representor No: PRS02121

Representation ID: REP04340 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Plenty of traffic congestion rear school any more cars a child will be hurt. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Elaine Robinson

Representor No: PRS02122

Representation ID: REP04345 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Building more houses is only going to put more pressure on already stretched services.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem, already it is a problem along Moseley Wood Gardens & the surrounding roads.

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand, having to wait for appointments due to demand.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this, parents garden has been flooded a lot more in recent years.

Moseley Bottom ia an important wildlife habitat, the diversity of wildlife will be severley affected. Already wild life, especially insects are under threat.

Name: Trish Edwards

Representor No: PRS02123

Representation ID: REP04346 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree No more school places/Doctors places narrow side roads. Green areas must be kept. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly Narrow roads cannot take more through traffic. Too many cars parked on side roads already there are no 20mph limits on any roads in Cookridge Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree Who will these new residents register with? Very difficult already to register with an NHS dentist Doctors full The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree This should not be destroyed, when other areas could be used for new housing.

Name: Stuart Camm

Representor No: PRS02124

Representation ID: REP04342 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. There is already too much traffic on the roads around cookridge this will be greatly increased. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Holt Park surgery is too full. Ireland Wood surgery was open and that is now too full. Holy Trinity School and Cookridge are always over subscribed. Local children already are having to go elsewhere. This is not right. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Changing grass for tarmac, bricks and concrete will cause major run-off of water, the proposed road into the new estate is higher than the adjacent gardens in moseley wood gardens, this will cause flooding of the gardens. I understand british rail have expressed great concern to flooding of the rail line between Horsforth and Bramhope and Horsforth station. This has already flooded number of times. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Various birds, massive life in the back building work will cause run off of materials into the beck which is a serious threat to marine life. The fields and meadows being taken up will cause major ecological disaster.

Name: Fiona Guest

Representor No: PRS02125

Representation ID: REP04347 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this

Moseley Bottom ia an important wildlife habitat

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Paul Guest

Representor No: PRS02126

Representation ID: REP04348 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.
Cookridge needs no more housing developments.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem
Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this
Moseley Bottom ia an important wildlife habitat

Name: Mandy Scott

Representor No: PRS02127

Representation ID: REP04350 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.
Cookridge needs no more housing developments, Moseley Wood Gardens is a rat run as it is, we would struggle with an increase in traffic.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem.
Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand, there is a waiting list already at local schools, appointment times at doctors have a long wait for appointments.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this, it floods now. Hardstanding from more buildings will make matters worse.
Moseley Bottom ia an important wildlife habitat , lovely wildlife area, children can see wildlife, greenery, plants etc down there.

Name: Elaine Jacklin

Representor No: PRS02128

Representation ID: REP04349 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Cookridge cannot take any more traffic Green Lane is an absolute nightmare for residents during school term time and this congrstion has already caused many accidents and at least one death. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agreeThe raffic along Moseley Wood Gardens is already at a dangerous level because of parked cars and the narrow width of the road Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree All our services are already overstretched The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree I lived at 8 Moseley Wood Rise (Overlooking Moseley Wood Beck) for many years and witnessed flooding 2 - 3 times a year Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.Living at 8 Moseley Wood Rise I observed (and still do) Badgers, Foxes, Deer, Red Kites,Bats, Owls and newts

Name: C P Franek-merc

Representor No: PRS02129

Representation ID: REP04352 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There are cars in the area on the past year most houses have two or more which make more housing more cars. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Going to school ans shops there is no where to park at the moment. More traffic more. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. It is hard to get a doctor or dentists appointment, have to go to horsforth. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Wood beck get so wet and muddy most part of the year.we cannot walk our dogs there. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We have lived in Cookridge for 30 year we choose to live here for greenbelt and wildlife and the view will change. More house on the greenbelt?

Name: Lois Sykes

Representor No: PRS02130

Representation ID: REP04353 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agreeI live on the street which will become the access route, there is no room now for parked cars, nevermind with 400+ cars driving in and out everyday !!! The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Roads around the Moseley Woods are not wide enough to hold the traffic Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree Health care waiting lists for appointments for current patients are already up to 2 weeks. Nevermind with 200 more families attending The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree I am unaware what will happen by the railway lines but when it rains it floods down my street Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat The field at the bottom holds wildlife such as foxes, which are increasing in numbers, where will they go?.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Debbie Sykes

Representor No: PRS02131

Representation ID: REP04355 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree The roads are too busy as it is. The schools, Doctors, Dentists etc are all full its ridiculous The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Moseley Wood Gardens is a nightmare as it is. You have to have your wits about you when driving along there without another 400 cars + Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree It took me 8 days to get an appointment for my 13 year old daughter with the doctor The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree We watch the water stream down the middle of the street into the fields on many occassions. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree We have crested newts in our pond which have come from the beck.

Representation ID: REP00112 Question Ref: H5

I am only refering to the moseley wood gardens site

Representation ID: REP00112 Question Ref: H8

Site 1199 as previously mentioned

Representation ID: REP00112 Question Ref: H11

Site 1199 should not go ahead at all

Representation ID: REP00112 Question Ref: General comment

I could carry on with a million reasons why you should noy build in the field and will if nessesary

Representation ID: REP00112 Question Ref: H2

I strongly disagree with site 1199 which is land off moseley wood gardens in cookridge. I live on moseley wood rise the narrow cul-de-sac with just 8 semi detached houses on. You can not be serious that you are going to open the fence and build 200 houses there. 200 houses means a minimum of 400 cars. The street is far too narrow. I live at number 6 and when the house opposite has there son come to visit on a weekend I am unable to get my car off my drive without a considerable amount of manouvering. When purchasing this house over 20 years ago we purchased it because it was in a quite cul-de-sac. Surley we have some rights as you are now going to take that way from us. In winter the smallest amount of snow fall causes the street to come to a stand still as it is the lowest point in cookridge. It is impossible to get up the street so how 400 cars are going to get up there is simply a joke. Leaving them abandoned on moseley wood gardens would caused serious accidents. On a saturday if a car is parked on the street the bin men can't get down the street and on occasion have refused to empty the bins can you imagine if that was a fire engine! you really have not thought this throu. The wagons coming along woodhill road and green land are dangerous to the local school as if that road is not dangerous enough. Wagons coming along moseley wood gardens will not be able to get through if the cars are parked anything like they were yesterday it is a maze that you carefully have to weave in and out of in a car the big diggers and trucks will cause damage to parked cars that goes without saying. I would also like to ask what doctors all these new houses are going to go to. I rang the doctors yesterday for my daughter and was told I had to wait a week for an appointment. What is it going to be like with a minimum of 400 more people. I understand building has to go ahead with the ever increasing population but this is ridiculous. Dangerous with no real thought put into it.

Name: Peter Watson

Representor No: PRS02132

Representation ID: REP04354 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There is not a shortage of housing in this area. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local detists already have long NHS waiting lists were wil all the schools go. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Peter Scott

Representor No: PRS02134

Representation ID: REP04359 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Rosanna Smith

Representor No: PRS02136

Representation ID: REP04357 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Infrastructure will not support more housing. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads are not very wide and parked cars can be a problem now. Also busy traffic morning and evening. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Already have long wait for appointment. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The beck can flood by a few feet with heavy rain and the field is very boggy in many parts. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Various birds, foxes and occasional deer have been sighted in the field.

Name: Jane Hepworth

Representor No: PRS02139

Representation ID: REP04360 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments, there is no space for further development without encroaching on rural land that should be green belt.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem, local roads are totally unsuitable for the volume of traffic this would cause, which would be in the region of 400 cars, it would be chaos.

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Local schools are already over subscribed Doctors and dentists are unlikely to have enough places for so many more people.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this, the beck floods regularly now and additional concrete would make the situation worse, creating a flood risk.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. There are many species in those fields and building would destroy their habitat, which is wrong.

Representation ID: REP00226 Question Ref: H5

Site ref 1199Reasons as stated

Representation ID: REP00226 Question Ref: H9

Site ref 1199Reasons as stated

Representation ID: REP00226 Question Ref: H11

Site ref 1199 I do not consider suitable for development

Representation ID: REP00226 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom Site reference 1199Potential flooding/drainage issues, especially so near the railway line.Inadequate road infrastructure to cope with the additional housingSignificant peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roadsThe development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit homes in the winter months. Lack of school places. Parking is problematic and sometimes dangerous outside the local school, Tesco and Post Office. Residents are already severely affected by this situation and these problems will only worsen with a new development. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds and park their vehicles on the streets around the station to use the train. Extra housing will compound these problems.Potential public transport issues.Access to medical services and access for emergency services to a new development.Ecology eg wildlife, flora and fauna that needs to be protected.Extra traffic will have an impact and will damage road surfaces making them require constant repairs.One access road for 200 houses, especially one the short length of Moseley Wood Rise, is unacceptable.

Name: Sheila Iyer-hilditch

Representor No: PRS02140

Representation ID: REP04358 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Already many homes existing that are all unoccupied The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Existing streets get very congested at peak times and winter at present this will worsen the problem Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree No plans to build more schools Existing schools are full The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Proposed building land is like a sponge and holds water preventing flooding Removing this will lead to flooding railway lines and the area. We know from recent history how expensive and traumatic this is. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Leeds is a built up conurbation with few wildlife habitat. This proposed site has some valuable Fauna and Flora which local people are proud of and protective of.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Philip March

Representor No: PRS02142

Representation ID: REP04361 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Ruin the quiet equilibrium which people treasure. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The roads are clogged up now. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. I cant get a doctors appointment now unless I wait a month. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Cookridge built on hillside eater need field to disperse it. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. For years we have enjoyed the wildlife of this area throughout generation.

Name: Caroline Moye

Representor No: PRS02143

Representation ID: REP04366 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree I don't want our road becoming a rat run Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree Where will the extra children go to school? The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Philip Hepworth

Representor No: PRS02144

Representation ID: REP04364 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments, Cookridge has enough housing without the need to spread into rural countryside.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem, the infrastructure of these roads is not strong enough or wide enough to carry an extra 300 to 400 cars.

Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Schools are already over subscribed. Schools in Horsforth are not taking children from other areas! Dentists are already difficult to get into.

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Holt Park water tower through the estates is a downward trajectory. Water flows down Moseley Wood Rise at a real spate into the fields which soak it up before reaching the beck, concrete and tarmac wont.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat, there are several species of animals and birds that live and use the area. Are we not supposed to protect them.

Representation ID: REP00182 Question Ref: H1

Site Reference 1199 Brown sites should and need to be developed first. See below. See also below

Representation ID: REP00182 Question Ref: H2

Site Reference 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Moseley Wood Rise is to be the only access road, completely inappropriate with the large amount of heavy wagons, bulldozers and plant machinery needed for this development work. Large impact on the 8 houses currently in this street possibly going on for 6 years (weather permitting) This area does not have the infrastructure to cope with a substantial amount of road traffic. 300 to 400 extra cars. All the other roads linking this development are narrow and not built to withstand all the extra traffic. The low level area of this site is liable to flood with all the extra concrete, tarmac and block paving drives. Cookridge winters can be quite severe with snow and ice covered roads causing chaos for all the extra traffic and for the people living in the area. Roads rarely get gritted as it is making it extremely difficult to access and exit our homes in the winter months. The development will also impact on school places, doctors, dentists etc:

Name: Terry Smith

Representor No: PRS02145

Representation ID: REP04367 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. I have to go to Horsforth for Doctor cantake over a week to get appointment here. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. It isn't a little beck when it rains heavily it s a raging torrent. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. I watched the fox cobs playing quite often.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Alan Jordan

Representor No: PRS02146

Representation ID: REP04369 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.
Cookridge needs no more housing developments, green belt land should stay green.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem, traffic is already bad at peak times.
Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat, excelent location for walking and watching wildlife.

Name: Christine Thackray

Representor No: PRS02148

Representation ID: REP04368 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Schools and Doctors are over stretched now. They cant cope with any more people The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Moseley Wood Gardens is not wide enough for all the traffic the houses will bring Only one entrance Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree Holy Trinity & Cookridge Primary have waiting lists. Doctors - you can wait up to a week to get an appointment now The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree The Railway Line will flood Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly Agree Green is very PC at the moment. Developing this land goes against everything green.

Name: C Brown

Representor No: PRS02149

Representation ID: REP04371 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.
Cookridge needs no more housing developments. The entire local infrastructure can not cope with this develoment, education, healthcare and transport. Will all be detrimentally effected if this development goes ahead as there are no plans to increase these services in the area.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Peak traffic times around rush hour already creates heavy congestion. Many families moved to Cooridge as it was a quieter area making it safer for their children. This development would mean large increase in commercial vehicles over 6 years and sustained increase in road traffic following this completion.
Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand, it is already difficult to get healthcare/dentisits appointments ina timely manner and school places aere also limited/ over subscribed.
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Name: Reginald Jack Ainley

Representor No: PRS02150

Representation ID: REP04372 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Amanda Needham

Representor No: PRS02151

Representation ID: REP04373 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree There are plenty of brownfill sites / empty properties that would benefit from development The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree There is already to many cars badly parked and driven in cookridge. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: V Chrystie

Representor No: PRS02152

Representation ID: REP04374 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Do we need more houses in cookridge Are we getting new schools etc? The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Susan Deighton

Representor No: PRS02154

Representation ID: REP04375 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Local amenities - Schools/Child care etc are full to capacity at the moment The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree Fully subscribed The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Francis Simpson

Representor No: PRS02155

Representation ID: REP04377 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat strongly agree we need more not less!!

Name: A.r Smith

Representor No: PRS02156

Representation ID: REP04378 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree I agree with all the objections stated The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Jeffrey Lowe

Representor No: PRS02158

Representation ID: REP04380 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Major infrastructure development needed prior to any building The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Unsure Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree.

Name: Judith Merridew

Representor No: PRS02159

Representation ID: REP04382 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Roads not wide enough The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Bad state of repair now and not kept to Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: K.m Newby

Representor No: PRS02160

Representation ID: REP04384 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree No infrastructure to support extra population - Roads, Schools, Public Services etc Flooding risk The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Expect about another 400 cars on our narrow estate roads Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Malcolm Reeve

Representor No: PRS02162

Representation ID: REP04387 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Santos

Representor No: PRS02163

Representation ID: REP04388 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree The road network is insufficient to deal with the extra traffic The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The schools are already at capacity The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree The wildlife in this area is extremely diverse and there are lots of rare species Building on this land would be a travesty .

Name: Pamela Morris

Representor No: PRS02164

Representation ID: REP04389 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.
Cookridge needs no more housing developments.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem
Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Name: Gladys Evelyn Slack

Representor No: PRS02165

Representation ID: REP04390 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.
Cookridge needs no more housing developments.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem
Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Representation ID: REP04393 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.
Cookridge needs no more housing developments.
The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem
Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Name: Julie Owens

Representor No: PRS02166

Representation ID: REP04391 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree No infrastructure here to support it The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree This road is busy enough as we are Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Eunice Camm

Representor No: PRS02167

Representation ID: REP04392 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Not enough facilities for the existing residents. Borwn sites should be used first. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Already too busy will be chaos with several hundred more cars. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools fullas are dentists and health centres. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Building will cause so much flooding. Site already boggy in parts. Our gardens have been known to floods. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Where will the wildlife go.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: M Bushby

Representor No: PRS02171

Representation ID: REP04399 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Too many houses for sale already The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Public transport not good, so will inevitably lead to more cars Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Jayne Myers

Representor No: PRS02173

Representation ID: REP04400 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Dont ruin our wonderful community The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Cookridge Avenue is already a rat run for people avoiding those pointless speedbumps on Otley Old Road Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Michael Yates

Representor No: PRS02175

Representation ID: REP04404 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Madness. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Bernard Smith

Representor No: PRS02176

Representation ID: REP04405 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Overcrowding roads and schools The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Overcrowded at present moment Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: T A Johnson

Representor No: PRS02177

Representation ID: REP04407 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.
I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.
I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. I strongly agree Local schools such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand
I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this
I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Name: M Holdsworth

Representor No: PRS02178

Representation ID: REP04408 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Schools in the area are full. The proposed entrance and exit from development is inadequate, causing too much traffic in surrounding area. In all, facilities in the area cannot cope with the extra housing The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: J R Tiffin

Representor No: PRS02181

Representation ID: REP04411 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Tiffin

Representor No: PRS02182

Representation ID: REP04412 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Further development would have an impact on all the elderly people who have retired into cookridge for a quiet life. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. A lot of cookridge is made up of minor roads and would not be able to cope with more traffic. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Regarding the health centre that we personally visit it is already difficult to obtain appointments. This would not be helped by an increase in population. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. We ourselves have not been affected by flooding but not the political is there when it could impact on obtaining house insurance in the future. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. It would be a shame to lose this.

Name: Debby Davison

Representor No: PRS02183

Representation ID: REP04413 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Not enough facilities for the residents already living in Cookridge.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem many roads are already full of parked cars & passing traffic has trouble getting through.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Dr's & Dental apts are already hard to make as so busy. Holly trinity school is already over subscribed.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Traveling to York often have to disembark train due to flooding now, extra 200 lots of water won't help.

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. MB is a beautiful rural spot with deer & should not be built on, where will the animals go ??

Representation ID: REP04423 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Not enough facilities for the residents already living in Cookridge.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Many roads are already full of parked cars & passing traffic has trouble getting through.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Dr's and Dentists apts are already hard to make as so busy - Holly trinity school is already over subscribed.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Traveling to York often have to disembark train due to flooding now, an extra 200 lots of water won't help !

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. MB is a beautiful rural spot with deer & should not be built on, where will the animals go ??

Name: Jean Burns

Representor No: PRS02184

Representation ID: REP04415 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Suart Billington

Representor No: PRS02185

Representation ID: REP04414 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. 200 units is far too many. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Congested enough already. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Danielle Barker

Representor No: PRS02186

Representation ID: REP04417 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Houses for sale already arent selling so why do we need more The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Moira Greensmith

Representor No: PRS02187

Representation ID: REP04416 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Facilities in Cookridge have been limited since it was developed in 1934!! Nothing has been added just taken away. No nursery poor bus service, no pub less shops, over subscribed schools, no doctors etc. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. All traffic will be funneled to Tinshill Road and Cookridge lane. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Already a problem! How many childrens in 200 houses??. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Where is the public transport? Bus already takes 50 minutes & trolley bus not coming to this area. No stop at top of Tinshill Lane?

Name: D.m Johnson

Representor No: PRS02188

Representation ID: REP04418 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Enough is enough The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Bridge over railway at Fox & Hounds is already horrendous Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: N Poole

Representor No: PRS02189

Representation ID: REP04421 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Green Lane is already a busy road Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: S Cumming

Representor No: PRS02190

Representation ID: REP04419 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The social structure i.e schools, doctors, traffic, parking for station is already stretched to the limit. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. There are already blockages at peak times with the existing traffic and parked cars for trains. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Local schools and health centres are already over crowded. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Having seen the beck flood it banks on a number of occasions the. The proposed housing would be prone to flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Zoe Thomas

Representor No: PRS02191

Representation ID: REP04422 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There are plenty of houses already in cookridge. There are many other brown sites where houses could be built which have more facilities to service more houses. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The roads are already crowded and more traffic will increase the likelihood of accidents and damage to the roads. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The schools in Cookridge and nearby Horsforth are already over subscribed. We cannot cope with more pressure on these services, or others such as doctor etc, there are also no extra children's facilities like play areas etc. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. We are near to water supplies and they already flood when it rains. This will increase if Moseley wood is developed. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We regularly walk in this area and the wildlife is clear to see. This should not be disrupted.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Helen Robson

Representor No: PRS02192

Representation ID: REP04424 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree Too much traffic already The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: J E Chappeland

Representor No: PRS02193

Representation ID: REP04425 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Kenneth Hardy

Representor No: PRS02194

Representation ID: REP04427 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Quality of life more important than quantity. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. This is very important.

Name: Rob Davison

Representor No: PRS02195

Representation ID: REP04426 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Not enough facilities for the residents at present let a lone more residents. I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem roads are conjested at present. I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. My father tried to make an appointment at doctors and they could not fit him in till 3 days later. I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. More concrete / no soak away. I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Apparently Badgers nest there.

Name: R Greensmith

Representor No: PRS02196

Representation ID: REP04428 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Parking for Horsforth station already a problem Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding Cookridge Avenue area was not drained even when railway tunnel made caused trouble with beck. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree.

Name: Theresa Whittan

Representor No: PRS02197

Representation ID: REP04429 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Roads are narrow and busy at peak times. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. 2 primary schools are over subscribed/doctors surgery in area. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. The whole area is prone to flooding already, more hard landscaping will prove disatarous. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Lots of birds nesting, habitats for deer and other wildlife.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Malgorzata Ciesluk

Representor No: PRS02198

Representation ID: REP04430 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. It's getting to close to the airport, schools, doctors, traffic.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. With only one road it is dangerous in winter times we have abandoned cars already, no car park at train station.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. They have waiting list at schools. People are quite old and selling houses and families with children moving in. We need no more. Doctors no appointments on the day.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. We just saw 2 herons living there, they will go.

Name: J Browell

Representor No: PRS02199

Representation ID: REP04431 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. The structure of Cookridge cannot take any more houses & cars as the streets are not wide enough, not enough schools, doctors, dentists. The traffic will have far reaching effects, hitting Headingley, Woodhouse, Horsforth, Kirkstall.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. The roads in Cookridge have enough traffic on them already.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Schools in the area are more or less full. Could not cope with a large amount of new children.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. If more flooding happened because of new housing who is going to pay for the insurance !!

Unsure have not been down to the beck enough to have an opinion about Moseley Bottom being an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Barrie Blayney

Representor No: PRS02200

Representation ID: REP04432 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Open space is vital for the well-being of everyone, both physical and psychological.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem the existing roads were not designed to cope with the additional volume of traffic that the proposal will certainly produce.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. We experienced difficulty in obtaining places for our children 35 years ago matters have not improved.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. I have seen the results of heavy rain, melting snow, increased run off will cause damage to the railway and to the only road bridge.

I agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Tim Jones

Representor No: PRS02201

Representation ID: REP04433 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. The utter disregard for the local topography and associated additional run-off waters entering the proposed location is astounding. This topography in winter leads to snow and ice whilst central Leeds is clear, but combined with the gradient of the roads causes many cars to be parked on Green Lane.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Poorly maintained roads with on street parking already makes HGV access tricky 2 vehicles meeting would cause a major problem.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Holly Trinity school is at capacity and outside LEA control so Cookridge Primary would be flooded.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Inevitable as the plans to date only deal with the run-off associated with that field!

I agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. It's green belt area - please only use such spaces when brown field land is fully utilised and only then with great care to environment considerations.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Ann Bruce

Representor No: PRS02203

Representation ID: REP04435 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The area has enough cars now using the area can not sustain any more traffic. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Cars from far a field use the area as a rat run now. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Could not get a dentist in the area has to go out of the area to find one! Local schools are full to the brim already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This area floods every time and pushing it some where else does not solve the problem. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. More and more wildlife habitats are being destroyed.

Name: David Bruce

Representor No: PRS02205

Representation ID: REP04436 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The local amenities cannot cope with more housing. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Traffic is already bad enough and many roads are in bad condition. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. There are not enough of these facilities for the people already living in Cookridge. We travel to a dentist 4 miles away. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. At present the land does soak up some rainwater. Development will stop this. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. It would be tragic for wildlife.

Name: Timothy Silburn

Representor No: PRS02206

Representation ID: REP04438 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The infrastructure will not cope with it more is the land behind Cookridge avenue fit for building, I strongly object to this proposal. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The access roads & buses will not cope with the increases in usage. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. These services can only just cope now. So they will be overwhelmed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This speaks for itself as Cookridge is built on a flood plain. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. As a wildlife lover Moseley Woods are a haven to this cause. I have seen the deer here on many occasions.

Name: A Bullock

Representor No: PRS02208

Representation ID: REP04439 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local roads are over populated at the moment as it is this will cause bottle necks. Everywhere in the area. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: John O'Hanlon

Representor No: PRS02209

Representation ID: REP04442 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Build and you will destroy for ever possibly the best country view in Leeds. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Problems/roads blockes etc, etc, etc. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. If you live in Moseley wood gardens you would understand how and what happens!! Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Come and see the variety and fabulous. Build and it will ruin everything.

Name: Joan Corbett

Representor No: PRS02211

Representation ID: REP04444 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Plenty of houses for sale now. Why build more. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Too much traffic in green lane now so many cars picking up school children. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Ellis Debbie

Representor No: PRS02217

Representation ID: REP04447 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. I have just bought a house on Green Lane based on the fact that Cookridge is such a beautiful & peaceful place to live. I am moving to a place which will potentially be ruined. This would substantially affect my quality of life & the value of my property. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. I travel to work via Horsforth roundabout. This is already a nightmare. No doubt this would be worsened by another few hundred people using the ring road around this area. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. I have just registered with a local surgery and had my 1st appointment yesterday at 17:05. I actually got to see the doctor at 17:55. I'd say that shows it is already oversubscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Where will the surface water drain to when all that land is filled with foundations & new road surfaces? It doesn't bear thinking about! I would have thought the railway owners & users will be very worried. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. There is little enough natural habitat left for wildlife without denying all those species this haven.

Name: Eward Jones

Representor No: PRS02219

Representation ID: REP04452 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Where is it going to end all of this over building of houses on our beautiful scenery. The truth is it is all about greed of money. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Just look at the flow of traffic through this area as of at this moment. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Common sense tell you this. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. As I have already stated, only a fool or corrupt people concerned with this project would disagree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. It will not stop at this, the business people will want more of this area in the future.

Name: Aimee Wilson

Representor No: PRS02220

Representation ID: REP04454 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Any one in their right of mind would not agree with this extra traffic on green lane. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Read other side of this form. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: D.r Smith

Representor No: PRS02221

Representation ID: REP04455 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The area is saturated already the infrastructure cannot sustain further housing. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads are already dangerous for children without potentially 400 more vehicles. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Dentals & Schools are already over subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Cookridge is built on clay with many underground streams flooding is inevitable. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Difficult to say without more research however. Frogs, toads & newts in addition to important insects and birds would be disturbed.

Name: Vivien Randerson

Representor No: PRS02223

Representation ID: REP04457 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The area enclosed by the railway there is already at capacity. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The amount of traffic having to cross the railway bridge at the bottom of Tinsill Rd already a dangerous problem. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Already at full capacity will they donate a new school and health facilities. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. area will obviously flood due to the lay of the land. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: J Barber

Representor No: PRS02224

Representation ID: REP04459 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There is insufficient infrastructure in the area to support this proposal. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. There is too much traffic already. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. School currently currently cannot cope. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Vivien Chalmers

Representor No: PRS02225

Representation ID: REP04460 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Since I have lived in Cookridge (over 30 years) Holt Park, Dale Park, the Brosleys have all been built, enough is enough. Brownfield sites and empty homes need developing and occupying first. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Access to main roads in the area will be problematic, Green Lane especially will be affected. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. All local schools are full this extends to Adel & Ireland Wood too. Buses, trains will be badly affected. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Floods over railway a big problem last year. With the long term forecast for wetter weather, this will impinge on the proposed housing. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Not sure about this, I have newts in my garden pond & the little pond in M.W Bottom is visited by local regularly.

Name: Samuel Lewis

Representor No: PRS02226

Representation ID: REP04461 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Unless under six houses/ minor development. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Already Green Lane/Wood Hill Road are too busy and roads are too narrow to cope with over 2000 extra journeys per day. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools already full - not enough doctors/dentists in area to cope with extra 400 people. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Agree and train lines will be affected. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. No one can build on other species home, like other animal never build on our human homes. Public transport e.g trains cannot cope with additional cars/passengers. Need to build on all brownfield sites before any greenfields.

Name: Ian Lewis

Representor No: PRS02228

Representation ID: REP04463 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Local services, roads, schools etc are already at saturation point and developing this site will exacerbate the problem. Any change of designation for this site should include an absolute obligation for any developer to also pay for and build a school, medical centre, and recreation facilities and road improvement with no burden on the Council. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Development this site will create rat-runs, hundreds/thousands of extra car journeys a day on already narrow residential roads. It will create more parking problem and road safety issues near Holy Trinity Primary School where it is already an issue. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Holy Trinity School is already over subscribed. Developing this site would mean many more local children wouldn't stand a change of getting in. Any large development should also include a new school, & full range of services paid for by the developer not just a token donation. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Run off some more hard standing will make problem worse. There is already regular flooding of railway line - potential rail safety problem. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. One of Cookridge's few green fields will be damaged beyond repair by developing here. We need more green space in Cookridge not less.

Name: C Chappelow

Representor No: PRS02230

Representation ID: REP04469 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Estate roads are not suitable for extra traffic because of parked vehicles. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools are full now we have no health provision on the estate. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This has been a flood area for at least a hundred years so more housing bigger problem. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. This is the only Green area of our wildlife.

Name: A Hinkley

Representor No: PRS02232

Representation ID: REP04470 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. This area could not accommodate more housing. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Volumes of traffic already a problem in this area. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: J Monkman

Representor No: PRS02233

Representation ID: REP04472 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: V Sharma

Representor No: PRS02234

Representation ID: REP04473 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: T.e Collinson

Representor No: PRS02235

Representation ID: REP04474 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Traffic noise. No enough schools, doctors, etc. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Definitely. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Obvious over subscribed already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Obvious. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: John F Hamilton

Representor No: PRS02237

Representation ID: REP04475 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. This is not the correct site for a development. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Lilla

Representor No: PRS02239

Representation ID: REP04477 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The traffic past my home has increased dramatically over the past few years. Consequently there s more noise. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. We cannot take any more traffic without huge problems on Otley old road. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Cookridge has in the past enjoyed excellent medical facilities, the increase will ruin all this. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We moved to Cookridge 26 years ago to be within walking distance of fields and wildlife.

Name: Helen Watkinson

Representor No: PRS02240

Representation ID: REP04478 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The green belt is a precious asset more housing would destroy this and facilities and infrastructure are inadequate. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Green Lane already becomes very congested in the school/Tesco/P.Office area and is hazardous. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. It is already very difficult to get an appointment with a GP. Schools are also full. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. More concrete equals less drainage equals more flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Ecology and conservation are considered by politicians to be of the utmost importance where is the sense in destroying an area where wildlife & flora thrive.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sarah Brown

Representor No: PRS02242

Representation ID: REP04480 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The schools, health centres and roads will not be able to cope - Cookridge is a village not a town. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. I live opposite the end of the main road to the site. Getting out of my driveway now is already a safety issue. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The schools have already told me that they are unable to cope with the numbers they have already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Any torrential down pur made Green Lane a river - any run off goes downhill i.e. to the beck and Moseley Wood Bottom. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. It should be preserved as a local wildlife resurve.

Name: Neil Brewster

Representor No: PRS02243

Representation ID: REP04481 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Currently cannot cope with traffic & parking.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Just ask & you will be given the answer.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this, so I am told.

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. We should protect our wildlife.

Name: Janet Gioserano

Representor No: PRS02244

Representation ID: REP04483 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Brownfield sites should be developed before greenfield sites are even considered cookridge, as those who enjoy living here know it, will be changed for the worse by any further development.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Moseley wood Gardens was never intended as a main thoroughfare for the area it is already congested.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Already local children are being refused places at fully subscribed local schools.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Moseley wood rise can become a torrent of water in times of heavy rainfall. How will the additional cars be able to get in/out of any development there?

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Any development will be to the detriment of wildlife.

Representation ID: REP00063 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref 1199. Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Any development of this site with vehicular access via Moseley Wood Rise would create a major safety hazard on Moseley Wood Gardens which is already too narrow and congested with parked cars to accommodate the number of additional vehicles associated with a development of 200+ homes. In the vicinity of the T junction of the Rise with the Gardens, many cars are parked on the roadside, mainly because the garages built with these houses are too small to house cars! Therefore, turning right from the Rise, traffic faces a single carriageway due to on-road parking, also an immediate sharp right hand bend which restricts visibility of oncoming traffic. This bend is already a hazard. A look at the street view of the junction on Google shows the problem exactly. The local Primary School is already full. Adding more children within the area would mean our children crossing major roads to get to schools further away - a safety issue. I have lived here for fifty years and the part of the site which adjoins the rear of my land has been permanently waterlogged during that time. I have serious concerns that the whole of this site can be satisfactorily drained, when heavy rainfall, run-off and underground streams are involved at times during the year. Flooding would be my concern. Cookridge, being the highest point in Leeds, bears the brunt of ice and snow during the winter months. Exiting Moseley Wood Gardens by car entails a climb which causes problems to motorists who can find that they are stranded with not even a bus service as the buses cannot manage to reach here. This site is not suitable for development and should be coded as such

Name: Malcolm & Pauline Campbell

Representor No: PRS02245

Representation ID: REP04485 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. When we moved here in 1961, there was not enough school places and children had to go to Ireland Wood school at 5 years old.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. I think a lot of people won't want to live so near the Leeds - Harrogate railway line alsounder the flight path from Leeds - Bradford airport.

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. I am a wildlife lover and wildlife is disappering without more houses on the fields.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Paul Moorhouse

Representor No: PRS02250

Representation ID: REP04484 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge is full already. The infrastructure doesn't cope now. How much chaos will another 200 houses create. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. The Moseley Woods will become a rat-run. On street parking will create havoc, especially for large viivlrd. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. School are full now. How far must children travel to receive a proper education. Where cannew facilites be built. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. It is a bog in winter. Tarmac even/where will increase the problems for network rail. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Currently enjoy red kites, deer, house many species , built on brown field sites.

Representation ID: REP05731 Question Ref: H1

Potential development site ref 1199, land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge.

I would like to object to the Council's designation of the above site, colour coded green, for the following reasons.

The site itself is marshland and acts as a drainage sump for the surrounding area. Over the years it has regularly flooded and has threatened and affected the railway links around Horsforth station and the line adjacent to the site. Once the top soil and vegetation have been removed and replaced by concrete and tarmac, this threat will be greatly exacerbated. The potential for home insurance problems for any unfortunate residents will become a real one.

The site itself is alive with animals such as foxes and deer, birds, especially red kites, and sheep. The chorus from all these is a joy to listen to and will be lost forever to the local residents. The only noises left will be the trains and the ever increasing numbers of aircraft almost directly overhead the site.

The proposed site is completely enclosed with a single entrance/exit at Moseley Wood Rise. The most obvious access is via Green Lane and then Moseley Wood Gardens. The latter is characterised by blind 90 degree bends and a great deal of on street parking making single file traffic prevalent. All the surrounding access roads from Green Lane exhibit the same characteristics and Moseley Wood Bank, almost directly opposite the entrance, is particularly steep, and along with Moseley Wood Gardens, is impassable in winter under snowy conditions, when we are at the end of the queue for snow clearance vehicles. Much to the surprise of all other Leeds residents, Cookridge has a micro climate, especially true in the winter. Buses and taxis are the first to terminate at Lawnswood or Horsforth, whilst the people of Headingley and other areas carry on with their lives oblivious to the snow drifts of Cookridge. In the rush hour, say 7.30am to 9.00am, traffic from the Moseley Woods struggles to access Tinshill Road caused by the bottleneck at Horsforth station and Station Road, whilst the traffic further up Green Lane by the primary school is particularly difficult to negotiate half an hour either side of school start and finish times. In the afternoon, large parts of Horsforth from 3pm to 6pm approach gridlock.

This is the current situation. It will become a great deal worse with the addition of a further 200 homes, perhaps 2000 daily car journeys, from a site right at the edge of the area, furthest away from all amenities. The rat runs will proliferate, especially during construction with all those heavy vehicles struggling to access the site. The danger to all residents especially young and old will inevitably increase along our narrow roads.

What will happen to the schooling of local children? Already refused access to Horsforth school, already unable to get into Holy Trinity and Cookridge Primaries, Ireland Wood is the next nearest, but this will be affected by the redevelopment plans to Boddington Hall. Has anyone done the sums, does anyone in authority care? Similar issues will arise in relation to access to other amenities, especially health care, recently centred on Ireland Wood. In summary, in response to population growth estimates which Leeds is unlikely to meet, a totally inappropriate site, difficult to access, has been selected for potential development without any needs assessment being carried out by LCC. In fact I understand that Leeds planners decided that the site met only one of twenty two criteria for building and that, the nebulous concept of improving the quality of housing in the area! The only quality issue will be the loss of quality of life for years to come embroiled in construction traffic and noise and faced with huge threats to local residents and amenities through inadequate infrastructure and uncertain educational issues. At the same time, brownfield sites lay barren and derelict throughout the city. These should be prioritised and developed before any consideration is given to green field or green belt sites.

You know it makes sense.

Name: Helen Moorhouse

Representor No: PRS02251

Representation ID: REP04489 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Cookridge is built up and congested enough. Our community does not need any more housing. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Roads at present are congested enough particularly at peak times, the Moseley woods will become a nightmare. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Both local primary schools are currently over subscribed so where are the extra children supposed to go, or where would they build a new school. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Risk of flooding will be greatly increased when the area is concreted. Moseley Wood Beck overflows and causes problems with tains - tunnel sometimes under water. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. So many types of wildlife will be affected - foxes, birds (red kite and heron spotted) - red kite already endangered.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Richard Dewhurst

Representor No: PRS02252

Representation ID: REP04490 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. This will considerably devalue many local properties.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Very concerned about this issue.

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. This area should be preserved for its natural facilities.

Name: Peggy Mullen

Representor No: PRS02254

Representation ID: REP04493 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Cookridge cannot cope with any more housing for reasons mentioned above and overleaf.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. All roads into Cookridge are very busy now without adding extra cars and lorries.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. The schools are already over subscribed. The Doctors are at Holt Park & Ireland Wood. The Dentist is at Ireland Wood.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. I have seen the beck overflow flooding all areas around Moseley Wood Croft.

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Name: Graham Brown

Representor No: PRS02255

Representation ID: REP04495 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. There isn't the infrastructure to cope, schools, roads etc.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Roads already congested. Parking a constant problem with users of Horsforth station.

I strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Schools already oversubscribed.

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Multiple instances of the railway being flooded. Moseley bottom a natural run off for water.

I agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Name: Liz Higate

Representor No: PRS02256

Representation ID: REP04496 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There is not a housing shortage in the Cookridge area. A new housing estate would spoil the environment for the existing Cookridge residents. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Our local schools are all full already. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We have herons visiting every year. The land is home to foxes, rabbits, and hedgehogs, toads, newts.

Name: Renny Lange

Representor No: PRS02257

Representation ID: REP04497 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom - Green to Red.

I agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

I strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem

I agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand

I strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this

I strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Edward Noonan

Representor No: PRS02258

Representation ID: REP04498 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Claire Noonan

Representor No: PRS02263

Representation ID: REP04502 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. There is adequate housing. Please look at derelict houses and reinstate these before building more, I understand we require more affordable housing in the city but building here is not the answer. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. I have 2 young children and an increase of traffic will endanger their lives. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Our schools are already subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. My daughter loves the wildlife on the beck it is an important part of Moseley Wood.

Name: J Jany

Representor No: PRS02264

Representation ID: REP04505 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Local services will not cope with more housing. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. As previous. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. As previous. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. We have enough flooding without adding to it. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We should be protecting wildlife not eliminating it.

Name: Mr Brown (family)

Representor No: PRS02266

Representation ID: REP04507 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. I had a hose in these fields they flood at the bottom only one entrance exit. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. My street would become a rat run. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. See previous comments. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: B.k Benson

Representor No: PRS02268

Representation ID: REP04510 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The proposed only entrance/exit will create an intolerable situation for residents and difficulties for emergency services - more housing will greatly increase problem. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Already a problem leaving the area at Horsforth bridge end and so problem greatly increased. See over for reply to proposed entrance/exit. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. All services, schools already full and future demand will make it necessary for yet more buildings. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. This could potentially be a big problem for the rail network. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. We need natural habitats for the balance of nature and residents a like.

Name: Anthony E Benson

Representor No: PRS02272

Representation ID: REP04512 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Full schools. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Visiting cars on the one & only entrance/exit will cause gridlock/emergency services will be unable to assist the area. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. In future these pupils will not be able to go to Horsforth local primary schools already over subscribed. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Under mining of railway line. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: M.h Norwood

Representor No: PRS02273

Representation ID: REP04514 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Unsure. . Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Agree.

Name: Anne Bell

Representor No: PRS02275

Representation ID: REP04516 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Not enough schools places, not enough shops. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Small fairly narrow streets. Many children. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: David Blackburn

Representor No: PRS02281

Representation ID: REP07250 Question Ref: H1

PAS land. There are access problems to the site and it is our belief that the development of PAS land should not be accepted until all available brownfield sites have been developed.

Representation ID: REP04522 Question Ref: H4

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Schools & Medical Facilities in area are already oversubscribed. Transport (public) will be overstretched and rail service similarly. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Why choose attractive greenfield sites when there is brownfield & less attractive greenfield available

Name: Lee

Representor No: PRS02283

Representation ID: REP04525 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Stephen Ewen

Representor No: PRS02284

Representation ID: REP04527 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Overload of schools Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Has a section 106 been completed if so I would like to see a copy. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Anna Pridmore

Representor No: PRS02290

Representation ID: REP04529 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom. Good quality affordable housing is needed. Unsure if this development will increase local traffic. Agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Unsure that the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding or if more building WILL affect this. Agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: M C Barker

Representor No: PRS02291

Representation ID: REP04534 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: J Taylor

Representor No: PRS02293

Representation ID: REP04535 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Not enough shops to cater for anymore. Agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. At certain times the roads are already too busy. Agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Being at the bottom of the hill will get all surface water when it rains. Agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Don't know what there is.

Name: J McGill

Representor No: PRS02294

Representation ID: REP04536 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom. Unsure Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: James Whitfield

Representor No: PRS02295

Representation ID: REP04538 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Railway line already floods. Field is bottom of a valley. Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. I go walking in this area all the time. This field is an excellent habitat for wildlife, and we must not lose this important natural green space.

Name: Jason Smith

Representor No: PRS02297

Representation ID: REP04541 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Audrey Holmes

Representor No: PRS02298

Representation ID: REP04542 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sandra Edwards

Representor No: PRS02299

Representation ID: REP04543 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. The area is currently over developed concerns over traffic, infrastructure & flooding makes this a potential detriment to the area.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Mosley Woods are a Rat-Run as it is without potentially 200+ more cars. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. The primary schools are full as it is. Local children are unable to get places in the local schools at the moment!
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. Flooding is a major issue with houses in Mosley Woods & Smithy Lane being prone to flooding in the rain.
Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. There are plenty of wildlife in Moseley bottom which does not need disturbing!!

Name: Anne Briggs

Representor No: PRS02301

Representation ID: REP04545 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. Not enough Doctor Schools No roads for traffic.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: John Gordon Mole

Representor No: PRS02302

Representation ID: REP04547 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Philip Edger

Representor No: PRS02308

Representation ID: REP04554 Question Ref: H1

I consider the proposed development to be totally inappropriate as it adds a significant number of houses to an already overdeveloped area. The present housing in the Mosley Wood, Kirkwood, Whenley Dale Park and Woodhill developments was built with very limited amenities and unlike Holt Park without open public space. I suspect also that building was to maximise density permissible at the time.
The principal criticism is, however, the limited access to the site which can only be through the existing housing in order to reach a main road, either Green Lane or Cookridge Lane. The roads concerned were built to provide access to the present properties, not through roads capable of carrying the increased traffic which would result. They are relatively narrow, made worse by numerous parked cars.
In addition every route involves negotiating a hill particularly Mosley Wood Gardens at the north east end. Mosley Wood Bank (possibly the most used) and Woodhill Road at the approach to the junction with Tinchill Road. This is particularly relevant in bad weather in the winter months when parts cannot be accessed and services such as gritting and waste collection are non-existent.
Other relevant considerations are the limited availability of services such as schools which are already oversubscribed, and medical facilities, i.e. doctors and dentists. Further expansion of the number of houses relying on the present facilities will inevitably, without increasing these, make an already difficult situation even worse.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Ainley

Representor No: PRS02310

Representation ID: REP04556 Question Ref: H1

POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

I would like to object to the councils designation of the above site which is currently colour coded Green for the following reasons.

1. Overbuilding

Cookridge is already a heavily built up residential area that has no facilities for the existing community we need extras amenities of facilities NOT MORE HOUSES

-There are no doctors/dentist in the area

There is only a small Tesco and a post office, already very busy.

Schools are oversubscribed.

Two hundred more houses will create the need for more school places extra council cost. Also present an already struggling infrastructure.

2 TRAFFIC INCREASE

The existing roads could not cope with the extra traffic- a possibility of 400 more cars as well as building lorries and heavy machinery for a substantial amount of time while new housing would be being built.

Roads around the s=estate are bust. Because the area is hilly, lacks amenities, only one bus route. People mostly use their vehicles to get what they need. More cars would make the roads busier.

Cars are parked on roads around the estate often including vehicles from building companies doing house improvements. Which often dampen areas at certain times because of parked car being very busy of cars and buses trying to get by. This would be made even more dangerous.

During times of heavy snow the roads are difficult and dangerous to negotiate because of hills often gritters don't get down. More traffic trying to get from the bottom of the estate would increase the congestion and danger.

There is only one small site entrance on the Mosley Wood Rise. This surely is inadequate for so many extras vehicles going to and from the new development. All traffic to the proposed new estate will use that entrance as well as Mosley Wood Gardens difficult to negotiate now and more so whilst council vehicles have been and are now reinstating kerbs. It is very difficult now to get through Mosley Wood Gardens from Green Lane, impossible with increased traffic and construction vehicles. At peak times there would be a unacceptable level of congestion.

IN CONCLUSION

Councillor Andrem intimated that the population of Leeds is not growing at the rate expected so why fill this narrow strip of land with more houses. There must be Brownfield Sites that could be developed first and would benefit and be improved from development rather than cramming more houses into an area already over developed with residential buildings and nothing else.

Why is Bodington Hall only being developed with 75 house when Mosley Wood Bottom – a much smaller piece of land is earmarked for 200.

Surely drainage and sewage systems are already in place here at Boddington and a community of social housing needed could create a viable community there instead of overbuilding in Cookridge.

Finally it would seem that the council is considering allowing builders to build on Green Field and protected areas of ***** rather than ensuring all Brownfield sites are fully developed first. Also existing unoccupied housing could be restored and out back into use. Surely this opportunity to develop should be for the benefit of the people of Leeds and opportunities to develop area that require it rather than allowing 200 houses to be squeezed into an already overbuilt overpopulated area of land. I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan. PS. There is only one bus service and route into Leeds. Traveling to work at peaks times is often backed up through Headingley. More traffic going into the city would make this worse.

The train is already extremely packed at peak times this to would be made worse.

Name: Stephen Hickson

Representor No: PRS02329

Representation ID: REP04581 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Mark Ripley

Representor No: PRS02331

Representation ID: REP04582 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Kim Sanderson

Representor No: PRS02332

Representation ID: REP04584 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michelle Sanderson

Representor No: PRS02334

Representation ID: REP04585 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree

Name: Mia Windgren

Representor No: PRS02335

Representation ID: REP04587 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Nigel Harness

Representor No: PRS02340

Representation ID: REP04591 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Peter Singleton

Representor No: PRS02341

Representation ID: REP04592 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree

Name: John Collinson

Representor No: PRS02342

Representation ID: REP04593 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Alex Atha

Representor No: PRS02343

Representation ID: REP04594 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Susan Atha

Representor No: PRS02344

Representation ID: REP04595 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sylvia Petty

Representor No: PRS02345

Representation ID: REP04596 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: R Apperly

Representor No: PRS02346

Representation ID: REP04597 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Nick Booth

Representor No: PRS02347

Representation ID: REP04598 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Neil Marshall

Representor No: PRS02349

Representation ID: REP04599 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Michelle Cutts

Representor No: PRS02350

Representation ID: REP04600 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Anthony Baldwin

Representor No: PRS02351

Representation ID: REP04602 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree We have a new one at Adel Already The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree I have camera evidence of excessive vehicles outside [x] at present. This will get even worse if 200+ houses are built. Someone will be killed or injured because of more vehicles Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Shirley Collinson

Representor No: PRS02352

Representation ID: REP04603 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree There is insufficient infrastructure for a new development e.g schools,public services etc The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Janet Telford

Representor No: PRS02353

Representation ID: REP04604 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree Where is the infrastructure; schools Docs etc The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Steve Cartwright

Representor No: PRS02354

Representation ID: REP04605 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree Too many cars and road congestion The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Cant cope now The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: David Lowry

Representor No: PRS02356

Representation ID: REP04608 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree There is already too much traffic in the area. The school is too small for more children. The area is already dendeley populated The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Ellen Smith

Representor No: PRS02360

Representation ID: REP04611 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The area is already densely populated and local infrastructure such as schools will suffer and are not suitable for expansion to accommodate this population increase. Congestion will increase to an unacceptable level with certain residential areas becoming 'rat runs' making them less safe for children and pets. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Elizabrth Williams

Representor No: PRS02361

Representation ID: REP04615 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Unsure The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Unsure I do not live in Cookridge so am unsure about this Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Unsure Again unsure about this

Name: Joanna Morris

Representor No: PRS02366

Representation ID: REP04617 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree More houses in the area wil;I cause numerous problems including traffic and schooling problems local schools are already overflowing where would they educate the children The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John P Igoe

Representor No: PRS02368

Representation ID: REP04621 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree I have friends and family in the area. Traffic is very busy always The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Stuart Skaife

Representor No: PRS02371

Representation ID: REP04623 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree Lack of support services - schools-Drs etcThe inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Traffic in this area is already a problem Further development will lead to increased accident potential Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree School places are already at a premium The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: M Blagg

Representor No: PRS02373

Representation ID: REP04629 Question Ref: H1

"Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree There are not sufficient amenities, access points or infrastructure to cope with more houses road surfaces will not withstand all the heavy lorries pounding them The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree There will be a bottle neck at the junction of Woodhill Rd/ Tinshill Rd. Car parking at Horsforth Station inadequate, double yellow lines on Tinshill Rd merely moves the parking higher up the road. Trains crowded already at peak times. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Local schools already over subscribed. More pressure will be put on local surgeries and health services. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree There will be less land for the beck to flood on to, if the houses flood insurances will go up Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree It is a vital green area for local wildlife and trees are necessary for absorption of CO2 none should be axed These plans fail on so many fronts, including the access to the estate, the interminable and unacceptable time-scale, the dangers of lorries going up and down all day and the noise factor

Name: John Holden

Representor No: PRS02427

Representation ID: REP04688 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree Population density should not increase The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree There is too much traffic at present Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree

Name: Anne Atherton

Representor No: PRS02428

Representation ID: REP04689 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agreeMain objection is so many cars as I used to live on Moseley Wood Green & I can imagine what it would be like - horrendous The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agreeSame everywhere without extra houses The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agreeIts always been boggy. My children used to play down there Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree I always think its awful to knock a wood down to build houses.

Name: Shannon Cox

Representor No: PRS02429

Representation ID: REP04690 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree No school places traffic will worsen The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Traffic is bad enough as it is especially at school open and closing hours Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Its hard enough to get an appointment at Drs as it is The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Unsure Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree Walks with children and dogs are safe and pleasant at the moment

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Kathleen Dean

Representor No: PRS02430

Representation ID: REP04691 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree Not enough shops or amenities already The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Morning/Evening traffic already bad Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree No dentist in Cookridge now The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree True Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree Keep it as it is.

Name: Lynsey Shields

Representor No: PRS02431

Representation ID: REP04692 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree Existing developments are not fully sold, if people wanted to live in the area that much they would buy the already built properties The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree We already struggle leaving our estate due to the traffic on Otley Old Road. This will cause further delays and increased traffic already around Ireland Wood Primary School Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Despite the new surgery at Ireland Wood, it is normal to wait a week for a suitable appointment. We are unable to get a dentist as it is. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Goes without saying, increased tarmac and buildings equals less ground for water to saturate thus increased levels in flooding. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree We are lucky to live so close to the countryside why spoil it. I am sure the increased number of flights around Leeds have impacted the wildlife -why increase the damage

Name: Richard Shields

Representor No: PRS02432

Representation ID: REP04693 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Traffic is already congested around Otley Old Road and Horsforth train station, any more traffic would see this pushed to gridlock on most mornings and evenings Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree I am still on the waiting list for a Dentist in Horsforth, an additional 200 homes would further compound this issue The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree The loss of land for water absorption in this area is likely to cause serious flooding further into this railtrack Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree One of the benefits to living in Cookridge is that you are a short walk from fields and wildlife. This development will cause serious damage to the wildlife in the area if approved.

Name: Claire Harness

Representor No: PRS02433

Representation ID: REP04694 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Agree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Agree Definately around Green Lane Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Disagree Brand new Drs will want more patients to get more Drs The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Unsure Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree

Name: G Neame

Representor No: PRS02434

Representation ID: REP04695 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The roads in the area are already congested both with traffic and parked cars. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree The roads in the area already have too much traffic. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree It is a well known fact that all schools are going to be short of places, and people already find it difficult to get appointments for health services The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Obviously more building will reduce drainage of surface water, also more waste water to dispose of. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Don't know

Name: David Littlewood

Representor No: PRS02435

Representation ID: REP04696 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The traffic through Cookridge and neighbouring towns is already too heavy The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Station Road and Tinsill Road are already busy 'rat runs' this will compound the problem Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree The schools and dental practices are full. I have to already pay for private dental care. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Unsure. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree There is a rich array of wildlife and fauna which will be destroyed by this development.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Robert Caldeira

Representor No: PRS02436

Representation ID: REP04697 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree not on the proposed scale and the density of house in the plot The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree The proposed site has only one access point therefor congestion and pollution is inevitable. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Local primary schools are already oversubscribed. Horsforth High School have changed admissions policy to exclude new children living in Cookridge putting further pressure on school places. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree When we learn to stop building on land prone to flooding. Impact on train line should flood risk increase because of development. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree

Name: Margaret Harness

Representor No: PRS02437

Representation ID: REP04698 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree Many houses empty in the area - Roads already blocked especially at Horsforth Station - Schools overflowing! Cant get an appointment at the surgery - too many people already The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Cars cant get up Moseley Wood Gardens now without any more cars!- and roads already breaking up without heavy lorries Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Overcrowded already The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree All the hills drain down into Moseley bottom - probably been a boggy area for thousands of years. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree The area is well known for wildlife - it's a natural quiet area - it's a natural corridor for animals - which is very important

Name: Janet Scaife

Representor No: PRS02438

Representation ID: REP04699 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree The current infrastructure cannot cope with proposed developments on this scale The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Roads are becoming more congested and parked cars are causing visibility problems Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Already problems with local schools oversubscribed and causing anxiety to local families. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree Housing will add to local flooding risks as there will be less open land to drain the rain. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree Residents need a green space and green outlook to diffuse the stresses of every day life.

Name: Gary Rhodes

Representor No: PRS02439

Representation ID: REP04700 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree There's going to be no space left for wildlife and plants The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Traffic is a problem already without any increase Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly agree Our services are already at breaking point The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Strongly agree More buildings there are the less drainage will be Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly agree There is getting less and less natural wildlife habitat

Name: J Pronay

Representor No: PRS02440

Representation ID: REP04701 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly agree Green belt is disappearing as is green land. Develop brownfield sites. Initial cost is higher, cost to the nation is reduced. Cookridge has few facilities/egress already just a mass of housing The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly agree Development below Moseley Wood Grove has only one access - Trouble built into plan. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Agree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Agree most likely Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Agree Need specialists to identify this (West Park fields proved to have 81 species of plants including rare)

Name: Weldon

Representor No: PRS02441

Representation ID: REP04704 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing development Strongly disagree The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem Strongly disagree Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand Strongly disagree The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this Disagree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat Strongly disagree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Betty Layton

Representor No: PRS02444

Representation ID: REP04706 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red.

Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.No placement for school we have too much traffic - enough is enough.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem.Too much fast moving traffic.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. It's difficult coping now.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Definitely - Ive seen flooding.

Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Leave well alone.

Name: Linda Mole

Representor No: PRS02447

Representation ID: REP04710 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.As it is green belt land.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. I live on [x] and it would affect how I would get out of my drive.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.Most of the schools in our area are full.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.

Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Martin Wheatley

Representor No: PRS02449

Representation ID: REP04712 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.Too much housing.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Roads too busy already, safety issues.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Waiting times will be affected.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.

Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Cannot destroy these habitats.

Name: Lynsey Shaw

Representor No: PRS02451

Representation ID: REP04714 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Too few good schools and this would affect my child getting into our first choice.

Agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem.Lots of parking in and around Cookridge already making roads difficult to navigate and dangerous at times this would increase.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Yes schools will struggle anyway with high birth rate last few years. Already around 30 kids in the area being born a month. Affects baby clinic services too.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Yes where will this water go? My house? My street? Road I want to access ?

Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Yes it should be protected.

Name: Sharon Barker

Representor No: PRS02452

Representation ID: REP04716 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.

Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Rita Savage

Representor No: PRS02460

Representation ID: REP04724 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.
Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Daniel Sanderson

Representor No: PRS02461

Representation ID: REP04725 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.
Agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Taylor

Representor No: PRS02463

Representation ID: REP04726 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Facilities very limited (losing its village character)
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. It already is)on Moseley Wood Avenue) a problem more traffic will clearly make it worse - rat runs etc.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Waiting times for appointments have already increased to an unacceptable length (especially doctors) some families with children split between different schools as no places available. No extra buses/ or extended bus routes are to be provided for the proposed development - number 6 bus already unreliable - more cars will be left on our street (parking problem) in order to be near the bus stops.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Too much pressure will occur on different drains/ flooding likely to move to the railway line at the bottom.
Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Destruction of plants/ flora results in fewer insects (eg much endangered bees) finally has an adverse effect on the natural food chain.

Name: G Rayton

Representor No: PRS02469

Representation ID: REP04735 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.
Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: B Rayton

Representor No: PRS02470

Representation ID: REP04737 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.
Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Tony Brookes

Representor No: PRS02471

Representation ID: REP04738 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Janet Blackburn

Representor No: PRS02472

Representation ID: REP04739 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Road structure, school situation and all facilities already stretched. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Nearest surgery now at far side of Cookridge. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Lindsay Lowe

Representor No: PRS02474

Representation ID: REP04741 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Can't get an appointment to see Dr now. Current services are already inadequate. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: B Lowe

Representor No: PRS02480

Representation ID: REP04747 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Present services already inadequate. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Robert Hilditch

Representor No: PRS02482

Representation ID: REP04749 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. The reasons given for refusing planning permission last time, still remain! If anything there are even more reasons now. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Mark Woffenden

Representor No: PRS02483

Representation ID: REP04750 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Unnecessary resulting in huge problems.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Also only 1 entrance to this proposed estate is ridiculous which means a Cookridge Drive exit will be inevitable.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.

Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: Raymond Davis

Representor No: PRS02485

Representation ID: REP04753 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. As the infrastructure in the area is inadequate.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. These are already problems at peak times.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. School places are already full Doctors etc experience long waits.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Obviously hard surfaces will cause more run off of surface water.

Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat. As the state of nature report states our wildlife needs all the help it can get.

Representation ID: REP00785 Question Ref: H1

only brownfield sites should be identified as ''green' until they have all been built upon. following that current green belt and PAS sites may be considered to be ''green'

Representation ID: REP00785 Question Ref: H9

1199This is currently productive farmland and should not be used for housing.

Representation ID: REP00785 Question Ref: H2

site 1199 Access to site will be via Moseley Wood Rise, Moseley Wood Gardens and not suitable for the substantial amount of extra traffic which would be generated by this development. The traffic on Woodhill Rise, Horsforth Bridge, Station Road is already extremely busy at peak times with people travelling to and from work and schools and this development would add substantial amounts of extra traffic in these areas. The traffic problems would extend further onto Otley Old Road down to Lawnswood roundabout and the heavily congested A660. Commuters from Otley, Yeadon and other outlying areas use Cookridge as a through route and a new development will only add to the volume of traffic. The increase in traffic will add to the chaos in the winter months, when Council grit wagons cannot access Cookridge. Cars are often abandoned at the side of the road and this development would add to the chaos. Also, in periods of ice and heavy snow fall, buses do not access Cookridge so therefore travel in and out of Cookridge is heavily curtailed. Parking around the Primary School, Tesco Local and Post Office is extremely problematic already and this development would only add to that. There is no provision for schooling for extra children and school places are already an issue. The only opportunity to extend the local school (if the Council can provide the money!) would be onto its playing fields. The train service on the Leeds-Harrogate line is already inadequate. On-street parking around the station area is already a problem because the station car park is not fit for purpose. The site has numerous springs running from it which flow through our garden as the land behind us is higher than our garden. In periods of heavy rain fall we already have a flooded garden and this will be made worse by the area being built on. With the hard surfaces on the site there would be certainly more run-off to Moseley Beck which has in the past flooded the railway line between Bramhope Tunnel and Horsforth Station. This is currently productive farmland and should not be used for housing. The State of Nature Report on Britain's natural environment states that many species are in decline (66% considerably) and this development would only add to this situation, as this area is a haven for wildlife. Doctors's services in the area are already overstretched (with a 1 week wait for an appointment) so this development would make matters even worse.

Name: Lucas

Representor No: PRS02486

Representation ID: REP04756 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Howard Jackson

Representor No: PRS02487

Representation ID: REP04757 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments. The area is already overpopulated for the infrastructure in place to support the people already living there.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Car parking on the streets and the volume of traffic is already an issue when you are trying to gain access or leave your own property.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. As mentioned before about the amount of people in the area the pressure on local services are forcing residents to source services outside of the area.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this. The drainage in the area is already inadequate the infrastructure cannot support more building development.
Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Any greenbelt land taken for housing disturbs wildlife & the ecology of the site.

Name: Christopher Owens

Representor No: PRS02488

Representation ID: REP04758 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Name: James Owens

Representor No: PRS02489

Representation ID: REP04759 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Bottom Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.
Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.
Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.
Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.
Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Number of foxes on the streets & kites hunting above gardens prove their natural habitats have already been impeded upon.

Name: James Bowers

Representor No: PRS02500

Representation ID: REP04777 Question Ref: H1

During the construction of the development, the existing roads local to the development will be subject to increased HGV and car traffic leading to further deterioration of existing poor estate roads and pavements. This increased traffic will potentially increase the risk of danger to existing elderly and young residents. Following completion of this development the provision of a single entry / exit road will create a 'rat run' through the estate at school and commuting times, thus presenting further risk of danger to residents. The existing surface water drainage system is inadequate during heavy rain/flash flood conditions. The proposed development will create a large covered area of land that will reduce the amount of land available to naturally absorb the surface water. This may lead to potential flooding of buildings and grounds so the new development and importantly to the adjacent Leeds to Harrogate railway line. The existing Gas, Water, Electricity, Drainage and telephone services to Moseley Wood Cookridge will require substantial reinforcement to serve the new development. This will require extensive excavation to existing road ways and pavements local to the proposed development. This will create further inconvenience to existing residents and will reduce traffic flow. The work will lead to further deterioration to the existing roads local to the development that are in poor and patched up conditions. Reinstatement of road ways and pavements has never been completed to a satisfactory standard in Cookridge.

Name: Gillian Tones

Representor No: PRS02511

Representation ID: REP04787 Question Ref: H1

1199 Land of Moseley Wood Gardens Green to Red.
This site should be changed from green to red, as it is totally unsuitable for building more homes in Cookridge. The area already has an excess of traffic & Moseley Wood lane will be used as a cutthrough by more vehicles. There are many times when this road is almost blocked by parked vehicles & large lorries taking a short cut to Tesco's.
There are not sufficient school places already and a further 200 homes would make it totally unacceptable the facilities in this area are not suitable to accommodate further families.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Elizabeth Beetham

Representor No: PRS02603

Representation ID: REP04902 Question Ref: H7

1199 – H1/H2 There is insufficient infrastructure to cope with additional road traffic. During community hours and the school run, Woodhill Road is exceptionally busy. The new development will refund this *****. There will be an issue with school places. Parking is a major issue in Green Lane outside the school, the post office and ****. The development will add to the current situation already could become an issue with water runoff to the railway line causing disruption and delay.

Name: Joseph Pounds

Representor No: PRS02675

Representation ID: REP05126 Question Ref: General comment

Moseley Wood Bottom 1199

The independent inspector reviewing this PAS site in 2005 stated that it would be better to extend the PAS land on its western boundary up to the railway rather than the beck. Should this development proceed in its present form and not include the portion of land between the beck and the railway (outlined in red on the enclosed plan) then it is obvious that this land would be treated as public open space and become completely unusable.

Name: Marjorie Richmond

Representor No: PRS02682

Representation ID: REP05133 Question Ref: H1

Green to Red.

1199 Land of Moseley Wood.

I think brown field sites should be used 1st. Boarded up houses should be brought back into use. This is the only wild green space in Cookridge and wild life would be lost. There will be increased flood risk as the whole estate slopes down to this land. there will be increased greenhouse gases. The road system will be more overloaded with much damage to already damaged roads. Parking at Horsforth station is not adequate now, so more cars there will cause more trouble. The schools and doctor's are already unable to cope with the present population, so more would cause trouble.

Name: Emma Baron

Representor No: PRS02684

Representation ID: REP05137 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Wood Gardens. Green to red.

The proposed development would generate a substantial amount of extra traffic on the roads. As we live on Moseley Wood Gardens, which would be the main access road to the development, this would effectively turn a nice, quiet suburb road into a main road, which we do not want to live on. As a nurse who works shifts the increase in noise from extra traffic & heavy plant vehicles will make it extremely difficult to sleep on days – hence another reason why we recently moved here- (nice and quiet).

The development will impact on the local schools and public services. There is only one primary school in Cookridge and therefore school places will become an issue. There is already limited bus services in this area and it appears that the current bus service may be reduced further.

Horsforth train station is only small with minimum parking. Unable to accommodate a few hundred people.

In the winter months roads rarely gritted in this area and green lane is a bad access road. The increase in traffic from the development will add substantially to the winter chaos. We moved to Cookridge for the picturesque countryside which will be destroyed along with the ecology. Therefore making the area a less desirable place to live.

Name: Leigh Bennett

Representor No: PRS02686

Representation ID: REP05139 Question Ref: H1

1199 Moseley Wood Gardens. Green to Red.

The development would generate a substantial amount of extra traffic. Woodhill road is very busy at peak times and the development would make this worse. Traffic from the new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. The transport link and road infrastructure cannot support the heavy plant vehicles and Lorries required for the development.

There is not enough public services to support the development there is not enough school places. No doctors or dentist surgeries. Limit public transport as there are few buses and horsforth train station is inadequate for additional 200 plus people.

Flooding may become a problem affecting the railway line and housing. The development will also make the area a less desirable place to live.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Robert Moody

Representor No: PRS02691

Representation ID: REP05145 Question Ref: H1

1199 Land of Moseley Wood Gardens. Green to Red.

Traffic is already an issue around Cookridge with dangerous parking around schools (Holy Trinity) and shops (Tesco Express on Green Lane) adding more residents will just add to these problems.

Traffic jams getting out of the new development at peak times onto green lane & other commuter routes will be a nuisance. Train and bus services (Harrogate – Leeds) No6 Bus are already both full and unreliable. If new residents wish to use public transport will these be improved – If not even more congestion and damage to the already poor road surfaces will result.

I object to you destroying the environment more 'green belt' will go, wild life will be killed and 'move out' of the area, what was once a quiet village will be destroyed even more. Not to mention the damage done by construction traffic.

Name: Judith Ann Friskney

Representor No: PRS02950

Representation ID: REP05444 Question Ref: H1

1199 Green to Red (Assumed)

This is a greenfield site. There are many objections. Access is inadequate. It will make worse the problems of traffic on the ring road and arterials. Therefore I oppose and object to any development here

Name: Christopher John Friskney

Representor No: PRS02958

Representation ID: REP05519 Question Ref: H1

1199 Green to Red (Assumed)

This is a greenfield site. There are many objections. Access is inadequate. It will make worse the problems of traffic on the ring road and arterials. Therefore I oppose and object to any development here

Name: Isobel Jenkins

Representor No: PRS02981

Representation ID: REP05548 Question Ref: H1

This is a preferred site (as indicated by the Council and Taylor Woodrow) for development for housing BUT it should not be developed because

1. Access roads very limited, Moseley Road Rise would not provide sufficient access. If Cookridge Drive developed this would cause increased traffic on roads already busy. (Cookridge Avenue and Cookridge Drive are 'rat runs' to avoid 'humps' on Cookridge Lane

2. The issue of services eg Schools has not been taken into account. Great increase in children

3. Bus Services - roads are already too narrow for the houses, problems caused by parked cars

4. If the land were to be developed it would make the existing flood problem at Horsforth Railway Station and on the Harrogate to Leeds railway line much worse. Network rail already reports many flooding crisis between 2004 and 2012 at this point

Representation ID: REP05548 Question Ref: H7

I support the view that this site is not suitable for housing. It presents an important leisure amenity for golfing and for walking. It should not be developed

Name: Kathleen Hutchings

Representor No: PRS03011

Representation ID: REP00133 Question Ref: H1

See H2 below.

Representation ID: REP00133 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 - land off Moseley Wood Gardens. Comments: a housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic and Cookridge does not have the infrastructure to cope with extra traffic. Extra traffic, together with the movement of plant and machinery, will damage the surface of Moseley Wood Gardens. Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. A new development will worsen the situation. There would be an impact on local schools and health services. Flooding from surface run off may become a problem to the railway line.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Christine Kaye

Representor No: PRS03020

Representation ID: REP00572 Question Ref: H1

I write with reference to Map 15; ref 1199 and the proposed Taylor Wimpey Development at Moseley Wood Rise. It appears that no proper thought or research has gone into a feasibility study as regards the current strain on the existing infrastructure; the impact that 200 new homes will have both in terms of general quality of life for existing residents; and the impact on the village as a whole as well as surrounding areas. If I had wanted to move to a town 26 years ago then I would have done. The development is turning our village into a second Horsforth. The existing draining is overloaded, the estate roads are already fairly busy but with 300 extra cars and heavy plant operating for the next 6 years it promises to be hell!

Representation ID: REP00572 Question Ref: H5

Map 15, ref 1199 Moseley Wood Rise. The cumulative impact of the aspects I stated above will completely transform the dynamic of the village; making it more hazardous for pedestrians, particularly the elderly & children as we have a large retired population in this area. In addition, the market values of properties are likely to plummet but I don't expect the council will counter this with a reduction in the council tax? I have seen green belts in neighbouring districts shrinking reducing the boundary between different wards. If it continues, then we will be akin to parts of Europe where the districts just merge into one. The fact the council have the chance of a windfall if the scheme goes through in the form of the new homes incentive scheme to the tune of nearly 2 million pounds, also concerns me.

Representation ID: REP00572 Question Ref: General comment

There are brown belt sites where new homes can be built on; leaving no justification to build on green belt land. There are also abandoned & neglected properties all of which, with government funding or in partnership with developers, can be brought up to standard. Our village is on the verge of becoming a small town which is not why we came to live and raise a family here. Whilst on paper 200 homes might be a short term fix to help with the housing shortage, in my view no real thought has been given to the potential impact on the environment and dynamic of our small community. In short, if this is permitted to proceed it is likely to have a devastating impact on those who live in the immediate vicinity; but equally those in the surrounding area. Of course those who usually make these decisions invariably don't live in the affected zone.

Representation ID: REP00572 Question Ref: H2

As mentioned above, it is the Map 15, ref 1199 and the Taylor Wimpey development on Moseley Wood Rise. The existing junior schools are full, and Matthew Murray will also find itself swamped. The local transport links need massive upgrades particularly the station. There is a greater potential for flooding as the new build is being sited right at the bottom of the slope / run off from the village. We know as we were flooded in 2004 as the drains couldn't cope. This will only add to the problem. With only one access road in and out of the site, rat runs will be created which will present a danger to pedestrians. There will be increased traffic congestion and all the misery that that brings.

Name: Paul Mellin

Representor No: PRS03028

Representation ID: REP01170 Question Ref: H2

1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Cookridge does not have the infrastructure, capacity, amenities, schools or transport links so why overdevelop the area.

Name: Terence Devaney

Representor No: PRS03065

Representation ID: REP01338 Question Ref: H2

1151 Cookridge Lane, Cookridge 50 Red Green Belt site adjoining the main urban area 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge 173 Green Protected Area of Search (PAS) site on existing UDP, so not within the Green Belt. 3044 Cookridge Hall Golf Club 274 Red Green Belt site. 3360 Cookridge Hall 1550 Red Green Belt site. I disagree with the idea of building new housing on any of the proposed sites, firstly because the fact they are in my view all green sites that should be protected. However there are many other aspects that should be considered: Insufficient medical services in the area to support increased numbers of people. The number of primary and secondary school places available. The roads are already heavily congested without increased numbers of cars. The population of Leeds is not currently growing at the rate expected. Inadequate road infrastructure to cope with the additional housing. Significant peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roads. Access to sites will be dangerous and problematic. Potential flooding/drainage issues. The increase in local population. Impact on the local environment. Potential public transport issues. Local job prospects – where will people find jobs? Loss of agriculture – food sources – self sufficiency. Lack of appropriate infrastructure and the fact that no needs assessment had been carried out by Leeds City Council to date and that this is needed urgently. Potential visual impact. Over-development of the area. Ecology – e.g. wildlife, flora and fauna that needs to be protected. Obviously my reasons are the same as most others who object to the proposed developments and I strongly support any action that can be taken to prevent these taking place. [x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Graham Stewart Pennells

Representor No: PRS03071

Representation ID: REP00849 Question Ref: H1

Indequate roads in Horsforth & Cookridge to cope with the extra housing. The Moseleys would become a rat run for motorists exiting the development. Access to the Moseley Bottom development site will be a problem and potentially dangerous as the access roads are not wide enough for contractors' vehicles. Potential flooding & drainage problems. Inadequate public transport. Visual impact of over-development of what is now a natural habitat. Impact on local primary & secondary schools

Representation ID: REP00849 Question Ref: H9

1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. For reasons already given in H1/H2

Representation ID: REP00849 Question Ref: H2

1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge

Name: Michael Barson

Representor No: PRS03076

Representation ID: REP00090 Question Ref: H2

We disagree with site 1199 land off Moseley wood gardens -Cookridge. Increased Traffic causing even higher volumes of congestion through residential areas. Traffic will use residential streets as a rat run to avoid main roads with traffic calming measures. Insufficient infrastructure to support new housing, already heavy traffic in rush hours with traffic heading into Leeds. Impact on local schools, which are currently at full capacity and no plans to build any new schools, children should not be expected to travel far for safety reasons. Environmental impact on woodland, ponds, and animal habitat. Increased air & noise pollution due to plant and machinery in an area already high with noise and aviation pollution from L&B airport. What about quality of life people do not want to live in urban sprawl with one town spreading into another.

Name: Harriet Thornton

Representor No: PRS03095

Representation ID: REP01601 Question Ref: H3

I think if development must be carried out in cook ridge, although I do not this is required or necessary the only site that would be suitable would be the cookridge golf club because the access is off a main road and there is sufficient land to be able to build supporting services such as a school which is what is needed provided it did not interfere with the nature reserve behind it

Representation ID: REP01601 Question Ref: H5

Land at Moseley Wood Gardens - site 1199. Please refer the points stated earlier

Representation ID: REP01601 Question Ref: H9

Site 1199 should be red as it is clearly not suitable for housing development as per my reasons stated above. The cookridge hospital site is a lot more suitable

Representation ID: REP01601 Question Ref: H2

I disagree with any development at the moseley wood gardens site, which is site reference 1199. The reasons are as follows: 1. ROADWAYS - I live on moseley wood gardens so have first hand knowledge of the traffic in the area. The access would I understand be down moseley wood gardens which does not have the capacity to take any additional cars as it is already difficult to drive on with. This is because the drives are not wide or long enough to take two cars and therefore the residents have to park on the road. Any additional cars on this road would increase traffic and likely to increase the chances of accidents, particularly on the bend. This area always suffers badly in the winter and it would not take any additional traffic. With 200 houses you are looking at at least 400 cars and this is just not feasible on such a narrow estate road which was clearly not designed or built to carry anything over than what it currently serves. 2. SCHOOLS - There are only two primary schools in this area which are already at capacity and do not have the facility to take any additional children. There seems to be no provision to address this issue and it would be incorrect for current residents not to have the ability to take their children to the local school. There does not seem to be any area around the school which could be used to extend the schools. 3. Wildlife - this area is home to a variety of wildlife and it is important to maintain green space and these habitats. I have newts in my pond and we regularly see red kites and other wildlife. I believe we have an important role to play in protecting the green spaces for future generations and have an obligation to protect these animals habitats. 4. Rights of way - residents have since the moseley wood estate was built walked through the fields and therefore believe they have prescriptive rights of way over the fields. There are numerous residents who have been here for numerous years who would be able to provide the necessary statutory declarations in support of their right should these rights be impeded. It was clearly thought of that residents would use the field as their access to it from the estate. 5. Housing need - it is quite clear from simply looking around the area that there are number of houses available and on the market that are not selling. There is also a new development at the old cookridge hospital so this area is at capacity. That compounded with the number of empty properties in Leeds clearly shows that there is no need for any new houses. Surely, we cannot just build houses to try and generate jobs there must be an actual housing need. These are my key reasons for objecting. I am also very disappointed that the council's earlier development plan said that there would be no consideration for development until 2017 and this seems to have been suddenly disregarded.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Susan Stockley

Representor No: PRS03132

Representation ID: REP00687 Question Ref: H11

1199 would be the least bad alternative for short/medium term housing. 364 may be a longer term alternative but I would not advocate any housing in bramhope personally.

Representation ID: REP00687 Question Ref: H14

possibly 1199

Name: David Comery

Representor No: PRS03134

Representation ID: REP00747 Question Ref: H3

N/A - I am only concerned with commenting and making objection on site 1199 which is local to my address.

Representation ID: REP00747 Question Ref: H5

1199 Moseley Wood Bottom - see H2

Representation ID: REP00747 Question Ref: H7

2160 - land between the Leeds/Harrogate line to Scotland Lane. See comments at H2 re access to 1199 from Scotland Lane. This land is similar to the land at Moseley Wood Bottom.

Representation ID: REP00747 Question Ref: H2

1199 Moseley Wood Bottom Reasons: An unsuitable site for many reasons: 1. Based on houses currently in Cookridge 200 houses = a minimum of at least an extra 300 cars, most of which will be exiting and entering the location on a daily basis in morning and evening. To reach the main roads (Otley Old Road, Tinshill Road) this traffic will be using what are currently quiet residential streets (the existing Moseley Wood addresses) which will undoubtedly create congestion on those roads. I have heard that the developers estimate an extra 120 cars only which is ridiculous. A quick walk round Cookridge will show that most households in the area are 2 car households. 2. Where are the children from these 200 houses going to attend school? the current Primary schools in the Cookridge area (Cookridge, Holy Name, Holy Trinity, Ireland Wood) are already at or near capacity. The only local secondary school available for Cookridge residents is now Ralph Thoresby School in Holt Park (as Horsforth School has decided to only accept children from LS18 postcodes)- based on current statistics it is doubtful that this school can cope with a further influx from this development. 3. Horsforth Train Station - morning and evening trains to/from Leeds are already at capacity with standing room only on many journeys. Has the transport network been considered in these plans and the additional pressure that will result on already overstretched resources? New trains have supposedly been coming to the Harrogate line for many years but nothing has ever materialised. 4. Access to the development should not be from the Cookridge side at all (see comments at 1 above). Instead a more sensible option would be to have access from Scotland Lane and at the same time as doing that make that road into something more befitting a Regional International Airport rather than a back road. Obviously the developers don't own land up to Scotland Lane but perhaps they should be looking at acquiring some. A bridge would be required over the rail line but as builders this should not present a problem. Access would then be straight onto a sparsely populated main road rather than into an already well populated housing estate. 5. Drainage from the site will cause the railway problems - currently at times the line suffers from flooding from the Beck. By concreting what is now green fields the run off from all of Cookridge will now head directly to the Beck rather than being absorbed in the fields before finding its way to the Beck. 6. The disruption to the whole of Cookridge whilst the development is in progress will be horrendous, another reason why access for all site traffic should be from Scotland Lane, not Cookridge.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Gemma Koo

Representor No: PRS03167

Representation ID: REP06271 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments
Strongly agree, Plenty of houses for sale - we should be encouraging current properties to sell and reducing congestion in an already congested area

Local traffic WILL be a problem
Strongly agree, it's already a nightmare

It takes ages to get a doctors appointment as it is. My garden is often submerged after rain and wet all year round. Multitudes of birds, foxes and other plants and trees would be at risk

Representation ID: REP00127 Question Ref: H2

Moseley wood gardens ref 1191, cookridge hall refs 274 and 1150
Reasons: Traffic - cookridge is already very congested in peak times. Further housing would further exacerbate this issue. Cookridge is one of the highest points in Leeds - in snow the roads are rarely gritted and bus services are affected so this is not a logical place to build. Ecology - what is the impact on the environment? Usually done by the developer which doesn't seem impartial. Drainage - due to the lie of the land this will probably be an issue. Access to the development - this will create dangerous rat runs and increasing traffic. Schooling - already oversubscribed and this will increase the issue. Type of housing - this has not been confirmed and I do not want subsidised housing or housing association housing developed in the area.

Name: Helen Panks

Representor No: PRS03172

Representation ID: REP01359 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 Access to site is very limited
The site is prone to flooding and drains onto nearby rail track
The volume of traffic will be significantly increased in the area and the local roads cannot support this
Family housing will mean more children requiring school places, the local schools are already oversubscribed
The site is really a green belt site and should never have been removed from PAS

Name: David Boyce

Representor No: PRS03225

Representation ID: REP01198 Question Ref: H9

1199

Representation ID: REP01198 Question Ref: H2

1199. This is a semi-rural area and a greenfield site. It will involve building over countryside on the very edge of the city. The proposal has very limited access to the site. There are very limited facilities in the area and inevitably every house built will have probably more than one car, hugely increasing traffic volume on existing narrow residential streets. Green Lane and Woodhill Road in particular are already subject to heavy traffic. This development will further radically change the area that existing residents originally moved into, an area which has already been seriously changed adversely by broken promises on issues such as night flights at the airport.

Name: Chris Thornton

Representor No: PRS03226

Representation ID: REP01847 Question Ref: H1

Poor infrastructure i.e. roads, schools and public transport. In addition, the potential destruction of green space and wildlife.

Representation ID: REP01847 Question Ref: H5

Site 1199, see previous reason

Representation ID: REP01847 Question Ref: H2

I strongly disagree with the site at Moseley Wood bottom site code: 1199 due to the lack of infrastructure, the roads and congested now, there is no provision for extra residence in terms of schooling and public transport. Given that houses are on the market within this area and struggling to sell I would suggest that there is no need for any other houses. Also there is considerable wild life residing in the proposed green land i.e. deers, rabbits, Red kites, Newts. Given, the government wants to build houses and we need to spread the build I would suggest that houses should be built on sites 3044 and 3360 these would provide better access to main roads and would have the provision to build addition schools on the site given its size.

Representation ID: REP00025 Question Ref: H2

1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge. Due to the worrying and potential flooding of the railway line. Complete lack of infrastructure i.e. schools both primary and secondary. schools are considerably over subscribed as it is.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Joyce King

Representor No: PRS03244

Representation ID: REP00137 Question Ref: H1

Impact on road usage, Cookridge Avenue is already a "rat-run" for traffic avoiding Cookridge Lane because of speed bumps. Impact on local services, schools, medical services, transport. These are only JUST adequate for current needs.

Representation ID: REP00137 Question Ref: H5

1199 - there is not enough infrastructure to support this development. Too many properties are proposed in an area with very limited access and narrow surrounding roads. It would impose a great strain on existing local amenities.

Representation ID: REP00137 Question Ref: General comment

Site Ref: 1199 is entirely unsuitable for housing in this rural setting. The existing infrastructure will not be able to sustain the development proposed and the drainage and potential for flooding of the beck in the area is a risk.

Representation ID: REP00137 Question Ref: H2

1199 - this site is at the bottom of a hill, with drainage problems. Potential for very great amount of disruption over an extended period of time in a quiet residential area with a high proportion of elderly residents.

Name: George Hutchings

Representor No: PRS03288

Representation ID: REP00132 Question Ref: H1

See H2 for the main reasons.

Representation ID: REP00132 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 - land off Moseley Wood Gardens. Comments: a housing development will generate a substantial amount of traffic which the existing road infrastructure could not cope with. Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic, including heavy plant to be used during site construction will create damage. Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times and that situation would worsen with the new development. Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and the surrounding areas use Cookridge as a through route. Additional traffic from a new development would add to the problems. The development will impact on the local schools and the increased numbers of residents would put additional strain on local health services. Flooding may become a problem on the railway.

Name: Aisha Khan-catley

Representor No: PRS03326

Representation ID: REP01312 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref: 1199 Dear Sir/Madam, I would like to object to the Council's designation of the above site which is currently green for the following reasons: * Both myself and my husband work from home (we are freelance writers) and one of the reasons that we chose to buy in Cookridge was down to the quiet, village-like aspect of the area. We cannot express enough how important this is to us in our daily work lives. Cookridge is a stunning area with access to green fields, flora and fauna, all of which will be destroyed with this development. Surely these things need to be nurtured and protected? Does this area need to be developed further? What benefits are there from developing this section of land when other brownfield sites, which could be built upon, are readily available. * Schools, both primary and secondary were also a consideration for myself and my husband when we moved to the area. We would like our future children to be able to attend schools in the area in which we live; having additional families move into the area will no doubt put a strain on and have an impact on availability of places and mean that many families, including ourselves will struggle to find places in local schools. * As well as this there are heavy infrastructure implications. There is already significant peak hour traffic issues along Tinsell Road, and additional housing will only add to this. Furthermore, access roads to this area are limited and this will no doubt have a massive impact on roads in the area (heavy traffic and constant noise). Has an assessment on this been carried out by the Council over this particular issue? If not I feel this needs to be urgently undertaken. I also feel having "building traffic" moving along the roads in this area will have an impact on our (and I am sure of the other residents in the area) day to day living and well-being.

Therefore I do strongly suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the development plan.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michael Leng

Representor No: PRS03347

Representation ID: REP01313 Question Ref: H1

site 119 greenfield land should never be built on because there are numerous brownfield sites blighting this green and pleasant land. there is no going back once the land has been dissercated. we need to protect environment for future generations.

Representation ID: REP01313 Question Ref: H5

site 1199

Representation ID: REP01313 Question Ref: H7

site 1199 should be red

Representation ID: REP01313 Question Ref: H8

site 1199

Representation ID: REP01313 Question Ref: H9

site 1199

Representation ID: REP01313 Question Ref: H11

site 1199 should never be developed

Name: Sharan Packer

Representor No: PRS03352

Representation ID: REP01671 Question Ref: H1

Site ref 1199. Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. This area is not suitable for housing. See next section for reasons.

Representation ID: REP01671 Question Ref: H9

Site1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens should ahve been colour codes red because of the difficulties with access the topography, the lack of infrastructure and amenities to support the development, the effect on wildlife and the rail link from flooding run off.

Representation ID: REP01671 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Grardens. There is insufficient infrastructure to support a housing development of the size anticipated. There is already insufficient infrastructure to support the existing hosuing in Cookridge. The local schools have insufficient capacity for children currently living in Cookridge. The roads are not sufficient to handle the increase in cars - sme extra 1000 journeys per day. The area is the lowest point and subject tp flooding which overflows onto the railway tracks thereby disrupting train services. Ther is insufficient capacity on the trains for people living in the area to use them to travel to Leeds. At peak time trains cannot pick up all the pasengers who wish to travel from Horsforth and there are currently no plans to increase the number of carriages. Bus services in the area are very poor. The inadequacy of the public transport system in the area and the consequent reliance on cars is neither environmentally or socially sustainable. The A660 into Leeds is already overconjested from the ringroad through headingley and into the city centre and the extra 400 or so cars per day that would be using the road should the development go ahead is unstainable and would lead to grid lock on many roads. There will be increased difficulty in getting into and out of Cookridge for exiting households eespecially during the winter. The weather in Cookridge in winter is always worse than elsewhere in Leeds with roads often blocked by snow and buses stopping at the ring road. Ther is inadequate snow clearing by the council at present with Cookridge "abandoned" to the elements for days/weeks. Access to the site is very difficult and the roads are narrow and not suitable for the heavy goods vehicles that would be associated with any development. It is understood that the development would take 6 years to complete. The reason for this is not known but it is an excessive time to have the constant disruption of heavy plant and machinery through residential areas. The access in to the site is narrow and the heavy vehicles would do excessive damage to the roads which are already in a very poor state with their substructure breaking up. The extra weight would lead to much more failure and slippage of roads because of the steep gradients in places. In short the infrastructure and amenities in Cookridge are already struggling to cope with existing housing and are not able to support the additional housing. There is also the loss of wildlife habitat to consider. The area around the beck is a wildlife refuge and provides a green corridor for wildlife from the surrounding area. Any building would cause significant detriment to wildlife especially given the proposed length of time any development would take. Ther4 has been a lot od conservation work carried out in the area over the last 20 years by local land owners and any development would be detrimental to this.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Graham Goodwin

Representor No: PRS03355

Representation ID: REP00047 Question Ref: H1

The area in green, 1199 is a densely populated area already. The road network struggles to cope and airport expansion is constantly making this worse. If the airport growth was put on hold then this would be more possible. Or if one decent road to the airport was provided and not using housing areas for access then this might improve matters.

Representation ID: REP00047 Question Ref: H9

1199 because there is not enough transport infrastructure to support more housing in the area

Representation ID: REP00047 Question Ref: General comment

I would like site 2160 to be renamed. I am actually quite offended that someone chose to put my land as a possible site and that you used the name of my farm, Ling Bob farm to name the site. I am not behind the application and do not support it or my farms name being used on it.

Representation ID: REP00047 Question Ref: H2

1199 because of access and highways issues 2160 because of highways, green space, loss of public open space and because no one asked me, I own c 50 acres of it!! the transport infrastructure cannot cope because of airport expansion. 2046 because of highways, green space, loss of public open space, the transport infrastructure cannot cope because of airport expansion.

Name: Jonathan Hallatt

Representor No: PRS03382

Representation ID: REP01900 Question Ref: H9

Site Reference 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. This site should have been colour coded red because:-it forms part of the green belt around north west Leeds. the land itself is an important part of the local rain water run off storage and any building work would increase the potential for flooding particularly of the railway line.

Representation ID: REP01900 Question Ref: H2

Site Reference 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. Comments: A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic. We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic. Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base. Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development. Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems. The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in the winter months. The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue. Parking is problematic and dangerous outside the local schools, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with any new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation. The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound this problem. There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others the development of this site would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable. Flooding may become a problem, particularly to the railway line, because of surface run off that is currently absorbed by the boggy lower half of the site. The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Margaret Croft

Representor No: PRS03388

Representation ID: REP00691 Question Ref: H11

1199 least bad alternative

Representation ID: REP00691 Question Ref: H14

1199

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Tim Jones

Representor No: PRS03391

Representation ID: REP02031 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199 Land off Mosley Wood GardensNO - As detailed in response to H2

Representation ID: REP02031 Question Ref: H5

site 1199 as detailed in response to H2

Representation ID: REP02031 Question Ref: H8

site 1199 as per response to H2

Representation ID: REP02031 Question Ref: H11

Site 3044 and 3360 short and medium termSite 1199 - once reassurance has been achieved regarding flooding risk and impact to local capillary road of building traffic.

Representation ID: REP02031 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 Land off Mosley Wood GardensTopography of Tinshill and Cookridge means that the proposed location will receive run-off from the surrounding hills, making new properties built there liable to flooding, increasing the run-off from the previously boggy field into the stream which has a propensity to already flood the east coast mainline railway to Harrogate. Taylor Wimpey consultative documents disregard the area beyond their development in respect of flooding risk the proposed drainage and water holding tanks, ponds etc are able to cope with the demands of their site and fail to take account of the run-off from the surrounding hills and streets. Narrowing of any verges in surrounding streets would clearly cause increased run-off again this appears to have been disregarded.In winter the Cookridge area is more severely impacted than lower lying areas of Leeds, this already leads to many streets being impassable to non 4*4 vehicles for several days following a snow fall, cars are typically parked on the level roads on or surrounding Green Lane. Further development without direct access to a main road would inevitably increase the burden of such parked vehicles. The icy weather combined with fiscal pressure has lead to the local roads suffering and breaking down faster than the council is able to patch them. The potential catalyst of building wagons traversing them over a 6 year period can only hasten the rate of their erosion.Location 1199 is at the heart of the existing Mosley Wood estate and the capillary road network was never constructed to support a 6 year building programme with bi-directional HGV traffic. These capillary roads are used by commuters travelling into Leeds from the North West as a through route already; additional traffic will only increase the likelihood of accidents, delay and extend the commute into Leeds - the proposed development may only add 2-3 * 300 cars but we've seen the impact of marginal gains with the British Olympic cycling team - surely as planners you need to also apply such principles to reduce the commute into Leeds and not worsen the situation for the North West?The Taylor Wimpey consultative documents identify local infrastructure / services that their development would have access to - they show Holy Trinity Church of England Aided School as the closest Primary School - as this School is not under the LEA control and is already oversubscribed why is this shown? Cookridge Primary school is under LEA control but is beyond the 0.6 mile threshold so would cause additional cars to perform the school run - increasing road congestion, breaking down the roads and potentially causing additional risk of accidents. On street parking is prevalent in the area this is acutely so outside existing Primary Schools, Tescos, convenience stores and the Post office. A new development can only exacerbate the situation and make road safety more problematic.

Name: Brenda Roberts

Representor No: PRS03452

Representation ID: REP00263 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 - land off Moseley Wood GardensA housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic and we do not have the infrastructure to cope with it.Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times and the situation will worsen with the new development.The development will impact on the local schools with school places becoming an issue.Flooding may become a problem on the railway line with surface run off.

Name: Pauline Hall

Representor No: PRS03467

Representation ID: REP01227 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom. Site ref.1199. There is inadequate road infrastructure to cope with the additional housing. There is only one route into the new housing estate and this will definitely impact on traffic through mine and surrounding streets. At present the roads around Cookridge, towards Headingley and Horsforth are very congested, especially at peak time and with further developments this will only increase further.Highways issues – Over the years the increased housing developments in North Leeds, Guiseley, Menston, Rodley and new proposals for more housing in Menston and Rodley will only increase traffic build up on the A65 and Horsforth roundabout which is already unbearable at peak times. This new proposal for development in Cookridge will only add to the misery.The proposal for 200 houses to be built over 6 years. This will cause disruption in the area for a long time, increasing noise and pollution and access to the sites would be dangerous and problematic.There are other issues also associated with this development which need to be addressed such as:•Access to medical services – this is part of the infrastructure needs for the area.•Impact on access to both primary and secondary school places.•Population growth – the population of Leeds is not currently growing at the rate expected.•Concern over the types of housing that is required not adequately reflected •Potential flooding/drainage issues•The increase in local population•Impact on the local environment•Potential public transport issues.•Local job prospects – where will people find jobs?•Loss of agriculture – food sources – self sufficiency•Lack of appropriate infrastructure and the fact that no needs assessment had been carried out by Leeds City Council to date and that this is needed urgently.•Cumulative impact i.e. over-development of the area•Ecology – e.g. wildlife, flora and fauna that needs to be protected

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Douglas Roberts

Representor No: PRS03469

Representation ID: REP00264 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 - land off Moseley Wood Gardens A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic and Cookridge does not have the infrastructure to cope with this. Woodhill Road and Green Lane are extremely busy at peak times and a new development would worsen the situation. The development would impact on local schools and medical facilities. Flooding may become a problem on the railway line, with services delayed or cancelled.

Name: Russell Kaunz

Representor No: PRS03477

Representation ID: REP00770 Question Ref: H9

1199 AS PREVIOUSLY STATED

Representation ID: REP00770 Question Ref: H2

I disagree with site ref 1199 Moseley Wood Bottom. It is absolutely ridiculous -and devastating -that Leeds City Council have considered that this site could be considered for housing development. There are so many brownfield sites (133 it seems from my searches on internet "with a capacity for 20000 homes") that should be developed first before green belt should be bulldozed. Apart from a few years away from Leeds when I opened a bar/restaurant in Tenerife I have lived in Cookridge since I was 12 years old when we lived at Kirkwood Way and I am now 33. My early teenage years were very happy in Cookridge and I have always been into sports . One of the things I remember well is playing rounders down at Moseley Bottom. I used to often go down there and my friends and I saw foxes and rabbits and I have heard that deer are often there and even come into the gardens of the houses on Moseley Wood Gardens as one of my friends lived there. I now live on Moseley Wood Approach and my parents live on Cookridge Drive in the house that my mother was brought up in as a child as she has inherited it from her parents. So although I didn't move to Cookridge until I was 12 I have always been familiar with it as I visited my grandparents there. I think that the extra traffic and the extra residents from 200 houses -which is the proposal -will completely change the character of Cookridge. My friends and I used to play football in the street of Kirkwood Way and Moseley Wood Walk. Kirkwood Way will still be safe but the Moseley Woods will be much changed with all the traffic passing through. There are very few shops in Cookridge and there is a Village Hall which is busy every day and evening so I do not think that there are enough amenities for an extra 600/8000 people. The weather conditions are notorious in Cookridge with it being the highest in Leeds. As it is all built on a slope you cannot get out of your house without going up a hill or down. Sometimes you skid down and usually you cannot get up at all in the snow and ice. So this problem would increase . There is a problem with drainage in Cookridge and there is some flooding down in this area so has this been taken into consideration?

Name: Christopher Binns

Representor No: PRS03502

Representation ID: REP00552 Question Ref: H1

Site ref: 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge I would like to object to the Council's designation of the above site which is currently green, for the following reasons: It is my opinion that the Council should prioritise building on brownfield sites throughout the city first before any consideration is given to any of the green field/green belt sites. I think this site should be put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan.

Representation ID: REP00552 Question Ref: H5

Site 1199 reasons given at H2

Representation ID: REP00552 Question Ref: H9

Site 1199. Overdeveloped already and for all the aforementioned reasons

Representation ID: REP00552 Question Ref: H2

Site ref: 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge There are countless reasons why I would disagree with the development of this site: * Inadequate roads to cope with additional housing and the impact on all surrounding roads. Moseley Wood Gardens is already an extremely busy road and one of the longest in Cookridge - the number of cars currently using it far outweighs its original status, with almost every house having at least 2 cars, which means parking on the street is inevitable. It is like a chicanne now without adding to it with a probable 400 more cars for the proposed new houses. In bad weather (every winter) the road is extremely dangerous to access and even if we do receive gritting/ snow-shifting, then it is reduced to a slalom of one lane. It would never cope with additional cars - not to mention visitors; deliveries; emergency vehicles; utility vans; etc. All this through one tiny access at Moseley Wood Rise - it really is incomprehensible. The resultant impact on other Cookridge roads will be huge as they will become "rat-runs"; through a residential area with many small children. Living on a building site for years is also likely to have a degenerative impact with potential for many dangers. On a personal note, I live directly opposite Moseley Wood Rise and these outline problems will have the most impact on my family; currently every vehicle that comes up MW Rise when using headlights, shines them directly into the 3 rooms at the front of my house - this is obviously not a problem now as there are only 8 houses but if this plan goes ahead with this being the only access, then every vehicle using this tiny road will constantly affect me. * there is insufficient local infrastructure to deal with medical facilities; schooling; increase in population; * local transport - particularly trains - is inadequate as the peak use of Horsforth Station is unable to cope as it is and there is insufficient parking in the area. Flooding must be a concern for the Railways also; * Ecologically there is concern as there are many types of flora; fauna and wildlife in the proposed build - Kingfishers being an example. The list is by no means exhaustive but overall, this is clearly an over-development of the area and I think this should be put back as a PAS site in the Plan. Yours [x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Kersten Hall

Representor No: PRS03528

Representation ID: REP01861 Question Ref: H2

But I disagree with sites such as 1199 - the proposed Moseley Wood bottoms area as the proposed development here will place too much strain on existing infrastructure in this area where schools are already full. Furthermore, the extra traffic and need for new bus routes will have a severe impact on the quality of life of existing residents in this area.

Name: Stuart Bolton

Representor No: PRS03550

Representation ID: REP00999 Question Ref: H11

I would suggest that site ref 1199 is put back as a PAS site in the development plan.

Representation ID: REP00999 Question Ref: H2

Site ref: 1199. Traffic impact - the current road infrastructure is already overloaded, particularly during the morning rush hours where traffic queues begin outside the Leeds Ring Road. Otley Old Road frequently has queuing traffic. The council has installed traffic calming measures but there continues to be conflict between drivers and pedestrians. Any further addition to the number of cars (in the order of 400) would significantly increase this problem. Other transport issues - During peak times the local train service is already over crowded and the bus service is poor. Parking for Horsforth station is already a considerable issue for local residents with the local roads suffering considerable congestion from parked commuter cars. Additional journeys will exacerbate these problems. Supply and demand - The housing market in Cookridge continues to be depressed with a low take-up of houses on offer; there is no discernable demand for more housing in the area. School places - local schools, both primary and secondary, are already over-subscribed, any further new housing would inevitably increase the load on schools and lead to long journey times for some school children. There is a strong probability that there would be inconsistent zoning and children from the same neighborhood could find themselves attending different schools with all the obvious drawbacks that this causes (sharing drop-off/pickup duties, walking trains etc). Medical Facilities - As for schools there is currently very little slack in the system. Flooding Impact - The railway line adjacent to the proposed development has a history of flooding during periods of bad weather as a result of Moseley Wood Beck overflowing. There is some evidence that the development site acts as a reservoir for water draining into the beck and should it be developed the water that currently is absorbed by the site will run into the beck and increase the frequency and severity of the flooding onto the railway line, with the obvious disruption that this would cause. Ecological impact - the proposed development site abuts the remnants of Moseley Wood which is a rich wildlife area. It supports various bird populations including Red Kite, Woodpecker, Bullfinch, Treecreeper, Nuthatch and various owls. There is also a local deer population as well as many other woodland creatures. Many of these species enjoy some level of protection due to falling population levels. A large housing development project with its inevitable noise and pollution will severely adversely affect these wildlife populations. Leeds City Council has failed to carry out any thorough systematic evaluation of the impact of such a housing development and how to meet the needs of the neighbourhood in the event that the development proceeds. This should be addressed as a matter of urgency and before any consideration is given to planning permission.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Paul Atkinson

Representor No: PRS03565

Representation ID: REP00794 Question Ref: H1

I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSALS TO BUILD 200 HOUSES ON GREENFIELD SITE NUMBER 1199 IN THE COOKRIDGE AREA. THE COUNCIL SHOULD BE LOOKING TOWARDS BROWNFIELD SITES AND EXISTING EMPTY VACANT HOUSES/PROPERTIES WITHIN LEEDS BEFORE BUILDING ON GREENFIELD, I AM TOTALLY OPPOSED TO THIS AND SO ARE THE MAJORITY OF RESIDENTS IN COOKRIDGE.

Representation ID: REP00794 Question Ref: H5

I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSALS TO BUILD 200 HOUSES ON GREENFIELD SITE NUMBER 1199 IN THE COOKRIDGE AREA. THE COUNCIL SHOULD BE LOOKING TOWARDS BROWNFIELD SITES AND EXISTING EMPTY VACANT HOUSES/PROPERTIES WITHIN LEEDS BEFORE BUILDING ON GREENFIELD, I AM TOTALLY OPPOSED TO THIS AND SO ARE THE MAJORITY OF RESIDENTS IN COOKRIDGE. REASONS FOR OPPOSING THIS SITE ARE AS FOLLOWS; 1. TRAFFIC CONGESTION AT SEVERAL ROAD JUNCTIONS ON GREEN LANE PARTICULARLY MOSELEY WOOD GARDENS AND MOSELEY WOOD DRIVE, THERE WILL BE ONE ENTRANCE TO SITE VIA MOSELEY WOOD GARDENS CAUSING EXTREME TRAFFIC PROBLEMS TO PEOPLE LIVING NEARBY, THE ROADS ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO TAKE THIS INCREASED TRAFFIC CONGESTION. 2. INCREASED POPULATION IN AREA- HEAVY DEMAND ON SCHOOL INTAKE, WHICH MAY I ADD WOULD REQUIRE SCHOOLS TO EXPAND IN SIZE TO ACCOMMODATE THIS RISE IN CHILDREN. 3. SHOPS/COMMERCIAL NOT BIG ENOUGH TO COPE, AND THERE IS ALREADY A LACK OF PARKS/SPORTS PLAYGROUNDS FOR CHILDREN. 4. LAST BUT NOT LEAST -BUILDING ON GREENFIELD LAND, WHICH WILL BE GONE FOREVER, ALSO WOULDNT THIS IMPACT ON DRAINAGE OF RAIN WATER, BUILDING ON SOFT GROUND, WE AS HOUSEHOLDERS ARE CONSTANTLY REMINDED BY THE COUNCIL NOT TO HAVE BLOCKPAVED /TARMAC DRIVEWAYS AS THIS CONSTITUTES TOWARDS PROBLEMS OF DRAINAGE OF RAIN WATER THUS CAUSING FLOODING IN CERTAIN AREAS.

Representation ID: REP00794 Question Ref: H9

1199 DUE TO ITS LOCATION

Representation ID: REP00794 Question Ref: H2

I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSALS TO BUILD 200 HOUSES ON GREENFIELD SITE NUMBER 1199 IN THE COOKRIDGE AREA. THE COUNCIL SHOULD BE LOOKING TOWARDS BROWNFIELD SITES AND EXISTING EMPTY VACANT HOUSES/PROPERTIES WITHIN LEEDS BEFORE BUILDING ON GREENFIELD, I AM TOTALLY OPPOSED TO THIS AND SO ARE THE MAJORITY OF RESIDENTS IN COOKRIDGE. REASONS FOR OPPOSING THIS SITE ARE AS FOLLOWS; 1. TRAFFIC CONGESTION AT SEVERAL ROAD JUNCTIONS ON GREEN LANE PARTICULARLY MOSELEY WOOD GARDENS AND MOSELEY WOOD DRIVE, THERE WILL BE ONE ENTRANCE TO SITE VIA MOSELEY WOOD GARDENS CAUSING EXTREME TRAFFIC PROBLEMS TO PEOPLE LIVING NEARBY, THE ROADS ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO TAKE THIS INCREASED TRAFFIC CONGESTION. 2. INCREASED POPULATION IN AREA- HEAVY DEMAND ON SCHOOL INTAKE, WHICH MAY I ADD WOULD REQUIRE SCHOOLS TO EXPAND IN SIZE TO ACCOMMODATE THIS RISE IN CHILDREN. 3. SHOPS/COMMERCIAL NOT BIG ENOUGH TO COPE, AND THERE IS ALREADY A LACK OF PARKS/SPORTS PLAYGROUNDS FOR CHILDREN. 4. LAST BUT NOT LEAST -BUILDING ON GREENFIELD LAND, WHICH WILL BE GONE FOREVER, ALSO WOULDNT THIS IMPACT ON DRAINAGE OF RAIN WATER, BUILDING ON SOFT GROUND, WE AS HOUSEHOLDERS ARE CONSTANTLY REMINDED BY THE COUNCIL NOT TO HAVE BLOCKPAVED /TARMAC DRIVEWAYS AS THIS CONSTITUTES TOWARDS PROBLEMS OF DRAINAGE OF RAIN WATER THUS CAUSING FLOODING IN CERTAIN AREAS.

Name: Chris Gorney

Representor No: PRS03569

Representation ID: REP01144 Question Ref: H1

I don't believe the due consideration has been given to already over congested transport infrastructure.

Representation ID: REP01144 Question Ref: H5

It is site 1199 according to your map. It is the development behind the Moseley Woods roads in Cookridge. 300 proposed homes here would be potentially another 600 cars in this area. Station Road, between the Old Ball Pub and the Railway bridge is already over congested. It can take 15 minutes to travel this 200 yard stretch at busy times. Green Lane would be the only way in and out of that estate and to take another 600 vehicles would not be feasible. How are all these vehicles supposed to get out on to the Old Otley Road or Tinshill Road, all queue up at the 2 t-junctions? The train services already can't fit everyone on who wants to travel at peak times. Nevermind standing room only people just can't squeeze in. Please don't put another 600 people through that station in a morning. There is also not enough provision for school places in the area. This area is not set up to support 300 more homes, it is already bursting at the seams. Thanks [x]

Representation ID: REP01144 Question Ref: H2

It is site 1199 according to your map. It is the development behind the Moseley Woods roads in Cookridge. 300 proposed homes here would be potentially another 600 cars in this area. Station Road, between the Old Ball Pub and the Railway bridge is already over congested. It can take 15 minutes to travel this 200 yard stretch at busy times. Green Lane would be the only way in and out of that estate and to take another 600 vehicles would not be feasible. How are all these vehicles supposed to get out on to the Old Otley Road or Tinshill Road, all queue up at the 2 t-junctions? The train services already can't fit everyone on who wants to travel at peak times. Nevermind standing room only people just can't squeeze in. Please don't put another 600 people through that station in a morning. There is also not enough provision for school places in the area. This area is not set up to support 300 more homes, it is already bursting at the seams. Thanks [x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Suzanne Wouters

Representor No: PRS03591

Representation ID: REP00113 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref 1199 Cookridge I disagree because at the moment the infrastructure can only just cope with the present number of dwellings and the accelerating birth rate. Are there places in the local schools? The roads around Moseley Wood Gdns are already congested at times with traffic and parked vehicles. Affects on wildlife. The pond on the far side of the beck is home to a protected species of newt. Our naturally evolved landscape is ecologically important. Do we really need to build on green fields that naturally soak away rain water increasing the risk of floods

Name: Adele Burns

Representor No: PRS03592

Representation ID: REP00274 Question Ref: H1

I have a particular issue with site 1199.

Representation ID: REP00274 Question Ref: H5

Site 1199 - I very strongly disagree with developing on this site for the reasons mentioned before.

Representation ID: REP00274 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199. This site to me is greenbelt land and should not be developed on. I have friends who overlook this area and it is teeming with wildlife and is a great open area of green space. It would be a tragedy if this land was developed, it would erode the character of the area, destroy a green space and contribute towards more congestion and put a further strain on the infrastructure of the area (roads, schools, doctors etc). I believe when Leeds is taken as a whole, there are many brown field sites which need to be remediated and brought back into productive use, (such as the good example of the Clariant redevelopment - I don't object to that) before sites such as 1199 should even be considered, never mind be a preferred area for development. As the people in charge of developments, please think about the legacy you will leave for future generations if you allow green belt land such as this to be developed. We can never get our green spaces back!!! Please focus on unproductive pieces of land - closed public houses or derelict sites.

Name: Keith Price

Representor No: PRS03647

Representation ID: REP01916 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199 No thought has been given to the impact of the infrastructure of the area

Representation ID: REP01916 Question Ref: H3

Site ref 1199 The rare wild life that lives there Great Crested Newts, Herons and sightings of Red Kites

Representation ID: REP01916 Question Ref: H5

Site Ref 1199 The impact on the surrounding area would be catastrophic, not only for the local residents as mentioned in H2 but for the wider area. Other than Tesco Local and a Post Office, Cookridge has no other amenities and parking around these two amenities is restricted, opposite a local primary school causing a hazard to children, pedestrians. There is provision of a doctor or dentist in Cookridge, adding 400+ residents will only add to an oversubscribed service, where do these people register?

Representation ID: REP01916 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Bottom Cookridge Adding a potential 400 cars to this area will make access difficult through the current estate, which is difficult at the best of times. The access on Moseley Wood Rise is not wide enough to take service vehicles: Why then is the council allowing a developer to take council land (verges) to make a profit. The traffic would impose a serious danger to the young children on the estate, most of them walk to the local primary school. The road surfaces are diabolical and struggle to take the wear of the existing traffic.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Graham Brown

Representor No: PRS03693

Representation ID: REP00057 Question Ref: H1

I am disgusted that this area (ref1199 Moseley Wood Gardens) has been identified as a 'green site'; There are brown areas that are available and should be developed first! There is simply not sufficient access to the sites and surrounding roads are not designed to take large construction lorries. There are lots of elderly people and young children in the area and it is quite frankly ridiculous that you are planning on trawling these large vehicles down small residential roads. This is extremely unsafe. The roads are already over populated and cannot take increased volumes in a safe manner. Bus links would be very poor for the new site and during rush hour would increase the already ridiculous traffic levels in an area that has no major roads or dual carriage ways to access the city. I am also very concerned about the drainage issues on this site which is sloped, close to a river and on boggy land. There is wildlife and trees that would be displaced which is not acceptable. I strongly disagree with this green rating and along with local councillors and residents will be fighting this planning application all the way and urge you to reconsider the plans and identify more suitable sites. Such as brown sites / derelict sites etc. I am extremely unhappy with these proposals.

Representation ID: REP00057 Question Ref: General comment

Re review the housing plans for Moseley Wood Gardens as it is not an acceptable site. Poor access will cause absolute chaos on small residential roads and is frankly ridiculous that these proposals are being considered.

Representation ID: REP00057 Question Ref: H2

1199 Moseley Wood Gardens

Name: Alan Mann

Representor No: PRS03704

Representation ID: REP01860 Question Ref: H1

There are some areas within my locality that should be developed for housing. The site allocation number 1199 is most unsuitable as the number of properties being suggested would place an unacceptable level of pressure on the community. The transport infrastructure would struggle to take the increase in traffic, the local schools would be unable to cope with the increase in the number of young people seeking education, and the area is prone to flooding.

Representation ID: REP01860 Question Ref: H2

The site allocation number 1199 is most unsuitable as the number of properties being suggested would place an unacceptable level of pressure on the community. The transport infrastructure would struggle to take the increase in traffic, the local schools would be unable to cope with the increase in the number of young people seeking education, and the area is prone to periodic flooding.

Name: Richard Towers

Representor No: PRS03711

Representation ID: REP00158 Question Ref: H5

With regard to site 1199 in Cookridge.1/ Access to the site is very limited and would be through an existing residential area which is already over loaded with cars. Most households in Cookridge are 2 car owners and use them on a daily basis.2/ Cookridge has limited access with only 1 road to the south west over a railway bridge which is already grid locked at peak periods.3/ The site is a natural flood plan and acts as a sponge. Run off water runs into Mossley Wood beck which is a flash flood stream and can rise rapidly. Any additional run off of water would cause additional flooding further down stream. Flooding of the Leeds Harrogate railway line would be at a much higher increased risk. The proposed development on the current site would cause fast run off of water and even with flood elevation on site significant additional volume of water would run off rather than acting as a sponge.4./ Current schools in the area are full with out space to grow.

Representation ID: REP00158 Question Ref: H9

With regard to site 1199 in Cookridge.1/ Access to the site is very limited and would be through an existing residential area which is already over loaded with cars. Most households in Cookridge are 2 car owners and use them on a daily basis.2/ Cookridge has limited access with only 1 road to the south west over a railway bridge which is already grid locked at peak periods.3/ The site is a natural flood plan and acts as a sponge. Run off water runs into Mossley Wood beck which is a flash flood stream and can rise rapidly. Any additional run off of water would cause additional flooding further down stream. Flooding of the Leeds Harrogate railway line would be at a much higher increased risk. The proposed development on the current site would cause fast run off of water and even with flood elevation on site significant additional volume of water would run off rather than acting as a sponge.4./ Current schools in the area are full with out space to grow.

Representation ID: REP00158 Question Ref: H2

With regard to site 1199 in Cookridge.1/ Access to the site is very limited and would be through an existing residential area which is already over loaded with cars. Most households in Cookridge are 2 car owners and use them on a daily basis.2/ Cookridge has limited access with only 1 road to the south west over a railway bridge which is already grid locked at peak periods.3/ The site is a natural flood plan and acts as a sponge. Run off water runs into Mossley Wood beck which is a flash flood stream and can rise rapidly. Any additional run off of water would cause additional flooding further down stream. Flooding of the Leeds Harrogate railway line would be at a much higher increased risk. The proposed development on the current site would cause fast run off of water and even with flood elevation on site significant additional volume of water would run off rather than acting as a sponge.4./ Current schools in the area are full with out space to grow.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Richard Lee

Representor No: PRS03714

Representation ID: REP00314 Question Ref: H1

I do not agree that site 1199 Moseley Wood Bottom should be identified as green. Many reasons are in H2

Representation ID: REP00314 Question Ref: H3

No I havent really studied it -i am only concerned with 1199

Representation ID: REP00314 Question Ref: H9

1199 as explained before

Representation ID: REP00314 Question Ref: H2

1199 I do not agree that site 1199 Moseley Wood Bottom should be identified as green. Many reasons : it is a beautiful green belt area which is the habitat of rabbits, foxes and deer all of which i have seen. Other people have seen badgers. It is an area where as a child I explored and played football and rounders away from neighbours who did not like balls flying into their garden! I am now 31 years old and have my own house on Moseley Wood Walk. I am appalled at the idea that 200 x 12 =2400 cars maybe passing my house or near my house on a daily basis. Children will not be able to play in this street as I used to do as a child. Also how can Cookridge cope with about 600/800 more residents? The schools are oversubscribed and there arent enough shops. Also have you ever seen the roads in Cookridge when it snows?! There will be more cars stuck all over the place!!

Name: Paul Scott

Representor No: PRS03738

Representation ID: REP00110 Question Ref: H1

I do not agree with the proposed building of houses on Moseley wood bottom because I strongly believe that the area should be preserved for the local wildlife. We have so many different species insects,birds,mammals and also newts, which I believe are a protected species. There is an established fox den that has been there years, it is a joy to see the foxes out and about in the evening time. Another major issue is the local schools, they are already at full capacity and can not cope with potentially another 150 kids that could move to the new housing estate. As a builder myself I also have concerns with the drainage. The field floods quite often and the stream burst its banks in heavy rain, the majority of the field is marshland, there are at least 4 natural springs running through the field and there are pockets of water scattered all over. I have worked on sites in the past where building company have tried to solve these problems and they had a lot of problems. Subsidence was one of them, the divert system they put in did not work. Natural springs are there for a purpose and will always find a way of getting where they want to go. If you build over all the fields in question you are taking away a huge part of the natural surface water drainage for the whole Moseley wood area. By putting in houses,roads etc the surface water will run into the beck a lot faster having no fields to filter the flow and burst its banks and potentially flooding the train tracks, which has flooded before without any houses been built on the land. I strongly recommend you take these points into account and focus your attention on brown field sites around bigger towns that can sustain the growth of the population.

Representation ID: REP00110 Question Ref: H9

1199 Moseley wood gardens. This should remain green belt land for the sake of the local wildlife. Making this a nature reserve would be an ideal option for the council.

Representation ID: REP00110 Question Ref: H2

1199 land of Moseley wood gardens, Cookridge Reasons as above and also:Access to medical services Highway issuesInadequate road infrastructure to cope with additional housingEcology, wildlife etcPublic transport issuesPotential visual impact

Name: Lee Scott

Representor No: PRS03759

Representation ID: REP00219 Question Ref: H5

1199 already gave you my reasons in previous comments.

Representation ID: REP00219 Question Ref: H2

Site reference 1199 in Cookridge Leeds.The traffic through Horsforth and Cookridge is already very extreme, isn't it enough that Sandoz in Horsforth is been turned into housing which is going to cause absolute carnage for all people who live or pass through these areas without adding to it by building these homes in Cookridge just to make matters even worse?On top of the obvious traffic issues and stress you are going to cause locals I also think you need to consider the beautiful areas around cookridge which you are now planning to destroy along with all the animals that you are going to disturb and in some cases kill.Green belt should be preserved so people can actually enjoy it.

Horsforth and Cookridge are both already over crowded and they simply cannot handle more housing.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Stephen Towler

Representor No: PRS03790

Representation ID: REP01956 Question Ref: H2

I particularly object to site reference 1199. The building of homes on this site would have several detrimental effects, which include:- development on this site would generate a substantial increase in car activity, which the roads in the area could not cope with. I believe it is not possible to make sufficient change to the road system to compensate;- development of family housing would create a significant problem in providing local school places, especially in primary schools, and other local services, such as GP services would be put under extreme pressure.

Name: Sophia Langley

Representor No: PRS03791

Representation ID: REP01485 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 - this development would increase traffic to unsustainable levels in what is already a bottleneck.

Name: Andy Panks

Representor No: PRS03804

Representation ID: REP01374 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref 1199. Lack of local amenities, ie. no shops, dentists, doctors etc. Already over subscribed primary schools in area, nearest primary school, is not under direct LEA control. Already have had Horsforth High school removed as an option for this area due to its recent changes in its admissions policy (LS18 post code has a priority). Lack of access, cars for the additional 200 houses would have to enter/exit through already busy estate roads. Abundance of cars already in current estate often causes roads to become blocked. Proposed new estate with only one entrance, would be like a 200 house cul-de-sac. The area is naturally wet, with several becks flowing through it and is very prone to flooding. Additional building will only make this worse. Adding an additional 200 homes in this site would ruin the nice peaceful nature of this area, effecting all the current local residents.

Name: Jane Cox

Representor No: PRS03849

Representation ID: REP00753 Question Ref: H1

SITE REFERENCE 1199 - LAND OFF MOSELEY WOOD GARDENS I object to the allocation of the above site for the development of 200 new houses on the grounds of increased traffic and provision of infrastructure. The roads of the Moseley estate through which cars would need to pass to access this development were not constructed or designed for a large volume of through traffic. The building of 200 new houses is likely to generate at least 300 more cars regularly using these narrow roads and I am concerned about the impact this will have on the ability of children to play out in the streets as they do now, and their ability to walk safely to school. Surely this is something we should be encouraging with the current concerns regarding child obesity and the need to reduce petrol consumption and exhaust emissions. The new houses will be a considerable distance from the current bus services along Green Lane which will encourage more car usage. The Cookridge area suffers considerable problems during snowy and icy weather when the sloping roads are frequently impassable. In the light of this, the bottom of a hill does not seem to be the most sensible location for new housing development.

Representation ID: REP00753 Question Ref: H2

SITE REFERENCE 1199 - LAND OFF MOSELEY WOOD GARDENS See above.

Name: Paul Courtney

Representor No: PRS03874

Representation ID: REP00164 Question Ref: H5

Moseley Wood Bottom / Moseley Wood Gardens Fix the slums, don't break the countryside...!

Representation ID: REP00164 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom. This site should remain grassland, it is part of the countryside and these sites should never be considered when there are many many other sites that have less impact on the environment. Sort out the brown sites first, clean the existing houses before they become slums that nobody wants to live in. Fix what is broken, don't break more.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Hepworth

Representor No: PRS03922

Representation ID: REP00037 Question Ref: H1

I am specifically concerned with area 1199 off Moseley Wood Gardens, Leeds 16. I have been told there will be 200 new homes built here. I am concerned that this will seriously increase traffic on my road as it is to be the main access road into the new houses. Cookridge Drive was never designed to take in excess of 400 extra vehicles using this road on a daily basis. I made a positive decision some years ago to move from Green Lane Leeds 16 to avoid living on a busy road which may now be forced on me. Is there the capacity to take the extra children at the local schools. I have heard recently that Holy Trinity school has not got the capacity to take local children surely this development will exacerbate this problem. The area of the beck which runs in Moseley bottom would be ruined. It is already accepted that this is a classic 'wildlife corridor' linking from the countryside into Leeds. Cut this and you would seriously compromise wildlife in the area. Whilst I fully accept the need for new affordable housing I would suggest that any houses built at this location would be well above the national average price and would be way above any affordable housing. I strongly feel that this area should only come into consideration for development once all the current brown field sites have been developed but this will probably not be the case as there would be far less profit for the developers.

Representation ID: REP00037 Question Ref: H2

Please see above

Name: Kevin Green

Representor No: PRS03957

Representation ID: REP01898 Question Ref: H1

There is a risk of overdeveloping areas, there is also a risk that the current infrastructure supporting these areas would be unable to cope with the extra demand.

Representation ID: REP01898 Question Ref: H5

Moseley Wood Bottom - Access road is insufficient and demand on local school places would be stretched.

Representation ID: REP01898 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom - I feel the access is inadequate and the surrounding infrastructure such as schools would be unable to cope with the demands.

Name: Paul Graham Kaye

Representor No: PRS03961

Representation ID: REP00571 Question Ref: H1

At present the proposed development of 200 homes will have a massive impact in terms of the local environment, infrastructure and general quality of life. In effect Cookridge Village is being turned into a small town. Taylor Wimpeys Development of Moseley Wood Gardens in my view has not been properly thought through; as both in the long and short term there are likely to be serious implications. The two junior schools - Cookridge primary & Holy Trinity are already short of places. The local surgeries are similarly heavily subscribed. Horsforth Station as it is currently inadequate due to the size of the platform, lack of parking; coupled with the bus route on green lane which is already congested.

Representation ID: REP00571 Question Ref: General comment

I am concerned with ensuring that Taylor Wimpey do not turn my village where I have lived for the past 26 years is not transformed into a small town. There are few amenities here and with 200 homes the infrastructure is just not there to support it. More green space will be lost; more traffic will be generated making the present quiet estate roads far more busier; which in turn causes noise and traffic pollution. In turn this will make the main roads busier which at peak times are stuffed to the gills already. I am concerned that the council has an incentive to allow this scheme through because of the new homes incentive scheme which is worth nearly 2 million pounds.

Representation ID: REP00571 Question Ref: H2

Map 15; site ref T199. In addition to the comments above; no proper thought has been given to the risk of flooding. Our property which is above Moseley Wood Gardens was the subject of flooding in 2004 due to the drains being unable to cope with the excessive rain fall. My neighbours also suffered the same fate. The new development will be at the bottom of the village in what is a basin and it's not rocket science that they are likely to suffer severe flooding. As there is only one access and egress point is going to create ratruns around the rest of the village. 200 homes are likely to have at least 300 cars. Quite urban roads will be much busier, and worse with heavy plant.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Philip Packer

Representor No: PRS03976

Representation ID: REP01901 Question Ref: H1

The areas I am concerned about are open field sites that currently provide a green heartery into the urban sprawl. There development I believe would be to the detriment of the city when I am led to believe ther are brown filed sites in the city that could be developed before these areas.

Representation ID: REP01901 Question Ref: H7

land at rear of Cookridge Avenue could be developed before the MoselyWood site as it would have a ready access onto a main road route.

Representation ID: REP01901 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens.1. Further development of the area to the extent of a further 200-300 properties as planned would put a great strain on the current road network . The site can only be reached via narrow urban type roads, a development on this scale would I suggest add at least a further 500plus vehicles onto the roads . The minor roads would become ratruns for commuting vehicles from the estate with all there inherent problems.2. The site is in a low lying area. Cookridge is one of the highest areas of the city and we have problems in the estate during the winter when it snows. The current street where I live cannot be entered when it snows and this will be one of the routes into the estate. Where will all the vehicles on the new estate be left when it snows, on the main routes causing severe congestion problems.3. Being in a depression the site is the natural run off for water from the surrounding streets and gardens. Further development would further add to the problem, the beck ajoining would not be able to cope with further rain run off leading to flooding of the railway link from Leeds to Harrogate.4. Schools, the local primary school I have been informed is full to capacity no provision is being made to increase the size of the school so the site is not suitable as I would suggest most new house owners would have young families.5. I have been informed by a local gp that they cannot increase the size of there surgery, therefore there would be no local medical cover for the development. 6. The rail link into the city provides little in the way of parking for those commuting into the city at present. A further increase in the size of Cookridge without development of the rail structure would cause huge problems in the area of the station as present parking in adjoining streets is full to capacity.7. Development of the site will result in one of the few green areas between the city and the airport being lost and will result in further urban sprawl out of the city when there are areas within the present city limits that could be developed remaining empty.

Name: Kirsteen Mcfadzean

Representor No: PRS03993

Representation ID: REP00956 Question Ref: H1

Moseley Wood Bottom - Will destroy natural surroundings which are peaceful and beautiful

Representation ID: REP00956 Question Ref: H3

your website doesn't make it easy to object - I cannot find reference for Moseley Wood Bottom Disagree with any development in this area. I am one of the nearest residents at Moseley Farmhouse and have not been consulted at all

Representation ID: REP00956 Question Ref: H4

your website doesn't make it easy to object - I cannot find reference for Moseley Wood Bottom Disagree with any development in this area. I am one of the nearest residents at Moseley Farmhouse and have not been consulted at all

Representation ID: REP00956 Question Ref: H5

Moseley Wood Bottom Disagree with any development in this area. I am one of the nearest residents at Moseley Farmhouse and have not been consulted at all

Representation ID: REP00956 Question Ref: General comment

Do not want any development at Moseley Wood Bottom. Will destroy surroundings and ruin area during development time. Will bring additional traffic and threaten peaceful environment and devalue existing homes

Representation ID: REP00956 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom - area of natural beauty, green space, will devalue houses and increase traffic

Name: Madeleine Hilton

Representor No: PRS03995

Representation ID: REP01191 Question Ref: H5

1199 land off Moseley Wood Gardens, see previous answers

Representation ID: REP01191 Question Ref: H2

1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens Cookridge does not have the infrastructure, amenities, schools or transport links so why overdevelop the area. I also understand that the development will take 6 years which will cause a lot of disruption, noise and damage to our local roads and environment

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Hilary Hallatt

Representor No: PRS04006

Representation ID: REP01908 Question Ref: H9

Site Reference 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. This site should have been colour coded red because:-it forms part of the green belt around north west Leeds. The land itself is an important part of the local rain water run off storage and any building work would increase the potential for flooding particularly of the railway line.

Representation ID: REP01908 Question Ref: H2

Site Reference 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. Comments: A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic. We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic. Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base. Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen substantially with the new development. Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems. The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in the winter months. The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge. The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue. Parking is problematic and dangerous outside the local schools, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with any new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation. The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside Leeds, park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound this problem. There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others the development of this site would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable. Flooding may become a problem, particularly to the railway line, because of surface run off that is currently absorbed by the boggy lower half of the site. The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Name: Sebastian Ciesluk

Representor No: PRS04017

Representation ID: REP01331 Question Ref: H1

I am referring to site 1199 land off Moseley Wood Gardens. Proposed development (talking about 200 houses) will have huge impact on local traffic, schooling, shops, transportation. This is the wrong approach to build in this area.

Representation ID: REP01331 Question Ref: H2

I am referring to site 1199 land off Moseley Wood Gardens. Proposed development (talking about 200 houses) will have huge impact on local traffic, schooling, shops, transportation. This is the wrong approach to build in this area. The most important case is the consideration of traffic in winter. Already most of the streets are blocked during snowfalls so having one access to the new site on very steep area will guarantee that road will be blocked. In case of emergency accesses it might result in tragedy. Ambulances/ fire brigades police will simply not be able to access the site. Current developer (Taylor Wimpey) did not take into account traffic aspects in winter weather conditions and currently offers salt boxes as an answer to the problem. They have not done any surveys of the winter traffic conditions and the salt boxes approach sounds like a joke, from the resident perspective (they do not cure the problem at all). Having two access roads to the site would help and I believe that was the original condition under which the site was marked as green. Now Taylor Wimpey ignores that and even lies to people saying there is no legal way to extend the Cookridge Drive street what would require purchase of two bottom houses. (I did check the deeds of the bottom two houses on this street and they can be demolished if road is going to be built and the owners are obliged to sell the houses to the developer). Impact on schooling is another important factor. All local primary schools are heavily oversubscribed so there is no chance to accommodate extra pupils what will result in increased traffic as people will have to drive children to distant schools. Also looking at number of buses commuting to the area extra population of people will effectively block some people from travelling as the transport will become overcrowded.

Name: Karen Weddle

Representor No: PRS04023

Representation ID: REP01244 Question Ref: H2

40563384332933314095235405711991015376

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Keith Midgley

Representor No: PRS04048

Representation ID: REP01972 Question Ref: H1

Infrastructure, including school places, highways, public transport and access to public services does not support housing development on these sites at this time.

Representation ID: REP01972 Question Ref: H9

Site 1199: Insufficient infrastructure to support housing development at this site at this time. This includes inadequate road access, a shortage of local school places, remoteness from public transport links, and the distance from other public services. There is also an issue with flooding and wildlife.

Representation ID: REP01972 Question Ref: H2

.Site 1199: Insufficient infrastructure to support housing development at this site at this time. This includes inadequate road access, a shortage of local school places, remoteness from public transport links, and the distance from other public services. There is also an issue with flooding and wildlife.

Name: Maralyn Pollard

Representor No: PRS04052

Representation ID: REP00776 Question Ref: H1

See next question for detailed response

Representation ID: REP00776 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. A new housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.. There is not the road infrastructure to cope with this additional traffic.. Moseley Wood Gardens is used as a ratrun by commuters from Bramhope area taking a short cut to Horsforth and the Ring Road.. The proposed development will have an impact on local schools. School places will become an issue. Parking at Cookridge Holy Trinity Primary School is already very problematic.. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate and so would not help ease the problem of extra road traffic.. Historically, flooding on the land in question has been an issue affecting the rail track between Bramhope Tunnel and Horsforth Station.. The land in question is a haven for wildlife and housing development will have a seriously detrimental effect.

Name: Matthew Cherrington

Representor No: PRS04058

Representation ID: REP00199 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199 - Moseley Wood Bottom. I would like to object to the Council's designation of Site Ref 1199 (Moseley Wood Bottom) which is currently Green. I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan. My main concern is the additional traffic that would result from this development and its impact on the surrounding area. I suggest that investment in the road and rail networks should take place first prio to any additional housing development

Name: Paul Hudson

Representor No: PRS04080

Representation ID: REP00655 Question Ref: H9

1199 - green belt1221 - green belt1255b - green belt3329 - green belt3331 - green belt3366 - green belt4095 - green belt1104 - green belt1180a - green belt1311a - green belt2162 - green belt2163a - green belt3026 - green belt3033 - green belt4020 - green beltall
green belt should be save from development unless an extremely strong case can be put for development.

Representation ID: REP00655 Question Ref: H2

1199 - green belt1221 - green belt11255b - green belt3329 - green belt3331 - green belt3366 - green belt4095 - green beltall of these site are green land and should be preserved as such.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Ian Martin

Representor No: PRS04087

Representation ID: REP00181 Question Ref: H2

1199 land behind Moseley Wood Gardens. Whilst I find the developers site plans to be attractive and generally well designed, the site limitations allowing a single road access via Moseley Wood Gardens should greatly reduce the maximum number of permitted houses to around 100. The relatively narrow Moseley Wood Rise and Gardens cannot be sufficiently improved to allow for the likely vehicles from 200 houses. The developers stated and illustrated plan to widen Moseley Wood Rise by removing roadside verges is completely unacceptable. I do not wish to join the large local campaign against this development, but I am convinced that the development should be approved with the above modification. I do feel that the site could be suitable, but with more limitations.

Name: Carol Davison

Representor No: PRS04137

Representation ID: REP00337 Question Ref: H2

1199 Moseley Wood Bottoms. In principle the site would be suitable for housing but the local roads are not suitable for increased traffic, there are serious concerns about flooding at the bottom of the slope. Local gardens suffer from flooding now and the implications for the railway should be considered. The area is not served by public transport so every one will use cars to get out of the estate. The local school is oversubscribed and there is no easily accessible public transport to Cookridge or Ireland Wood - more cars! There will be a loss of green space which can provide an exciting experience for children.

Name: Tim Rollett

Representor No: PRS04138

Representation ID: REP00631 Question Ref: H1

I am concerned about one site registered as ''green' as I disagree with the classification.

Representation ID: REP00631 Question Ref: General comment

My feedback relates to the Moseley Wood Gardens development only.

Representation ID: REP00631 Question Ref: H2

SHLAA Ref 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge, LS16. I have viewed the proposals from the developer and do not consider the infrastructure of Cookridge can support the building of 200 houses on this site. The local roads are not wide enough and the extra traffic that the development would bring would also represent significant road safety issues for local residents and children. Cookridge was not designed for this extra population. In addition, I understand that local schools would struggle with the potential extra demand on places. The plans also show that there will be one road into the development - this would place pressure on the surrounding street and the increase in traffic would ruin the quality of the neighbourhood as well as the road safety issues already mentioned above. I am concerned that houses at the end of Cookridge Drive will be demolished to make way for another access road to the development - this is not on the plans for the development but it does concern me - are we being deceived about this?

Name: Gillian Raven

Representor No: PRS04157

Representation ID: REP00851 Question Ref: H1

local infrastructure insufficient to deal with additional housing i.e - schools, doctors, dentists, local shops etc access to the site is via one road, local roads are narrow domestic streets which are currently in a bad state of repair, they would be badly effected by heavy construction vehicles possibility of flooding to Harrogate train line from surface water run off. Report from British Rail states development at Moseley Wood Bottom would have adverse effect on the train line Many other Brown field sites which are available for building on which should be used before green sites Extra local traffic on domestic streets, access from Cookridge to Horsforth is via the road over the rail way, this is the only crossing point and heavily congested currently

Representation ID: REP00851 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom map 15 , 1199 Disagree, reasons as set out above

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Toby Capstick

Representor No: PRS04186

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H1

It is my view that the Council should prioritise building on brownfield sites throughout the city first before any consideration is given to any of the green field/green belt sites.

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H3

Uncertain. However as I do not agree with the classification of site reference 1199, I suspect the council have failed at other sites too.

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H4

Uncertain. However as I do not agree with the classification of site reference 1199, I suspect the council have failed at other sites too.

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H5

Uncertain. However as I do not agree with the classification of site reference 1199, I suspect the council have failed at other sites too.

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H6

Uncertain. However as I do not agree with the classification of site reference 1199, I suspect the council have failed at other sites too.

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H7

Uncertain. However as I do not agree with the classification of site reference 1199, I suspect the council have failed at other sites too.

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H8

Uncertain. However as I do not agree with the classification of site reference 1199, I suspect the council have failed at other sites too.

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H9

Uncertain. However as I do not agree with the classification of site reference 1199, I suspect the council have failed at other sites too.

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H11

Site Reference 1199 should not be developed in either the short, medium or long term.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Toby Capstick

Representor No: PRS04186

Representation ID: REP00578 Question Ref: H2

I strongly disagree with Site Reference 1199. I have a number of serious concerns with the proposed housing development:

1. There has been no consideration of the environmental impact of this proposed site; namely (i) flood risks; (ii) ecological effect on local wildlife, flora and fauna; (iii) noise pollution. (i) A large proportion of Moseley Wood bottom is marsh land resulting from natural springs that surface in the fields. Additionally, being at the bottom of the Moseley Wood estate in Cookridge, surface water runs straight into the field, and the beck running at the bottom of the fields is considered a flood risk by Network Rail at the adjacent railway line who consequently monitor water levels in the beck. I understand that additional flood defences have been carried out by Network Rail, but flood concerns are a continuing problem. Any development on Moseley Wood bottom would significantly increase the flood risk, with subsequent adverse impact on commuters getting to work. (ii) The fields in Moseley Wood bottom are a haven for local wildlife, including numerous foxes that are regularly seen in the fields and surrounding streets, bats that are seen regularly, badgers, and deer. A development as large as this would be extremely detrimental to the local wildlife. I understand that Taylor Wimpey have failed to consider the ecological impact and do not consider this to be a problem, or even do not agree with local residents who have seen local wildlife. (iii) I am led to believe that the proposed development is scheduled to last for six years, which would create significant long term noise pollution for local residents due to construction works and the large amount of associated construction traffic.
2. The impact on local medical services have not been considered. There is no evidence that Taylor Wimpey have considered whether local medical services have capacity to manage such a significantly large housing development.
3. There has been no assessment of the impact of the proposed development on primary and secondary school places. I led to understand that local secondary schools in Horsforth are full to capacity and will not accept students from the Cookridge area, despite the fact that Taylor Wimpey outline that Horsforth is local to the area and that Cookridge would fall within the catchment area. I wonder also whether local primary schools have the capacity to cope with the extra children that such a large development would bring.
4. I have serious concerns that the only proposed access to this new development is through my cul-de-sac Moseley Wood Rise. This street is a quiet cul-de-sac, and is (and to a greater extent than Moseley Wood Gardens), a very narrow street. Vehicular access for 200 houses would result in an exponential increase in traffic flow down the street, and significantly greater through surrounding narrow residential streets. This is particularly so when visitors park on the side of the street. All the streets within the Moseley Wood estate in Cookridge are particularly narrow, and driving through the streets requires drivers to constantly weave in and out of parked cars and stop to give way to oncoming traffic. There has been no consideration of the extremely poor access through the entire Moseley Wood estate, or the adverse effect of peak hour traffic volumes. Additionally, a one-street only access to 200 houses is not only not desirable, but is potentially dangerous to children who play outside on the streets. Please note that children play on the streets as there are no local parks (and the "public open space" identified by Taylor Wimpey's development plan is at the furthest corner away from all current residents of Cookridge and so is convenient for current residents).
5. There has been consideration about whether 200 houses are needed in the Moseley Wood area of Cookridge. A quick search of www.rightmove.co.uk shows that there are currently 14 properties for sale on Moseley Wood Gardens and Moseley Wood Rise - the streets adjacent to the proposed development. This is not significantly greater than at any other time. This suggests that current properties exceed the demand for housing in the area as these houses often do not sell quickly. An additional 200 houses massively exceeds current demand.
6. Following on from issue 5, the fact that there are continuously significant numbers of houses for sale in the Moseley Wood estate demonstrates that there may not be enough jobs to cater for an additional 200 homes, if the current empty properties are considered to be reflections of local job opportunities.
7. A six year housing development has a significantly adverse visual impact to local residents, and combined with the noise pollution will make home life in the local area worse. This has not been considered.
8. I am not aware from the information made available from Leeds City Council and Taylor Wimpey whether a needs assessment or assessment of the local infrastructure has been performed. This is needed urgently across the whole city.
9. The number of houses (200) is an excessively large development in such an area, where the main attraction, besides public transport links, is the rural view. This housing development would ruin the view and peace of the estate.
10. The land at Moseley Wood bottom has a footpath running alongside the stream parallel to the railway line. This is accessed via a ginnel linking the fields to Moseley Wood Gardens. This proposed housing development would ruin both the attractiveness of this walk - ruining the visual impact.
11. Loss of the fields would have a detrimental effect on (i) the view from local properties, (ii) exercise area for local domestic pets - both dogs, and cats who regularly hunt and play in the fields, (iii) other local wildlife, in particular the significant numbers of foxes, owls, frogs, toads and other wildlife that I have seen in these fields. There is precious little natural habitat for the indigenous local wildlife around Leeds. We should not ruin this for future generations.
12. When I purchased my house two years ago, I did this partly on the knowledge that there were no plans to, and restrictions preventing housing development in the fields off Moseley Wood Gardens and Moseley Wood Rise. To find that this has changed without being informed is upsetting. Had I known that this would happen, I would not have bought in Cookridge.
13. It is possible that in the next few years, that work commitments may require me to move house. I am therefore worried that such a large housing development would either (i) significantly reduce the value of my house, or (ii) significantly reduce the likelihood of being able to sell my house in the future, particularly if I were to move jobs immediately prior to, or during any housing development. This is a major concern, as my brother and sister in law are currently suffering from this in South Leeds.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Elizabeth Mawer

Representor No: PRS04191

Representation ID: REP01760 Question Ref: G1

I strongly object to the plans to build new houses at Moseley Wood Bottom. Development on green belt sites is not necessary especially when there are plenty of brownfield sites available and empty properties available in the city. This is not a case of 'much needed affordable housing'; this is just greedy building companies making fat profits out of local people. What is this government for if not to protect us from this type of thing. Our properties are in danger of losing their value. We, the people who have lived and brought up our families here, are being exploited and taken advantage of. Is Taylor-Wimpey going to compensate us financially for the loss in value of our homes caused by their announcement to build here and for future losses as the rate of increase in the value of our properties falls. The disruption has already begun as the access road is widened. Are we paying for that out of our council tax? If this is a taste of things to come, i.e. 5 or 6 years of building work on precious greenbelt land, then we should also be compensated for the disruption that has already begun. The workers are inconsiderate and double park so that we can't get through on our way to work. They don't even have the manners to politely apologise and let us know how long it will be before we can get through, they just sit their in their lorries ignoring us. You are asking us to put up with 6 more years of this? We can't move. Houses are not selling since these plans were announced. We are stuck. Has any thought been given to infrastructure, schools, health, quality of life for existing residents who have worked their whole lives to buy our homes here? What about the wildlife? Where is the evidence of proper investigation into this? Leeds City Council, you are taking advantage of your residents to make yourself a quick buck. You should be ashamed. I suppose somebody is getting paid a lot of money to make nonsensical decisions like this. Save us all some more money and employ somebody who can do the job properly. People first, not profit!

Name: Richard Andrew Pollard

Representor No: PRS04213

Representation ID: REP00773 Question Ref: H1

See the next question (H2) for main reasons

Representation ID: REP00773 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. A new housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.. There is not the road infrastructure to cope with this additional traffic.. Moseley Wood Gardens is used as a ratrun by commuters from Bramhope area taking a short cut to Horsforth and the Ring Road.. The proposed development will have an impact on local schools. As a retired teacher who taught at Cookridge Holy Trinity Primary School for 36 years, I am well aware of the pressure on local school places.. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate and so would not help ease the problem of extra road traffic.. Historically, flooding on the land in question has been an issue affecting the rail track between Bramhope Tunnel and Horsforth Station.. The land in question is a haven for wildlife and housing development will have a seriously detrimental effect.

Name: Stuart Hinkins

Representor No: PRS04249

Representation ID: REP01342 Question Ref: H2

SITE REFERENCE: 1199 MOSELEY WOOD BOTTOM Environmental Concerns My son lives in this area and I walk in the field that is identified as coded Green by LCC. This is an important wildlife habitat - and is home to many types of wildlife including: bats, toads, foxes, woodpeckers, tawny owls, barn owls, herons, red kites, buzzards and countless other types of birds. There are large areas of standing water in the field, with many reeds and long grasses. This area is an excellent habitat for wildlife. The standing water supports insects, which in turn provides very important foraging ground for birds and bats. INFRASTRUCTURE Moseley wood gardens would be the only access road to this new development. This is a very narrow road, and I do not think it is suitable at all for the huge increase in traffic.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Peter Martin

Representor No: PRS04251

Representation ID: REP01019 Question Ref: H9

Site Ref. 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. See answers in section H2. This site is green field, with no merits for housing. Any development would cause significant disturbance and traffic danger to existing residents to the area. The assessment criteria are not met and the site should be coded red.

Representation ID: REP01019 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref. 1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens. This land is unsuitable for building on because of drainage problems which could affect the railway line. Network Rail has a number of documented incidents of train delays and flooding as a result of high flows within Moseley Wood Beck. Additional buildings/hardstanding would increase this problem. The Moseley Wood estate is unsuitable for the additional traffic which the proposal would generate because the only planned access via Moseley Wood Rise would be a severe bottleneck. The site could generate over 1000 vehicle movements per day on this road. The remote location would make this an isolated community with difficult access to services, shopping and for commuting. It is a long distance from any main road and would inevitably cause increased traffic usage on Moseley Wood Gardens and adjacent roads. The wider Moseley Wood road network would become a series of rat runs and be more dangerous, particularly for children. Almost all journeys would take a route via Green Lane: this road already gets dangerously busy at times. Many car journeys would inevitably be towards Leeds or Horsforth, this proposal would add further traffic to junctions such as Woodhill Road/Tinshill Road and Tinshill Road/Cookridge Lane. It would be dangerous and totally unacceptable to have construction traffic using the Moseley Wood estate roads to access the site over a long period of time. The roads in the Moseley Wood estate become impassable after any significant snowfall (as demonstrated several times last winter). At such times access to the site would be extremely difficult as well as causing further problems for existing residents. Existing public transport links from this area are inadequate: Horsforth station car park becomes full on weekdays before 07.30, commuter trains are overcrowded in the rush hours and the number 6 bus route to Leeds is hopelessly slow. Therefore, residents of new properties would commute to work by car and all these additional journeys would further overload the road network. There are few employment opportunities in the immediate area so new residents would need to commute some distance to work. There would be further journeys taking children to school and for shopping which would overload local roads and make them more dangerous. There is inadequate capacity at local schools, doctors/dentists surgeries to cope with the demand this development would generate. Moseley Wood Bottom is a green space on the edge of the existing residential development. It is a haven for wildlife and needs to be retained for the benefit of the existing community. This area of north Leeds has few brownfield sites so it is being unfairly targeted. There are already large housing developments proposed for the Boddington Hall and Government Buildings sites. All these proposals have a cumulative impact and would lead to overdevelopment of this part of North Leeds.

Name: David Hay

Representor No: PRS04267

Representation ID: REP01508 Question Ref: H1

Because site 1199 at Moseley Wood Gardens is on a flood plain and next to the main Harrogate / Leeds train line.

Representation ID: REP01508 Question Ref: H9

Yes site 1199 - as it is on a flood plain.

Representation ID: REP01508 Question Ref: H2

Reference 1199 at Moseley Wood Bottom is currently designated as protected land and should remain so. It is unsuitable for development as it is a floodplain for the beck. It has many underground streams and in heavy rain like in the winter and spring of 2012/13 then the streams appear on the surface and run off to the beck. Extra housing and roads will exacerbate the water run off and cause more flooding for the houses and the railway line (Harrogate to Kings Cross, London). In addition we have two mature oak trees in our garden that have been missed off the developers plans. We have been advised by a local arboriculturalist firm that no building / disturbance of their roots can take place within 15 metres of these trees without risking killing them. The proposed SIX year building site would also have detrimental effect on residents as there is only one way in and out of the site. Please can councillors REJECT this scheme as unsuitable, other more suitable brown field sites abound in Leeds. North West Leeds is doing its bit for development at Boddington and Lawnswood Government buildings.

Name: Karen Malin

Representor No: PRS04295

Representation ID: REP00060 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 Moseley Wood Bottom, Cookridge Transport: there is no dual carriageway out of this area into Leeds or to Harrogate and beyond. Traffic coming out of Cookridge is already jammed at peak times. The access roads are narrow and already obstructed with parked cars. There are several dangerous junctions such as the top of Cookridge Drive/Green Lane and where Green Lane becomes Woodhill Road there is a dangerously narrow stretch. Buses would not be able to access this site, the train station already has inadequate parking facilities and peak trains are untenably packed already. Cookridge is the highest part of the city, there is snow and ice here more often than other areas. When this happens, due to the hilly nature of the area, traffic is completely grid-locked. The only ways out of this site involve steep stretches which become dangerous and impassable for ordinary cars in freezing and snow conditions. Other infrastructure: there is nowhere to put more schools, surgeries or shops. Flooding: the removal of verges plus the boggy nature of the site itself is very likely to lead to flooding. Flooding would end up affecting the railway line which is the lowest point which would further disrupt services which are already unreliable due to the antiquated rolling stock.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michael Lowry

Representor No: PRS04370

Representation ID: REP06979 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199, Land off Moseley Wood Gardens - Green to Red
Myself and a fellow member of the Cookridge Residents Action Group (CRAG)
opposed to any development of land at Moseley Bottom in Cookridge, wish to
deliver documentary objections from residents to you. We would like to meet
with you if possible at 2.30 pm on Monday 29th July.

Representation ID: REP00094 Question Ref: H5

1199 reasons already specified earlier

Representation ID: REP00094 Question Ref: H7

Cookridge golf course (unknown reference number) is further from natural green space than site 1199 and would thus have less impact on
ecology and transport access.

Representation ID: REP00094 Question Ref: H9

site reference 1199 should be re designated as red because it is an established natural site with significant plant, animal and bird life. Transport
into and from the proposed development would be significant in impact on the area to the detriment of residents ability to enjoy

Representation ID: REP00094 Question Ref: H2

I strongly disagree with plans to develop site number 1199 which is a very long standing site of outstanding natural beauty and has been a haven
for wildlife for centuries. The site is home to large numbers of animals, birds, insects and flora, all of which will be badly affected. This site is
adjacent to an established community and has large volumes of traffic, heavily subscribed schools and inadequate space in which to develop
further. The communities would be submerged under intolerable additional traffic and pollution. Green belt would be decimated and local life would
become intolerably urban rather than the suburb which now exists. Quality of living should be paramount to the council planners, not divesting
areas such as this of the balance between humanity and the natural world which we are tasked to protect.

Name: Gaby Lees

Representor No: PRS04418

Representation ID: REP00120 Question Ref: H2

119937610331299A6261202for reason see above

Name: Alan Benson

Representor No: PRS04442

Representation ID: REP00771 Question Ref: H9

site 1199

Representation ID: REP00771 Question Ref: H2

I am just concerned with site 1199 . I lived as a child in the Tinshill area up to the age of 25 and returned when I was 38 . I lived in 3 houses in
Tinshill when I was a child and I do remember exploring this area at Moseley Wood Bottom as a child. I now have friends in Cookridge and my
sister lives in Moseley Wood Walk . I often visit the Fox and Hounds pub and I use Horsforth station all of which are near this area. I think that it is
a shame to build extra houses on what I think is the only wild green area in Cookridge. I know that there are playing fields in Cookridge and cricket
fields and the golf club but this area at Moseley Wood Bottom is the only area that is a nature reserve. I cannot understand why the council are
thinking that it is suitable for development and although there is a need for some social housing I cannot understand why there should be a need for
250k plus houses that Taylor Wimpey are think of building. The only reason the government is saying we need more houses is because of
immigration but if there is not enough houses for immigrants to live in then why do we let so many people in? Why should we cover our green belts
with concrete just because we cannot cope with the mass immigration. There are not enough jobs for British people anyway so I cant understand
why we let so may people into this country. I think that Cookridge would not be able to cope with all the extra pople and all the extra cars on teh
road. Some of the streets in Cookridge have so many cars parked on the streets as it is I cant see that Moseley Wood Gardens and the other
surrounding streets would be able to cope with the traffic and I am concerned about children plaing in the streets as my sister often looks after her
grandkids in Moseley Wood Walk and it would be dangerous for them to go play outside with all that extra traffic using the Moseley woods as rat
runs.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Alasdair Mcdougle

Representor No: PRS04463

Representation ID: REP00974 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref No 1199 I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan." It is my view that the Council should prioritise building on brownfield sites throughout the city first before any consideration is given to any of the green field/green belt sites.

Representation ID: REP00974 Question Ref: General comment

Site Ref No 1199 I would like to object to the Council's designation of the above site which is currently Green for the following reasons. List reasons Sustainability – it is not clear what the Council means by "Sustainable". Access to medical services – this is part of the infrastructure needs for the area. The existing medical services are already highly subscribed. Impact on access to both primary and secondary school places. The local primary school does not have the capacity for additional intake and given the projected increase in children coming up to school age already living in the local catchment area this will put additional strain on local resources and the inevitable use of additional transport for children to attend schools outside of the local catchment area. Highways issues – the impact of all the proposed developments on surrounding roads. The highways of Cookridge have been subject of many Council bulletins and suffer from a degree of continued subsidence. Additional housing with additional vehicle access and the construction plant will only make the highways situation much worse. The original road design does not reflect the social advancement of more cars, including home based businesses and sole traders with commercial vehicles in addition to private cars, in the immediate neighbourhood, thus making access and egress very difficult for normal day to day traffic, not to mention efficient access for emergency services if the additional traffic volumes are permitted by this development. Concern over the types of housing that is required not adequately reflected. The proposed development of housing does not concur with the view of affordable sustainable housing given the style and nature of the proposed housing. Significant peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roads, along with additional night time traffic. A number of junctions in the local vicinity facilitating travel to and from Cookridge are already prone to traffic issues, including road traffic accidents, and near misses. Traffic calming measures have been already introduced to surrounding main thoroughfares. Increasing traffic volumes further would be a witting act of neglect. Whilst there is a railway station at Horsforth, there is insufficient parking for commuters, with the introduction of permit parking in the immediate vicinity already, the additional commuter demand will involve further parking issues in what is an already dangerous and accident prone location. Potential flooding/drainage issues. Cookridge bottom has flooding issues. The green open land of Moseley Wood Bottom provides a natural soak away for a lot of surface water. Changing the surface water profile of the area will provide for further flood issues for road and rail in the immediate and local vicinity including interference and disruption to the railway, which includes commuters from as far as Harrogate and Knaresborough. Cookridge already incurs a significant degree of subsidence and heave and changes to the water tables and surface water profile will have a consequence for exiting home owners who already incur increased insurance premiums and excess when seeking insurance to protect their homes. Impact on the local environment. The open spaces were once described by the council as the Lungs of the City. With the increase in vehicle traffic, increase in air traffic from the nearby Leeds Bradford Airport which has increased night flying the need to maintain essential open space in this area already suffering pollution is vital. Potential public transport issues. The road infrastructure in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development is not sufficient or safe to permit a scheduled bus route. As such there is no ability to provide easy public transport solutions which can only result in an increased use of private transport adding to the issues already mentioned above. I am lead to understand that no needs assessment had been carried out by Leeds City Council to date and that this is needed urgently in regard to the lack of infrastructure. Ecology – There is within a very short distance to the proposed development an established woodland, Moseley Beck Wood, which has been sited previously in council records of utmost value as a wildlife enclave and biological corridor providing habitation and refuge for some rare species - great crested newt and water vole and a habitat of vital importance to threatened native flora and fauna. The development of Moseley Wood Bottom would have a direct and devastating impact on the nature area from destruction and disturbance. I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan

Representation ID: REP00974 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref No 1199 Access to medical services Impact on access to both primary and secondary school places. Highways issues Access and egress safety The proposed development of housing does not concur with the view of affordable sustainable housing Significant peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roads Significant additional night time traffic problems on surrounding roads. Insufficient infrastructure at Horsforth Railway Station Insufficient capacity on the rail link to Leeds on already overcrowded/subscribed commuter trains Lack of safe parking in immediate vicinity of railway station. Potential flooding/drainage issues. Changing the surface water profile of the area Impact on existing housing from increased risk of subsidence and heave. Impact on the local environment. Increase in vehicle traffic, in addition to air traffic from the nearby Leeds Bradford Airport which increased night flying the need to maintain essential open space in this area already suffering pollution is vital. Potential public transport issues. The road infrastructure in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development is not sufficient or safe to permit a scheduled bus route. I am lead to understand that no needs assessment had been carried out by Leeds City Council Ecology – established woodland, Moseley Beck Wood, which has been sited previously in council records of utmost value as a wildlife enclave and biological corridor providing habitation and refuge for some rare species - great crested newt and water vole and a habitat of vital importance to threatened native flora and fauna. The development of Moseley Wood Bottom would have a direct and devastating impact on the nature area from destruction and disturbance.

Name: Juan Riley

Representor No: PRS04501

Representation ID: REP00984 Question Ref: H1

The sites identified as green in cookridge i cannot say if this is suitable but it appears to be building on green fields which i disagree with. There should be more commitment to investigating and promoting development of brownfield sites. This would be more environmentally and commercially sound.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Katie Currie

Representor No: PRS04504

Representation ID: REP00259 Question Ref: H9

Yes, site 1199, for all the reasons stated in my response to question H2.

Representation ID: REP00259 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 – Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge, reasons for this: Access to site and highway issues: Access will be through the current estate which is very busy with multiple cars per household The roads are already difficult to navigate with cars parked on the roadside

adding potentially 400+ cars added to this area feeding through the estate will immediately cause significant congestion issues to the estate but also for the wider road network in the area, which will only escalate the issue of peak hour congestion which is already an issue in several bottle-necks in the area, most specifically in Horsforth The majority of the residents in the current estate are young families and older persons so to add this amount of additional traffic poses an increased and unnecessary danger on the roads in the estate The road surfaces themselves are diabolical and inadequate to support the amount of traffic that already uses them Not to mention in winter months the estate is very difficult to get out of and into when snow and ice are compacted; gritters do not venture into the estate and cars already get abandoned, adding an increase in cars on the estate will just exacerbate the problem and make it more dangerous for other drivers and pedestrians Public transport: The estate is only serviced by one bus service which allegedly is due to be reduced in the near future Horsforth train station is the nearest train access and at peak times it is near impossible to get on a train, if you do get on it is standing room only and you are crammed in like sardines in a tin Furthermore, the parking at the station is inadequate for the number of commuters that use that line during the week The impact of more people using this train line is that this type of congestion will be exacerbated at peak hours which will have a roll on impact for commuters at the stations nearer to Leeds as well Environmental impact: The soil in the area is very dense clay which doesn't drain easily and the whole field is very boggy, though particularly at the bottom because any water slowly drains down there; adding so much concrete and tarmac to the field will only have the effect of pushing the natural water level elsewhere, which will inevitably be the bottom of the field and the gardens of the houses that are adjacent to the site. These gardens that back onto the land already suffer from flooding when any level of persistent or heavy rain is experienced so this problem will only be increased Many home owners adjacent to the boundary of the land will have a road running along the bottom of their garden; this poses a danger in itself, especially in winter as the roads in the area get particularly icy, but also pollution from the cars on this road will discourage residents from growing their own fruit and veg in an attempt to be self-sufficient In addition to the Great Crested Newts there have been sightings of Herons in the field also Schools: Adding another 200 households with a possible 2 children per household would add a further 400 children into the local area; the two primary schools in the immediate area are already over-subscribed and within the nearer local area there is only one secondary state school This provision for education is struggling to support the current number of children in the estate let alone a potential influx/increase from an add-on estate Local Amenities: Other than the Tesco Local and the Post Office Cookridge does not have any local amenities Parking around these two amenities is restricted as they are opposite the nearest school which causes such a hazard to school children crossing, pedestrians and an inconvenience to residents and buses The small Tesco Local often struggles with long queues from the current number of local residents and passing customers who use it; adding 400+ residents will add to this strain There is no provision for a doctor or dentist surgery, these all lie in outer areas not immediately in Cookridge; adding 400+ residents to these outer area services will have an impact on the services they can provide and if they are already oversubscribed then where do these people register? Population growth/increase in population: If it is true, as Cllr Anderson has intimated, that the population of Leeds is not growing at the rate it is expected then why do we need to 'tag' a meagre number of houses onto a current estate which only creates further pressure on the local community, which already does not have the infrastructure to support it sufficiently? Over-development of the area: Throughout Leeds there are so many disused sites where old buildings can be renovated, demolished and the sites rebuilt on or indeed houses that are currently abandoned and closed up that could be refurbished to create the housing that apparently is so desperately needed To quote MP Greg Mulholland in a recent email communication with a resident in the Moseley Woods sent on 10 June 2013 "I'm concerned about a number of the green field sites that have been proposed by Leeds City Council and would much prefer that the council used new powers given to it by the Government to bring more empty homes back into use. It is also important that the council makes sure that brown field sites are developed first and I'm concerned that these proposals will be seen as go-ahead to developers to build on some green field sites." These disused sites will already have suitable access, infrastructure and utilities access and the abandoned/closed up houses will cost so much less to renovate than complete new builds. If affordable housing is what is needed why not use these houses? It is difficult as a resident of the City of Leeds to understand why the Council are not investigating these avenues when they have clearly been given the rights by Government to do so

Name: Joan Harding

Representor No: PRS04529

Representation ID: REP00843 Question Ref: H1

Moseley Wood Bottom development The current infrastructure will not support any further development, i.e. schools, roads links to Leeds city centre, motorways and surrounding areas are already subject to over congestion. Moseley Wood Bottom is subject to flooding which would endanger the railway network. A revised strategy for BETTER ROAD LINKS to Leeds city center would be much more beneficial to North West Leeds residents. The proposed trolley bus system will NOT achieve this as it will be OUT OF DATE even before it takes place.

Representation ID: REP00843 Question Ref: H2

Moseley Wood Bottom development site for 200 new homes - Reason: as above

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Corinne Lee

Representor No: PRS04536

Representation ID: REP00750 Question Ref: H7

Site 1199 should be coded a Red Site due to the wildlife that live here.

Representation ID: REP00750 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 This is a lovely piece of land to go for a walk, with lots of wildlife to see. If someone were to build on here, the wildlife would be disturbed. There aren't enough green spaces left in North Leeds. I object to the building of houses or anything else on this piece of land.

Name: Phil Robinson

Representor No: PRS04542

Representation ID: REP00100 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199 I understand the need to increase the number of houses in Leeds but school capacity and road infrastructure are my big concerns for building of houses in this area. I know of several families who have children refused from local Schools (Holy Trinity, Cookridge Primary etc) so they are already struggling to cope with the existing population. Adding another 150-200+ children without plans for an increase in School's capacity is a real concern. Traffic throughout the city is a problem especially in rush hour but the links into Leeds centre from North Leeds are particularly weak, if you consider routes through Otley road and Headingley are very congested as it is. There are also concerns regarding drainage as this area is particularly hard hit in the winter months with rain/heavy snow and flooding will damage houses and the rail links.

Representation ID: REP00100 Question Ref: H5

Site 1199 I understand the need to increase the number of houses in Leeds but school capacity and road infrastructure are my big concerns for building of houses in this area. I know of several families who have children refused from local Schools (Holy Trinity, Cookridge Primary etc) so they are already struggling to cope with the existing population. Adding another 150-200+ children without plans for an increase in School's capacity is a real concern. Traffic throughout the city is a problem especially in rush hour but the links into Leeds centre from North Leeds are particularly weak, if you consider routes through Otley road and Headingley are very congested as it is. There are also concerns regarding drainage as this area is particularly hard hit in the winter months with rain/heavy snow and flooding will damage houses and the rail links.

Representation ID: REP00100 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 I understand the need to increase the number of houses in Leeds but school capacity and road infrastructure are my big concerns for building of houses in this area. I know of several families who have children refused from local Schools (Holy Trinity, Cookridge Primary etc) so they are already struggling to cope with the existing population. Adding another 150-200+ children without plans for an increase in School's capacity is a real concern. Traffic throughout the city is a problem especially in rush hour but the links into Leeds centre from North Leeds are particularly weak, if you consider routes through Otley road and Headingley are very congested as it is. There are also concerns regarding drainage as this area is particularly hard hit in the winter months with rain/heavy snow and flooding will damage houses and the rail links.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jo Scott

Representor No: PRS04543

Representation ID: REP00070 Question Ref: H1

Site reference: 1199 - The site 'Moseley Wood Bottom' is not suitable for development due to three main concerns, which are:1. Drainage2. Infrastructure to include: a)Transportation concerns, b)Education, c) employment, d) local amenities, e)Health support 3. Ecology1. Drainage: The site is water logged in the area where site access will be; considering the railway line is at the bottom of this land and there is a stream that already floods in bad weather, should drainage be directed into this stream there is potential to flood the railway line. In bad weather rain runs down Moseley Wood Rise into the field, this will not change and housing if built will become flooded. Has consideration also been taken into how, in winter, residents will be able to get out of the estate?? Walking through this site there are many undulations, my husband who is a builder, who will be putting his concerns forward, believes the land is unstable. My husband built and extension onto our house and the groundwork was troublesome, the footings had to be extended in order to find 'hard' ground. During the dig many extremely large rocks (quarry like) were also unearthed. 2. Infrastructure: a) Transportation: Moseley Wood Gardens already supports over 200 houses, of which most households would be considered to be a two car family; therefore over 400 vehicles are coming and going on this road, to add to this a potential of another 400 vehicles would be detrimental to the residents in this area and to the environment. One entrance and exit site will place an extreme amount of pressure onto such small roads and increase the potential for road traffic incidents. Presumably most residents would have employment within the city and access into Leeds is already congested which ever route is taken. The vital railway link is already under strain with most people only having standing room on the peak periods of travel, not to mention the distinct lack of parking at the station. At peak times the roads are congested with people coming to and from the station which is a bottle neck due to poor road planning, more people needing to use the station will add to this existing problem. b) Education: There are only two primary schools in Cookridge, both of which take a double intake of 60 children per cohort and both these schools were full when I was trying to secure a place for my daughter in 2010; how can these 2 schools support further increase in housing? I would presume that the type of housing that will be built would be family type units, therefore again taking an average of at least 2 children per household there could be a potential of 400 children trying to secure places at their most local schools and it is clear that the existing schools cannot accommodate this increase. I have attended recent meetings to hear how the concern of available places in schools has been considered and have been disappointed to hear that Ireland Wood Primary could take further pupils; but as a working mother of small children it is vital to be able to take your children to your most local school. It is important for community spirit to be able to walk your children to school whereby friendships and support networks can be formed and it also encourages the children to remain fit and healthy, to take this opportunity away from residents would be detrimental. c) Employment, d) Local amenities: Cookridge does not have the amenities that the neighbouring town of Horsforth has, with respect to shops, hair dressers, library, banks etc. It is clear that should this development proceed then there will be a further increase of traffic into Horsforth which is already congested. As discussed above residents will need to travel to their place of employment, will this be by car, train or bus? The nearest bus stop is Green lane, will they have to walk quite a long distance to catch a bus? Moseley Wood Gardens could not and I would strongly petition against becoming a bus route, this is a quiet rural, residential area where many families with children and retired people live and again to increase the amount of traffic with potential for future transport links would be a disaster for residents. e) Has consideration been taken into whether there would be enough support with regards to the health of the community? When booking an appointment with the doctor the waiting list is generally a week at least. Will doctors' surgeries be able to cope with an increase in the community? 3. Ecology: This is an aspect that concerns me greatly; the land already supports a huge variety of wildlife not to mention an established foxes den. How, if this development goes ahead will the foxes be dealt with? Will they just be dug up and killed? This land is a natural reserve for wildlife, with many birds (house martins, kestrels, red kites, sparrow hawks, blue tits, great tits, great spotted wood peckers, tawny owls, black birds, starlings, magpies, jackdaws, crows, jays, sparrows to mention but a few of the species I have spotted. Not only are there a wide range of birds, but there are also many amphibians, with frogs, toads and newts being spotted; along with a huge variety of small mammals such as: mice, shrews, etc. This land should be left for nature, there are many brown field sites that should be developed. There are existing areas within or near to Cookridge that could be developed, such as the land where The Eyrie Public House is sited or the land off West Park where the derelict education centre is; these sites are already on major routes and would not cause harm to the ecology of the area other than the build itself.

Representation ID: REP00070 Question Ref: H9

1199 - For reasons already provided

Name: Shirell Bond

Representor No: PRS04698

Representation ID: REP00071 Question Ref: H1

definitely not, cookridge can not take the additional quantity of people and traffic that building the houses would bring. we do not have sufficient amenities such as schools, shops, doctors etc and this would put a great strain on the amenities we do have. Also traffic around the green lane area is already very heavy and often dangerous around the school area with passing buses etc without an increase of an additional 400 cars (working on the assumption that most families have 2 cars nowadays).we already have issues getting in to town at peak times due to the large volumes of traffic coming out or through cookridge onto the main routes. I bought my house to be surrounded by green land and bring my children up near the country side and away from fumes ect. I also paid more money to be in this area for that privilege not for it just to be taken away from us.I think that the access to this development can only cause problems to local residents and danger to our children.

Representation ID: REP00071 Question Ref: H5

1151- cookridge lane, 1199 - land off Moseley wood gardens, 3044 - cookridge hall golf club and 3360 - cookridge hall. all for the reasons stated in h1. that cookridge can not take such volumes of people and vehicles.

Representation ID: REP00071 Question Ref: H2

I disagree with site ref. 1199- land off Moseley wood gardens for the reasons above.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Katy Taverner

Representor No: PRS04704

Representation ID: REP00141 Question Ref: H1

I believe the Cookridge area is built up to a maximum, the roads and infrastructure is not adequate to support more housing development. I believe there are opportunities for redeveloping brownfield sites that already exist in Leeds to meet future demands in housing.

Representation ID: REP00141 Question Ref: H2

1199 Land Off Moseley Wood Gardens Leeds 16. Currently Cookridge is a large sprawling housing area, the fields earmarked for development are a haven for wildlife. The immediate roads would become more congested, the main thoroughfare into Leeds city centre is the A660 one of the most congested roads in Leeds. There are limited other facilities in Cookridge, such as both schooling. If the area is developed, impermeable structures such as roads and roof space will increase flood risk, and the proposed site would cause huge risk to the railway line which is at the bottom of the sloping plot of land. In terms of where we would build new houses within the existing city, there are currently a lot of vacant houses and disused sites, which could be brought back into use or re-developed.

Name: Malcolm Bannister

Representor No: PRS04726

Representation ID: REP00140 Question Ref: H1

There are far better sites in North Leeds other than the Moseley Wood site. The infrastructure does not lend it to be developed.

Representation ID: REP00140 Question Ref: H3

Yes Site 1199 Due location and fauna and flora being destroyed plus poor infrastructure, lack of school places in particular infants.

Representation ID: REP00140 Question Ref: H5

1199 already stated reasons

Representation ID: REP00140 Question Ref: H2

Site Reference 1199 The plans for this site show it to have only one entrance and exit for up to 200 HOUSES. This in its own right causes a major Health and safety reason. With only one entry and exit there is no escape or entry route for emergency vehicles if for any reason the Moseley Wood Rise is blocked. e.g. Snow, accident fire etc. Only this winter vehicles could not get up the rise for 4 days, so in this event all the 200 houses would have been trapped. Also owners of vehicles that lived on the rise parked their cars on Moseley Wood Gardens so they could get out to work etc. If the owners of the new estate did the same then the gardens would be impassable. There must be at least another entry or exit through COOKRIDGE DRIVE. This would then give another exit and entry for the estate and as the volume of houses are at the COOKRIDGE DRIVE END this would cut down the volume of traffic using the Gardens. If you ignore this Health and safety warning you will be leaving yourself liable because it has been pointed out in writing and I will be posting this warning on my Facebook site to all the tenants of Moseley Wood Gardens. The extra exit and entrance would make it more acceptable if the plans are to be passed. I know Wimpy are trying to get as many houses in as possible as this will make them another 600,000 but at a cost of endangering people living in this estate. What price do you put on LIVES?? For the above reasons I am objecting to any development of this site. [x]

Name: Shaun Solari

Representor No: PRS04769

Representation ID: REP01588 Question Ref: H3

1199 - Moseley Wood Bottom Inappropriate site - all local schools are already over subscribed as are health services. All traffic to and from the site are through an existing estate with narrow roads. Site is on a flood plain with poor drainage. Site is presently home for a lot of wildlife.

Representation ID: REP01588 Question Ref: H5

1199 - Moseley Wood Bottom Inappropriate site - all local schools are already over subscribed as are health services. All traffic to and from the site are through an existing estate with narrow roads. Site is on a flood plain with poor drainage. Site is presently home for a lot of wildlife.

Representation ID: REP01588 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Moseley Wood Bottom Inappropriate site - all local schools are already over subscribed as are health services. All traffic to and from the site are through an existing estate with narrow roads. Site is on a flood plain with poor drainage. Site is presently home for a lot of wildlife.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Vanessa Smith

Representor No: PRS04828

Representation ID: REP01343 Question Ref: H2

Site ref: 1199 Moseley Wood Bottom This field is an excellent wildlife habitat, and should not be built on. This important green space, provides an important feeding ground for birds and bats, and is home to lots of wildlife. This natural field is very important to the character of the neighbourhood. A development here would completely alter and spoil the quiet countryside character of this corner of Cookridge. This area is green belt - whether it has been classified as PAS or not, it is still a green belt site. I have concerns regarding the impact to local schools, doctors and road networks - all of which are under strain already.

Name: Beverley Hinkins

Representor No: PRS04850

Representation ID: REP01346 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref: 1199 Moseley Wood Bottom This site is at the bottom of a valley, and contains a lot of standing water. This field collects and stores water that runs off and down the sides of the valley (which are already tarmacked). A development here will increase run-off, reduce water storage, and increase problems with surface water and drainage. I believe it will cause localised flooding, which will impact on polluting the beck, and cause flooding of the railway line. It will also flood the new properties! This field is an important reservoir for water in this valley. I am also very concerned about the impact this development will have on traffic figures/congestion around Cookridge, competition for school places, and detrimental affect it will have on the character of this area of cookridge. It will spoil the character of the neighbourhood, with increased traffic and taking away this peaceful and natural green area which borders the Moseley Wood streets.

Name: Mark Lewis

Representor No: PRS04855

Representation ID: REP00877 Question Ref: G1

I wish to lodge my objections against the housing plans at 'Moseley wood bottom', Ref 1199. Greenspace is being swallowed up by continual spread outwards by developments that could easily be put on existing brown field sites which are currently available and are plentiful.

Over time inner cities and towns become derelict and our urban areas continually grow putting more stress on the more environment and existing infrastructure.

Name: Andy Heels

Representor No: PRS04856

Representation ID: REP00099 Question Ref: H6

Site Ref 1199, same issues raises earlier in this form, I think this site should be amber due to the following reasons"I understand the need to increase the number of houses in Leeds but school capacity and road infrastructure are my big concerns for building of houses in this area. I know of several families who have children refused from local Schools (Holy Trinity, Cookridge Primary etc) so they are already struggling to cope with the existing population. Adding another 150-200+ children without plans for in increase in School's capacity is a real concern. Traffic throughout the city is a problem especially in rush hour but the links into Leeds centre from North Leeds are particularly weak, if you consider routes through Otley road and Headingley are very congested as it is. There are also concerns regarding drainage as this area is particularly hard hit in the winter months with rain/heavy snow and flooding may damage houses and the rail links."

Representation ID: REP00099 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref 1199I understand the need to increase the number of houses in Leeds but school capacity and road infrastructure are my big concerns for building of houses in this area. I know of several families who have children refused from local Schools (Holy Trinity, Cookridge Primary etc) so they are already struggling to cope with the existing population. Adding another 150-200+ children without plans for in increase in School's capacity is a real concern. Traffic throughout the city is a problem especially in rush hour but the links into Leeds centre from North Leeds are particularly weak, if you consider routes through Otley road and Headingley are very congested as it is. There are also concerns regarding drainage as this area is particularly hard hit in the winter months with rain/heavy snow and flooding may damage houses and the rail links.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Karen Price

Representor No: PRS04861

Representation ID: REP01924 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199 The development of land has no infrastructure in the area

Representation ID: REP01924 Question Ref: H3

Site ref 1199The wildlife in the area would be killed off - Great Crested Newts, Herons and sightings of Red Kites.

Representation ID: REP01924 Question Ref: H5

Site ref 1199 - This just a tag on to existing estate and should be put back to green belt

Representation ID: REP01924 Question Ref: H2

Site ref 1199Access through the current estate is very busy with multiple cars per household, with the roads already difficult to navigate. Adding 400 cars to the estate immediately cause significant congestion both to the estate and the surrounding area. The majority of residents on the estate are young families and elderly, this would increase the danger on the estate.

Name: Chris Forrest

Representor No: PRS04862

Representation ID: REP00169 Question Ref: H3

Site 1199 Moseley Wood GardensAs previous reasons

Representation ID: REP00169 Question Ref: H2

Site 1199 Moseley Wood GardensPlan for 200 houses. - Access is via a single point - Moseley Wood Rise. 200 houses will generate traffic from 400+ cars, all via a currently low traffic environment. - Local primary school is already oversubscribed and parking issues have already been raised with council and local police. It cannot support a potential of 20 to 400 extra children. parents will drop off by car as it is greater than 10 minute walk. - Rail - trains are already full at peak commuter times. - Construction - again, plant access via a residential area for a number of years.

Name: Jacqueline Curd

Representor No: PRS04867

Representation ID: REP00303 Question Ref: H1

By developing this site you risk overpopulating an already busy area of north Leeds. There is no road access to accommodate any further properties. The main roads leading to the city centre, e.g otley road, from the proposed sites are already heavily congested & would lead to much further congestion & delays. The surrounding roads of site 1199 in cookridge are very narrow. By further developing this area heightens risk of road traffic accidents & pollution. There is a lack of drainage to the site, the site itself is in a position which poses difficulty to provide the correct drainage to cater for the level of housing you propose. There is a lack of schools & amenities in the area to cater for more housing.

Representation ID: REP00303 Question Ref: H5

site number 1199 as reasons stated above.

Representation ID: REP00303 Question Ref: General comment

the comments relating to site 1199 in cookridge need to be seriously considered not overlooked.

Representation ID: REP00303 Question Ref: H2

I disagree strongly to site 1199 in cookridge. Further to the above reasons the site itself is naturally very boggy land suitable only for farmland. This acts as a natural soakaway for any excess water protecting the main railway line. If this were to be taken away then this would massively heighten risk of flooding of the stream & therefore the trainline causing major costs to repairs to the railway & delays for passengers. Overall this land is suited to one purpose only & that is farmland NOT HOUSING!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Adcock

Representor No: PRS04880

Representation ID: REP01369 Question Ref: H1

Site Reference 1199. This site is totally unsuitable for the 200+ houses suggested. With only 1 access road and the volume of site traffic during the 6 year period it is unacceptable. The schools and Doctors Surgeries can't cope at present, where will another 500+ people go for medical. There are only 2 ways out of Cookridge towards Leeds/Bradford, these are jammed up at rush hour - what will happen with another 300+ vehicles? And if the train is to be used more often then they won't be able to cope - and what about car parking at Horsforth station area, there just isn't any? We do not want to have 6 years of disruption to our lovely peaceful environment.

Representation ID: REP03077 Question Ref: H1

1199 1. Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments.

Strongly agree

To overcrowded at present.

2. The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem.

Strongly agree

we currently have problems with traffic flow due to bad parking & large traffic volumes.

3. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand.

Strongly agree

Doctors & dentists are oversubscribed now! So what will happen with health 600 patients?

4. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this.

Strongly agree

Trains have been stopped in the past without parks hard areas to cause row.

5. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Unsure

Representation ID: REP01369 Question Ref: H5

Site Reference 1199. This site is totally unsuitable for the 200+ houses suggested. With only 1 access road and the volume of site traffic during the 6 year period it is unacceptable. The schools and Doctors Surgeries can't cope at present, where will another 500+ people go for medical. There are only 2 ways out of Cookridge towards Leeds/Bradford, these are jammed up at rush hour - what will happen with another 300+ vehicles? And if the train is to be used more often then they won't be able to cope - and what about car parking at Horsforth station area, there just isn't any? We do not want to have 6 years of disruption to our lovely peaceful environment

Representation ID: REP01369 Question Ref: H8

Site Reference 1199. This site is totally unsuitable for the 200+ houses suggested. With only 1 access road and the volume of site traffic during the 6 year period it is unacceptable. The schools and Doctors Surgeries can't cope at present, where will another 500+ people go for medical. There are only 2 ways out of Cookridge towards Leeds/Bradford, these are jammed up at rush hour - what will happen with another 300+ vehicles? And if the train is to be used more often then they won't be able to cope - and what about car parking at Horsforth station area, there just isn't any? We do not want to have 6 years of disruption to our lovely peaceful environment

Representation ID: REP01369 Question Ref: H12

[Comment removed]

Representation ID: REP01369 Question Ref: H2

Site Reference 1199. Water run off/flooding, flooding has caused the trains service to be halted in the past, without the extra hard areas proposed.

Name: Richard Pearce

Representor No: PRS04883

Representation ID: REP00727 Question Ref: H9

1199 - Existing area already unbalanced; 200 more houses and the increase in traffic will reduce the quality of "liveability" of the existing areas. If this land was desired for housing then the existing estate, roads and other infrastructure should have been designed with suitable capacity from the start. They do not seem to have been designed as such, so such a large number of new houses should not be built.

Representation ID: REP00727 Question Ref: H2

1199 - Cookridge is already a sprawling suburb with an unbalanced ratio of housing to structured public green space (EG: parks + allotments), education, transport, health, employment and shopping infrastructures. To attempt to build nearly 200 homes on the Moseley Wood Bottom land, with just the single Moseley Wood Rise access point seems unreasonable. I feel this will further unbalance the area and place even more strain on the local roads and other infrastructures, which seem to be built with barely enough capacity to support the existing requirements. IF this land HAS to be used for housing then I would request a much reduced number of houses, additional access (perhaps via Cookridge Drive or Smithy Lane), some park land (including children's play equipment) and allotments along with increased local school capacity.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Paul Devaney

Representor No: PRS04928

Representation ID: REP00136 Question Ref: H1

With regards Site Ref# 1199. The transport network and school capacity are already stretched - what proposals are being made for the major improvements already badly needed (since the last housing stock increase)? The train station / line is way beyond capacity, with our streets covered in parking permit zones and badly parked commuter vehicles (as there are no provided transport links or appropriate parking). Horsforth roundabout during peak times has obviously never been observed by the planners - as it is horrendous. The whole traffic situation is unbearable for those of us who have no choice but to travel at these times (or have no alternative means of transport due to poor public transport links). Living less than a mile away from the local Primary School I have no guarantee of my child being allocated a place there due to the overwhelming demand. The following quote from the Highways agency website I also find worth quoting "The M62 is one of the most congested motorways in the country, with over 144,000 vehicles using the M62 between junctions 25 and 30 everyday. This section of the M62 is characterised by unreliable journey times and constant stop / start journeys, especially at peak times." Can we really cope with more development without investing in transport links? - and why select areas already overcrowded when there are far more development opportunities in south Leeds with better transport links? One suspects it is the building companies who simply think they can increase their bottomline building in existing towns and villages without any expenditure to the surrounding infrastructure.

Representation ID: REP00136 Question Ref: H5

With regards Site Ref# 1199. The transport network and school capacity are already stretched - what proposals are being made for the major improvements already badly needed (since the last housing stock increase)? The train station / line is way beyond capacity, with our streets covered in parking permit zones and badly parked commuter vehicles (as there are no provided transport links or appropriate parking). Horsforth roundabout during peak times has obviously never been observed by the planners - as it is horrendous. The whole traffic situation is unbearable for those of us who have no choice but to travel at these times (or have no alternative means of transport due to poor public transport links). Living less than a mile away from the local Primary School I have no guarantee of my child being allocated a place there due to the overwhelming demand. The following quote from the Highways agency website I also find worth quoting "The M62 is one of the most congested motorways in the country, with over 144,000 vehicles using the M62 between junctions 25 and 30 everyday. This section of the M62 is characterised by unreliable journey times and constant stop / start journeys, especially at peak times." Can we really cope with more development without investing in transport links? - and why select areas already overcrowded when there are far more development opportunities in south Leeds with better transport links? One suspects it is the building companies who simply think they can increase their bottomline building in existing towns and villages without any expenditure to the surrounding infrastructure.

Representation ID: REP00136 Question Ref: H2

As above: With regards Site Ref# 1199. The transport network and school capacity are already stretched - what proposals are being made for the major improvements already badly needed (since the last housing stock increase)? The train station / line is way beyond capacity, with our streets covered in parking permit zones and badly parked commuter vehicles (as there are no provided transport links or appropriate parking). Horsforth roundabout during peak times has obviously never been observed by the planners - as it is horrendous. The whole traffic situation is unbearable for those of us who have no choice but to travel at these times (or have no alternative means of transport due to poor public transport links). Living less than a mile away from the local Primary School I have no guarantee of my child being allocated a place there due to the overwhelming demand. The following quote from the Highways agency website I also find worth quoting "The M62 is one of the most congested motorways in the country, with over 144,000 vehicles using the M62 between junctions 25 and 30 everyday. This section of the M62 is characterised by unreliable journey times and constant stop / start journeys, especially at peak times." Can we really cope with more development without investing in transport links? - and why select areas already overcrowded when there are far more development opportunities in south Leeds with better transport links? One suspects it is the building companies who simply think they can increase their bottomline building in existing towns and villages without any expenditure to the surrounding infrastructure.

Name: Yvonne Asquith

Representor No: PRS04930

Representation ID: REP00096 Question Ref: H1

I think the sites chosen are unsuitable as I do not believe Cookridge has the infrastructure to support the amount of housing potentially being developed. The schools are already full, and I believe the roads around the area would become too busy. The main routes out of Cookridge already suffer from congestion at peak times, therefore to add potentially another 200+ vehicles would only add to this congestion, as well as the detrimental impact on the surrounding environment I have concerns with regards to sewage removal, electricity supplies and the road networks.

Representation ID: REP00096 Question Ref: H5

1199 I think the sites chosen are unsuitable as I do not believe Cookridge has the infrastructure to support the amount of housing potentially being developed. The schools are already full, and I believe the roads around the area would become too busy. The main routes out of Cookridge already suffer from congestion at peak times, therefore to add potentially another 200+ vehicles would only add to this congestion, as well as the detrimental impact on the surrounding environment I have concerns with regards to sewage removal, electricity supplies and the road networks.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Kathleen Simpson

Representor No: PRS05029

Representation ID: REP05595 Question Ref: H1

1199 - The area regular has problems with flooding. Extra swathes of concrete buildings, roads , drives etc. will only add to the problem. Access to the site on Mosley Wood Rise will be severely congested, causing neighbouring streets to be used as rat runs. These narrow streets will have to take heavy building vehicles during construction to the site which will mean years of chaos and disruption to the area. A large proportion of housing in the area consists of bungalows occupied by the elderly. They will find the increase in traffic to the street especially during construction work not only disruptive but dangerous.

Green Lane, Tinshill Road and Woodhill Road are already jammed with traffic, more so during peak times and not helped by cars parked along these streets, often reducing the roads t single line traffic only.

Name: Richard Ineson

Representor No: PRS05043

Representation ID: REP05611 Question Ref: H1

Infrastructure is already inadequate, Schools are oversubscribed, Roads are congested already at peak periods and the Moseley Wood Estate Roads will all become 'Rat runs' to Moseley Wood Rise (The Primary access to the new development) Why only one access point?? Cookridge Drive would be the obvious second access but what guarantees are there.

To prevent even further development of the Retained Woodland/open space at a future date?? The Moseley Wood Estate roads are totally inadequate for use by contractors vehicles and plant which would pose a considerable safety issue over the construction period (what is this anyway- 1 year , 2years??)

Has anyone in authority given serious thought to the surrounding road network and impact of another potential 400 vehicles (most houses have 2 cars at some point) will have??

Add to this the impact on retail/medical/parking facilities, and it clearly is going to create major issues should the development proceed. Not to mention the loss of open space adjacent to a densely populated residential area.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Steven Norgate

Representor No: PRS05126

Representation ID: REP05705 Question Ref: H1

I refer to the allocation and grading of the site at Moseley Wood Bottom (ref 1199) as graded green. I object to the green grading on the following grounds.

The site is a Greenfield site and I believe that Brownfield sites should be developed first in preference to Greenfield Sites. The promotion of the development of Brownfield sites should be actively undertaken by the Government and Leeds CC. This site should continue to be allocated as a PAS site.

On a sustainability point the site is not located in close proximity to adequate public transport. The current train service is heavily overcrowded, although I believe that this can be reduced through the use of the future shuttle service, although this is dependant on the funding of additional train carriages. The site is not within a reasonable distance of local bus stops and services. The site plan provided by Taylor Wimpey indicated only one bus stop within the suggested 500m, although I believe that this measurement has been taken from the edge of the site and would not serve the whole of the housing site.

The local schools do not have any places and are already heavily over subscribed. With the increase in birth rates in the area (which has been rising for the last five years) this will only get worse and with heavy overcrowding of Horsforth schools I'm not sure that the long term strategy is for this area. This problem is reflected in lack of nursery places for pre-schoolers.

The area suffers greatly in the winter months when there is heavy snow fall. Access out and into Moseley Wood Gardens becomes impossible due to the two hills at either end of the street.

The proposed allocated site is currently very boggy and the whole area suffers from intermittent flooding problems. The allocation of the site for housing would only increase this problem.

The current level of traffic over the railway bridge into Cookridge is heavy and can cause significant delays. This would be increased by the allocation of the housing site at Moseley Wood Bottom. This is a concern, but not as great as the heavy increase in traffic to Moseley Wood Gardens itself. This would be caused by the high number of proposed properties (the majority would be family homes) and the proposed single access to the site.

I would also urge you to consider the allocation of the site at being flat - the site is certainly not flat, hence the problems with flooding onto the railway line at the bottom of the site.

The allocation of the site would also have adverse impacts on the setting of a number of listed buildings and impacts on visual amenity to surrounding residential properties, users of public footpaths and impacts on the character of the surrounding landscape.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Rebecca Condillac

Representor No: PRS05127

Representation ID: REP05706 Question Ref: H1

I refer to the allocation and grading of the site at Moseley Wood Bottom (ref 1199) as graded green. I object to the green grading on the following grounds.

The site is a Greenfield site and I believe that Brownfield sites should be developed first in preference to Greenfield Sites. The promotion of the development of Brownfield sites should be actively undertaken by the Government and Leeds CC. This site should continue to be allocated as a PAS site.

On a sustainability point the site is not located in close proximity to adequate public transport. The current train service is heavily overcrowded, although I believe that this can be reduced through the use of the future shuttle service, although this is dependant on the funding of additional train carriages. The site is not within a reasonable distance of local bus stops and services. The site plan provided by Taylor Wimpey indicated only one bus stop within the suggested 500m, although I believe that this measurement has been taken from the edge of the site and would not serve the whole of the housing site.

The local schools do not have any places and are already heavily over subscribed. With the increase in birth rates in the area (which has been rising for the last five years) this will only get worse and with heavy overcrowding of Horsforth schools I'm not sure that the long term strategy is for this area. This problem is reflected in lack of nursery places for pre-schoolers.

The area suffers greatly in the winter months when there is heavy snow fall. Access out and into Moseley Wood Gardens becomes impossible due to the two hills at either end of the street.

The proposed allocated site is currently very boggy and the whole area suffers from intermittent flooding problems. The allocation of the site for housing would only increase this problem.

The current level of traffic over the railway bridge into Cookridge is heavy and can cause significant delays. This would be increased by the allocation of the housing site at Moseley Wood Bottom. This is a concern, but not as great as the heavy increase in traffic to Moseley Wood Gardens itself. This would be caused by the high number of proposed properties (the majority would be family homes) and the proposed single access to the site.

I would also urge you to consider the allocation of the site at being flat - the site is certainly not flat, hence the problems with flooding onto the railway line at the bottom of the site.

The allocation of the site would also have adverse impacts on the setting of a number of listed buildings and impacts on visual amenity to surrounding residential properties, users of public footpaths and impacts on the character of the surrounding landscape.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Neil Bradford

Representor No: PRS05152

Representation ID: REP05730 Question Ref: H1

Site ref 1199 - Please register my objections to the proposed development at Moseley Wood Bottom, Cookridge. Just in case my objections are based on a lack of knowledge please provide detailed answers to the following questions relating to the proposed development and please explain how and when each of these infrastructure improvements would cope with the proposed development. On provision of satisfactory answers I may be able to withdraw my objection.

TRANSPORT

In this section please take into account the other housing developments in the area such as Otley and Adel and Boddington Hall (this is not an exclusive list).

1. What improvements will be made to the road routes between Cookridge and Leeds PRIOR to the proposed development and how would those improvements cater to the increased traffic load?
2. What improvements will be made to the road routes between Cookridge and Bradford PRIOR to the proposed development and how would those improvements cater to the increased traffic load?
3. What traffic calming methods will be used to keep residents "Old and New" safe.
4. What is planned for the sensible long term improvement of the road surfaces in the area around the development? Recent surface dressing initiatives have been a waste of the councils (taxpayers) money.
5. What will be done to increase the number of SEATS available on the trains between Cookridge and Leeds?
6. What will be done to increase the number of SEATS available on the trains between Cookridge and Harrogate?
7. What provision for off road parking for train users will be made?
8. What will be done to provide easy and close access to buses from the proposed development?

LOCAL SERVICES

1. Cookridge and the local area has already run out of NHS dental places at local practices. What proposals are there to provide additional services?
2. What checks have been carried out to ensure that sufficient patient places will be available for Health Care and how did this research prove that there would not be a problem?
3. What checks have been carried out to ensure that sufficient nursery places will be available and how did this research prove that there would not be a problem?
4. Local schools are already oversubscribed. What proposals are there to increase the number of child places for all ages?
5. What will be done to provide safe playing areas for local children such as accessible playgrounds and recreation fields?

Representation ID: REP06243 Question Ref: H1

1199

Cookridge needs no more housing developments: strongly agree

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem: strongly agree

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand: strongly agree

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this: strongly agree

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat: strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Taylor Wimpey

Representor No: PRS05168

Representation ID: REP07268 Question Ref: H1

1199 - Land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge

We support the colour coding of 1199 as green for the following reasons as set out below. We believe that the site should be allocated for circa 200 dwellings in the Site Allocations Plan. The site comprises of flat greenfield open land which is bounded to the south east by residential houses, to the west by the railway line and the north by field boundary and dense tree coverage. The site is adjacent to the suburb of Cookridge and is approximately 2.2km away from the town of Horsforth. In Cookridge, approximately 420m away from the site on Green Lane is a Tesco Express, Post Office, Flower Shop, Village Hall and Church. In Horsforth there are a range of shops and services such as a Morrisons Supermarket, banks, building societies, estate agents, hairdressers and restaurants. There are also numerous community and leisure facilities in Horsforth such as a library and more churches. The site is 1.5km away from the Holt Park District Centre, which has an ASDA, a fish and chip shop and dental surgery. The site has good access to public transport, education and health facilities. The site is approximately 1.3km away from Horsforth Train Station where regular services to Leeds, Harrogate and York can be accessed.

- Services to Leeds are every 30 minutes (more at peak times)
- Services to Knaresborough via Harrogate are every 15 minutes
- Services to York via Harrogate are every hour

There are bus stops on Green Lane, approximately 550m from the site, where the following bus services can be accessed:

- 6 – Leeds Bus Station to Holt Park Leisure Centre every 10 minutes
 - 31 – Horsforth Town Street to Holt Park every 2 hours
 - 940 – Holt Park to Wharfedale Hospital via Green Lane, Bramhope, Pool in Wharfedale and Otley Bus Station every hour and 14 minutes
- The site is well placed to access local educational facilities. The nearest Primary School Cookridge Holy Trinity Church of England Primary School is 450m away and Cookridge Primary school 900m away. The nearest Secondary Schools are Ralph Thorsby which is 1.3km away in Holt Park and Horsforth School, 2.1km away.

With regard to health facilities; the closest GPs to the site are High Field Surgery 1.4km away on Holtdale Approach and The New Croft Surgery 2.3km away on Broadgate Lane. The closest dental surgery is Horsforth Dental Practice 1.4km away on Troy Road, Holt Park Dental Practice, 1.5km away and Horsforth Smile Clinic, 2.7km away. There are a number of chemists and opticians in Horsforth Town centre.

As part of our ongoing pre-planning application work, transport consultants confirm a scheme for up to 200 dwellings can be developed off a single access point located on Moseley Wood Rise. For the avoidance of doubt, the site does not require a second vehicular access. The development team are looking at an emergency vehicle access in another location along with additional footpath connections.

[Representation sets out Core Strategy policies].

In Aireborough the Draft Core Strategy apportioned 2,300 dwellings as being required throughout the plan period. Regardless of our objections to the overall housing requirement, Aireborough has outstanding permissions and allocations equating to 539 dwellings, this is only 20% of the requirement. The total number of 'Green' sites in this housing market area has a capacity for 806 dwellings, some 35% of the requirement. Based on this, it is clear that the Council will need to allocate all the identified 'Green' sites in Aireborough in order to achieve the dwelling requirement. In North Leeds the Draft Core Strategy apportioned 6,000 dwellings as being required throughout the plan period. Regardless of our objections to the overall housing requirement, North Leeds has outstanding permissions and allocations equating to 2,911 dwellings, this is only 49% of the requirement. The total number of 'Green' sites in this housing market area has a capacity for 1,111 dwellings, some 19% of the requirement. Based on this, it is clear that the Council will need to allocate all the identified 'Green' sites in North Leeds in order to achieve the dwelling requirement.

The allocation of this site for housing development is consistent with the adopted National Planning Policy Framework. [Representation sets out NPPF policy]. The development of the site is consistent with these objectives.

Conclusions

We object to the splitting of this site across housing market areas and consider it should all be within the North Leeds housing market area. The part of the site in the Aireborough housing market area (to the west) is in fact undevelopable being close to the railway line and on land beyond the stream. The boundary revision appears to include an area of land which is in the Green Belt. The PAS site covers an area of 9.9ha and Green Belt land is not necessary for the development of this site. This site is currently designated as safeguarded land (PAS) and the Core Strategy confirms that PAS sites will be one of the prime sources for housing allocations. The site is adjacent to the Main Urban Area and is mainly within the North Leeds housing market area which has a housing requirement of 6,000 dwellings over the plan period. The allocation of this site would comply with the policies in the emerging Core Strategy. This site is in a sustainable location close to local shops and services, public transport links, schools, health facilities and employment areas.

A planning application is currently being prepared for this site which includes circa 200 dwellings, areas of public open space and greenspace. A number of technical assessments have been undertaken and there are no significant environmental or physical constraints on site which would prevent development. A range of benefits could be delivered including:

- Delivery of new family housing including open market homes and affordable housing
- Direct and indirect construction jobs
- 106 monies in transport, education, public open space
- Increased expenditure in the local economy
- New Homes Bonus
- Provision of new public open space

For the avoidance of doubt, a development of up to 200 dwellings can be served off a single point of access off Moseley Wood Rise.

The site is deliverable when considered against the definition of deliverable in the Framework (footnote 11 of paragraph 47). The site is available now given the agreement in place between the landowners and a national housebuilder. The site is in a sustainable location, suitable for the delivery of housing as detailed in this response. There are no insurmountable physical problems of limitations and as such the site is considered to be suitable for housing. It is considered that this is an achievable site, which has a realistic prospect of being delivered within 5 years; there are no known viability issues restricting the site from coming forward.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Alex Priestley

Representor No: PRS05289

Representation ID: REP05840 Question Ref: H1

1199

I would like to object to the council's designation of the site 1199 (land off Moseley Wood Gardens) as Green for

Representation ID: REP05840 Question Ref: H1

1199

I would like to object to the council's designation of the site 1199 (land off Moseley Wood Gardens) as Green for

Public transport provision-

Due to the nature of the roads discussed above, I find it unlikely that there will be sufficient public transport provision included in the development

Representation ID: REP05885 Question Ref: H1

Dear Sir or Madam,

I would like to object to the council's designation of the site 1199 (land off Moseley Wood Gardens) as Green for the following reasons:

1. Access

The proposed access to the housing development for Moseley Bottom would be along narrow roads already choked with parked cars making them effectively single track. 200 houses would result in at least 200 extra car trips per day making an already sub-optimal traffic situation worse.

2. Public transport provision

Due to the nature of the roads discussed above, I find it unlikely that there will be sufficient public transport provision included in the development.

3. Local facilities and schools

There are already issues with oversubscription at local schools. Adding a further burden to this without the provision of extra capacity will cause the current situation to be worse. There are also no medical facilities within easy reach, and those that are nearest are oversubscribed.

4. Flooding

Network Rail already suffer issues with flooding on the railway line between Horsforth station and Bramhope tunnel. Building on this greenfield site will further exacerbate the problem as it is the only bit of land available for rainwater to percolate into the ground between most of the Cookridge area and Moseley Beck. A housing development on this site would result in much larger amounts of run-off reaching the beck in a shorter amount of time. Since most climate scientists in the UK agree that rainfall is going to become less frequent but heavier, resulting in more flash flooding, the council should be trying to increase the built environment's capacity to cope with severe weather events, rather than reducing it. Building on this land would mean Moseley Beck and the infrastructure along the valley would be likely to suffer more severe flooding more often.

5. Ecology

The land along Moseley Beck provides an important 'wildlife corridor' between the open countryside further out of Leeds towards Otley and Bramhope and the important woodland habitats further into Leeds such as Woodside Quarry, Hawksworth Woods etc. Developing this land would cut off this corridor.

Also, the land itself provides an important habitat for a large variety of wildlife.

6. Sustainability

Building on greenfield sites does not fit in with the council's sustainability targets. Building on brownfield sites should be prioritised in every case.

I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS in the development plan

Representation ID: REP05840 Question Ref: H1

1199

Access

The proposed access to the housing development for Moseley Bottom would be along narrow roads already choked with parked cars making them effectively single track. 200 houses would result in at least 200 extra car trips per day making an already sub-optimal traffic situation worse.

Representation ID: REP05840 Question Ref: H1

1199

I would like to object to the council's designation of the site 1199 (land off Moseley Wood Gardens) as Green.

I would suggest that this site is put back as a PAS in the development plan.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Alex Priestley

Representor No: PRS05289

Representation ID: REP05840 Question Ref: H1

1199

I would like to object to the council's designation of the site 1199 (land off Moseley Wood Gardens) as Green.

Flooding-

Network Rail already suffer issues with flooding on the railway line between Horsforth station and Bramhope tunnel. Building on this greenfield site will further exacerbate the problem as it is the only bit of land available for rainwater to percolate into the ground between most of the Cookridge area and Moseley Beck. A housing development on this site would result in much larger amounts of run-off reaching the beck in a shorter amount of time. Since most climate scientists in the UK agree that rainfall is going to become less frequent but heavier, resulting in more flash flooding, the council should be trying to increase the built environment's capacity to cope with severe weather events, rather than reducing it. Building on this land would mean Moseley Beck and the infrastructure along the valley would be likely to suffer more severe flooding more often.

Representation ID: REP05840 Question Ref: H1

1199

I would like to object to the council's designation of the site 1199 (land off Moseley Wood Gardens) as Green.

Ecology-

The land along Moseley Beck provides an important 'wildlife corridor' between the open countryside further out of Leeds towards Otley and Bramhope and the important woodland habitats further into Leeds such as Woodside Quarry, Hawksworth Woods etc. Developing this land would cut off this corridor. Also, the land itself provides an important habitat for a large variety of wildlife.

Representation ID: REP05840 Question Ref: H1

1199

I would like to object to the council's designation of the site 1199 (land off Moseley Wood Gardens) as Green.

Sustainability-

Building on greenfield sites does not fit in with the council's sustainability targets. Building on brownfield sites should be prioritised in every case.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Perry

Representor No: PRS05469

Representation ID: REP07289 Question Ref: H1

1199 Mosley Bottom GREEN TO RED.

This clip has been sent by [x].

You can clearly see that when we have substantial rain Moseley Wood Gardens turns into a river and the flow of water is toward the beck and railway line. We've witnessed that many times. The water flows through the gardens from the direction of Tinshill Road and into Moseley wood Gardens.

Running directly along the back wall of my garden [x] is a beck that joins the one near the railway line. That beck lies about 2 Feet below the surface and in heavy rain we've seen it turn into a river. Water from the higher surrounding land flows into that beck.

I don't know if this is useful information - but I heard from a neighbour that in the original construction of many of the houses in Cookridge they had to use dynamite to clear the rock that lies just below the surface. It took over a week to create the foundations of one of the extensions to my house due to the Sandstone that had to be removed. I'm not sure if they surveyed below the surface as well as on top on behalf of Taylor Wimpey. The sandstone must have a bearing on the amount of surface water that is generated when it rains.

I can get still frames from the movie clip if you need them.

Thanks

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Perry

Representor No: PRS05469

Representation ID: REP06100 Question Ref: H1

The following points are some of the reasons why I am against any proposed development on the land at Moseley Wood Bottom and adjacent to Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge.

1. There is a development plan for around 200 dwellings. Such a development could possibly generate up to 400 to 500 additional cars on the roads around Cookridge. I do not feel that we have the infrastructure to cope with the additional road traffic.
2. The public transport will be at breaking point with the additional capacity.
3. The existing roads in Moseley Wood Gardens have a concrete base. The thin layer of tarmac, periodically applied, is purely cosmetic. The roads should be re-constructed from scratch. Additional traffic will mean that existing roads will require constant attention. The heavy traffic from a site development will damage the concrete base further, and the remedy will be more "patching"
4. Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times. That situation will worsen with the additional traffic from a new development in the Moseley Woods. Woodhill Road will be badly affected especially at the junction with Tinshill road, during peak times. We have heavy traffic from Harrogate, Otley and other outlying areas using Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route in to and out of Leeds. Traffic flow won't improve with any additional local traffic.
5. The "new development" will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. The traffic from the new estate will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Moseley Wood Gardens in the winter.
6. Allegedly, Cookridge Fire Station may close – that thought is disconcerting for existing residents in the area without considering additional new dwellings and people.
7. There are an inadequate number of local shops and facilities in the area. Parking can be very problematic outside of the local Cookridge Tesco. This must be a nightmare for those who live adjacent to the Tesco store. That situation will not improve with any new Cookridge development.
8. There are inadequate facilities for young people - one very small park on the opposite side of a busy Otley Old Road.
9. Public transport to and from Horsforth and Cookridge should be vastly improved i.e. the trains. The number of available carriages, and the quality of the trains to and from Horsforth - at peak times - needs to be examined. There is a negligible amount of parking at Horsforth Train Station. The station is not within easy walking distance for most people in the Moseley Woods. The parking problems will be compounded by a potential new development in Cookridge. The situation won't be helped by those who travel by car from outside Leeds who then use the roads around the station to abandon their cars, before hopping onto the local train. Without vastly improving the public transport the road traffic problems will increase with any new development.
10. The development in Cookridge will impact on local school.
11. The glorious countryside of Cookridge will be destroyed – but I'm guessing that is a very weak argument where planning and profit is concerned.
12. The small community feel that Cookridge Village has - will be lost.
13. The area may become a less desirable place to live. There may be better options as resources become over-stretched, and Cookridge becomes much busier.
14. In my opinion houses will generally de-value in Cookridge as a result of the development. Cookridge will lose its appeal. There will be a considerable period of disruption during construction for those factors to develop.
15. The noise from Leeds Bradford airport will and is increasing as the number of flights increase. Why build a development overlooking the end of a runway?
16. The privacy of existing residents will be affected by new houses that will directly overlook existing properties.

Meetings may be useful to a point and I realise that there are procedures but are the Councilors in a position to communicate directly with the planning Committee at Leeds City council in order to present arguments against land development in Cookridge (assuming you truly oppose such a plan)?

Should planning permission be granted for the development of the land at Moseley Wood Bottom are the Councilors in a position to object in both Leeds and London on behalf of the local community thereby forcing the decision to appeal?

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Perry

Representor No: PRS05469

Representation ID: REP06175 Question Ref: H1

POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

Site Ref No 1199. Moseley Wood Bottom (Land off Moseley Wood Gardens)

I would like to object to the Council's designation of the above site which is currently GREEN for the following reasons.

1. A housing development of this size (around 200 houses) in this location will generate a substantial amount of additional traffic through the Moseley Woods.
2. We (Cookridge) do not have the infrastructure to be able to cope with a development of this size. Access roads are far too narrow, and the existing on road parking will further hinder safe navigation for emergency vehicles.
3. Taylor Wimpey revealed (at their public meeting on Thursday 27th June at Cookridge Village Hall) that there will be a single point of access and egress from their potential new development . This is absolutely not adequate. Traffic will be funnelled into one area of the existing estate. A second potential access point in Cookridge drive would not help the situation due to the number of cars that park along Cookridge drive, and especially in winter months. There has been talk (by Taylor Wimpey) of an emergency access point being created in Cookridge drive which appears to highlight the fact that there are access issues generally.
4. Many roads in the Moseley Woods have a concrete base. A 'cosmetic layer of tarmac' is periodically applied. The extra traffic will have an impact. The roads in the area were never designed to support such a large amount of traffic. Streets will require constant attention (they are already in an extremely poor state of repair). The top edge of many kerbs are at the same level as the roads. Heavy plant used during site construction will structurally damage the concrete base. They will need major repairs and not just patching.
5. Woodhill Road is extremely busy with commuter traffic especially at peak times. The junction at Woodhill Road/Tinshill Road is extremely busy. Tinshill Road as it approaches the bridge at Horsforth train station is difficult to navigate as people use the public house car park as a 'drop off' point for the station. Parking spaces have been created along the sides of the road but there is simply not enough parking for rail commuters. Most of the spaces are taken by people who use the train but live outside of the area. The situation will worsen substantially with the new development.

Woodhill Road is very steep at the junction with Tinshill Road and is difficult and dangerous to navigate in winter due to snow and ice. Any increase in the amount of traffic will affect safety and the difficulty of exiting Woodhill Road at this junction.
6. Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.
7. The speed bumps on Cookridge Lane force many cars to "rat run" through the estate. Any additional traffic from a new development will add to this problem. Tinshill drive is affected by cars avoiding the speed bumps (Cookridge Primary School is situated on Tinshill Drive). More traffic will traverse Tinshill Drive en route to the proposed site at Moseley Wood Bottom (Moseley Wood Gardens).
8. The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Side roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge (Moseley Wood Gardens) in the winter months. Cars tend to be parked along Cookridge Drive in Winter months to avoid becoming stranded with the snow. Cookridge Drive will be difficult and dangerous to navigate when Taylor Wimpey propose adding Cookridge Drive as a second access point to their development in the Moseley Woods.
9. The development will have an impact on the local schools, Holy Trinity C of E Primary and Cookridge Primary School are currently over subscribed. There are not enough school places for the children who are members of the existing community. There is no logic in adding to this issue. It will create additional stress for parents and affect the quality of the service provided by the local schools, therefore affecting the futures of the students. Ireland Wood Primary School is also over subscribed
10. Parking is both problematic and dangerous outside of the local School, Tesco and the Post Office on Green Lane. Road safety is of prime concern to local Residents. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents on Green Lane and Kirkwood Drive, are severely affected by the current situation. Because of the sheer volume of traffic at peak times, cars park on pavements and ignore road markings. Developing the Moseley Wood Bottom site will add to the problem.
11. The train service between Leeds and Horsforth is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times (normally two carriages). These carriages carry passengers not just to Horsforth but to Harrogate and beyond. There is not enough capacity at peak times to cope with the current numbers of passengers. The parking provided at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people because it also has to cater for commuters from outside of the area. The station car park is difficult to enter and exit at peak times – being only just a little larger than one car width at it's entrance. Many commuters travel by car from outside of Leeds, park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train to commute to and from the centre of Leeds. Extra housing will add substantially to these problems.
12. There is concern over the overall inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of key locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others I totally agree with the consensus of opinion that this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.
13. Flooding will become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays. There will be drainage issues from the slope of the land and from the rest of Cookridge down to these fields.
14. The field behind Moseley Wood gardens is not flat. There is a visible slope from the Cookridge Lane end of the field down to the beck and the

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Perry

Representor No: PRS05469

land sits at a higher level than the gardens of the existing properties. No instruments are required to prove that the land slopes. The gardens of the existing properties are prone to flooding with heavy rainfall and this potential new development will create a situation where our gardens will become more prone to frequent flooding due to the surface run off from the new roads and drives if this land is allowed to be developed.

15. There are issues from airport noise that will increase as Leeds Bradford Airport expands it's service. Is it environmentally correct to allow a new development to go ahead on a site that overlooks the main runway at Leeds Bradford Airport?

16. Development of this site will be adding to urban sprawl.

17. The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology. There is a plethora of wildlife that occupies or visits this area, including Red Kites, Deer, newts and frogs.

18. There are many brown sites within Leeds that could easily accommodate these 200+ extra houses.

19. There are serious doubts as to the affordability of the types of houses that are proposed be built on this site by Taylor Wimpey as demonstrated at their public meeting

20. As revealed by Taylor Wimpey at their public meeting – this will be a six year phased project. That will mean an introduction of six years of noise and disruption for a quiet and peaceful part of urban Leeds. This proposed development is too close to a well established residential area.

21. There has been mention that Cookridge fire station may close. That means it will take longer for services to reach Cookridge and due to the development it will be more difficult to access the estate and the proposed new development will be even harder to reach due to inadequate access.

I would be in support of some 'red sites' in the area being marked for potential development if they are situated adjacent to main roads, can be accessed without cutting through existing residential areas, and will have better access in winter being situated on high and level ground. They would not have to contend with the future problems of access to Moseley Wood Bottom in the winter months. Larger red sites could accommodate new schools and facilities necessary for the community. However any development in this area will add to the current traffic and transport problems unless the infrastructure is improved.

It is my view that the Council should prioritise building on brownfield sites throughout the city first before any consideration is given to any of the green field/green belt sites.

I would like to see the development of this site (Moseley Wood Bottom) deferred for at least another 20 years.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Perry

Representor No: PRS05469

Representation ID: REP06666 Question Ref: H1

I am writing regarding the sites across Leeds that have been identified as potential sites for housing developments and in particular Site Ref No 1199. Moseley Wood Bottom (Land off Moseley Wood Gardens)

I would like to object to the Council's designation of the above site, and ultimately to Taylor Wimpey's planned development of the site for the following reasons.

1. A housing development of this size (around 200 plus houses), in this location will generate a substantial amount of additional traffic through the highly residential areas of Cookridge.
2. Cookridge and its immediate areas do not have the infrastructure to cope with additional housing. Streets are generally much too narrow. The existing on road parking will further hinder safe navigation for emergency vehicles. This point was demonstrated in July when an elderly resident needed an ambulance. The vehicle had to park some distance away from the patient and paramedics had to continue on foot. There has to be records of such incidents involving the emergency services.
3. Taylor Wimpey revealed (at their public meeting on Thursday 27th June at Cookridge Village Hall) that there will be a single point of access and egress from their potential new development that is Moseley wood Rise. The feeling throughout the community is that this is not adequate. We are predicting that Taylor Wimpey will circumvent such arguments by suggesting that the bottom end of Cookridge Drive be used for additional access. With the current proposal, traffic will filter, through the estate, to Moseley Wood Rise – the single point of Access. A second access point in Cookridge drive would not help the situation. The majority of householders choose to park on the road as opposed to their drives restricting the road to almost a single carriage. Many cars from the lower lying parts of the estate park along Cookridge Drive in winter whenever there is the possibility of snow and ice. The fact that Taylor Wimpey are suggesting creating at least an emergency access point into Cookridge Drive, to me, highlights the fact that someone is admitting that access to this housing development is already an issue.
4. Many roads in the Moseley Woods have a concrete base. A 'cosmetic layer of tarmac' is periodically applied. The extra traffic will have an impact. It's an opinion but roads in Cookridge were not designed to support the current weight and volume of traffic. Our streets will require constant attention, and are indeed already in a poor state of repair in places. Heavy plant used during site construction will structurally damage the concrete base beyond cosmetic repair. Some roads will require major repairs and not just patching.
5. Woodhill Road is extremely busy with commuter traffic at peak times. The junction of Woodhill Road and Tinshill Road is extremely busy and it is very difficult to exit safely from Woodhill Road onto Tinshill Road especially at peak times. Woodhill Road is very steep at the junction with Tinshill Road and proves difficult to navigate in winter due to snow and ice. Tinshill Road as it approaches the bridge at Horsforth train station is difficult to navigate and people using the public house car park as a 'drop off' point for the station, because of the shortage of parking spaces, add to congestion. Parking spaces have been created along the sides of the road but there is simply not enough parking for all rail commuters. Many of the spaces are taken by people who use the train but live outside of the area. The situation will get substantially worse with a new development.
6. Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to that volume of traffic. We don't have the infrastructure to cope with this through traffic or the additional traffic generated by a development.
7. The speed bumps on Cookridge Lane force many cars to "rat run" through the estate. Any additional traffic from a new development will add to this problem. Tinshill drive is affected by cars avoiding the speed bumps (Cookridge Primary School is situated on Tinshill Drive). Traffic will traverse Tinshill Drive en route to the proposed site at Moseley Wood Bottom (Moseley Wood Gardens).
8. The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from this development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Side roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge (Moseley Wood Gardens) in the winter months. Cars tend to be parked along Cookridge Drive in Winter months to avoid becoming stranded in the snow. Cookridge Drive will be difficult and dangerous to navigate especially if predictions are correct and Taylor Wimpey propose adding Cookridge Drive as a second access point to their development in the Moseley Woods.
9. The development will impact the local schools, Holy Trinity C of E Primary, Cookridge Primary School and Ireland Wood are currently over subscribed. There are not enough school places for the children of the existing community, creating stress for parents and quite possibly affecting the quality of the service provided by those schools.
10. Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local Schools, Tesco and the Post Office on Green Lane. Road safety is of prime concern to local Residents. The problems will worsen with a new development as they will also need to use these limited facilities. Residents on Green Lane and Kirkwood Drive, are severely affected by the current situation. Because of the shear volume of traffic at peak times, cars are forced to park on pavements and ignore road markings. Developing the Moseley Wood Bottom site will add greatly to the problems.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Perry

Representor No: PRS05469

11. The train service between Leeds and Horsforth is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times (normally just two carriages). These carriages carry passengers not just to Horsforth but to Harrogate and beyond. There is not enough capacity at peak times to cope with the current numbers of passengers. The parking provided at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people because it also has to cater for commuters from outside of the area. The station car park is difficult to enter and exit at peak times – being only just a little wider than one car width at its entrance and shared with a builders merchants. Many commuters travel by car from outside of Leeds, park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train to commute to and from the centre of Leeds. There is barely enough room for buses and cars to pass each other on Tinshill Road. The "T" junction with Low Lane adjacent to the railway bridge is hazardous because of the volume and speed of the existing traffic. Double yellow lines and parking bays have not made the situation any better and it has actually forced more cars to park further up Tinshill Road, along Woodhill Road and into other residential streets. In other words the problem is slowly expanding. Extra housing will without doubt add substantially to these problems. Cookridge does not have an adequate infrastructure.

12. There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area, and there is poor connectivity to a number of key locations in the city that may provide jobs. As a result of this issue and a number of others I totally agree with the consensus of opinion that this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.

13. The field behind Moseley Wood gardens is not flat contrary to reports. There is a large visible slope from the Cookridge Lane end of the field down to the beck and the land sits at a higher level than the gardens of the existing properties. No instruments are required to prove that the land slopes. Flooding will become a problem for the railway line from surface run off, causing delays. The low points of Cookridge are prone to excess surface run-off. Residents in Moseley Wood Gardens can see that the direction of the run-off is towards the low lying beck and the railway line. Our back gardens easily become flooded during persistent rainfall. There is a beck that runs just below the surface of the rear walls to our gardens, it is evident that this beck carries a lot of water from higher parts of Cookridge. The proposed new development will add to the amount of water. There will be drainage issues from the slope of the land and from the rest of Cookridge down to these fields as the natural drainage will be interrupted..

14. There are issues from airport noise that will increase as Leeds Bradford Airport expands its service. Is it environmentally correct to allow a new development to go ahead on a site that overlooks the main runway at Leeds Bradford Airport?

15. Development of this site will be contributing to urban sprawl. There is no infrastructure to support the development of this site and it will add absolutely nothing to the community or help those requiring affordable housing. There is no employment to be gained apart from the possibility of a few local contractors during construction of the site. There is no long term gain apart from for the developers.

16. The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology. There is a plethora of wildlife that occupies and visits this area, including Red Kites, Deer, crested newts and frogs. This is beautiful countryside and not wasteland. This site should not be considered for development before all brownfield sites have been developed. Developers have the funds to really help in tidying up the brownfield sites in and around the centre of Leeds and build affordable housing. There are many brown sites within Leeds that could easily accommodate the 200+ extra houses that Taylor Wimpey propose to build in Cookridge. However I realise that Cookridge is a more tempting proposition, and an opportunity to build expensive dwellings that will easily sell. There are serious doubts as to the affordability of the types of houses that are proposed to be built on this site by Taylor Wimpey as demonstrated at their public meeting.

17. As revealed by Taylor Wimpey at their public meeting – this will be a six year phased project. That will mean an introduction of six years of noise and disruption for a quiet and peaceful part of urban Leeds. This proposed development is too close to a well established residential area. There has to be noise thresholds when combined with the existing noise generated by the airport.

18. There has been talk that Cookridge fire station may close. It will take longer for services to reach Cookridge based on where the nearest services would be located. The proposed development will be more difficult to access due to inadequate access through the Moseley Woods.

I would be in support of some 'red sites' in the area being marked for potential development if they are situated adjacent to main roads, can be accessed without cutting through existing residential areas, and will have better access in winter being situated on high and level ground. They would not have to contend with the future problems of access to Moseley Wood Bottom in the winter months. Larger red sites could accommodate new schools and facilities necessary for the community. However any development in this area will add to the current traffic and transport problems unless the infrastructure is improved. The Government site on Otley Road (REF: 1033) LS16 5PU and LS16 2PU would be a better proposition in terms of access to main roads and the new trolley bus services.

It is my view that the Council should prioritise building on brownfield sites throughout the city first before any consideration is given to any of the green field/green belt sites.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Perry

Representor No: PRS05469

I ask that the council re-considers the allocation of this site as a green site and re-allocates this site to RED – no development.

Name: Sharon Woodruff

Representor No: PRS05475

Representation ID: REP06110 Question Ref: H1

I would like you to address the following comments as you develop your proposals for the Moseley Wood Gardens development.

1 - It is misleading for your website to show plans for the 'redevelopment' of the site. This is not previously developed land. There must be sites elsewhere in Leeds that really do need redevelopment. This is not one of them.

2 - The site may be close to local facilities, but I seriously doubt that there is sufficient capacity without significant and timely further investment in them. For example, I commute from Horsforth to Leeds by train each day. Every day, people are crowded together, standing in the train aisles. Where will these extra people fit unless we get more trains? I can see a situation where even more of us will fail to squeeze on to the crowded trains and be left on the platform. A financial contribution under Section 106 would be very unlikely to translate into extra rail capacity. Have you got any evidence that West Yorkshire Metro, Northern Rail and Network Rail would be able to make the necessary capacity improvements? The issue of transport is my main concern with this development and one that I urge you to take seriously. I will make similar representations to the planning authority in due course.

3 - Assuming that many people won't take the train, many will drive. These will use Woodhill Road. Traffic on this road is already busy, and it just takes one parked car to cause queueing traffic. At the junction with Tinshill Road and down to Station Road and Troy Road, there is also often queueing traffic. Once they get out of the Cookridge area, rush hour journeys by car into Leeds are very slow due to the congestion on the routes into town through Headingley and Kirkstall.

4 - The 'concept plan' layout of your site is curious. All of the houses are shown on the inside of loop roads. Can you really say that the development will be limited to 200 homes? It looks to me as if the layout has been designed purposely to allow further houses to be built on the outside of those loops in a later phase.

5 - I welcome the retention of green space, trees and woodland, and I sincerely hope that this will be protected for the long term.

6 - I have not completed your questionnaire as I do not think that it asks the important questions.

7 - Having one consultation event over three hours on one evening was not good enough. I would have liked to have attended but I had other commitments, and no alternative date was provided.

Name: Rita Horne

Representor No: PRS05477

Representation ID: REP06112 Question Ref: H1

Site: 1199

[Submission of Questionnaire that read]:

HAVE YOUR SAY
COOKRIDGE RESIDENTS ACTION GROUP
2013

'KEEP CALM AND OBJECT'

You may be aware of plans to build some 200 houses in Moseley Bottom: the green pasture fields close to the beck and rail line (site ref: 1199). This work if approved will take more than 6 years. That is 6 years of heavy traffic, noise and disruption in your neighbourhood.

Traffic will considerably increase with new houses, adding hundreds of cars using all of the area for rat runs. This affects everyone, not just those near to the fields, so have your say NOW!

Please take a few moments to complete your answers to the questions below.

Remember, this is for you and for all of us who enjoy living in Cookridge.

[Questionnaire left blank apart from personal details]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Tom Grey

Representor No: PRS05480

Representation ID: REP06115 Question Ref: H1

I write in response to the emerging proposals for the site adjacent to Moseley Wood Gardens in Cookridge being put forward by Taylor Wimpey. I was made aware of this project by a recent newsletter that was posted to my Mother's house on Green Lane in Cookridge. Whilst I am no longer a resident in Cookridge, I lived there for 25 years, and my mother has lived there for 40 years. We have discussed the proposals, and have some concern over several points:

1. Traffic.

Most households in Cookridge have at least one car, and a large number have more than one. The development is therefore likely generate around 200-300 extra cars. This will put an immense strain on the existing road network. In addition, the single vehicular access point to the development site will create an extreme bottle neck, particularly at peak times. The neighbouring streets are currently quiet residential streets, with a large proportion of families. The extra traffic generated will dramatically alter the characteristics of these streets. If this cannot be addressed the level of development proposed is inherently problematic.

2. Parking.

Whilst it looks like off-street parking will be provided for the new houses, the amount of cars as described above will potentially put a further strain on the streets. There is already an issue with parking in the vicinity, with streets often lined with parked cars on one or both sides. The development does nothing to alleviate this problem, and could potentially result in a similar or worsened situation.

3. Community Benefits.

The proposals will put a strain on the existing infrastructure. Whilst your website suggests that mechanisms such as the Section 106 agreement will address this, it does not make clear how the local community will benefit. In particular the child yield of the development is likely to mean that a strain is put on local schools. A dedicated contribution to a local school could be made to increase the local capacity.

4. Public space.

From the diagrams and site drawing provided, the public space provision does not seem well considered. Public space could be integrated into the street pattern in the form of home zones, street and square type arrangements and shared surface to provide a better sense of community. Furthermore, no mix of uses are proposed for the site, encouraging residents to go elsewhere for amenities. Recent precedents such as Accordia in Cambridge, Newhall in Essex, and Icon Lime Tree Square in Somerset demonstrate how this can be successfully achieved.

5. Quality of housing

The images shown are of an extremely generic housing type. No sense of place or attitude towards a local identity is considered. In addition the images shown suggest a 'standard' approach to house design; windows appear small, properties are uniform in their scale and materiality and have a mass produced feel, and the ground floor frontage is dominated by garages. The precedents mentioned above are again successful examples of how a more innovative, yet contextual design response can be achieved.

I have attached the 'Building For Life' 2012 guidance document, which I feel should be reviewed against the proposals to provide a scheme that better addresses the above points.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Martin & Vanya Clark

Representor No: PRS05481

Representation ID: REP06118 Question Ref: H1

We are writing to you to voice our concerns regarding the proposal to redevelop the land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge. Our main concerns being:

1. Vehicular access from Moseley Wood Rise - traffic would be streamed through Moseley Wood Rise and then filtered out on Woodhill Road. Roads leading down to Station Road, Horsforth Roundabout and Kirkstall Road are already full to capacity during peak times. 200 houses on your proposed site would only serve to make matters worse. The infrastructure around this area cannot take any extra traffic.
2. Not enough parking at Horsforth train station.
3. Houses in the area (especially on Moseley Wood Gardens) would be de-valued (at the moment we enjoy a rural view).
4. Construction nuisance to surrounding areas.
5. Danger to children with increased road traffic.
6. Not enough schools in the area to cope with the extra school places which will be needed.
7. If the proposed site goes ahead, how long will it be before other developers get the "go ahead"?

Representation ID: REP06300 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199

We are writing to you to voice our concerns/objections regarding your proposals to redevelop the land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge.

1. vehicular access from Moseley Wood Rise - traffic would be streamed through moseley Wood Rise and then filtered out on Woodhill Road. The infrastructure around this area cannot take any extra traffic;

Representation ID: REP06300 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199

We are writing to you to voice our concerns/objections regarding your proposals to redevelop the land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge.

6. not enough schools in the area to cope with the extra school spaces which will be needed.

Representation ID: REP06300 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199

We are writing to you to voice our concerns/objections regarding your proposals to redevelop the land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge.

4. danger to children with increased traffic; 5. houses in the area being devalued;

Representation ID: REP06300 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199

We are writing to you to voice our concerns/objections regarding your proposals to redevelop the land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge.

5. houses in the area being devalued;

Representation ID: REP06300 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199

We are writing to you to voice our concerns/objections regarding your proposals to redevelop the land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge.

3. construction nuisance to surrounding areas;

Representation ID: REP06300 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199

We are writing to you to voice our concerns/objections regarding your proposals to redevelop the land off Moseley Wood Gardens, Cookridge.

2. inadequate parking at Horsforth train station;

Name: Joanna Walker

Representor No: PRS05484

Representation ID: REP06122 Question Ref: H1

I would like to highlight a few concerns about the development. I am a local GP and resident.

1. I would value clear assurance that the development is limited in its size and that the remaining woodland has no further plans for development. I would value seeing development of the green space for access/walks etc around a small number of houses.
2. An improved bus service would take the pressure off the traffic issue, ie one that goes directly to Leeds city centre, holtpark Otley and Horsforth. The current bus route is not practical for work as it takes too long going through Tinshill and Ireland Wood. A direct bus service to the doctors surgeries would be valued too. Safe speed calming measures along all access roads would be important. Increased parking at the station would also help.
3. It would be sensible to consult with local services such as GP surgeries schools etc about the potential capacity to absorb the increase in workload.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Charlotte Binns

Representor No: PRS05488

Representation ID: REP06126 Question Ref: H1

I am writing to object to the proposal to build on the above site at Moseley Wood Bottom.

A further 200 houses added to Cookridge's infrastructure is going to cause many more problems. Cookridge is over-developed currently and any further population additions are going to swell this further.

It is clear to see that the infrastructure cannot cope with this proposal. There are numerous objections that I will detail here for you to consider.

_Access/Roads/Safety: the only entrance to the proposed site is by Moseley Wood Gardens and then into a small road, Moseley Wood Rise. This road is already busy traffic is plentiful as most houses have 2 cars and street parking is inevitable – indeed driving this road currently can be quite precarious and often dangerous. In winter this is a very hazardous experience indeed with many cars not able to navigate the hilly exits due to ice and snow. Accidents would increase a great deal not to mention the cost and wear of the tarmac that already costs the council time and money.

Increasing traffic to at least an extra 400 cars and all consequent visitors; deliveries; emergency and utility vehicles will make these roads incredibly busy and potentially dangerous.

There is a Primary school on the edge of the Moseley Woods and numerous family's and elderly people to consider. With the increase in traffic, of cars lorries, trucks etc. will need to gain access to the site will cause a serious safety impact on the area. I believe the area currently protects and provides a rare, quiet, safe zone for young children to play in, for dogs and their owners to walk through and for the elderly residents to feel safe getting to the shops.

Opening up the estate to an increase in traffic for the site will also create a 'rat-run' of additional traffic exposing a once tranquil area to a now 'handy short-cut' for impatient drivers.

_Population increase issues:

_Medical facilities are already stretched in the area with many residents struggling to receive appointments from local doctors and dentists.

_Amenities – are there to be more shops built? Will there be further amenities and larger social impact on the area? The strain would be massive on current amenities but the requirement and then execution of developing further would also be incredibly disruptive and there are no obvious sites for any of this?

_Schools are full in the area. Many of my friends and neighbours in the area have raised the issue of gaining places for their children in the local schools. Indeed we know of families where siblings cannot get places at the local primary school.

_Public Transport problems; local train station at Horsforth cannot cope with peak travel now and there is insufficient parking for those who use it.

_The buses already struggle on a very laborious route round the many tricky, tight streets. Added to this the increase numbers using the service and navigating through the increase of car, lorry/truck, site traffic this will become a very overpopulated service.

_Tinshill Road is already a traffic hot spot and congestion over the bridge due to street parking and the traffic bottlenecks will cause severe delays and additional roadwork's and maintenance to the new supply and demand of the area's traffic needs.

_The exits to Cookridge are already difficult at peak hours, and they are even more so should any road works be in place. Indeed the numerous times that an accident or issue on the bridge in Horsforth has caused gridlock and created access problems out of Cookridge have increased greatly in the last few years.

_Flood risks for the train lines – we have had flooding in the past and building will increase water run-off

_The Ecology will suffer in Moseley Wood Bottom as there are many types of wildlife in the area and at a time when we are supposed to be maintaining these, it seems inconceivable that more habitats will be destroyed.

_Add to this the years of turning the Moseley Woods into a building site with all the inherent dangers that implies:

_Noise pollution

_Dirt, Dust, Debris and Mess – will there be compensation or contribution for cars to be cleaned, carpets to be cleaned, windows to be cleaned?

_heavy plant vehicles, the impact on the paths and roads their ability to navigate into the site

_disruption to Internet cables, Phone lines, Gas pipes, water pipes – the impact this will have on the current residents supply, demand and

_Crime – the percentage of social housing that will be allotted will increase the crime in the area, there will be a massive increase in antisocial behaviour, loitering theft, vandalism

_Noise pollution as a whole will increase in the area

_Light pollution _my brother is a keen astronomer. There is currently an unobstructed view of the sky, and lots of space in an urban setting. Current residents appreciate the quiet, the lack of overexposed light pollution and the space that fields provide.

_View this is one of the most beautiful spots on our area, with some untouched Greenland. It is a beauty spot and a reason for residents to have bought their houses here. It is unfair to remove this

The list is by no means exhaustive but overall, this is clearly an over-development of the area and I think this should be put back as a PAS site in the Plan. I believe the plan to be an ill-conceived and underdeveloped idea and one which has in no way considered the magnitude of its impact

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Charlotte Binns

Representor No: PRS05488

on so many.

I believe there are better areas that you could consider before Moseley Wood Bottom – all of which have many means of access and are near major roads.

- Clayton Wood old quarry site
 - Boddington Hall
 - The West Park centre site
 - The defunct Eyrie pub site
 - The 3 golf courses (Cookridge, Headingley and Horsforth) within a 3 mile radius – why not consider using some of this privileged land which is used by the few rather than over-extend land which is used by the many?
-

Name: Barry Walsh

Representor No: PRS05493

Representation ID: REP06131 Question Ref: H1

I have previously lived in Cookridge, know the area well and am a frequent visitor there. For me it is an area of outstanding beauty, relaxation with a superb mix of housing and natural woodland beauty. The balance is just right and does not need any further change or development at this stage for a number of valid and relevant pressing reasons as follows:

Sustainability – for economic, environmental, and social reasons the proposed development cannot be sustainable in the present climate

Access to medical services – this is part of the infrastructure needs for the area.

There will be a massive detrimental impact on access to both primary and secondary school places.

Highways issues – there will be a devastating impact of all the proposed developments on surrounding roads for a significant period of time affecting the quality of life of many residents and visitors to the area

Population growth – the population of Leeds is not currently growing at the rate expected and so there is no need for this development

There is inadequate road infrastructure to cope with the additional housing and there will therefore be a knock on affect in the clamour to rectify this should the development go ahead

Significant peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roads

Access to sites will be dangerous and problematic

Potential flooding/drainage issues

The increase in local population and strain this will put on the provision of services and other amenities and facilities

Massive impact on the local environment

public transport issues – the need to improve and increase access to growing numbers of new residents and visitors to the area.

Local job prospects and further pressures– where will people find jobs?

Loss of agriculture – food sources – self-sufficiency and production of fresh air

Lack of appropriate infrastructure – has there been a suitable and adequate needs assessment completed by the council? If not why not?

Potential visual impact for a number of years while construction is undertaken and the lasting impact on the area thereafter

Cumulative impact i.e. over-development of the area once the development is complete and more demands are placed by the residents

Ecology – e.g. wildlife, flora and fauna that needs to be protected.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Linda Marsh

Representor No: PRS05497

Representation ID: REP06134 Question Ref: H1

I have previously lived in Cookridge, know the area well and am a frequent visitor there. For me it is an area of outstanding beauty, relaxation with a superb mix of housing and natural woodland beauty. The balance is just right and does not need any further change or development at this stage for a number of valid and relevant pressing reasons as follows:

Sustainability – for economic, environmental, and social reasons the proposed development cannot be sustainable in the present climate

Access to medical services – this is part of the infrastructure needs for the area.

There will be a massive detrimental impact on access to both primary and secondary school places.

Highways issues – there will be a devastating impact of all the proposed developments on surrounding roads for a significant period of time affecting the quality of life of many residents and visitors to the area

Population growth – the population of Leeds is not currently growing at the rate expected and so there is no need for this development

There is inadequate road infrastructure to cope with the additional housing and there will therefore be a knock on affect in the clamour to rectify this should the development go ahead

Significant peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roads

Access to sites will be dangerous and problematic

Potential flooding/drainage issues

The increase in local population and strain this will put on the provision of services and other amenities and facilities

Massive impact on the local environment

public transport issues – the need to improve and increase access to growing numbers of new residents and visitors to the area.

Local job prospects and further pressures– where will people find jobs?

Loss of agriculture – food sources – self-sufficiency and production of fresh air

Lack of appropriate infrastructure – has there been a suitable and adequate needs assessment completed by the council? If not why not?

Potential visual impact for a number of years while construction is undertaken and the lasting impact on the area thereafter

Cumulative impact i.e. over-development of the area once the development is complete and more demands are placed by the residents

Ecology – e.g. wildlife, flora and fauna that needs to be protected.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Madeleine Cox

Representor No: PRS05499

Representation ID: REP06136 Question Ref: H1

I am writing to express my opposition to building on site plan 1199, land at Moseley Wood Bottom.

As you know, this site is very close to the railway line between Horsforth and Harrogate. I have seen copies of correspondence between Councillor Barry Anderson and Network Rail which suggests that building on this land could increase the risk of the railway line flooding in wet weather. There is already a history of this railway line flooding. This is a major commuter route and any delay to the trains will have a significant economic impact because of lost productivity as a result of workers arriving late to work. Therefore, even if the proposed development were to cause just one or two additional instances of railway line flooding, any potential benefits of the new development would be massively outweighed by the adverse impact on large numbers of commuters and businesses.

I have further grounds for objecting to the proposed development of the site. The only primary school which parents of primary school age children will realistically consider to be within walking distance is Cookridge Holy Trinity. This school is already oversubscribed on a regular basis, as are other local primary schools. The additional housing will increase demand for school places - demand which can not be met in the local area. If children living in Cookridge are unable to obtain places at local primary schools, this will inevitably increase traffic congestion as more parents will drive their children to school.

On the question of available secondary school places, Horsforth School is invariably oversubscribed and has recently changed its admissions policy to give priority to children living in the LS18 postcode area. This means that children living in Cookridge (LS16) are very unlikely to get a place at Horsforth School in future unless they already have a sibling at the school. This will have a very significant impact on the number of children living in Cookridge who end up attending Ralph Thoresby School. It is essential to appreciate that previous figures for the intake at Ralph Thoresby can therefore not be taken as in anyway a reliable indicator of future numbers. Building more houses on site 1199 will exacerbate the existing shortage of school places at both primary and secondary level. (Abbey Grange School is invariably oversubscribed and has an admissions policy based on church attendance, so a child from the other side of Leeds whose family attends church frequently will be given priority over a child in Cookridge whose family does not attend church or whose family attends infrequently.)

Finally, in relation to the existing proposals from Taylor Wimpey for developing the site, I would comment that a single vehicular access point for the entire residential development is wholly inadequate for a development of that size in an area where a very high proportion of households own at least two cars. If the site is developed as planned this will clearly give rise to significant traffic congestion at peak times around the single vehicle entry point.

Name: Jonathan Bell

Representor No: PRS05501

Representation ID: REP06124 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments - strongly agree: cookridge can't take any more building work it is crowded enough.

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem - strongly agree: It can't take a long time getting out of the estate now because of the number of people who have cars, nobody would get out at all because of snow

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand- Strongly agree: Schools are full now, also doctors. The current schools can't take anymore added numbers.

The area including Mosely beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this - strongly agree: It would be a danger to have more concrete on the fields to cause a higher risk of flooding. Need to use brownfield sites for building.

Mosely Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Rachel Bell

Representor No: PRS05507

Representation ID: REP06140 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments - strongly agree: There are no positive reasons to do this. We are a small community, with the right amount of amenities. Building a new estate would lower our quality of life and could also lead to an increase in crime rate.

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem - strongly agree: My 10minute drive to work would become doubled due to the extra traffic getting out of Cookridge. Short Journeys would become longer, meaning the use of more petrol, leading to pollution.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand- Strongly agree: It would mean the quality of services for the current residents would decrease which isn't fair.

The area including Mosely beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this - strongly agree: Flooding prevention is likely to cost thousands in years to come, as it is hard to resolve. People would not want to live in a flood zone.

Mosely Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- strongly agree: When there are plenty of other fields in Leeds without wildlife, why build and destroy natural habitats?

Name: Stephanie Gardiner

Representor No: PRS05508

Representation ID: REP06147 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge is already too populated

Representation ID: REP06165 Question Ref: H1

1199

Cookridge needs no more housing developments – strongly agree:
Cookridge is already too populated.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem - strongly agree:
Traffic is already a nightmare.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand - Strongly agree:
Already too full.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this - strongly agree:

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- strongly agree:
It should be green belted it is beautiful and a haven for nature.

Name: Patricia Bell

Representor No: PRS05513

Representation ID: REP06149 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments - strongly agree: Young people are having to rent houses now, nobody has got money to buy even so called affordable housing. Only 2 shops and you have to queue now in Tesco's Express.

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem - strongly agree: Cookridge can't cope with traffic now, from Horsforth Bridge upwards. It is already dangerous, 200 houses with approx 2 cars each would cause traffic jams everyday just getting out of the estate.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand- Strongly agree: People can't get children into local schools now so with 200 houses where would they go, doctors have a 2 weeks wait.

The area including Mosely beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this - strongly agree: During heavy rain fall you can see the beck overflowing, new houses would increase the flooding and risk flooding the railway lines with the added concrete.

Mosely Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- strongly agree: regular herons, red kites, foxes, badgers, bats are sighted on the fields; geese

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Marianne & Adrian Lewis

Representor No: PRS05516

Representation ID: REP06198 Question Ref: H1

We have all read the proposals set out by Taylor Wimpey and both seen and heard the tricks they got/are getting up to in an effort to sway public opinion. The very fact they set up cameras without permission to monitor traffic leads me to believe this is not a company that the Leeds City Council should be dealing with. Negotiation is at the heart of every deal and the examples set by Taylor Wimpey would suggest counting your fingers were you ever so unlucky to have to shake hands with them!

There is an argument as to how many homes (flats and houses) are required in the city of Leeds because looking around the town centre and the city environs are many properties to let and some uninhabited houses which clearly require time, effort and money to bring them up to a standard which would sustain that community by being let.

Should not the Council be prompting the owners of these properties to do just that? There are numerous brownfield sites around the city, shouldn't these be used before desecrating green fields?

Rather than ramble on I think the bullet points below will probably be echoed by other Cookridge residents and hopefully you, and other interested parties, will pursue the builders over the following matters;

Taylor Wimpey's map setting out their proposals doesn't make (probably intentionally) clear what types of property they plan. Looking closely at the architects 'drawing' there does seem to be detached and semi detached properties along with what could be low rise flats. Is that the case?

As part of the deal with Leeds City Council will any of the properties be classed as social housing?

The other vitally important aspect is the question of access to the site. For some reason Moseley Wood Rise has been chosen.

By using this access not only do the builders and thence new occupiers of the houses but they have to drive through virtually the whole estate creating traffic and noise. Commencing on Green Lane even the shortest distance down Moseley Wood Drive involves the steepest and narrowest parts of the road system combined with bends and sharp curves. Not only will these be a difficult access for the builder's drivers but will create a 'rat-run' for the new estate with a consequence of road safety issues particularly with a the high number of young children and to a lesser extent the elderly. In parts of the Moseley Wood estate there is an increasing number of cars/vans parked on the roadside.

Is it anticipated that a bus route would be instigated to reach these new areas? Quite frankly this is a non starter due to the narrowness of the estate road linked in with the escalating parking problems referred to above. If there is to be no additional routes will the LCC then expect First Group to increase the number of buses operating the number 6 service? This is already a pretty dismal option but an increased service would then add to the traffic problems of the upper reaches of the Moseley Woods.

It appears nowhere in Taylor Wimpey's profferings that a spur is being built to the airport. Do prospective purchasers recognise the position they occupy in relation to the take off and landing of aircraft and that this will increase over the next few years. Has the LCC ensured higher levels of noise insulation are being incorporated in the building standards?

Now to education. Bringing in families to the new estate brings with it children. Already it is believed Holy Trinity is over-subscribed, what does the LCC plan to do then, build a new school and if so where? Alternatively, will they extend the existing building? That in itself creates more building works and dangers for local people.

Are the medical facilities currently able to accommodate additional patients. As an approximation taking 2 inhabitants to each property means the local medical surgeries need to absorb another 400 people.

For years Cookridge has fought off developers who are trying to destroy what green landscapes we have. Over in Adel we have seen land set aside as a gift to the area converted to a small highly concentrated housing development. In addition Centurion fields has blighted that part of Adel also.

Please ensure these plans fail in their entirety, we need to preserve the character of Cookridge, not erase it.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Marianne & Adrian Lewis

Representor No: PRS05516

Representation ID: REP06157 Question Ref: H1

There is an argument as to how many homes (flats and houses) are required in the city of Leeds because looking around the town centre and the city environs are many properties to let and some uninhabited houses which clearly require time, effort and money to bring them up to a standard which would sustain that community by being let.

Should not the Council be prompting the owners of these properties to do just that?

There are numerous brownfield sites around the city, shouldn't these be used before desecrating green fields?

Rather than ramble on I think the bullet points below will probably be echoed by other Cookridge residents and hopefully you, and other interested parties, will pursue the builders over the following matters;

Taylor Wimpey's map setting out their proposals doesn't make clear what types of property they plan. Looking closely at the architects 'drawing' there does seem to be detached and semi detached properties along with what could be low rise flats. Is that the case?

As part of the deal with Leeds City Council will any of the properties be be classed as social housing?

The other vitally important aspect is the question of access to the site. For some reason Moseley Wood Rise has been chosen.

By using this access not only do the builders and thence new occupiers of the houses but they have to drive through virtually the whole estate creating traffic and noise. Commencing on Green Lane even the shortest distance down Moseley Wood Drive involves the steepest and narrowest parts of the road system combined with bends and sharp curves. Not only will these be a difficult access for the builder's drivers but will create a 'rat-run' for the new estate with a consequence of road safety issues particularly with a the high number of young children and to a lesser extent the elderly. In parts of the Moseley Wood estate there is an increasing number of cars/vans parked on the roadside.

Is it anticipated that a bus route would be instigated to reach these new areas? Quite frankly this is a non starter due to the narrowness of the estate road linked in with the escalating parking problems referred to above. If there is to be no additional routes will the LCC then expect First Group to increase the number of buses operating the number 6 service? This is already a pretty dismal option but an increased service would then add to the traffic problems of the upper reaches of the Moseley Woods.

It appears nowhere in Taylor Wimpey's profferings that a spur is being built to the airport. Do prospective purchasers recognise the position they occupy in relation to the take off and landing of aircraft and that this will increase over the next few years. Has the LCC ensured higher levels of noise insulation are being incorporated in the building standards?

Now to education. Bringing in families to the new estate brings with it children.

Already it is believed Holy Trinity is over-subscribed, what does the LCC plan to do then, build a new school and if so where? Alternatively, will they extend the existing building? That in itself creates more building works and dangers for local people.

Are the medical facilities currently able to accommodate additional patients. As an approximation taking 2 inhabitants to each property means the local medical surgeries need to absorb another 400 people.

For years Cookridge has fought off developers who are trying to destroy what green landscapes we have. Over in Adel we have seen land set aside as a gift to the area converted to a small highly concentrated housing development. In addition Centurion fields has blighted that part of Adel also.

Please ensure these plans fail in their entirety, we need to preserve the character of Cookridge, not erase it.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Marianne & Adrian Lewis

Representor No: PRS05516

Representation ID: REP06550 Question Ref: H1

We have all read the proposals set out by Taylor Wimpey and both seen and heard the tricks they got/are getting up to in an effort to sway public opinion. The very fact they set up cameras without permission to monitor traffic leads me to believe this is not a company that the Leeds City Council should be dealing with. Negotiation is at the heart of every deal and the examples set by Taylor Wimpey would suggest counting your fingers were you ever so unlucky to have to shake hands with them!

There is an argument as to how many homes (flats and houses) are required in the city of Leeds because looking around the town centre and the city environs are many properties to let and some uninhabited houses which clearly require time, effort and money to bring them up to a standard which would sustain that community by being let.

Should not the Council be prompting the owners of these properties to do just that? There are numerous brownfield sites around the city, shouldn't these be used before desecrating green fields?

Rather than ramble on I think the bullet points below will probably be echoed by other Cookridge residents and hopefully you, and other interested parties, will pursue the builders over the following matters;

Taylor Wimpey's map setting out their proposals doesn't make (probably intentionally) clear what types of property they plan. Looking closely at the architects 'drawing' there does seem to be detached and semi detached properties along with what could be low rise flats. Is that the case?

As part of the deal with Leeds City Council will any of the properties be classed as social housing?

The other vitally important aspect is the question of access to the site. For some reason Moseley Wood Rise has been chosen.

By using this access not only do the builders and thence new occupiers of the houses but they have to drive through virtually the whole estate creating traffic and noise. Commencing on Green Lane even the shortest distance down Moseley Wood Drive involves the steepest and narrowest parts of the road system combined with bends and sharp curves. Not only will these be a difficult access for the builder's drivers but will create a 'rat-run' for the new estate with a consequence of road safety issues particularly with a the high number of young children and to a lesser extent the elderly. In parts of the Moseley Wood estate there is an increasing number of cars/vans parked on the roadside.

Is it anticipated that a bus route would be instigated to reach these new areas? Quite frankly this is a non starter due to the narrowness of the estate road linked in with the escalating parking problems referred to above. If there is to be no additional routes will the LCC then expect First Group to increase the number of buses operating the number 6 service? This is already a pretty dismal option but an increased service would then add to the traffic problems of the upper reaches of the Moseley Woods.

It appears nowhere in Taylor Wimpey's profferings that a spur is being built to the airport. Do prospective purchasers recognise the position they occupy in relation to the take off and landing of aircraft and that this will increase over the next few years. Has the LCC ensured higher levels of noise insulation are being incorporated in the building standards?

Now to education. Bringing in families to the new estate brings with it children. Already it is believed Holy Trinity is over-subscribed, what does the LCC plan to do then, build a new school and if so where? Alternatively, will they extend the existing building? That in itself creates more building works and dangers for local people.

Are the medical facilities currently able to accommodate additional patients. As an approximation taking 2 inhabitants to each property means the local medical surgeries need to absorb another 400 people.

For years Cookridge has fought off developers who are trying to destroy what green landscapes we have. Over in Adel we have seen land set aside as a gift to the area converted to a small highly concentrated housing development. In addition Centurion fields has blighted that part of Adel also.

Please ensure these plans fail in their entirety, we need to preserve the character of Cookridge, not erase it.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Victoria Lamb

Representor No: PRS05518

Representation ID: REP06158 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments - strongly agree

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem - strongly agree

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand- Strongly agree

The area including Mosely beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this - strongly agree

Mosely Bottom is an important wildlife habitat- strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: David Fanaroff

Representor No: PRS05527

Representation ID: REP06176 Question Ref: H1

I wish to object to the allocation of Moseley Wood bottom, site reference – site no. 1199 as a housing development site within the LDF site allocations plan.

Background information

I know the site well having lived in the area since 1999. One critical aspect of this is that I have walked on the site in both winter and summer. Anyone who has walked on the site in winter will know that it drains very poorly and contains areas of temporary open water, very boggy ground and extremely wet grassland which is evidenced by a variety of damp and wet loving vegetation.

Moseley Wood beck runs along the western boundary of the site. Further South the Beck runs approximately 10 metres from my property boundary. The beck varies from a mere trickle in the summer months to raging torrent in winter. The force is sufficient to uproot mature trees.

Last year the Environment Agency removed one mature oak and one mature Alder which had been knocked down by flooding and were obstructing the Beck and exacerbating the flooding to gardens downstream of my property. It bursts its banks behind my property each year. The severity of this varies year to year, but I estimate that on average, every other year the Beck floods the lower part of my garden and that of my immediate neighbours. This leaves debris, washes away plants and damages fences.

Within the small area of woodland immediately to the South of the proposed development site the Beck runs adjacent to the Harrogate railway line. The same level of flooding to the rear of my property also occurs here. However the impact here is much greater. I can remember at least 2 occasions in the past 14 years where the Beck has burst its banks here and flooded the railway line. This has disrupted trains both times. One year it was so severe that a large section of ballast was washed away and the line was shut for 3 days.

Through a contact I have been in touch with Network rail infrastructure division based in York about this issue and they have confirmed the following:

Between August 2004 and November 2012 there have been 10 incidents of flooding at Horsforth affecting the railway. Three of those incidents were

severe enough to affect the permanent way and have a direct impact on train services.

They also indicated that any increase in flow rates or water levels would create concern over the ability of the bridge structures before and after the stations to cope. The flow was

recorded at the red marker level at the bridge over the Beck by the station in September last year.

Objections

My objections are therefore:

1. Development of the site would have a negative economic impact by exacerbating high levels of flooding in the area. This could increase infrastructure repair costs for network rail, increase railway line closures and delays negatively affecting commuters and therefore the whole economy of Leeds.

2. Local residents could be affected by increased flooding to their properties.

I am a qualified town planner and recognize that throwing a massive amount of money at this site in terms of flood retention pools for the river, sustainable urban drainage provision for the housing, protection measures for bridges and legal compensation protection for the developer in the event of flooding to the railway line could enable development. However my judgement is that the infrastructure requirements and costs in producing non standard designs to these problems tips the logic away from developing this site to sites with more straight forward development potential.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Toby Capstick

Representor No: PRS05530

Representation ID: REP06178 Question Ref: H1

I have read with interest, and concern, about the proposed Outline Planning Permission application on land at Moseley Wood Bottom, to the read of Moseley Wood Gardens.

I have a number of serious concerns with the proposed housing development:

1. The number of houses (200) is an excessively large development in such an area, where the main attraction, besides public transport links, is the rural view. This housing development would ruin the view and peace of the estate.
2. I have serious concerns that the only proposed access to this new development is through my cul-de-sac Moseley Wood Rise (reference is an undated flyer from Taylor Wimpey), which I received this weekend. Moseley Wood Rise, is a quiet cul-de-sac, and is (and possibly to a greater extent than Moseley Wood Gardens) a narrow street. Vehicular access for 200 houses would result in an exponential increase in traffic flow down the street, and significantly greater through surrounding narrow residential streets. This is particularly so when visitors park on the side of the street. A one- street only access to 200 houses is not only not desirable, but is potentially dangerous to children who play outside on the streets.
3. Cookridge, as you are likely to be aware is currently devoid of any recreational parks (apart from a cricket field), resulting in the unavoidable need for children to play games on the street. The extra traffic generated by 200 houses would be a significant risk.
4. The land at Moseley Wood bottom has a footpath running alongside the stream parallel to the railway line. This is accessed via a ginnel linking the fields to Moseley Wood Gardens. This proposed housing development would ruin both the attractiveness of this walk and also access to the fields.
5. Loss of the fields would have a detrimental effect on (i) the view from local properties, (ii) exercise area for local domestic pets - both dogs, and cats who regularly hunt and play in the fields, (iii) other local wildlife, in particular the significant numbers of foxes, owls, frogs, toads and other wildlife that I have seen in these fields. There is precious little natural habitat for the indigenous local wildlife around Leeds. We should not ruin this for future generations.
6. When I purchased my house two years ago, I did this partly on the knowledge that there were no plans to, and restrictions preventing housing development in the fields off Moseley Wood Gardens and Moseley Wood Rise. To find that this has changed without being informed is upsetting. Had I known that this would happen, I would not have bought in Cookridge.
7. It is possible that in the next few years, that work commitments may require me to move house. I am therefore worried that such a large housing development would either (i) significantly reduce the value of my house, or (ii) significantly reduce the likelihood of being able to sell my house in the future, particularly if I were to move jobs immediately prior to, or during any housing development. This is a major concern, as my brother and sister in law are currently suffering from this in South Leeds.
8. Finally, for now, I feel somewhat demoralised by the defeatist tone of the initial notification that implies that if a developer puts in a developing plan, then it is more than "likely in the end to be allocated for housing." As a consequence I am extremely concerned that any issues, worries, or objections will be completely ignored or overlooked for the perceived 'greater good' seen by local councils to achieve housing targets at the expense of current voter wishes.

I wonder whether anyone can reassure me on any of these points outlined above?

In the meantime, I would be grateful if you could keep me informed of progress in this, which is likely to adversely affect my home and financial life.

Name: Tim Smith

Representor No: PRS05531

Representation ID: REP06179 Question Ref: H1

I am writing to object to the proposed development of Moseley wood Bottom.

The plans Taylor Wimpey have put forward are dreadful. The plans will create 200 more houses in a area without the schools to take any extra children. The local schools are already oversubscribed.

The road access to the site is simply inadequate. Moseley Wood Gardens etc will become a rat run. The rounds around the site were not designed to cope with the volume of traffic that will be generated. There will also be bottle necks at rush hour which will then feed into the already congested roads near Horsforth station.

The plans will also increase the risk of flooding on the railway line and lower down Moseley Wood Beck.

Taylor Wimpey are simply trying to cram as many houses into an unsuitable site to maximise their profits. They have given no thought to how it will affect the existing community are the congestion problems the new residents will have to endure.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Adam Binns

Representor No: PRS05533

Representation ID: REP06181 Question Ref: H1

I am writing to object to the proposal to build on the above site at Moseley Wood Bottom.

v The obvious main objection is that the infrastructure cannot cope with this proposal.

v Cookridge is already over-developed and a further 200 houses squashed into the bottom of a busy estate is going to cause countless problems as follows:

§ Access and roads: the only entrance is by Moseley Wood Gardens and then into a small road, Moseley Wood Rise. This road is already extremely busy and difficult to navigate as most houses have 2 cars and street parking is inevitable which means driving along is hazardous at present. Even more so in winter when snow & ice prevent easy access into the road. By increasing traffic with an extra possible 400 cars and all consequent visitors; deliveries; emergency & utility vehicles will make these roads incredibly busy and potentially dangerous.

§ The whole of the Moseley Wood estate will suffer as it will become a series of 'rat-runs' of cars going to & fro to gain access and this will have a serious safety impact on the many small children and elderly persons living here; indeed there is a primary school on the edge of this estate.

§ There is not the infrastructure to deal with medical facilities – trying to access Dr appointments at local health centres is already difficult

§ Schools are full in the area – I know of cases where siblings cannot get places at the local primary school

§ Transport problems; local train station at Horsforth cannot cope with peak travel now and there is insufficient parking for those who use it

§ There is flood risks for the train lines – we have had had flooding in the past and building will increase water run-off

§ Ecology will suffer in Moseley Wood Bottom as there are many types of wildlife in the area and at a time when we are supposed to be maintaining these, it seems inconceivable that more habitats will be destroyed

§ Add to this the years of turning the Moseley Woods into a building site with all the inherent dangers that implies and the whole plan is ludicrous
The list is by no means exhaustive but overall, this is clearly an over-development of the area and I think this should be put back as a PAS site in the Plan.

Name: Michael Collins

Representor No: PRS05534

Representation ID: REP06186 Question Ref: H1

I am writing to object to the proposal to build on the above site at Moseley Wood Bottom.

The obvious main objection is that the infrastructure cannot cope with this proposal.

Cookridge is already over-developed and a further 200 houses squashed into the bottom of a busy estate is going to cause countless problems as follows:

Access and roads: the only entrance is by Moseley Wood Gardens and then into a small road, Moseley Wood Rise. This road is already extremely busy and difficult to navigate as most houses have 2 cars and street parking is inevitable which means driving along is hazardous at present. Even more so in winter when snow & ice prevent easy access into the road. By increasing traffic with an extra possible 400 cars and all consequent visitors; deliveries; emergency & utility vehicles will make these roads incredibly busy and potentially dangerous.

The whole of the Moseley Wood estate will suffer as it will become a series of 'rat-runs' of cars going to & fro to gain access and this will have a serious safety impact on the many small children and elderly persons living here; indeed there is a primary school on the edge of this estate.

There is not the infrastructure to deal with medical facilities – trying to access Dr appointments at local health centres is already difficult

Schools are full in the area – I know of cases where siblings cannot get places at the local primary school

Transport problems; local train station at Horsforth cannot cope with peak travel now and there is insufficient parking for those who use it

There is flood risks for the train lines – we have had had flooding in the past and building will increase water run-off

Ecology will suffer in Moseley Wood Bottom as there are many types of wildlife in the area and at a time when we are supposed to be maintaining these, it seems inconceivable that more habitats will be destroyed

Add to this the years of turning the Moseley Woods into a building site with all the inherent dangers that implies and the whole plan is ludicrous

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: D Evans

Representor No: PRS05535

Representation ID: REP06182 Question Ref: H1

I am writing to object to the proposal to build on the above site at Moseley Wood Bottom.

The obvious main objection is that the infrastructure cannot cope with this proposal.

Cookridge is already over-developed and a further 200 houses squashed into the bottom of a busy estate is going to cause countless problems as follows:

Access and roads: the only entrance is by Moseley Wood Gardens and then into a small road, Moseley Wood Rise. This road is already extremely busy and difficult to navigate as most houses have 2 cars and street parking is inevitable which means driving along is hazardous at present. Even more so in winter when snow & ice prevent easy access into the road. By increasing traffic with an extra possible 400 cars and all consequent visitors; deliveries; emergency & utility vehicles will make these roads incredibly busy and potentially dangerous.

The whole of the Moseley Wood estate will suffer as it will become a series of 'rat-runs' of cars going to & fro to gain access and this will have a serious safety impact on the many small children and elderly persons living here; indeed there is a primary school on the edge of this estate.

There is not the infrastructure to deal with medical facilities – trying to access Dr appointments at local health centres is already difficult

Schools are full in the area – I know of cases where siblings cannot get places at the local primary school

Transport problems; local train station at Horsforth cannot cope with peak travel now and there is insufficient parking for those who use it

There is flood risks for the train lines – we have had flooding in the past and building will increase water run-off

Ecology will suffer in Moseley Wood Bottom as there are many types of wildlife in the area and at a time when we are supposed to be maintaining these, it seems inconceivable that more habitats will be destroyed

Add to this the years of turning the Moseley Woods into a building site with all the inherent dangers that implies and the whole plan is ludicrous

Name: Loren And Liam Hutton

Representor No: PRS05550

Representation ID: REP06200 Question Ref: H1

1199

Cookridge needs no more housing developments:

I strongly agree that Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem:

I strongly agree that the increase in local traffic will be a problem.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand:

I strongly agree that local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this:

I strongly agree the area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat:

I strongly agree that Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Name: Paul Furth

Representor No: PRS05552

Representation ID: REP06203 Question Ref: H1

1199

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem: strongly agree

The roadworks on Moseley Wood gardens is causing enough stress never mind construction traffic for the 6 years building project.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand: strongly agree

Schools are full. I know a teacher at the school and they are terrified this will proceed.

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this: strongly agree

This should be kept how it is, a beck and outstanding natural beauty.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat: strongly agree

I learnt a lot as a child in there. Don't ruin a beautiful part of Cookridge and Leeds.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Ian And Alma Bugler

Representor No: PRS05554

Representation ID: REP06202 Question Ref: H1

We wish to object to the proposal for additional housing at Moseley Bottom Cookridge can not cope with more houses it simply does not have the infra structure. The local schools are already over subscribed, and trying to get an urgent doctors appointment is hopeless.

The single access route that is planned would bring so much more traffic to the already narrow roads, and passed the local school further endangering the children. Ambulances and fire appliances already struggle to get through these crowded roads, roads which in winter time are often impassable.

Moseley beck is prone to flooding any additional building will severely worsen this problem and destroy an important wildlife sanctuary at Moseley Bottom.

Representation ID: REP06383 Question Ref: H1

We wish to object to the proposal for additional housing at Moseley Bottom Cookridge can not cope with more houses it simply does not have the infra structure.

The local schools are already over subscribed, and trying to get an urgent doctors appointment is hopeless.

The single access route that is planned would bring so much more traffic to the already narrow roads, and passed the local school further endangering the children. Ambulances and fire appliances already struggle to get through these crowded roads, roads which in winter time are often impassable.

Moseley beck is prone to flooding any additional building will severely worsen this problem and destroy an important wildlife sanctuary at Moseley Bottom.

Name: Shirley Marsland

Representor No: PRS05557

Representation ID: REP06207 Question Ref: H1

I wish to comment on the proposed housing development at Moseley Bottom in Cookridge. I strongly agree to the following statements as laid out in the Cookridge Residents Action Group petition.

Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem in particular around Horsforth bridge.

Local schools and services such as health centres and dentists cannot cope with more demand.

The area around Moseley Beck is prone to flooding and more building will affect this.

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. There is scope for housing development on brown field sites in Leeds which will not impact on the natural environment

Name: Adam Lewis

Representor No: PRS05558

Representation ID: REP06208 Question Ref: H1

1199

Cookridge needs no more housing developments: strongly agree

The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem: strongly agree

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand: strongly agree

The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this: strongly agree

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat: strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Kathy Fielding

Representor No: PRS05560

Representation ID: REP06209 Question Ref: H1

HAVE YOUR SAY
COOKRIDGE RESIDENTS ACTION GROUP
2013

'KEEP CALM AND OBJECT'

You may be aware of plans to build some 200 houses in Moseley Bottom: the green pasture fields close to the beck and rail line (site ref: 1199). This work if approved will take more than 6 years. That is 6 years of heavy traffic, noise and disruption in your neighbourhood. Traffic will considerably increase with new houses, adding hundreds of cars using all of the area for rat runs. This affects everyone, not just those near to the fields, so have your say NOW!

Please take a few moments to complete your answers to the questions below.

Remember, this is for you and for all of us who enjoy living in Cookridge.

[x]
Please tick the following answer which is nearest to your view and add comments you feel are important.

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments
Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree
() () () () ()

Your comments

..... Strongly agree

PTO Site ref: 1199

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem
Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

() () () () ()

Your comments ... Strongly agree

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand

Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

() () () () ()

Your comments

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this

Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

() () () () ()

Your comments

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

() () () () ()

Your comments ... Strongly agree

Thank you. Please either place this in the collection box at the village hall on 20th July, or post to:

LDF consultation (site allocations plan) Forward Planning 2, Rossington Street, LEEDS LS2 8HD

Or Email: ldf@leeds.gov.uk (before 5pm on 29th July 2013)

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jenny Davis

Representor No: PRS05571

Representation ID: REP06217 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gdns.

Comments:

NO - See the next question (H2) for the main reasons.

Question Number: Site Name & Reference: Site 1199 Land off Moseley Wood Gdns.

Comments

A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.

We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic.

Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A

cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied.

Extra traffic will have an impact. Streets will

require constant attention. Heavy plant used

during site construction will create further

damage to the concrete base.

. • Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times.

That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.

Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a

through route. Additional local traffic from a

new estate will add to the problems.

The development will be situated at the lowest

point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new

development will add substantially to the

winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making

it extremely difficult to access and exit

Cookridge in the winter months.

The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cookridge.

The development will impact on the local

schools. School places will become an issue.

Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of

the local School, Tesco and Post Office. Road

safety is of prime concern. The problems will

worsen with a new development. Residents are

severely affected by the current situation.

The train service is questionable. A minimum

number of carriages are provided at peak

times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally

inadequate for local people. Commuters travel

by car from outside of Leeds, park their vehicles

on the streets around the station, and use the

local train. Extra housing will compound the

problems.

There is concern over the inadequacy of the

current public transport system in the area and

there is poor connectivity to a number of

locations in the city. As a result of this issue

and a number of others this development would

neither be environmentally or socially

sustainable.

Flooding may become a problem to the railway

line from surface run off, causing delays.

The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be

destroyed along with the ecology.

Question Number: , H3 Site Name & Reference: Site 3044 and 3360

Comments.

These two sites could be used to create a whole "new village" that would be purpose built to

accommodate the increase in the number of residents who would be attracted to the area.

It would be an opportunity to build a new school, shops, dental surgery, and an accessible public

house within walking distance.

Jobs would be created.

These two sites are at a higher elevation and therefore the problems of winter would not pose such

a big problem to motorists. However there will still be issues related to public transport, parking in

the vicinity of the train station, and the large increase in traffic.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Deborah Hawkins

Representor No: PRS05576

Representation ID: REP06382 Question Ref: H1

I am writing to lodge my objections to the proposed development of 200 houses on Moseley Bottom.

It seems North West Leeds is under attack from various builds from Hungers Hills to Rawdon Billing. With 500 houses to be built on the old Sandoz chemical site, do we need all these new houses? Why can't we build on brown field sites instead of these green field sites that will be lost forever? One of the attractions of this area, is the close proximity of pretty countryside on our doorstep as well as fantastic long distance views. What is happening to Kirkstall Forge? Why isn't that being redeveloped?

Of course, the usual arguments of traffic management comes into the equation plus other amenities. The local primary schools are pretty much full - where do all these extra families send their children? Cookridge is a suburb full of houses with no retail centre or medical facilities apart from Horsforth and Asda Adel. There will be a huge pressure on the existing local community with an additional 1000+ of population and their 400+ vehicles.

Leeds City Council need to reject this proposal for many reasons, but I object to the loss of yet another chunk of countryside and wildlife under a pile of concrete and bricks. I hear Leeds has 5000 empty homes in the city - why aren't these properties being renovated and put back on to the housing market? With this and brown site development, do we need to destroy Moseley Bottoms? Oh I forgot, that costs a lot more money than a little bit of green field!

Name: Kenneth And Margaret Johnson

Representor No: PRS05579

Representation ID: REP06223 Question Ref: H1

[x] RESIDENTS OF [x] MOSELEY

WOOD WALK COOKRIDGE LEEDS LS167HG WOULD LIKE TO PROTEST AGAINST THE PROPOSED BUILDING BY TAYLOR WIMPEY ON THE LAND KNOWN AS MOSELEY WOOD BOTTOM ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS. THAT THE INFRASTRUCTURE OF COOKRIDGE WAS NEVER MEANT TO ACCOMODATE SO MANY HOUSES THE SCHOOLS ARE ALREADY OVERSUBSCRIBED AND THERE IS A POSSIBILITY OF CHILDREN HAVING TO ATTEND SCHOOLS MANY MILES AWAY

THE EXTRA TRAFFIC THIS PROPOSAL WOULD BRING IS A DANGER TO BOTH THE CHILDREN IN THE AREA AND ALSO THE WILDLIFE WHICH WE HAVE IN ABUNDANCE THE ENVIRONMENT WOULD ALSO SUFFER AS WE ALREADY HAVE AN AIRPORT CAUSING NOISE AND POLLUTION AND EXTRA CARS AND HOUSING WOULD BRING GENERAL OVERCROWDING AND DISRUPTION TO THE PEOPLE ALREADY LIVING IN THE AREA . THERE HAS BEEN MANY OCCASIONS OF FLOODING ON THE RAILWAY IN THE LAST FEW YEARS AND AS THESE PROPERTIES WILL BE ADJACENT TO THE RAILWAY AND ALSO ALMOST UNDER THE FLIGHT PATH THIS DOES SEEM TO BE A DESIRABLE PLACE TO LIVE AND WE WOULD ASK YOU TO LOOK CAREFULLY AT THESE PLANS AND HOPEFULLY REJECT THEM

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Chris Curtin

Representor No: PRS05581

Representation ID: REP06231 Question Ref: H1

Site 1199 Land off MoseleyWood Gdns.

Comments

A housing development will generate a substantial amount of extra traffic.

We do not have the infrastructure to cope with the extra road traffic.

Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied.

Extra traffic will have an Impact. Streets will require constant attention. Heavy plant used

during site construction will create further damage to the concrete base.

Woodhill Road is extremely busy at peak times.

That situation will worsen substantially with the new development.

Commuters from Harrogate, Otley and outlying areas use Bramhope village and Cookridge as a through route. Additional local traffic from a new estate will add to the problems.

The development will be situated at the lowest point in Cookridge. Traffic from a new development will add substantially to the winter chaos. Roads are rarely gritted making it extremely difficult to access and exit Cookridge in the winter months.

The area will attract less people with the increased difficulties in navigating to and from Cook ridge.

The development will impact on the local schools. School places will become an issue.

Parking is problematic and dangerous outside of the local School, Tesco and Post Office. Road safety is of prime concern. The problems will worsen with a new development. Residents are severely affected by the current situation.

The train service is questionable. A minimum number of carriages are provided at peak times. Parking at Horsforth Station is totally inadequate for local people. Commuters travel by car from outside of Leeds, park their vehicles on the streets around the station, and use the local train. Extra housing will compound the problems.

There is concern over the inadequacy of the current public transport system in the area and there is poor connectivity to a number of locations in the city. As a result of this issue and a number of others this development would neither be environmentally or socially sustainable.

Flooding may become a problem to the railway line from surface run off, causing delays.

The countryside adjacent to Cookridge will be destroyed along with the ecology.

Question Number: j H3 Site Name & Reference: ; Site 3044 and 3360 I

Comments:

These two sites could be used to create a whole "new village" that would be purpose built to accommodate the increase in the number of residents who would be attracted to the area.

It would be an opportunity to build a new school, shops, dental surgery, and an accessible public house within walking distance.

Jobs would be created.

These two sites are at a higher elevation and therefore the problems of winter would not pose such a big problem to motorists. However there will still be issues related to public transport, parking in the vicinity of the train station, and the large increase in traffic.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jennifer Tulip

Representor No: PRS05585

Representation ID: REP06238 Question Ref: H1

'KEEP CALM AND OBJECT'

You may be aware of plans to build some 200 houses in Moseley Bottom: the green pasture fields close to the beck and rail line (site ref: 1199).

This work if approved will take more than 6 years. That is 6 years of heavy traffic, noise and disruption in your neighbourhood.

Traffic will considerably increase with new houses, adding hundreds of cars using all of the area for rat runs. This affects everyone, not just those near to the fields, so have your say NOW!

Please take a few moments to complete your answers to the questions below. Remember, this is for you and for all of us who enjoy living in Cookridge.

Your name [x]

Address [x]

Postcode [x]

Email [x]

Phone...[x]

Please tick the following answer which is nearest to your view and add comments you feel are important.

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing developments

Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

Your comments Development should not occur on greenfield sites. More is needed to be done to protect greenfield sites like this for the good of biodiversity. There are plenty of derelict homes that can be restored so the lack of housing should not be used as an excuse to build on greenfield sites.

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem

Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

()()Y()

Your comments This may be an issue but it is not my main concern

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand

Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

()()Y()

Your comments I have seen no evidence to support either belief

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this

Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

()Y)()

Your comments reducing the area of land for infiltration of water causes flooding- more buildings will reduce the infiltration in the area

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Strongly agree Agree Unsure Disagree Strongly disagree

()Y)()

Your comments All greenfield sites benefit wildlife more than developments do

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Maria De Fatima Walker

Representor No: PRS05589

Representation ID: REP06244 Question Ref: H1

Objection to the proposed housing development on Land off Moseley Wood Gardens - site 1199.

I am contacting you to express my objections to this development based on the following concerns:

A- Increased Traffic

The Construction of 200 houses will mean at least 200 extra motor vehicles (more likely double that since most households have a least 2 cars) driving through what are already very congested streets with parking. Most homes in the Moseley Wood area have single driveways which mean that the 'second' car is parked on the street.

200 (minimum) extra cars driving through such congested streets will add to the problems we are already facing when driving through them.

With only one access to the proposed housing area (Moseley Wood Rise), cars that will come and go from/to the proposed development, and wish to go to Otley Old Road will either:

1) Drive through Moseley Wood Gardens, Grove, Drive, with its parking problems as I just mentioned above.

2) Drive towards Green Lane, which is absolute mayhem during school starting and finishing time.

Extra transport on these streets will cause havoc not to mention the damage that tends to take longer than it should to be repaired.

Cars that will come and go from/to the proposed development to Horsforth will have to use the already very busy and very congested (with street parking) Green Lane - Wood Hill Road.

The section of Tinshill Road, between Wood Hill Road and Horsforth Railway Bridge, is narrow, congested and dangerous because of car parking by railway commuters.

Driving along this section of this street, when facing an oncoming bus, is a challenge.

The instigation to use Public transport has not been matched with the provision of car parking, and the result is that Horsforth railway commuters have had to park on the street. Indeed car parking is now creeping up to Tinshill Lane making it driving through also rather hazardous.

Also I have witness the potential danger around Horsforth Bridge at around 3.30 p.m. when children are coming from school. There are 2 sets of pedestrian traffic lights but very young people don't always have the patience to wait to cross, and some cars are too quick on the amber.

Forcing extra traffic over that bridge is asking for trouble.

To be added to the above issues there will be the extra heavy transport of lorries that we will have to endure during construction of the new proposed housing on this site.

B- Infrastructure

Cookridge can boast of having very few amenities and it happens that one primary school, post office, mini market and bus stop are all within 100m on the busiest section of Green Lane, contributing to the congestions mention in section A.

Cookridge Holy Trinity C of E Primary School is popular and has undergone recent building to accommodate more children. It is fully subscribed and has no more space for expansion. Has the Council taken into consideration where primary school age children from around 200 households will be placed?

If they are not placed locally it will add to increased problems mention in section A.

We do not have a Health Centre, Library or shops that could encourage us to walk and leave transport at home. So why increase the number of households in this particular site when there are 'red' sites with easier access to major roads?

My objection is not a matter of 'not on my backyard' but of common sense when planning new housing. I suggest that this site is put back as a PAS site in the Development Plan and that the Council prioritises building on brownfield sites throughout the city first before any consideration is given to any of the green field/green belt sites.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Karen Lawson

Representor No: PRS05606

Representation ID: REP06252 Question Ref: H1

I strongly oppose the plans to build on site 1199 Cookridge LS16 for the following reasons

1. Local infrastructure unable to cope with further 200 houses of 2/5 bedrooms with 2-4 cars at each house. I have to travel to Ireland Wood Surgery as nearest surgery. The area is already overdeveloped which is why there is problems getting school places for children and at nurseries. The increase volume in traffic especially over the bridge at Horsforth train station at peak times is already a problem and it will only get worse.

2. Access to the estate

I disagree with the access to the estate - 1 way in and 1 way out. The increase in traffic will be horrendous. There is the potential for Moseley Wood Rise to be a bottle neck causing major problems to emergency services in the case of cars, delivery vans. There is also potential in winter when cars are abandoned on the main roads because residents cannot get out of the estate as it is on a bank to cause more problems. Volume of cars, vans is going to be a major problem if the average home makes 10 Journeys a day x 200 that is an increase of 20 000 per day for 200 houses. What needs to be considered is further access to improve roads, paths, provide local council services and build new schools to support this new structure.

3.Environment

At a time when we are trying to preserve the environment this is a further step to destroying local wildlife and natural habitat which seems unnecessary as Cookridge is ever developed. Furthermore the issues regarding drainage due to the marshland, how are the developers going to address that.

FINALLY - THE LOCAL INFRASTRUCTURE CANNOT SUPPORT FURTHER 200 HOUSES

Name: Anita Kirkham

Representor No: PRS05616

Representation ID: REP06273 Question Ref: H1

Could you please log my objection to the proposed development site at Moseley Wood Bottom in Cookridge mainly due to the increase in traffic this will cause but also the fact that the local schools will not be able to cope with the capacity.

I also expect this will impact with the car parking problems at Horsforth station and we will have cars parked along Woodhill Road causing problems accessing our own driveways and also causing problems with passing buses, etc.

Name: Linsey Sayner

Representor No: PRS05618

Representation ID: REP06274 Question Ref: H1

Re: Proposed development of Site No. 1199 (Moseley Wood Bottom)

I am writing to express my concern over the proposed development at Moseley Bottom. As a regular visitor with friends and family in the area, I share the concerns of many for the inevitable disruption that the heavy construction traffic would cause to the local community. It is causing considerable distress to the existing residents as we anticipate a huge strain on the local infrastructure, existing healthcare and educational facilities, and substantial environmental impact from increased littering and habitat destruction.

Name: Emily Sutherland

Representor No: PRS05709

Representation ID: REP06377 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing development, strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem, strongly agree

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand, strongly agree

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this, strongly agree

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat, strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Jean Millard

Representor No: PRS05711

Representation ID: REP06379 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing development, strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem, strongly agree

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand, strongly agree

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this, strongly agree

Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat, strongly agree: very important wildlife area + the great crested newt. Archaeology must be checked at WYAAS (sanderson@wyjs.org.uk)

Name: Margaret King

Representor No: PRS05712

Representation ID: REP06380 Question Ref: H1

I would like to voice my objection to the proposed housing development at Cookridge based on the following:

This area is boggy and building works may substantially increase the risk of flooding.

It is a local area where residents have easy access to the countryside and there is a lack of other open green spaces on this estate.

It will have a detrimental effect on the wildlife habitat.

The proposed work is to take 6 years to complete with the obvious disruptive effect to those living closest to the development.

Traffic will increase substantially.

Local schools and services may not be able to cope with the increased demand.

Name: D W Hazelip

Representor No: PRS05713

Representation ID: REP06381 Question Ref: H1

Dear Barry, I agree with all the comments expressed so far. It is absolutely crazy to have only one access and egress to such a large development. What will happen should the exit be blocked? You know this area very well and in the winter months we cannot get up the hills until the snow plough has been round and roads are gritted. The other point I would like to make if planning permission is given, that a Train Halt/Station be built at the developers expense. This will enable the vast influx of some 500 hundred people, at the minimum, to be able gain access to the centre of Leeds, where I presume, many will work..

The whole development will swamp the character of the village and put a strain on the public services which are all ready stretched and schools full to capacity. Moseley Wood Drive /Gardens will have to carry the volume of Traffic heading for Asda at Holt Park and Gardens, Geen Lane/ Wood Hill Road into the bottom of Tinshill Road/Lane and Horsforth Bridge will become intolerable for people travelling towards Leeds and Ring Road to Bradford/Pudsey and beyond.

I have always considered Moseley Wood Bottoms to be a Flood plain with a beck which affects the railway, Also, I thought there is a regulation prohibiting residential building within 3 miles of and an airport on safety grounds. This area is under the flight path.

I am strongly against the proposals and the Builder has no right to say that the majority of residents are in favour, which we aren't. What Leeds wants is affordable Housing which should be developed on brown field areas.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Steven Fella

Representor No: PRS05716

Representation ID: REP06385 Question Ref: H1

We are emailing regarding the proposed site for 200 houses in Cookridge Leeds 16 at Moseley Wood Bottom. We are totally against it, We live on Cookridge Drive which is very near to the site. Cookridge is not a village we only have a couple of local shops it is mainly residential. The inevitable increase in traffic would affect Cookridge badly and how would 200 more houses be catered for by local schools, doctors etc. On our street we can be prone to flooding and believe that at Moseley Wood bottom this is a big risk. Also, as we are very high up, Cookridge is renowned for very bad weather, we believe that with all the extra cars that would be in the area if the housing development were to go ahead this would create a major problem. We have a dog and we walk down on Moseley Wood Gardens, the houses down there have lovely views over the fields (the proposed site) and the people who live there are absolutely devastated that houses could be built there. The main access I believe would be Moseley Wood Rise, how sorry I feel for the people who live on there, I have always envied their wonderful position. Building more houses in Cookridge would basically be over building, there is by far enough houses for this type of area and people who live in the area are totally opposed to the development. It is not a town or even a village as we have stated above it is a residential area on the edge of other towns and villages. By developing here it would be totally unfair on local residents, I understand the need for more housing but apparently these houses are going to have a value of over £200,000, there are plenty of houses in this area around that price and at the moment are not selling. There is more of a need for social housing and affordable homes for young families I understand that there are many brown field sites in Leeds why cannot building be carried out there?. We feel so strongly about this and we support the Cookridge Residents Action Group. We have not spoken to a single person in Cookridge who would be in favour of this development.

Name: Penny Mares

Representor No: PRS05717

Representation ID: REP06389 Question Ref: H1

Site Ref 1199: Moseley Wood Gardens, Yeadon: NO to green.
The Aireborough part of this site is within existing green belt and there are major concerns regarding traffic congestion and flooding. The presumption in favour of prioritising brownfield sites before greenfield and protection of the Green Belt should apply.

Name: Karen Burk

Representor No: PRS05719

Representation ID: REP06386 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs NO MORE housing development, strongly agree.
The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem, strongly agree: The area already busy.
Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand, strongly agree: School places are already over subscribed
The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building WILL affect this, strongly agree
Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat, strongly agree

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: John Sowerbutts

Representor No: PRS05720

Representation ID: REP06388 Question Ref: H1

I strongly disagree with the decision to code site 1199 (Moseley Wood Gardens) as green and suitable for development.

There are many reasons why this decision is wrong:-

Primary Schools are already over subscribed

Access to medical services is already poor.

Potential flooding/drainage issues.

Urban spread.

Inadequate access to the site.

Highways issues.

These are just a small selection of reasons why the land should not be developed and should be re classified under the red category as unsuitable for development.

sites ref no's 1151 Cooridge Lane - 3044 Cookridge Hall Golf Club - 3360 Cookridge Hall

I agree with the red coding for all these sites my reasons are the same as detailed above.

There are many empty properties in Leeds that should be utilised first and brown fieks sites should be developed first before any greenfiels sites are utilised. I would also strongly question the growth figures for Leeds over the next 2 decades. These are well out of line with the current growth levels and give the impression that this is a dream created rather than a decision based on solid research and realistic expectation.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Anne Bean

Representor No: PRS05722

Representation ID: REP06391 Question Ref: H1

I am objecting to the allocation of the Green site at Moseley Wood Bottom. My house backs on to the fields of Moseley Wood Bottom where Taylor Wimpey would like to build some 200 houses. We moved into the area 34 years ago and chose to live in Cookridge since it was quiet and the nearby fields made it a pleasant and healthy environment in which to live.

There are 3.000 empty houses in and around the Cookridge area and the Council should continue to use the new powers given to it by the government to bring empty homes back into use, as I know they have started to do already. In addition I believe that the council should prioritise building on brownfield sites throughout the city first before they consider giving planning permission to build on any of the green field/green sites.

Traffic

The proposed development of 200 houses would generate a substantial amount of extra traffic, perhaps as many as 400 + cars as many modern households have 2 or even 3 cars per household. Since there are no bus stops, health centres, dentists, shops near to this proposed development, residents would be heavily reliant on using cars to get to these facilities and this would cause further congestion in the area. It would also have issues for the wider network since travel into Leeds on the roads is already problematic during peak times. It can take an hour on the local bus to get into Leeds, some 5 miles away. The current bus service is inadequate.

Cookridge is a very high point in Leeds and we are exposed to more severe weather conditions than other areas. There have been times when the snow and ice have become impacted and I have not been able to drive out of the estate from Moseley Wood Gardens and had to walk to work - the area is hilly and roads are rarely gritted. In the snowy weather many drivers abandon their cars and an increase in cars on the estate will exacerbate the problem making it even more dangerous for other drivers and pedestrians. The proposed development is at the lowest point of Cookridge and residents would have even more trouble than we have experienced on Moseley Wood Gardens in getting out of the estate and extra cars will add to the road chaos.

The roads in Cookridge were built in the 1960's when there were fewer cars on the roads. Now most households have 2 or more cars and many of theach year ese are parked on both sides of the narrow roads which causes congestion during the "rush hour" period. More cars from the proposed development travelling through the estate will make it even more dangerous. Moseley Wood Gardens has a concrete base. Pot holes appear from the effects of the winter weather. A cosmetic layer of tarmac is periodically applied. Extra traffic will have an impact. Heavy plant used during the site construction would further damage the roads and the roads will require constant repair.

Parking is already a problem and dangerous outside the local school, Post office and Tesco. If the development goes ahead road safety could become a serious issue. Residents on Green Lane are already severely affected.

The proposed vehicular access is to be from Moseley Wood Rise. This leads on to Moseley Wood Gardens and then through several other roads before you reach the main road. All of these roads are quite narrow, some have many bends and are quite steep, with cars quite regularly parked on both sides of the roads. This makes access very difficult if larger vehicles are trying to navigate these roads to get into the estate. Even two access points to the site would not dilute the heavy traffic coming through the estate.

The pollution and noise from extra traffic would be unacceptable. It is know that Carbon monoxide is damaging to our health and is a "silent" killer. Additional traffic would add to this problem and potentially affect our health and wellbeing.

Local schools and services

The local schools are already oversubscribed. With a proposed 200 dwelling and a possible extra 400 children, where would these children go to school?

There is no doctors' or dentists' surgery in Cookridge itself and residents already have to travel further for these services. I usually have to wait up to 3 weeks to get a doctor's appointment and have to travel to a dentist in Headingley since I have been unable to get an appointment at a more local dentist. It is clear that these services are already stretched so there would be a further strain on these services if there were an additional 400 residents and they would have great difficulty in registering for these essential services.

Flooding

There will be drainage issues from the slope of the land and from the rest of Cookridge down to these fields, the beck and the railway lines. The land was originally a glacier, which speaks for itself.

There are underground springs. All the back gardens along Moseley Wood Gardens suffer from flooding when there is heavy rainfall. There is a stream which runs from the top of Moseley Wood Gardens along the boundary wall and some residents have put in pipes to improve the drainage but many of the gardens have a marsh area at the bottom of their gardens. My back garden floods regularly and just recently I have had a drain put in and hope that this will improve the situation in the future. Building on the field behind my house which slopes down towards my back garden will inevitably mean

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Anne Bean

Representor No: PRS05722

that my garden will be more prone to flooding as there will be surface run off from the new roads and drives if the development goes ahead. The concreted areas will mean there is less space for water to be absorbed.

The railway line nearby has flooded in the past. If the development proceeds this could lead to even more flooding and affect commuters.

Wildlife

I, as in common, with most residents in Cookridge value the site at Moseley Wood Bottom as a haven for wildlife. We have sited bats, badgers, fox, deer and newts and owls to name but a few. Over the years there have been horses, cows and sheep grazing in the fields. Currently they are a home for sheep. Over the next 20 years we are told there will be a food shortage so surely we need to preserve such agricultural land. It would be a disaster to destroy this picturesque countryside adjacent to Cookridge, which is greatly and enjoyed by the residents of Cookridge. Living close to this countryside promotes a feel good factor. A development here would affect the quality of life of for most residents,

It is clear from the above factors that building on this area would not add anything to enhance the area, it would destroy the ecology, put a strain on the community services and facilities current present, which are insufficient to meet current needs and add to traffic congestion.

I suggest that the consideration be given to building a new town close to established road links and away from areas such as Cookridge, Horsforth and Headingley which area already heavily subscribed.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Dr Stephen, Sian, Megan, Jack Richards

Representor No: PRS05725

Representation ID: REP06394 Question Ref: H1

2) 1199 land of Moseley Wood gardens (colour coded green)

I would like to object in the strongest possible terms to the designation for the above site at Moseley Wood Bottom that has been earmarked for a large housing development by Taylor Wimpey.

There are a number of physical and social geographical reasons, together with ecological reasons why this site must be returned to green belt status. These are set out below.

1) This is a green field site. Once built on it is lost forever. The whole infrastructure would not support a development of this size. The roads and access are not good enough to support any building work traffic let alone another 200-300 cars once built. With the exception of Tesco express, a newsagent and post office there are no other facilities in Cookridge. The school is already at maximum capacity and it is not clear how this would be addressed. The additional number of parents dropping of children at school would be very dangerous. Community police are already involved with parking and traffic offences outside Holy Trinity school at start and finish times. There are no general practitioner surgery in Cookridge. Most residents travel to Holt Park or Tinsill or Headingley.

Winter weather in Cookridge is significantly worse than in any other part of Leeds due to its elevated position. Snow remains on the ground for considerably longer than most other areas. Quite how any building access would continue through this is not planned for nor how the additional 200-300 cars would manage to get out to the main roads. Bus routes do not seem a viable option as the surrounding Moseley Wood road structure is too narrow and choked with resident parking.

With increasing cold winters and heavy rain, the current land is invaluable as a run off and drainage for excess surface water. 200 hundred new houses will effectively remove this natural resource and cause flooding of Moseley Beck and the railway line with consequent disruption to rail services. Residents downstream on Wood Hill Road and Horsforth Woodside will be affected by increased flash flooding.

2) The building of houses on Moseley Wood Bottom would have a detrimental effect on the quality of life for my family and myself. The reason we live in Cookridge is because of the fields, the open spaces and absence of shops and other facilities. It currently still retains some of its village character which would be largely destroyed by a new, substantial housing development. I note that a number of residents on Moseley Wood gardens have already put their houses up for sale.

3) The current area is a haven for wildlife. The current inaccessibility of the area of Moseley Wood Bottom and the adjacent woodland areas means that there is an abundance of flora and fauna. I have a personnel record of over 50 species of Birds alone in this area (full details available if required). There are numerous frogs, newts and toads present that would be threatened by any proposed development. Numerous other small and large mammals are present including bats, weasels, shrews, foxes, deer and badgers. The fields are regularly (twice a day) patrolled by Red Kites. I would also expect that the area is very important for insects, particularly the bee population. Opening access to the surrounding woodland would have a detrimental effect on the wildlife that currently has a great deal of undisturbed habitat. This is one of the main reasons we chose Cookridge as place to live so my children could recognize the importance of wildlife.

It is my view that the Council should prioritize building on brownfield sites throughout the city first before any consideration is given to any of the green field/green belt sites. The environmental impact of a huge housing development on Moseley Wood Bottom fields would be devastating and result in a severe reduction in quality of life for residents, major environmental damage and loss of habitat for many animals and plant species.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Carolyn Walker

Representor No: PRS05727

Representation ID: REP06397 Question Ref: H1

I am against the proposed development by Taylor Wimpey on Moseley Wood Gardens for the following reasons:-

1) Traffic Hazard.

As the proposal allows for only one entry and exit on the proposed site this will cause untold problems of access into and out of the site, especially at 'busy' times.

The increase in the amount of traffic on Moseley Wood Gardens, Green Lane and the surrounding streets will add to pollution, poor parking practice, the possibility of road traffic accidents and other related problems. There are often problems with parents collecting and dropping off their children at local schools now. More traffic will cause more problems.

2) Isolation of the site.

With only one road in and out of the proposed site the proposed community will be isolated. There will be the need of more access to public transport, which in my opinion is diminishing and certainly will not be sufficient to cope with that many more users. Parking around Horsforth Station is dire now, more users will contribute to this problem. The amount of trains is often lacking for current passengers, more passengers will add to this problem

The need for school places will put pressure on the two closest schools first and then have a knock on effect on the second closest schools. Parents will be reluctant to have their children at schools that are furthest away from their home.

I fear that healthcare provision will be lacking or stretched unless there is the provision for new health centres. It is difficult to get onto the panel of a GP as it is.

3) Flooding.

The risk of flooding on the proposed site is very real and happens. This should be taken into account. All it takes is a year like 2012 and the site will be flooded and it maybe flooded for long periods. This poses the problems of insurance for potential and existing residents. Time and again builders construct on flood planes and households suffer the consequences.

4) Wildlife.

The destruction of the established wildlife is detrimental to all of us. We should be doing all we can to hang on to opens spaces and encouraging the flora and fauna to flourish. We need to balance the requirement for new homes with ecology, we should not sacrifice one for the other.

5) Disruption.

The disruption caused over the proposed amount of time for the development of the site will cause untold problems to local residents. They will need to contend with noise, dirt and dust, pollution and the language often heard these days on building sites for upwards of six years. The building site will inevitably become a draw for the areas young people looking for mischief. Crime could also rise in the area due to the materials and the equipment there.

The large vehicles used in construction will undermine the roads in a huge area to and from the proposed site. Some of the roads in the proposed area are already in poor and deteriorating condition. Six years of this type of use, not to mention the weather during this time will exacerbate the condition of roads further.

6) Safety.

A similar proposal was turned down approximately 15 years ago. This was due to the fact that there needs to be an area suitable for aeroplanes to crash land if they overshoot the runway or fail to make it to the airport runway for any reason. Fortunately this has never occurred but who can say what the future holds? If such an accident were to happen the loss of life would be immense. Questions of suitability of the site would quite rightly be asked.

The proposed closure of the Cookridge Fire Station on Otley Old Road would place pressure on the Fire Service to provide cover for an increase in population. The one road in and out of the site will cause problems for the emergency services, especially at 'busy' times.

Name: Trevor,anne Shoemith

Representor No: PRS05728

Representation ID: REP06400 Question Ref: H1

my husband and i wish to protest about the development of new houses at moseleywood bottoms destroying wild life also causing mayam on moseleywood gardens road where we live as it is congested now already with cars parked on road

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Claire Saville

Representor No: PRS05835

Representation ID: REP06545 Question Ref: H1

My concerns are as follows:-

Highways issues – the impact of all the proposed developments on surrounding roads. The adjacent roads are not designed as access roads but residential roads, there is a very good chance that many of these roads will become “rat runs” for the new development and cause increased traffic and a safety issue for existing residents. There is inadequate road infrastructure to cope with the additional housing. There will be significant peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roads especially at Horsforth Bridge which can't be widened.

Extra vehicles will create more roadside parking in an area which already has a problem which in turn causes concern for access to emergency vehicles.

This development will be cut off if we have heavy weather (like the last two years). How will the access to the site be made, which routes will the heavy plant use. Will this be restricted to “office hours” Access for sites traffic will be dangerous and problematic. All these issues will have a detrimental effect on the surrounding area over a long period as we are made to believe that the building will be spread over a six year period.

Has access to medical services been assessed– this is part of the infrastructure needs for the area. Recent changes to medical provision in the area has already left many people facing a car journey to visit a doctor, where will 200 more families be able to obtain these facilities?

Has the impact on access to both primary and secondary school places been assessed as the area is already suffering due to the changes to Horsforth High schools admissions policy. The local primary schools are already oversubscribed and it may force more people to take their children to school by car if they can't access local schools – surely this doesn't make environmental sense.

Population growth – the population of Leeds is not currently growing at the rate expected. There are already over 500 properties for sale in the LS16 post code area and other new local developments are not fully sold. – do we need more?

Potential flooding/drainage issues are always a concern. Many gardens on Moseley Wood Gardens already flood as does the proposed development site.

This could cause a knock on effect to the area if this causes flood problems on the local railway line which does flood at the beck; more housing would surely cause extra problems.

The increase in local population, with 200 extra homes would have many issues associated with it, what will the parking at the one and only local shop (Tesco) be like? It's bad enough as it is. There are very few local amenities in cookridge these would be stretched to the limit.

Potential public transport issues are also cause for concern the bus route can't go any nearer to these homes as the roads are not suitable for busses which makes it a fare walk to the bus stops, a bus which could be lost if the new transport system proposed to run from Holt Park to Leeds goes ahead. The local station is already overcrowded with trains running dangerously full the knock on would be greater congestion Headingley and Burly Park and the parking around the station is spreading out causing more congestion, again extra housing and therefore people and cars would just exacerbate the problem.

Lack of appropriate infrastructure and the fact that no needs assessment have been carried out by Leeds City Council to date and that this is needed urgently.

The local wildlife, flora and fauna need to be protected and further development will not help this. The area is home to foxes and a wide array of birds including Red Kites, Herrons, Woodpeckers and migratory Wax wings to name a few. The beck is also a great habitat that would be lost to generation if the area was overdeveloped.

No sympathy has been given to the current residents who will loose their outlook by the fact that the development backs right onto their property but leaves space on the far side of the plot. Living on a building site for up to six years would be detrimental to both quality of life and health.

I hope these comments and those of other concerned residents are give due consideration and that this doesn't just become a financial decision.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Sue Goldthorpe And Jon Hughes Goldthorpe

Representor No: PRS05837

Representation ID: REP06547 Question Ref: H1

We strongly oppose the above for the following reasons:

1. Cookridge does NOT need any more housing developments - we are a village NOT a town.
2. The surrounding road systems are badly congested already. An increase in traffic will only cause more disruption in whatever areas are used to vacate the proposed development. Horsforth cannot cope with the volume of traffic currently using the road past the Station and up to the roundabout at the top by the Old Ball Pub and all routes leading to/away from the outer Ring Road are already severely congested at peak times. Headingley will become totally gridlocked with the introduction of the new Tram system. Cookridge Lane junction with Green Lane will become so congested that all other side roads/streets in the immediate vicinity will become "rat runs".
3. Schools, dentists, doctors and health centres will not be able to cope with higher demand. The Primary School on Green Lane will become even more of a danger/hazard with parked cars. Likewise the area around the Tesco Store on Green Lane.
4. What provision would be made for the Fire Service? The Fire Station at Cookridge Top is already threatened with closure - how could closure be justified if yet more houses are to be built in the area?
5. Cookridge is the highest point in Leeds and is badly affected by the weather - snow and ice remain on uncleared/untreated roads for many days after the initial snow fall. Refuse trucks were unable to empty bins during this past winter due to the severe weather - more housing in the area will only serve to further delay such services.
6. Moseley Beck is already prone to flooding - this can only be made worse by more building in the vicinity.
7. The whole ecology of the site will be ruined - Moseley Wood Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.
8. Residents do not want to live on a building site for the next 6 years - what routes will the Contractors traffic use to access/vacate the site - these vehicles are constantly going to be travelling through existing built-up areas causing danger to children and the elderly. Surely there are still sufficient Brownfield Sites remaining which could be built on first?

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Marianne Lewis

Representor No: PRS05841

Representation ID: REP06551 Question Ref: H1

We have all read the proposals set out by Taylor Wimpey and both seen and heard the tricks they got/are getting up to in an effort to sway public opinion. The very fact they set up cameras without permission to monitor traffic leads me to believe this is not a company that the Leeds City Council should be dealing with. Negotiation is at the heart of every deal and the examples set by Taylor Wimpey would suggest counting your fingers were you ever so unlucky to have to shake hands with them!

There is an argument as to how many homes (flats and houses) are required in the city of Leeds because looking around the town centre and the city environs are many properties to let and some uninhabited houses which clearly require time, effort and money to bring them up to a standard which would sustain that community by being let.

Should not the Council be prompting the owners of these properties to do just that?

There are numerous brownfield sites around the city, shouldn't these be used before desecrating green fields?

Rather than ramble on I think the bullet points below will probably be echoed by other Cookridge residents and hopefully you, and other interested parties, will pursue the builders over the following matters;

Taylor Wimpey's map setting out their proposals doesn't make (probably intentionally) clear what types of property they plan. Looking closely at the architects 'drawing' there does seem to be detached and semi detached properties along with what could be low rise flats. Is that the case?

As part of the deal with Leeds City Council will any of the properties be be classed as social housing?

The other vitally important aspect is the question of access to the site. For some reason Moseley Wood Rise has been chosen.

By using this access not only do the builders and thence new occupiers of the houses but they have to drive through virtually the whole estate creating traffic and noise. Commencing on Green Lane even the shortest distance down Moseley Wood Drive involves the steepest and narrowest parts of the road system combined with bends and sharp curves. Not only will these be a difficult access for the builder's drivers but will create a 'rat-run' for the new estate with a consequence of road safety issues particularly with a the high number of young children and to a lesser extent the elderly. In parts of the Moseley Wood estate there is an increasing number of cars/vans parked on the roadside.

Is it anticipated that a bus route would be instigated to reach these new areas? Quite frankly this is a non starter due to the narrowness of the estate road linked in with the escalating parking problems referred to above. If there is to be no additional routes will the LCC then expect First Group to increase the number of buses operating the number 6 service? This is already a pretty dismal option but an increased service would then add to the traffic problems of the upper reaches of the Moseley Woods.

It appears nowhere in Taylor Wimpey's profferings that a spur is being built to the airport. Do prospective purchasers recognise the position they occupy in relation to the take off and landing of aircraft and that this will increase over the next few years. Has the LCC ensured higher levels of noise insulation are being incorporated in the building standards?

Now to education. Bringing in families to the new estate brings with it children. Already it is believed Holy Trinity is over-subscribed, what does the LCC plan to do then, build a new school and if so where? Alternatively, will they extend the existing building? That in itself creates more building works and dangers for local people.

Are the medical facilities currently able to accommodate additional patients.

As an approximation taking 2 inhabitants to each property means the local medical surgeries need to absorb another 400 people.

For years Cookridge has fought off developers who are trying to destroy what green landscapes we have. Over in Adel we have seen land set aside as a gift to the area converted to a small highly concentrated housing development. In addition Centurion fields has blighted that part of Adel also.

Please ensure these plans fail in their entirety, we need to preserve the character of Cookridge, not erase it.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Carol Lee

Representor No: PRS05842

Representation ID: REP06556 Question Ref: H1

I strongly oppose the plans to build on site 1199 (Moseley Wood Gardens (land off) Cookridge LS16 .

My reasons are as follows:

1. Although this area is "colour coded green" apparently this does not mean that it is green belt ! I have been told that the "green" coding means that it is a site having potential for housing. I am 64 years old and from being a child I always understood that beautiful green areas such as this were in green belt areas and the council did not build on them. I used to live at Moseley Wood Walk in a bungalow but I now live at Cookridge Drive. My son has returned to Moseley Wood Walk to live in a semi and he walks his dog in this green area. I have also walked his dog here and I see foxes and rabbits there. My friend used to live on Moseley Wood Gardens and they used to see deer in their back garden! A couple I recently met who live on Moseley Wood Gardens are taking photos daily of Red Kites flying above this tranquil area.

I am absolutely devastated that developers are proposing to build on this beautiful wild green area.

I have googled brownfield sites and there appears to be 133 in Leeds just waiting to be built on with a potential development of 20000 homes . But of course private developers wont make as much money from building in South Leeds will they! I am an avid reader of the Yorkshire Evening Post and I did read Councillor Peter Gruens letter on 8th July and it is heartening to read that he states that brownfield sites must be developed as a priority. Most people I have spoken to have put it this way : "brownfield sites must be developed before one blade of grass is touched". I personally hope that Leeds City Council will not be bullied by this government to do otherwise. I have received an email from Greg Mullholland which states his concern on green belt development and I hope to meet him soon . The questions that I want to ask are : why do this government think that Leeds should build 66000 homes in the next 15 years? I have been told by a local councillor that the government come up with these figures by looking at the number of old people living longer , the number of families splitting up and the number of immigrants who live in one house but consist of 2 or 3 families. I would like to say in response to this: I agree that there is a need for social housing but not for expensive houses costing 250k a time on green belt. As for immigrants then we should not be letting people migrate to this country when we do not have enough housing for our own people and if increasing immigration means that we have to start bulldozing our beautiful green belt areas then STOP IMMIGRATION !

I personally rent off cheap housing near Leeds City Centre and 30% of my tenants are Polish. They just want to rent really cheap accommodation – they do not want to buy 250k+ houses! The same applies to old people and people who split up. I have lived in Cookridge for 38 years and when houses are for sale there is not a clamour to buy them so how can it be justified that we need more? In other words if Planning Dept think that 200 extra houses are needed in Cookridge then why are there not 200 people queueing up to buy every house that comes up for sale?

I cannot see any statistics to show that Leeds is growing in population. All I read about is call centres and companies closing down. Leeds has in recent years had numerous call centres but they all now seem to be transferred elsewhere -to India especially! In 2012 there were redundancies in Leeds owing to the closure of Comet,JJB Sports, Clinton Cards, Azendi Jewellers, Peacocks. In 2013 there are either redundancies or planned redundancies at Leeds City Council, Morrisons Facilities, BBC, Diocese of Leeds, Yorkshire Regional Newspapers, Elmwood Design, Anthinys 3 restaurant, Create restaurant, O2 call centre 600 redundancies by August, ARK Fashion, La Senza, West One fashion, and 500 threatened jobs at Directline.

2. I have googled and found there were 14541 empty homes in Leeds in March 2013, 5000 of those have been empty for 6 months.I know that there are organizations that are working on this but again I believe that these homes should be filled before one blade of grass is touched. My mother recently went into a care home. On 11th June I handed the keys of her house at 16 Iveson Rise LS16 6LN to the council. Today 18th July I drove past the house and I could tell it was still empty and no sign of any activity. That is over 5 weeks ago that the house has been standing empty and could have been occupied by someone desperately needing a home and 5 weeks lost rent! I rent off properties in Holbeck and I cannot afford to have them standing empty! So how can the council afford it ? Oh of course its taxpayers money isn't it! And how can the government and Leeds City Council complain of a housing shortage when this is what happens?

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Carol Lee

Representor No: PRS05842

2. Following on from point number one I would like to know what the council think about the fact that this beautiful area is going to be spoiled forever and creatures are to be killed and lose their habitats not to mention the wild flowers ,grass and trees. I am told that Planning Dept only are interested in creatures that are protected species. So tough on the foxes and their little cubs in their foxholes , the rabbits in their burrows, the field mice , voles etc. Once built on it has gone forever –and all for no need.

3. My son used to play football and rounders on this land and just generally go down there exploring with his friends when he was young . Children will will not be able to do that any more which is a shame when it is so healthy for children to get fresh air and exercise and so good for their development that they can explore nature in green spaces such as this .

4. I understand that Moseley Wood Rise may be the access to this estate. My heart goes out to the people who live on or near that culdesac near the fields. It is ideal for children to play in that street and for anyone with pets such as cats it is safe aswell. Anyone living there now with children must be heartbroken at the proposals. The plan is for 200 houses of 2/5 bedrooms. There could be 2 or 3 or even 4 cars at each house. Then there are friends and tradesmen visiting each day. The Moseley Wood streets could have up to 2400 extra cars passing their houses daily .

5. There is to be an "emergency exit access road" at the bottom of my street on Cookridge Drive. Its possible that when Taylor Wimpey put in their Outline Planning Application that they may apply for 2 entrances and use Cookridge Drive aswell . Either way , one entrance or two entrances the increased traffic is just unacceptable. Luckily I do not start work until after the rush hour but when I have gone out early there is a backlog of traffic on Green Lane /Woodhill Road. To get out of Cookridge you have to drive on to Otley Road or drive through Tinshill estate or drive into Horsforth through the little bridge at the station. The first 2 exits are very busy , the 3rd one is near impossible with the bottleneck at the bridge at Horsforth station. If there are more houses driving through the Moseley Woods it will be a nightmare at rush hour and at all times more dangerous for children. When my son was younger he used to play football in the road on Moseley Wood Walk and ride his bike . Children will not be able to safely play in the roads in the Moseley Woods . I feel that it is important that children can play outside and socialise in the street. There will be cars using the little streets in the Moseley Woods as rat runs. Since there were speed humps on Otley Old Road there has been a noticeable increase of cars using Green Lane and Moseley Wood Lane and Tinshill Drive and other roads as rat runs. Also where I live on Cookridge Drive and nearby on Smithy Lane and Cookridge Avenue there are numerous learner drivers using these roads practicing their 3 point turns etc. I do not object to them doing this but the extra traffic will hinder them .

6. I am told that there will not be an increase in schools if there is more building. Well there is no doubt at all that there will have to be!! Holy Trinity has around 120 applications for about 60 places each year! I do not know the figures for Cookridge Primary but I am told it is similar. Some Cookridge children may be expected to go to Ireland Wood school. This is a 30 minute walk from my house and about 40 minute walk from Moseley Wood Walk .

7. Cookridge is notorious for bad weather conditions as it is so hilly and also being the highest point in Leeds it is colder and icier ! I have lived in this Cookridge area for 38 years and sometimes I have not been able to get out of Cookridge in snow and ice on days when my colleagues living in other parts of Leeds have been able to and they have not believed me when I was snowed in! An extra 2400 cars on the roads in the area will add to this problem. I can imagine loads of cars stuck and abandoned in the Moseley Woods in the bad weather. (Think about ambulances getting through aswell!)

8. If this plan goes ahead I do not know what considerations there will be to the bus service which at the moment is often unreliable. I have complained 3 times about the bus not turning up and I got letters back with feeble excuses. It happened again last week but I just give up now! It is thought that if and when the trolleybus scheme goes ahead (a crazy idea in my opinion but that another story!) that the number 6 bus service will suffer.

9. Cookridge is known for bad drainage and apparently the land at Moseley Wood Bottom is marshy. I have heard that Taylor Wimpey have put some drain pipes in but where will the water go! The end of the area is the railway line. I am aware that there has been some correspondence with Network Rail about this and that they have published a report showing that in 2012 there were 4 serious floods on the lines in that area causing delays .With 200 extra houses built on this green site these statistics are bound to

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Carol Lee

Representor No: PRS05842

increase and train users will not be happy at the extra delays !

10. On the subject of trains, train users park on Woodhill Road near the station and it causes problems when driving in that area as so many cars are parked. The station car park is always full to capacity . 200 houses means around 600 to 800 people a large percentage of whom may use the train. In fact that would be an attraction to some moving to that area but they would have to walk to the station and extra trains would have to be laid on.

11. I do not feel that the infrastructure in Cookridge could cope with the extra residents. Apparently the proposed houses are to be 3/5 bedrooms . This could mean around 800 residents . There are no doctors or dentists actually in Cookridge. I have heard that there are not many childminders or nurseries and local toddlers and playgroups would not be able to cope with increased numbers. There are no known youth centres or centres for the elderly. The Cookridge Village Hall is full with daily meetings already. I do hope that Leeds City Planning Dept will take all these points into consideration and change the colour coding of Moseley Wood Bottomback to RED.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Colin Fairburn

Representor No: PRS05916

Representation ID: REP06671 Question Ref: H1

As an established local estate agent who lives in Bramhope and sells property across Leeds 16 I would like to make the following comments relating to the proposed site allocations of additional housing.

Bramhope -

A large increase in housing in Bramhope will cause the following problems:

The A660 (Leeds Road) is very busy as it is - more houses mean more cars

The roads in Bramhope are almost exclusively narrower than the 'average' road with many streets not even having pavements - the increase in traffic will cause an immense amount of problems.

The school in Bramhope is over subscribed as it is - a half mile radius catchment area is now in force - how on earth is the school expected to cope with more house housing more children?

The doctors surgery is simply not large enough to cope with such a large increase in the population of the village

The bus service into Leeds is, at best erratic and at worst appalling - my wife has been travelling into Leeds every day for the past 12 years and can testify to that

The type of housing that is likely to be built will almost certainly be 'executive style' housing - we simply do NOT need any more of this type of housing - we cant sell the ones weve got - building more just doesnt make any sense whatsoever.

The character of the village will change forever, and as such as planners you shoulder a huge burden of responsibility to ensure that future generations (and indeed current ones) do not have their lives blighted by ill thought out and ill advised decisions

Every site is a green field site - it is an outrage that green fields should be built on - find brownfield sites and make the developers act in a more creative way and make them build the houses that are actually needed for first time buyers and the rental market.

There will be a severe impact on the wildlife of the area

Cookridge - (Moseley Wood Gardens)

There are a large number of natural springs in the area designated which will be of a major problem if developed - where will the water go?

The proposed site is strewn with massive boulders under the ground

I understand that the railway line close by could be adversely affected by any development

The site is a green field site - it is an outrage that green fields should be built on - find brownfield sites and make the developers act in a more creative way and make them build the houses that are actually needed for first time buyers and the rental market.

There will be a severe impact on the wildlife of the area

The local infrastructure simply could not cope with a large increase in the housing and subsequent traffic increase.

Peoples lives should not be blighted in this way - it is morally wrong. There are plenty of brownfield sites in the city for affordable housing to be built.

Adel

Adel does not need more 'executive style' housing - the building on Church Lane is having a detrimental effect on the environment, peoples living standards and it is drawing people away from buying resale homes. We have noticed a larger than usual amount of 4 bedroom houses remaining unsold as people decide to buy new instead. Increasing the housing stock with new homes merely shifts the demand. It is not actually needed. With the Bodington site acquired for yet MORE 'executive style' homes will only further exasperate the situation further.

Further development of Adel will lead to increased traffic onto the A660 which is already over stretched to capacity.

Enough is enough.

Please listen to the ordinary people - not the developers - they are not the ones who have to live with the decisions that you and your colleagues are having to make.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Julie Payne

Representor No: PRS05957

Representation ID: REP06729 Question Ref: H1

Potential Development – Cookridge – Site No. 1199

I have grown up in Cookridge, on Woodhill Road and later on Moseley Wood Drive and Woodhill Court. I spent my childhood playing on Moseley Bottom down by the beck. In fact, most children in the area spent their time there, building dens, building dams, catching tadpoles and frogs, fishing and building rope swings from the trees overhanging the beck. We didn't need a playground or to sit in front of the television, we had our playground on the doorstep. We all learnt to make friends and interact with other children, learnt about nature - it cost nothing - nothing to our parents and nothing for the council to upkeep.

Unfortunately that has since changed due to residents at the bottom of Woodhill Road and on Moseley Wood Croft extending their gardens and blocking access to the rest of the Cookridge residents to the back of Woodhill Road, leaving just Moseley Bottom available to access (as long as there are no sheep in the field). In fact, people could walk along the beck from Moseley Wood Croft to the Fox and Hounds for a drink during the summer months.

I'm a dog walker and already have access issues to fields in the area. I walk my dogs twice a day on Moseley Bottom as it's a place I can let my dogs off their leads and exercise them properly, it's the only open space we have available in that part of Cookridge. It's also a busy recreational space where children take advantage of the open space by playing football, golf, picnics and come and look at the wildlife that live in the area. During winter when the snow comes, children spend their time sledging and generally enjoying the weather the area provides.

Wildlife

The wildlife in the area includes bats (we've even had one that flew into my daughters bedroom), 3 deer, 2 Red Kites that have been nesting in the area for the past 2/3 years, Woodpeckers, Barn Owls, 1 Heron, 2 Moor Hens, Kingfishers during Spring & Summer in the beck and numerous foxes living within the field.

Traffic

As for the extra traffic to the area. Cookridge is already a bottleneck during peak times with traffic queuing on Woodhill Road, Tinshill Road, Tinshill Lane and Haigh Wood Road all trying to cross the bridge. This is compounded by commuters of the airport and train station. Traffic constantly comes to a standstill. I work on New Road Side in Horsforth, a 5 minute drive away normally, but which can take up to 20 minutes on really bad days. Cookridge is also served by only one bus route, the number 6. On an evening during rush hour, it can be packed with commuters and students for which it doesn't empty until the students get off at Headingley. It's the same problem with the train and can be standing room only on occasions, especially when students are resident at Trinity University. Horsforth train station is also used as a park and ride for people living in North Yorkshire. I understand that the fares in North Yorkshire are much higher than West Yorkshire, so you find commuters travelling from Harrogate and parking up on the streets in Cookridge to make the rest of their commute by train.

Schools

Moving onto the subject of schools in the area. Cookridge consists of 2 Primary Schools in the area. Cookridge Primary now covers Tinshill, as Tinshill Primary has only recently closed in the past few years feeding the children into Cookridge Primary. As for Holy Trinity, its only just been extended to cater for numbers, of which back when my kids were young, there were 30 to a class and used portakabins for some of the year groups which were cold during the winter months. Horsforth High School, where my children also went, and is only a 10 minute walk away from my house, has now changed its policy to allowing only children living in Horsforth, leaving Cookridge residents very little choice in High School options.

Not sure what the availability is on Playgroups and Nurseries, I couldn't get a place for a Council run Nursery attached to Ireland Wood School, there weren't enough places so had to make do with one of the two playgroups that Cookridge has to offer, as these were the cheapest option for me at the time, I couldn't afford one of the privately run Nurseries in the area. Does the council plan to open more nursery spaces to take the extra demand for the area?

I'm not sure if my views will be considered, but these are real. I know the council already has a tight budget, the roads in the area are in poor condition. I e-mailed the Highways Dept earlier this year pointing out the condition of where Woodhill Road meets with Green Lane, they came out and slapped some tar in which broke of 2/3 weeks later. I can't keep contacting the Highways, there are so many potholes in the area it would be impossible to pinpoint them all on an e-mail. It would have been better for the Highways to check the condition of the rest of the roads in the area at the same time rather than relying on residents to make contact. I phoned recently about a litter bin for Moseley Wood Croft, I was told there was no budget. They have since delivered one, I assume the council have now managed to find some funding, but if funding for the community is tight, how does it think it can cope with maintenance on another 400 plus residents in the area?

Thank you for taking the time to read my views which I'm sure will speak for a lot of Cookridge residents.

Name: Raminder Bhabra

Representor No: PRS06015

Representation ID: REP06843 Question Ref: H1

Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. It's a village and should remain as such.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: G Thornley

Representor No: PRS06107

Representation ID: REP06971 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree.

The inevitable increase in local traffic WILL be a problem. Strongly agree. Moved here as it is a quiet road and bring up my family. More traffic will be a lot unsafer for children to cross.

Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres CANNOT cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Main school would be Cookridge Holy Trinity. This school is already packed. There is no room to build extra classrooms on this ground and I think children's education would suffer!

The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More bbuilding WILL affect this. Strong agree. Live at top of Cookridge Drive and our back garden floods. Building these houses at the bottom of the hill will severely flood.

Moseley Bottom is a important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. Wildlife should not suffer due to unnecessary building of a new housing estate!

Name: Joyce Smith

Representor No: PRS06203

Representation ID: REP07091 Question Ref: H1

The plan for single access via Moseley Wood Bank is simply ridiculous. How a qualified surveyor can think otherwise defies belief.

200 homes - average 1.5 cars per house. 2 journeys = 600 cars on a road that can barely support the current traffic.

Is a new school planned? Otherwise where are the 200+ pupils going?

Concreting grassland increase flooding - known fact.

See also Representation form submitted

Name: Clayton

Representor No: PRS06213

Representation ID: REP07113 Question Ref: H1

Comparison to Other Sites

Furthermore, in respect of Site 1199, which has been identified 'green' in the consultation document, it is considered that Site 1199 is sequentially not acceptable or suitable to be identified as a potential development site due to it being within Flood Zone 3a. As set out in the NPPF (paragraph 100 - 102), inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk.

Development of Site 1199 is also considered to have a more adverse impact on local ecology due to it being in close proximity to Moseley Beck.

We question how Site 1199 land can be identified as a suitable development site when it has so many adverse implications associated with it. From reviewing the Sustainability Assessment, it is considered that our client's land will be more suited to future development than Site 1199 and this should be taken into serious consideration when assessing the development sites further.

Name: Trudi Hannaford

Representor No: PRS06248

Representation ID: REP07161 Question Ref: H1

I would like to voice my concern for the four possible development sites located within Cookridge. In my opinion each site presents similar issues and some site specific.

Site: 1199 Moseley Wood

The proposal to build up to 200 new homes on this site is illogical and ill-thought out. There is only one vehicle access point which will result in significant traffic along the existing roads locally and then onto the main roads. Of particular concern would be additional vehicles exiting onto Tinsall Road from Green Lane/Woodhill Road. This junction is tricky as it is extremely steep. I am not proposing additional vehicle access points.

The current two primary schools within the area are full and do not appear to have very much space to accommodate additional pupils, therefore parents would be forced to drive their children to the nearest school with spaces. This is also problematic for the local high school, with Horsforth Academy closing its doors to pupils outside of LS18, there is already increased pressure on Ralph Thoresby school. With the proposed withdrawal of free buses for young people this will place additional burdens on parents' budgets.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Stanley Worthington

Representor No: PRS06325

Representation ID: REP07270 Question Ref: H1

1199 - Moseley Wood Bottom GREEN TO RED. Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree Greenfields areas in Cookridge are extremely sparse and additional housing will be detrimental to the area and a further encroachment into the countryside. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. The roads in this area are not capable in absorbing additional vehicles and cars. Particularly in the winter months during bad weather. Snow. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. These amenities are over stretched at the moment and further development would make things even worse. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. There are many natural springs in this area and flash flooding already creates problems with drainage. Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Many wild animals habitat in these fields, i.e. foxes, deer and many birds the beck is known to have supported trout there is a danger that with housing close by the beck could be polluted by rubbish.

Name: Jean Bundy

Representor No: PRS06328

Representation ID: REP07274 Question Ref: General comment

[x] e-mailed a copy of The Cookridge resident's action group objection to site ref 1199 Moseley Bottom form, but did not tick any boxes or write any comments or sign it.

Name: David Oldknow

Representor No: PRS06340

Representation ID: REP07301 Question Ref: H1

I would be grateful if you could supply a plan of the development in order that I may respond appropriately. We do have current concerns about flooding risk to the track from Moseley Wood beck, Moseley Wood beck responds very quickly to run-off from the existing catchment and development of the existing catchment could lead to further risk of flooding to track/station area. There are a number of issues with incoming flows from the existing land into the existing track drainage at times of heavy rainfall, and this then affects the length of track from Horsforth station to the Bramhope tunnel. Recent new works to the drainage system for the construction of the new Horsforth Turnback scheme has now been completed and whilst this should ease a previous flooding issues, I believe that there may still be a residual risk to the operation of the trains during very heavy rainfall events from Moseley Wood Beck.

Name: D Valentine

Representor No: PRS06344

Representation ID: REP07317 Question Ref: H1

Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Cookridge is a nicely sized area, with local schools already at a premium. Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. I live on a main road/bus route at times it is difficult to reverse into my drive way due to the current level of traffic. Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand, there are 2 primary schools which serve Cookridge (where are all the local children going to be schooled. Senior school is Ralph Thorsby – this will be well over subscribed now Horsforth High schools for LS18 kids only. Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1199

Housing

Moseley Wood Gardens (land off), Cookridge LS16

Name: Michael Long

Representor No: PRS06750

Representation ID: REP07983 Question Ref: H1

1. Housing Sites

In order to assess the site we have considered the following accessibility criteria:

- Access to the Core Bus Network- within 400m of 4 buses per hour to Leeds, Wakefield or Bradford;
- 20 minutes to primary health;
- 20 minutes to primary education;
- 30 minutes to a secondary school.

The assessments undertaken are based on the current public transport network. For this consultation Metro have compared the outputs of these accessibility criteria against the RAG classifications given by the council. The results for the housing sites are shown in proceeding tables:

Table 1 -Green sites with poor accessibility

Table 2- Amber sites with poor accessibility

Table 3- Red sites with poor accessibility

Table 4- Green and Amber Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

Table 5- Red Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

[See full representation submitted for details of the tables]

Green Sites outside the Core Public Transport Network

Table 1 below contains sites that are classified as 'Sites which have potential to be allocated for housing' but fall outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if these sites would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. Significant developer contributions will be required at these sites to improve the public transport to meet the council LDF accessibility policies; particularly in the larger sites should these be brought forward for development. These include SHLAA ref: 2062, 1032, 1046, 1017 & 1055.

Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small sites low capacity are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

The table also includes accessibility assessments results to access other services and facilities within acceptable journey times on public transport.

[see table in full representation submitted which lists sites]

Name: Mick Gamble

Representor No: PRS06758

Representation ID: REP04042 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs no more housing developments. Strongly agree. Cookridge infrastructure cannot support another 200 houses. The inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly agree. Local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly Agree. The area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree.

Name: Barney Reed

Representor No: PRS06964

Representation ID: REP04145 Question Ref: H1

Cookridge needs No More housing developments. Strongly Agree. Already fill to capacity with regards to facilities and infrastructure. There are other more site in North Leeds and empty houses. The Inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem. Strongly Agree. Commuter traffic into Leeds City Centre is already enough to cause delays. Traffic around the school and Tescos is hazardous enough already. Local Schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand. Strongly agree. Schools are overstretched already without the addition of hundreds of new residents. Doctors are full and waiting for NHS dentists. We cannot cope with new residents but no new facilities. The area including Moseley Beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this. Strongly agree. Flooding has already caused delays to the train service, causes problems for commuters and network rail. Also there is evidence of flooding at the proposed access site to the site which further indicates this not a suitable. Moseley Bottom is an important wildlife habitat. Strongly agree. The proposed building site is an area of great biodiversity and british wildlife, building on it would destroy an ecosystem, something the government is actually trying to prevent.

Name: Unidentifiable

Representor No: PRS99999

Representation ID: REP04754 Question Ref: H1

1199 – Moseley Bottom, Green to Red. Strongly agree Cookridge needs no more housing developments.

Strongly agree the inevitable increase in local traffic will be a problem.

Strongly agree local schools and services such as dentists and health centres cannot cope with more demand.

Strongly agree the area including Moseley beck is prone to flooding. More building will affect this.

Strongly agree Moseley bottom is an important wildlife habitat.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Nancy De Dombal

Representor No: PRS00004

Representation ID: REP00049 Question Ref: H7

1202 newlaithes fields. building of a substantial number of houses on this site would be disastrous causing traffic problems for present residents.

Name: Ray Agar

Representor No: PRS00033

Representation ID: REP00439 Question Ref: H4

Rather than building on this green field site build on Leeds city college site adjacent when the college vacates the site in the next two years.

Name: Jacqueline Whitaker

Representor No: PRS00055

Representation ID: REP00461 Question Ref: H5

I think there is enough traffic leading onto new road side and the ring road. Generations of people have enjoyed the green space there to enjoy walking, playing. The school down there maybe compromised with traffic. New houses should be built on old sites not on the countryside.

Name: Norma Hemsley

Representor No: PRS00088

Representation ID: REP00494 Question Ref: H4

In view of the number of properties for this area (550 & 15 already passed) we are already faced with an excess of cut through traffic especially at peak periods and more building would only add to this problem of causing even more problems with property access. What schools & medical facilities have been planned??Site unsuitable for housing.

Name: Jayne Woodale

Representor No: PRS00090

Representation ID: REP00496 Question Ref: H4

I oppose the proposed site, 1202 'land off Victoria Ave' to develop another housing estate for 185 houses. - Where will the access roads be?- Do you propose building more schools?- Where will all the extra families go for medical attention?Come to Horsforth when the children are coming home from school. There are 4 roads converge at the school. Cars are parked in every street. It's a miracle that some children haven't had serious accidents. It seems permission has already been given (site 731) for 15 properties. I wonder who gave permission I feel we are now at saturation point, will soon have no green fields left in Horsforth. As Eric Pickles has already given permission for properties on the old Clarian site. Can you imagine the traffic congestion when those houses have been built, let alone if you build even more?May I have a reply to all my questions please?

Name: Michael Trousdale

Representor No: PRS00101

Representation ID: REP00507 Question Ref: H4

In my opinion this site is totally unsuitable for development as the access is so very limited through the already approved site (731). Surely no access would be granted at the south end of the site via Newlaithes School access road. Also the Horsforth schools are already oversubscribed. Another reason to oppose this scheme is the increase in traffic burden that will be imposed on the already impossibly loaded A65 / Broadway roundabout. The entire A65 corridor is under ridiculous strain during 'rush hours' and a further 200 homes with the traffic associated is to be avoided.

Name: Andrew Crowther

Representor No: PRS00104

Representation ID: REP00510 Question Ref: H4

This site is located in the green belt - an area used by local residents for amenity purposes. This area is already a busy residential area due to the cul-de-sac nature of the Victoria's roads and the Newlaithes school. It would be short sighted to allow a further 185 houses to be built that would use the existing roads to access the Ring Road / New Road Side. The impact o the main A65 / Ring Road rounabout would cause kaos especially with the housing development already permitted at the former Clariant works site on Calverley Lane (ref:1339). Access would have to be via an adjacent site (ref:731 - Victoria Ave), as an access to the south of this site would entail traffic passing Newlaithes school. Vehicle access for 185 dwellings would have to be via the north of Victoria Ave / Victoria Mount - which would not be acceptable in terms of the existing capacity of the roads mentioned above. The amount of increased traffic on these roads would massively impact on the amenity of local residents and be almost impossible to deal with as the increased number of vehicles attempt to link in with the A65 at various locations with the Victorias, (Local network constraints). Also, loss of important green space / conservation reasons - meadow land.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Raymond Full

Representor No: PRS00105

Representation ID: REP00511 Question Ref: H4

As a long time resident of Horsforth, Victoria's area I am extremely concerned of the proposal to build 185 new houses on the land adjacent to Victoria Avenue. Even currently it is almost impossible to park outside our homes (we are OAPs with mobility problems!) Our car is only small, 'nissan micra'. It is like a 'feeding frenzy' to be able to park near our home. The parking in the Victoria's area is already 'gridlocked'! The traffic on the A65 and Horsforth 'freeze' roundabout and ring road and Rodley road etc are 'gridlocked' morning and evening and at other times! Residential streets like Victoria Gardens and Victoria's in general are used as 'rat-runs'! Unlike the residents of the 'council estates' in Horsforth we do not have dedicated residents parking or the 20 mph speed limits that they enjoy! This is outrageous!!! Are not out children, old people etc regarded with equal rights to the council house dwellers!!! Also our doctors surgeries are so over-subscribed it is very difficult to get a GPs appointment, sometimes for days on end! The III scheme is a joke! And proved to be so! Also our schools are full!!! How the hell can a proposal to build 185 extra homes, with all the extra cars and school children and potential GP patients / services be even remotely viable????!!! suggest you look again at these proposals with a considered and sensible outlook!!! Even an idiot can see the proposal are not viable!!!

Name: Ann Full

Representor No: PRS00106

Representation ID: REP00512 Question Ref: H4

I am seriously concerned about all plans to increase residential property in this area of Horsforth. The A65 / Ring Road is already highly congested, particularly at prime times in the morning and evening, adding between 15-30 minutes, or often more, to a journey to Cookridge, where I child mind grandchildren. Local GPs and dentists are already pressurised, and appointments often hard to get under a week ahead. Schools are another problem, with increases in class sizes. We are putting more and more pressure onto highways not built for the amount or size of traffic using them. Something has to give. Parking is another problem locally. Also with an ageing population are our hospitals able to cope with the massive increases in population planned for Leeds and Horsforth in particular?

Name: M Docherty

Representor No: PRS00250

Representation ID: REP02184 Question Ref: H4

1202 - As a longtime resident in Victoria Mount I write to object very strongly about the proposed building development site 1202. The increase in traffic close to the roundabout and ring road makes it a highly dangerous proposition with close proximity to Nearlithes School it is quite frightening. The roundabout and ring road are extremely busy and in fact the whole area leading up to New Road Side will become congested as drivers will seek alternative exits from the Victoria areas. I beg you to study this closely and reconsider the lives of these residents particularly the children and refuse planning permission. Thank you for your consideration

Name: John Bates

Representor No: PRS00326

Representation ID: REP02273 Question Ref: H4

Re. 1202: Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth
To whom it may concern,
It is with reference to the proposed building of 185 houses on the above site in Horsforth that I am writing.
I strongly oppose the plan to build houses on this site due to highway access problems.

For decades the traffic problems at the roundabout where New Road Side meets the Ring Road have been getting worse. At peak times this roundabout can reach almost gridlock conditions.

Calverley Lane which is virtually on this roundabout would be used to access houses on this site. Calverley lane is already used to access houses in the Victoria and Newlithes estates it also serves an expanding Park Lane College, Newlithes School and Horsforth Cemetery. Car Parking in this area for the Cemetery and the overspill from the College is also an issue.

Neither should the building on this site be seen in isolation to other sites. The development on the Clarion site will also cause significant traffic increases at the roundabout.

It is for these reasons I oppose the building of houses on site 1202.

I have been a resident in this area for thirty years and have seen traffic problems increase whilst little or nothing has been done to alleviate the problem. However we seem not to hesitate when it comes to aggravating the problem.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Linda Doran

Representor No: PRS00500

Representation ID: REP02448 Question Ref: H4

1202 – I do not consider the above site suitable due to:-

A – Problems with access to site and an increase in traffic congestion in the area.

B – Site can flood in winter and building on it could cause problems with run off lower down in area.

C – Extra houses being built on top of other sites already ear marked will put undue pressure on already overcrowded schools, doctors and other services in area.

Name: Cowling

Representor No: PRS00677

Representation ID: REP02669 Question Ref: H4

We disagree with the classification of this site. Our main concern is that extra development would increase the pressure on the infrastructure. Horsforth roundabout is already very congested every morning and evening and a further increase in traffic will affect the whole area.

Name: Sue Bettley

Representor No: PRS00767

Representation ID: REP02779 Question Ref: H4

1202 – I am writing to strongly object to the development of the site 1202 off Victoria Avenue. The road network around that area is already poor and struggles to support the number of vehicles racing up and down Victoria Mount and Victoria Gardens not to mention the permanently congested Horsforth roundabout and the Kirkstall Road into Leeds. There are no convenience access links to motorways in the area at all and to set off on a journey any day of the week is getting more and more difficult. Further development in the area will also reflect the house prices, impact on already oversubscribed schools and I believe will generally bring down the area. The A65 cannot take any more vehicles regularly using and joining it. There is little enough green area in the vicinity please dont take away any more. Please also consider the impact on local residents.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Peter Doran

Representor No: PRS00807

Representation ID: REP02820 Question Ref: H4

1202 – I object to the building on site ref: 1202 because of access problems – see appended letter.

Representation ID: REP02820 Question Ref: H4

1202 -

ARTERIAL ROAD SYSTEM AROUND NEWLITHES PRIMARY SCHOOL

Up to the enlargement of Newlithes Primary School in 2012, the existing road system was adequate and sustainable for the area that it served. Resulting from the enlarged school there has been a significant increase in the amount of traffic on the road system in and about the school, and the arterial roads leading onto New Road side.

PARKING

Parking is becoming an increasing problem around the area of the school; this traffic problem will continue to farther increase as the school approaches student capacity. It doesn't not take into account the newly proposed housing stock of 185 [ref 1202 site assessment] nor the proposed houses on the Sandoz site of 540 [Planning approve1 which; as far as I know does not contain a proposal for a school on the site.

Are we to be quietly burdened with this as well?

ACCESS

All access to the Victoria's and Newlithes Primary school is off New Road Side, With the majority of pupils arriving by car all heading in the same direction the inevitable happens, grid lock two to three times a day. The problem is that cars arriving early try to leave by same route; this is further intensified by people who live on the local streets parking their cars on the side of the road.

The proposed road markings around the school will not be robust enough; and will resolve nothing. They will only push vehicle deeper into the adjoining streets and further into the estate increasing the congestion felt by the local community.

LOCAL MEDICAL SERVICES

With the major increase in proposed housing coming into our immediate area as outlined below:

Sandoz site and the old mill 540 houses Planning passed.

Plan 5.3 Housing North Leeds 185 Planning proposed REF: 1202

Plan 5.3 Housing North Leeds 15 Planning passed REF: 731

Total number of houses within half a mile or so will be 740.

These passed and proposed housing projects will significantly impact on our local Dental and Doctors surgeries, who are probably already under significant pressure.

DRAINAGE

As we are all aware we have over the last few years had significant levels of rainfall and in some instances as in 2012 two months if incessant leading to the proposed site at 1202 becoming very quickly water sodden and water logged in the lower area of the site. The run off created by these events usually causes the water table to rise resulting in waterlogging in the gardens and garage flooding at the top of Victoria Grove.

If we put 185 houses on the proposed sit with tarmac, roads, house footing; are we about to add to the problem?

Representation ID: REP02820 Question Ref: H4

1202 – I object to the building on site ref: 1202 because of road congestion and parking – see appended letter.

Representation ID: REP02820 Question Ref: H4

1202 – I object to the building on site ref: 1202 because of pressure on medical services and drainage – see appended letter.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Haydn White

Representor No: PRS00882

Representation ID: REP02902 Question Ref: H4

1202 - Re the above, I am AGAINST the proposal on the basis of the information that has been provided. It is not good enough to say that the proposal "has issues", not to specify them and then to say that the Council "think it is suitable".

For the record, I would fully support the development, PROVIDING, the developer is obliged to facilitate:

- a)a GP health centre;
- b)an infant/primary school;
- c)appropriate traffic management systems;
- d)co-ordination with proposed additional rail network access to Leeds city centre; and
- e)provision for local shops.

All of the above are consistent with the powers that Leeds City Council holds and were placed on the statute book to enable Planning Authorities to insist on proper infrastructure provision.

I repeat, if Leeds City Council is minded simply to say "get building", I am AGAINST the proposal.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Dawn Collins

Representor No: PRS00914

Representation ID: REP02948 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to convey my objection to the inclusion of site

1202 - fields off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth

as a site with potential for development

This is a green field site which enhances local people's standard of living a gives them opportunity to go out and enjoy the out door. To officer who do not know the area it will appear to be just another little green field but to local residents it means so much more.

The traffic through Horsforth and Rawdon (my ward) does appear to have good road links but in reality these quickly grind to a halt and the congestion generates a huge amount of pollution (both noise and fumes) in a largely residential area.

We need the green spaces to compensate for the traffic you encourage to flow through our ward. Taking the green spaces for housing will generate more traffic and pollution, having a double, negative impact on all who live here.

Representation ID: REP06368 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to convey my objection to the inclusion of site

1202 - fields off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth

as a site with potential for development

This is a green field site which enhances local people's standard of living a gives them opportunity to go out and enjoy the out door. To officer who do not know the area it will appear to be just another little green field but to local residents it means so much more.

The traffic through Horsforth and Rawdon (my ward) does appear to have good road links but in reality these quickly grind to a halt and the congestion generates a huge amount of pollution (both noise and fumes) in a largely residential area.

We need the green spaces to compensate for the traffic you encourage to flow through our ward. Taking the green spaces for housing will generate more traffic and pollution, having a double, negative impact on all who live here.

Yours sincerely Councillor Dawn Collins Horsforth Ward

Sent from my iPad

Representation ID: REP06060 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to convey my objection to the inclusion of site

1202 - fields off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth

as a site with potential for development

This is a green field site which enhances local people's standard of living a gives them opportunity to go out and enjoy the out door. To officer who do not know the area it will appear to be just another little green field but to local residents it means so much more.

The traffic through Horsforth and Rawdon (my ward) does appear to have good road links but in reality these quickly grind to a halt and the congestion generates a huge amount of pollution (both noise and fumes) in a largely residential area.

We need the green spaces to compensate for the traffic you encourage to flow through our ward. Taking the green spaces for housing will generate more traffic and pollution, having a double, negative impact on all who live here.

Yours sincerely
Councillor Dawn Collins
Horsforth Ward
Sent from

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Neil Hunt

Representor No: PRS00916

Representation ID: REP07781 Question Ref: H4

sites considered by respondents to be least suitable for development:
Newlaithes Field (1202)

Representation ID: REP02945 Question Ref: H4

Site 1202 I am writing to convey my disagreement with the classification of this site in the draft site allocation:

1202 - fields off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth

as a site with potential for development

This is a green field site which as such enhances local people's standard of living.

The traffic through Horsforth at this point does appear to have good road links but in reality these are already over stretched and the congestion generates a huge amount of pollution (both noise and atmospheric) in a largely residential area.

Immediate road access from this site is poor with relatively narrow roads and difficult parking making two way traffic difficult.

Taking the green spaces for housing will generate more traffic and pollution.

Name: David & Lynne Hallas

Representor No: PRS00992

Representation ID: REP03041 Question Ref: H4

1202 - We have been house owners on Victoria Walk for 40 years and are very concerned over site 1202 as a possible site for future housing. We believe that this area of Newlaithes and the Victorias is already at capacity and further development would give rise to several issues.

Increased traffic flow (roads in this area are historically quite narrow and already, resident parking adds to traffic hazards). Road side parking could also affect response time for our emergency services.

The current road layouts are already congested, acting as thoroughfares to existing houses in the valley bottom. These are all accessed from New Road Side (A65) which already experiences major congestion at all times of the day especially at rush hour. This would be exacerbated by any future large development of site 1202.

There are also issues with school placements and other stresses would be put on services such as doctors, dentists, refuse collections etc.

Historically area 1202 has been used as a recreation area for local residents and children attending Newlaithes School. Extra housing on this site would deprive local residents of this green space. Would Newlaithes School have to expand if the intake were to increase (by extra housing on site 1202) – how would this be possible if the site 1202 were to be developed?

If there were proper infrastructure in place prior to any housing development there would maybe then fewer issues to address; however, this very rarely ever happens.

As long time residents in Horsforth we have seen many changes, some well thought out and some not.

We trust that you will register our concerns and comments.

Name: Donald Pickard

Representor No: PRS01005

Representation ID: REP03056 Question Ref: H4

1202 - I do NOT agree that this site 1202 is suitable for housing.

Reasons:-

1. The field is an amenity much used and needed by local residents as access to the countryside. We need to protect every bit of open countryside that we can.
2. There will be a lack of room in the local schools especially when we are talking about 185 houses with one, two or whatever children.
3. The roads at present are already busy with traffic but with 185 houses with at least one car per house I dread to think of the outcome.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Claire Fielding

Representor No: PRS01027

Representation ID: REP03087 Question Ref: H4

1202 - I am writing to advise that I oppose to the potential housing development on site 1202. I strongly feel that this is green area and should be kept this way. Horsforth is very built up as it is and it is nice for children to have areas of safe play around rather than roads and to be able to see such beauty spots. Horsforth Newlaithes school is close by and the area is already highly congested with cars especially around school run times. I feel the additional traffic that this will create will put our children's safety at risk. I also feel that Horsforth schools are already well over subscribed. I feel the number of houses will put stain on local amenities and transport links and should not go ahead.

Name: Kate Lowe

Representor No: PRS01029

Representation ID: REP03089 Question Ref: H4

1202 - I am writing to express my disagreement with the classification for the above site as potential for housing development. The area already has poor highway access, particularly around Newlaithes Primary school and congestion around the Roundabout linking New Rd Side with the Ring Road. This would increase difficulties should the housing plans go ahead.

Also school places in the area are already under high demand and I would have thought that further provision would need to be should that quantity of housing be built.

Name: Albert And Ann Bennett

Representor No: PRS01124

Representation ID: REP03199 Question Ref: H4

1202

We would strongly object to these developments on some of the following reasons:

These applications would have a disastrous effect on the infrastructure, schools, doctors, nurses, midwives etc. Has all this been taken into account.

The impact this would have on all the adjacent roads in the Victorias and the A65 and the Ring Road. Where would access be sought from the 185 houses suggested on 1202 on plan, obviously not opposite an infants school. This would be highly dangerous which would mean access would be through Victoria Avenue on to Victoria Mount. This would impact tremendously on an already overcrowded thoroughfare and would also be highly dangerous.

This is a natural environmental area. In this day and age this should not be disturbed. There is enough concrete already and we need to preserve what flora and fauna there is already. There is an abundance of wildlife including birds, bats, frogs, newts and the occasional deer. The field are also well known for the springs that run through them and there are pits in the adjoining fields.

Our living conditions would be majorly affected. It would impact upon our privacy, daylight and sunlight and the noise and disturbance would be unacceptable – especially with regard to number 731

Name: Gaynor Ellis

Representor No: PRS01223

Representation ID: REP00111 Question Ref: H5

Ref 1202 Again Access would be difficult and would have to be routed through residential streets unable to cope with increased volume of traffic. i.e. Victorias. Ref 1016 Horsforth Roundabout is already a nightmare at rush hour times and can take 10-15 minutes to get across. The area is unable to cope with more traffic.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Peter Holdsworth

Representor No: PRS01457

Representation ID: REP03590 Question Ref: H4

I have been advised that consideration is again being given to the development of the above land. As you are aware, of course, this has been considered twice before, in 1974 and 1982, both times being rejected on various grounds but mainly on access and traffic generation. For ease of reference, I enclose a copy of the 1982 report and would draw your attention to the DOPET comments which effectively precluded any development. Over the intervening 30 years, nothing has been done to improve the traffic situation, on the contrary, apart from the general increase in car ownership, the considerable housing development in Guiseley and beyond has led to greatly increased traffic flows at the Ring Road roundabout.

New Laithes school has been expanded leading to a major parking problem on Victoria Drive and Mount particularly in the morning rush hour. In addition, if access to any proposed development were to be taken from the extension to Victoria Drive, it would lead to the loss of the existing turning circle creating havoc. There would also be increased danger to children if the cul-de-sac became a through road.

It also appears that certain motorists are avoiding the congestion on Newroadside at certain times of the day, by turning down Clarence Drive and using Victoria Drive and Mount as a rat run, thus adding to the traffic. Another cause of congestion at the junction of Calverley Lane and Newroadside is the number of vehicles leaving the College and attempting to turn right against rush hour traffic at the junction. There is also the problem caused by certain residents insisting on leaving their cars parked on the roadside.

Another aspect affecting the development of the land is stability. Whilst I am not in possession of detailed knowledge of any activity, I understand that the Horsforth Fireclay Company had an interest in land on the southern boundary. I have indicated on a copy of your referral plan, the conjectured position of the seams of coal underlying the area. The Hard Bed coal is underlain by ganister and both the Soft Bed and Pot Clay coal are underlain by fire clay. It may well be that extraction if any, was small scale, but it would be prudent to investigate the activities of the company. It is also known that the former Horsforth UDC tipped household refuse on the northern boundary of Swain Wood. Indeed, in the sixties, landfill was excavated by persons looking for old bottles and other collectables.

In view of the above I am firmly of the opinion that the land should remain as it is and if any development is proposed I and, I am certain, all the local residents would strongly object as we did in 1974.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Margret Stocks

Representor No: PRS01469

Representation ID: REP04252 Question Ref: H4

1202 -

LAND OFF VICTORIA AVENUE, HORSFORTH

Sorry I have not been able to reply earlier to this letter, and, although I am aware that the 'deadline' date of 29th July 2013 has passed, I would like to give my opinion on this proposed building.[Sent in before deadline - but has since added street names]

My first question is: You state that there is a capacity for 185 properties. Would the Planning Department be wishing to build to this absolute capacity, and do the Planning Department think this would be feasible to build to the absolute capacity of 185?

My second question is: You state in your literature that the proposed site has issues. I would be interested to know what kind of issues these are. My own issues about this site are as follows:

I cannot see how these extra houses would have any easy exits to be able to access New Road Side and the immediate areas around, already a busy area for car drivers, particularly bearing in mind all other possible future sites, including some green sites (as seen on the plan recently sent out to Horsforth residents) which could be earmarked for future building in this area. These 185 houses could, and probably would, bring an estimate of an equal number of cars — this is already becoming a big problem in this area. New Road Side is part of one of the main arteries coming out of Leeds City Centre on its way up to Otley and beyond and is well used. As traffic reaches the roundabout coming up to the Ring Road there can be a backlog of cars, from both the Ring Road and the roads coming over the roundabout accessing all areas around. There are future plans for the other side of the Ring Road past Horsforth which alone will create more cars on this roundabout, as there is no other way to get across the Ring Road to continue one's journey.

Beyond this roundabout (about five yards over it) on the way to Guiseley and Otley) there is a right-hand turn which cars use to access their street. I myself have seen three accidents here because of this immediate right-hand turn, which unsuspecting car drives going across and turning left from Rodley are not prepared for.

Only one accident or hold up here creates can bring traffic to a standstill

EXITING FOR THE PROPOSED NEW PROPERTY OWNERS:

Possible exits which may be used by the occupants of these proposed houses:

As a car driver I am in a good position to know the problems of the roads leading up to that part of New Road Side.

Exit 1 which may be used by the new property owners: Clarence Drive / Newlathes Gardens

(Already car drivers from the Victorias use this, as they are very near to this exit).

Where the proposed 1202 site in the Victorias, I can see a very big problem when these cars wish to exit onto New Road Side or to turn right to go down to Leeds City Centre, as all these streets already have cars parked on either side of the road, which will inevitably force these car drivers to look for other means of exiting. Even if there will be an exit made somewhere on this site, there is bound to be a backlog of drivers trying to get onto New Road Side, along with existing car drivers who already live in this area.

Although living only a matter of yards from New Road Side it is not always easy to exit onto the main road because of the volume of cars both exiting and coming into Newlathes Gardens, and cars constantly backing in and out from the Tesco Store on the corner which, although this is an important shop for community food supplies, etc.. generates a lot of traffic, often parking on the parking spaces on New Road Side are full. Coupled with this is the fact that there is an inside Play Centre whose access for car drivers is around the back of Tesco's and where cars come into. Also, three houses have recently been built adjacent to Tesco's but about five yards lower down on the other side of the road (two semi-detached and one detached at the back of these) generating more car parking at this busy spot.. Also heavy lorries have to park wherever they can to offload supplies to Tesco's.

Any new traffic trying to find an alternative exit from the Victorias (cars already use this exit from these streets) to access turning right for the City Centre) would make a difficult situation here even worse.

Possible Exit 2:

Running parallel with the 'Tesco's' exit, is Newlathes Road.

I myself try to use this exit to avoid the 'Tesco' exit when it is difficult to access New Road Side from this way owing to offloading lorries and cars coming in and out.

Near the main road is another unmade road, Newley Lane, which is used at the top end by a comparatively new Housing estate a little further down the main road, in order for them to turn onto New Road Side (turning right or left), or turn immediate left down this parallel road. This exit is also used by another Housing estate at the bottom of this road. This road could be very busy if car drivers from the 1202 site decided to use this road (as some of them will).

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Margret Stocks

Representor No: PRS01469

Going back to the potential building in Hosforth, new houses cannot be built unless they have the attendant facilities for the people in them, such as schools, doctors, and essential social and leisure facilities, such as places to walk, and playing fields for the young people of the area to be able to partake in these social activities. I understand from the previous plans that one of the Horsforth playing fields has been possibly earmarked for building! Already the green areas of Horsforth are dwindling — thank goodness at least we have Horsforth Park and the adjacent woods

Although there seems to be yet more plans to build around them!

I would hate to see this area develop into another bottleneck like Headingley, and ask the question how many more houses are planned in this area without the facilities to build amenities.

My question is how much land has been put into the equation for schools, etc. I am worried as to the closing of local Police Stations. The Government's policy on cutting down on services such as the local Police, etc. is worrying with the advent of more and more housing needed. I doubt whether in this overcrowded country the Government will ever keep up to a burgeoning population.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Margret Stocks

Representor No: PRS01469

Representation ID: REP03602 Question Ref: H4

Sorry I have not been able to reply earlier to this letter, and, although I am aware that the 'deadline' date of 29th July 2013 has passed, I would like to give my opinion on this proposed building.

My first question is: You state that there is a capacity for 185 properties. Would the Planning Department be wishing to build to this absolute capacity, and do the Planning Department think this would be feasible to build to the absolute capacity of 185?

My second question is: You state in your literature that the proposed site has issues. I would be interested to know what kind of issues these are. My own issues about this site are as follows:

I cannot see how these extra houses would have any easy exits to be able to access New Road Side and the immediate areas around, already a busy area for car drivers, particularly bearing in mind all other possible future sites, including some green sites (as seen on the plan recently sent out to Horsforth residents) which could be earmarked for future building in this area. These 185 houses could, and probably would, bring an estimate of an equal number of cars — this is already becoming a big problem in this area. New Road Side is part of one of the main arteries coming out of Leeds City Centre on its way up to Otley and beyond and is well used. As traffic reaches the roundabout coming up to the Ring Road there can be a backlog of cars, from both the Ring Road and the roads coming over the roundabout accessing all areas around. There are future plans for the other side of the Ring Road past Horsforth which alone will create more cars on this roundabout, as there is no other way to get across the Ring Road to continue one's journey.

Beyond this roundabout (about five yards over it) on the way to Guiseley and Otley) there is a right-hand turn which cars use to access their street. I myself have seen three accidents here because of this immediate right-hand turn, which unsuspecting car drives going across and turning left from Rodley are not prepared for. Only one accident or hold up here creates can bring traffic to a standstill

EXITING FOR THE PROPOSED NEW PROPERTY OWNERS:

Possible exits which may be used by the occupants of these proposed houses:

As a car driver I am in a good position to know the problems of the roads leading up to that part of New Road Side.

Exit 1 which may be used by the new property owners:
(Already car drivers from the Victorias use this, as they are very near to this exit).

Where the proposed 1202 site in the Victorias, I can see a very big problem when these cars wish to exit onto New Road Side or to turn right to go down to Leeds City Centre, as all these streets already have cars parked on either side of the road, which will inevitably force these car drivers to look for other means of exiting. Even if there will be an exit made somewhere on this site, there is bound to be a backlog of drivers trying to get onto New Road Side, along with existing car drivers who already live in this area.

Although living only a matter of yards from New Road Side it is not always easy to exit onto the main road because of the volume of cars both exiting and coming into Newlaithes, and cars constantly backing in and out from the Tesco Store on the corner which, although this is an important shop for community food supplies, etc., generates a lot of traffic, often parking on the parking spaces on New Road Side are full. Coupled with this is the fact that there is an inside Play Centre whose access for car drivers is around the back of Tesco's and where cars come into. Also, three houses have recently been built adjacent to Tesco's but about five yards lower down on the other side of the road (two semi-detached and one detached at the back of these) generating more car parking at this busy spot.. Also heavy lorries have to park wherever they can to offload supplies to Tesco's.

Any new traffic trying to find an alternative exit from the Victorias (cars already use this exit from these streets) to access turning right for the City Centre) would make a difficult situation here even worse.

Possible Exit 2:
Running parallel with the 'Tesco's' exit, is

I myself try to use this exit to avoid the 'Tesco' exit when it is difficult to access New Road Side from this way owing to offloading lorries and cars coming in and out. Near the main road is another unmade road which is used at the top end by a comparatively new Housing estate a little further down the main road, in order for them to turn onto New Road Side (turning right or left), or turn immediate left down this parallel road. This exit is also used by another Housing estate at the bottom of this road. This road could be very busy if car drivers from the 1202 site decided to use this road (as some of them will).

Going back to the potential building in Hosforth, new houses cannot be built unless they have the attendant facilities for the people in them, such as schools, doctors, and essential social and leisure facilities, such as places to walk, and playing fields for the young people of the area to be able to partake in these social activities. I understand from the previous plans that one of the Horsforth playing fields has been possibly earmarked for building! Already the green areas of Horsforth are dwindling — thank goodness at least we have Horsforth Park and the adjacent woods. Although there seems to be yet more plans to build around them!

I would hate to see this area develop into another bottleneck like Headingley, and ask the question how many more houses are planned in this area without the facilities to build amenities.

My question is how much land has been put into the equation for schools, etc. I am worried as to the closing of local Police Stations. The Government's policy on cutting down on services such as the local Police, etc. is worrying with the advent of more and more housing needed. I doubt whether in this overcrowded country the Government will ever keep up to a burgeoning population.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: John Hirst

Representor No: PRS02048

Representation ID: REP04250 Question Ref: H4

1202 – [Photos have been scanned]

There appears to be no current available access to this site unless this can be gained via the Leeds College grounds or a separate access from the Ring Road to the bottom of the area concerned. The attached photos show present accesses to the site. They are not suitable. They show the narrowing of the road for normal transport, damage to verges by heavy traffic presently servicing the local school which in itself, causes bottlenecks at times.

Name: T Middleton

Representor No: PRS02170

Representation ID: REP00549 Question Ref: H1

(1) Site 1202:Land off Victoria Avenue Horsforth is the last green space south of the Ring Road and should,for environmental reasons be used as a last resort when all brown field sites are utilised.The consequences of five hundred or so people putting an extra burden on the area's already squeezed public resources are quite frightening.Schools in particular,would not be able to cope.By far and away the biggest problem would affect the thousands of motorists who use the Ring Road each day between the roundabout at Lawnswood School and Dawson's Corner.No responsible Authority would contemplate allowing development on Site 1202 until the effect of the completion of the Clariant Site has been assessed on the traffic situation on this stretch of road.

Representation ID: REP04396 Question Ref: H4

1202 –
We strongly disagree with the classification for 1202 as 'has potential but has issues – capacity 185 properties.'
1.Unfortunately, we were away when the 'drop-in' event was held at Horsforth School on 22nd June, and so we were unable to make our views known at that event. We believe that if this development were to go ahead, it would be a disaster for Horsforth residents.
2.This is a precious piece of green belt land. It defines Horsforth as an 'entity'. If it were to be built on, this area would be irrevocably blighted as urban sprawl. New Road side and the Ring Road 'separate' us and act as 'barriers' to the park. These fields are a vital public amenity.
3.Access chaos. At peak times, the Broadway roundabout, where the A65 and Leeds Ring road cross, is already chaotic. When 550 houses are built on the Clariant site this situation will become much worse. It doesn't bear thinking about what the result would be if the occupants of 200 more houses were to join them!
4.Infrastructure and local facilities. Where are the schools that the children from 550 houses on the Clariant site going to attend? Are Featherbank and Newlathes their nearest schools? How would these schools cope with these children, let alone those from another 200 houses. Local facilities on New Road Side, such as they are, would be unable to support a big increase in population. There is now no Bank on New Road Side and few independent shops which provide real services. It would be crazy to even consider building another 200 houses, without taking these facts into consideration.
5.Surely our area is already contributing a large number of houses, with –
1000 dwellings scheduled for Kirkstall Forge site.
550 already being built on the Clariant site.
According to the enclosed 2012 article [scanned] this is approaching Bradford's entire proposed housing increase!!
Why is the Leeds proposed increase so incredibly high? At 19,400, this is 7000 more than the next highest, Cambridge.
Cllr Fox tells us in the June issue of the North Leeds magazine that the figure is actually 74,000 new homes in the next 15 years.
What????
6.We read that permission has already been granted for 15 houses to be built on site 731!!!
7.When was this permission granted? And why haven't local residents been informed of this decision?
8.We would appreciate some answers to the points we have raised!

Representation ID: REP00549 Question Ref: H5

Site1202: land off Victoria Avenue Horsforth. As indicated in a previous answer, because of the catastrophic effect it would have on local services and because of the chaos it would bring to travel on the Ring Road between Horsforth and Pudsey.

Name: Brenda Hansell

Representor No: PRS02383

Representation ID: REP04639 Question Ref: H4

1202 –
Infrastructure
The roads around the Victoria's are already congested because of the doubling of numbers at the local school. The proposed building of another 185 homes, presumably with at least one car each, will make these roads impassable during certain times of the day.
Schools and Doctors
The above mentioned school (Newlathes) is already at capacity, where will the local children go? There is only one doctors surgery on this side of Horsforth Ring Road, will there be plans for another surgery?
A65 and A6120
The roundabout where these two roads cross cannot cope with the volume of traffic at present. The building of large volumes of new dwellings in this area (proposed site 1202 and the old Sandoz site on the other side of the Ring Road) will require substantial development of the major of the major road systems in this area.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Margaret Allen

Representor No: PRS02468

Representation ID: REP04736 Question Ref: H4

I strongly oppose the potential new housing on fields off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth. This is one of the few green spaces left in Horsforth. There is already permission for hundreds of houses on the old Clariant site. Horsforth roundabout is now a nightmare at peak times so how would it cope with the traffic from these houses all with one or two cars. Has any thought been given to schools and Drs surgeries in this area they are now all full capacity. I trust that this will not be given planning permission

Name: Richardson

Representor No: PRS02476

Representation ID: REP04744 Question Ref: H4

I would like to object to the building of housing on Victoria Avenue. The road is already busy with traffic, i.e school bus going to and from school. We already have trouble getting on to New Road Side with all the cars going to college. There is also grid lock around the roundabout going onto the ring road, more cars will make it difficult to get onto the main road.

Name: Sarah Fox

Representor No: PRS02583

Representation ID: REP04880 Question Ref: H4

1202 Land off Victoria Avenue, LS18

1. There is already a high volume of traffic on the Ring Road and along this section of the A65. This slows to a standstill for extended periods during peak times, affecting traffic to Rodley, Calverley, Rawdon and beyond. The new development on the Clariant site will add to this. The proposed development off Victoria Avenue will add a high volume of traffic to an existing problem, as well as the health impact of the increase in pollution levels on the local community. In addition, bus services along this route are similarly under pressure at peak times (33, 33a): I have often been turned off the bus and told to wait for the next service. Again, there would be additional pressure on these services with the proposed development.

2. Horsforth High School is already oversubscribed and serves a high number of pupils (1,400) with a resulting impact on class sizes. Although there are discussions around changes to the catchment area, this is unlikely to free up sufficient places for those Horsforth children requiring them. It is a similar story at other schools in a reasonable commuting distance from the site, ie Benton Park & Guiseley School.

3. Nursery place provision in the area is already exceeding supply, with no further capacity for new children.

4. There is already a lack of capacity in provision of GP, district nursing & health visitor provision in the area, with appointments for these services already increasingly difficult to access.

All the problems above will be worse once the housing on the Clariant site is developed. This additional proposal represents an unacceptable burden on local services and transport links.

Name: Margaret Whiteley

Representor No: PRS02697

Representation ID: REP05154 Question Ref: H4

I am a resident in the area of Victoria Avenue (site 1202) and am very concerned with regards to the possible housing development in nearby fields and I object most strongly.

Already there are hundreds of houses being built on the old Sandoz plant and this development will only add to the problems of highway access, overfilled schools, doctors etc. etc. - the list goes on. It is situated near the very busy roundabout and the inevitable increase in traffic (at least 1 car per house) plus college traffic will only exacerbate this. The nearby Newlaithes School has only just been extended and is already full.

A further 185 properties will badly affect the area and put further pressure on the facilities and destroy open Green space that we all value and enjoy.

I strongly believe this site is unsuitable for housing

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Maggie Elsley

Representor No: PRS02701

Representation ID: REP05159 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to you about site 1201 Off Victoria Avenue. I am a pupil from Horsforth Newlathes Primary School and live in local Horsforth. I think building on this particular land will cause chaos and will not be appropriate for several reasons:

Disrupt the nearby school; disturb its wildlife and animals.

Many people use this land to walk dogs as well as the farmer keeping cows on.

Traffic is already awful and will become dangerous as there are currently too many cars.

More houses mean more cars and more cars means more pollution. It's not just about building more houses; it's about saving the environment too.

You have not planned to build anymore shops, Doctors surgeries, Dentists, Schools etc.

I hope these ideas help you change your mind

Name: Diane Moore

Representor No: PRS02717

Representation ID: REP05174 Question Ref: H4

After reading the site allocation plan my main concerns are the access to the proposed site and the subsequent implications this would have on the surrounding streets. There is already a huge problem with parking associated with Newlathes School, which I am sure Leeds City Council is already aware of. If this green belt site is developed another 200 houses would have a catastrophic effect on the already over stretched amenities of the area, the school in Horsforth are already reaching capacity, Newlathes has already had to expand. Planning permission has already been granted to develop the old Sandoz site, that combined with any development on the land off Victoria Avenue will out potentially hundred more cars onto the A65 and roundabout which already struggles to cope with traffic especially going down to Rodley, which is grid locked at peak times. When considering this proposal development I sincerely hope Leeds City Council will give great thought to the impact this will have, both on the local residents who have already to contend with an increase in school parking, but also the road safety issues that will increase with a greater volume of traffic on the already over stretched road system.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Richard And Hillary Crooks

Representor No: PRS02726

Representation ID: REP07322 Question Ref: H4

Re: Site 1202: Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth
With reference to the consultation on the above site we wish to make the following observations.

We do not support development of the site as it is a valuable area of green open space and part of the Urban Green Corridor which protects against the spread of suburbia. The site has benefited from Green Belt protection for many years which indicates its significance as an important area of green space. Last week Nick Boles, the planning minister, re-affirmed that under National Planning Policy green-belt boundaries should only be revised in exceptional circumstances.

The traffic problems associated with the A65 and Broadway are well known and further largescale residential development will stretch the already inadequate and under-invested infrastructure in this area to breaking point. By this we mean not only roads but also school and medical provision. The consequences of the recently approved housing development of the former Clariant site on Calverley Lane are already feared by residents as we believe the highway's implications have been grossly under-estimated and will put further pressure on the A65/Broadway and Rodley roundabouts. Residents already experience traffic backing up along Calverley Lane and down Victoria Mount at busy times as motorists attempt to bypass the tailbacks along New Road Side by 'rat-running' through the Victoria's. When this coincides with parents taking children to Newlaithes School the situation presents serious road safety issues.

In conclusion we confirm we do not support the development of any more important green open spaces in this part of Horsforth which will impact on the already overstretched infrastructure. None of the present local roads will accommodate the volume of traffic generated by potentially 185 additional dwellings. We therefore ask that you take our views into consideration and help protect our local community and its immediate environment for the benefit and enjoyment of future generations.

Representation ID: REP05183 Question Ref: H4

With reference to the consultation on the above site we wish to make the following observations.

We do not support development of the site as it is a valuable area of green open space and part of the Urban Green Corridor which protects against the spread of suburbia. The site has benefited from Green Belt protection for many years which indicates its significance as an important area of green space. Last week Nick Boles, the planning minister, re-affirmed that under National Planning Policy green-belt boundaries should only be revised in exceptional circumstances.

The traffic problems associated with the A65 and Broadway are well known and further largescale residential development will stretch the already inadequate and under-invested infrastructure in this area to breaking point. By this we mean not only roads but also school and medical provision. The consequences of the recently approved housing development of the former Clariant site on Calverley Lane are already feared by residents as we believe the highway's implications have been grossly under-estimated and will put further pressure on the A65/Broadway and Rodley roundabouts. Residents already experience traffic backing up along Calverley Lane and down Victoria Mount at busy times as motorists attempt to bypass the tailbacks along New Road Side by 'rat-running' through the Victoria's. When this coincides with parents taking children to Newlaithes School the situation presents serious road safety issues.

In conclusion we confirm we do not support the development of any more important green open spaces in this part of Horsforth which will impact on the already overstretched infrastructure. None of the present local roads will accommodate the volume of traffic generated by potentially 185 additional dwellings. We therefore ask that you take our views into consideration and help protect our local community and its immediate environment for the benefit and enjoyment of future generations.

Name: Judith Ann Friskney

Representor No: PRS02950

Representation ID: REP05444 Question Ref: H4

1202 NO its greenfield!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Christopher John Friskney

Representor No: PRS02958

Representation ID: REP05519 Question Ref: H4

1202 Amber to Red (Assumed)
NO it's greenfield!

Name: Jonathan Busch

Representor No: PRS03073

Representation ID: REP01969 Question Ref: H2

I disagree with the classification of site 1202 in Horsforth. I believe this site should be reclassified as inappropriate for housing development. As a resident of Victoria Crescent, a street which would inevitably be an access route to the new development, I have grave concerns about the impact of more housing on traffic through the existing estate. The roads are very narrow and with many residents parking kerbside there are already problems of access for larger vehicles - a problem that is well known to bin lorry drivers. Housing built on site 1202 would also be much further from local amenities - there is little chance that residents would choose to walk to their local shops. As the narrow road prohibit access to public transport, there would hence be a significant increase in private vehicle traffic resulting from the development. I believe this increase in traffic would change the character of the existing estate, which is currently a very walkable neighbourhood. I cannot see how this could be compatible with the councils vision of a more sustainable Leeds.

Name: Stephanie Rowson

Representor No: PRS03143

Representation ID: REP00807 Question Ref: H4

Please see previous comment re site 1202. Not able to comment on the others.

Representation ID: REP00807 Question Ref: H5

1202. Traffic congestion and potential impact on local schools that are already massively over subscribed

Representation ID: REP00807 Question Ref: H2

1202 - I am concerned about the impact building on this site will have on traffic congestion, particularly on the roundabout where the A65 meets the ring road. This roundabout is already extremely busy, to the extent that I have to travel through it at 7.20 each morning (to get to my workplace in bingley) despite it only being a 30 minute journey and me not starting work till 8.15. Additional traffic would also impact on the calverley/rodley roundabout, and lead to more congestion in greengates and Shipley. I have already been involved in a collision at the former roundabout where a stressed out mum was trying to navigate the roundabout whilst I was stationary, queuing. I would hate to think how the number of accidents would escalate with even more traffic. For proof, I would encourage any member of your team to visit the area at half 8 during a school morning!

Name: Bradley Stankler

Representor No: PRS03187

Representation ID: REP05943 Question Ref: H4

I write in response to Leeds City Council's proposition to site 185 houses off Victoria Ave, Horsforth.

As a [x] would

like to state our strong opposition to this.

We bought our house solely for the area, being one of the last quieter areas in Leeds where we can raise a family. We payed a high premium for this in the property value and any change in the neighbourhood could strongly affect our housing investment.

The potential housing development is a short term plan and the long term issues have not been considered. The road infrastructure around the area can simply not cope with any additional pressure. The local roads where children can currently be seen playing will be inundated with cars making it a dangerous noisy road.

This is in addition to a loss of a valuable green space where many dog walkers, winter sledgers as well as children playing currently enjoy.

I do not believe any further infrastructure needs such as doctors, schools or sewerage improvements have also been considered.

I beg Leeds council not to destroy one of the last mature community suburbs of Leeds and make it the same mass sprawl identikit areas that much of Leeds has turned into.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Nathan Huntley

Representor No: PRS03240

Representation ID: REP00010 Question Ref: H1

Agree with sites 'designations' in Horsforth area with exception of site 1202 - see below

Representation ID: REP00010 Question Ref: H4

Site 1202 - land off Victoria MountThe site has no frontage with teh adopted Highway. The route via Victoria Crescent is past a very bust primary school with a private narrow access road. All access would have to be via site 731 as set out in the site evaluation limiting development.Notwithstanding the above the whole site must remain as greenbelt as it provides a buffer to teh Ri9ng Road and railway line and a green luing for the Newlaihthes / Victorias which is a densely populated area. Newlaihthes Primary has recently been extended to cater for existing populations and such a large development immediately adjacent would place large pressures on the school (and others ih the area). The site is crossed by formal and informal footpaths which link to the surrounding greenspaces and woodland and would be a big loss to the local area.

Representation ID: REP00010 Question Ref: H9

Site 1202 - see reasons above

Name: Linda Baker

Representor No: PRS03377

Representation ID: REP01053 Question Ref: H2

The proposed site behind my home on Victoria mount in Horsforth

Name: Catherine Walker

Representor No: PRS03509

Representation ID: REP00817 Question Ref: H4

LAND OFF VICTORIA AVENUE Ref: 1202This is Green Belt Land and although I appreciate the GREEN corridor between the proposed build and the present houses, I do not agree that this site is suitable because it would produce far more many problems than we have at present. Access mainly would be a major issue.We are inundated with vehicles at the present time, which has worsened by the changing of Newlaihthes Junior School to an infant and junior intake, and the 'Rat Run' which takes place daily by drivers from New Road Side, up Victoria Mount to Calverley Lane to join the roundabout at the Ring Road to avoid traffic queues. We are totally vehicle saturated.This area has a wide variety of wildlife. There are many species of birds,small mammals and bats. Bats are a protected species!!! There is/was a site of special scientific interest up by the college - a pond with rare insects which should not be disturbed.We do not have the infrastructure to support 185 proposed properties, schools, doctors,dentists,roads etc.

Representation ID: REP00817 Question Ref: H9

Yes. LAND OFF VICTORIA AVENUE. Ref: 1202For reasons see H4

Name: Lynne Kitchingman

Representor No: PRS03562

Representation ID: REP01316 Question Ref: H1

Already over crowded with housing and infrastructure cannot take any more traffic. Schools, Drs,Dentist and pre schools are all full to capacity.

Representation ID: REP01316 Question Ref: H4

1016, 1202,1062Congestion is up to capacity and the schools and pre schools are all full. It is almost impossible to get round the ring roag on a morning and evening and to increase the traffic by any more would cause compelete grid lock and potential more accidents. It would also make it more dangerous for the exixting children to walk to school.

Representation ID: REP01316 Question Ref: H9

1202,1016the cpacity of the roads is not sufficient and the schools etc are all full.

Representation ID: REP01316 Question Ref: H2

1202,731, 235, 4057, 1062, 1016

Name: Brigid James-murray

Representor No: PRS03575

Representation ID: REP01466 Question Ref: H2

1202 - the local infrastructure will not support further housing - especially the roads.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Paul Ainley

Representor No: PRS03624

Representation ID: REP00086 Question Ref: H4

Newlaithes Field (1202) categorization stands out from the other sites labeled as possible housing sites. I think the site should be classed as "not suitable" or sieved out for the following reasons: it is green field site, complete with historic drystone walls. Development would perpetuate "urban creep" and infilling. It supports a wide range of wildlife, including badgers, bats, deer and red kites (not nesting to my knowledge but often seen hunting over the fields). Newlaithes Field is used by dog walkers, runners and children for recreational use. Newlaithes Field featured on the list of "those sites considered by respondents to be least suitable for development" in the Horsforth Town Council Consultation.

Representation ID: REP00086 Question Ref: H9

Newlaithes Field 1202; reasons are: it is green field site, complete with historic drystone walls. Development would perpetuate "urban creep" and infilling. It supports a wide range of wildlife, including badgers, bats, deer and red kites (not nesting to my knowledge but often seen hunting over the fields). Newlaithes Field is used by dog walkers, runners and children for recreational use. Newlaithes Field featured on the list of "those sites considered by respondents to be least suitable for development" in the Horsforth Town Council Consultation.

Name: James Robinson

Representor No: PRS03748

Representation ID: REP00077 Question Ref: H4

ref 1202.1)This site is opposite Newlaithes school and is used by the children for playing football and general open air play. This area is a bit too far away from hall park to be reached by small children so the open space is vital for healthy open air activity.2) The Victoria area has narrow road and building more houses will add to congestion.3) Horsforth High school is oversubscribed,if more houses are built more scholl places need providing.

Representation ID: REP00077 Question Ref: H5

ref 1202.1)This site is opposite Newlaithes school and is used by the children for playing football and general open air play. This area is a bit too far away from hall park to be reached by small children so the open space is vital for healthy open air activity.2) The Victoria area has narrow road and building more houses will add to congestion.3) Horsforth High school is oversubscribed,if more houses are built more scholl places need providing.

Name: Jocelyn Baldwin

Representor No: PRS03769

Representation ID: REP01453 Question Ref: H5

1202: land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth I believe that building 185 properties on this site will put an unacceptable strain on the local roads and infrastructure. The roads in the Victorias are narrow with many parked cars, and are already extremely congested at certain times of day, particularly around Newlaithes school and Park Lane College. I think that an increase in traffic in this area where there are many young children would have serious safety implications, as well as causing even more inconvenience to local residents. In addition I think that the current provision of local services would be unable to cope with so many extra people. This site is currently a greenfield site and I believe that its loss will have a negative effect on the natural environment with loss of plants and wildlife habitats.

Name: Simon Forster

Representor No: PRS03882

Representation ID: REP00089 Question Ref: H4

Site 1202Clariant development over the ringroad and kirkstall forge development and adjoining smaller development will push the infrastructure of this area past its limits. There will be no primary or high school places; increased traffic; no allocation for doctors, dentist etc. The area cannot sustain all these developments. This area is already a traffic bottleneck and these further increase would place too much further demand on what is already a choked system. The 2 local schools have already been expanded but the real problem is with high schools. Horsforth school is massively over subscribed already, and an extra several hundered family homes will make the situation worse. The council should look at areas not so desirable to developers and not just prime land when planning for the future.

Representation ID: REP00089 Question Ref: H5

Site 1202Clariant development over the ringroad and kirkstall forge development and adjoining smaller development will push the infrastructure of this area past its limits. There will be no primary or high school places; increased traffic; no allocation for doctors, dentist etc. The area cannot sustain all these developments. This area is already a traffic bottleneck and these further increase would place too much further demand on what is already a choked system. The 2 local schools have already been expanded but the real problem is with high schools. Horsforth school is massively over subscribed already, and an extra several hundered family homes will make the situation worse. The council should look at areas not so desirable to developers and not just prime land when planning for the future.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Richard Carroll

Representor No: PRS03972

Representation ID: REP00187 Question Ref: H4

There is a reason that the area are amber, This denotes that this area is greenbelt land or that it is unsuitable to build as the infrastructure is not in place to take these extra houses.

Representation ID: REP00187 Question Ref: H5

1202 - This area is unsuitable to build on. There would be very limited access to get to this. There would be no access via the ringroad meaning that the access would be via the Victoria estate. This would have major implications for access. There is already a very high congestion on Horsforth roundabout this would only increase the problems. There are plans for 300 houses on this plot with the potential of 600 cars wishing to leave the estate. This would also be a danger to children going to and from school. Adding 400 houses on the former Clariant site which is on the other side on the ring road. This will lead to major congestion. There would be a major problem on the infrastructure, schooling, NHS I believe that there will not be the places available. The land is rated as green belt and I believe should remain as greenbelt.

Representation ID: REP00187 Question Ref: H11

1202 - Long term. The area will not change and there are enough houses that have been built in the area

Name: Ruth Dent

Representor No: PRS04049

Representation ID: REP01989 Question Ref: H5

1202 NEWLAITHES FIELD THIS IS A POPULAR AREA USED BY LOCAL COMMUNITY FOR DOG WALKING/CHILDREN'S PLAY AND IS THE ONLY LARGE GREEN SPACE AVAILABLE TO THE RESIDENTS OF THE VICTORIAS; THE SITE WOULD FEED TRAFFIC ONTO THE CONGESTED RING ROAD AND A65 WHICH CANNOT COPE AT PRESENT WITH THE VOLUME OF TRAFFIC AND PARTICULARLY WITH THE CLARION SITE DEVELOPMENT OF 200+ HOUSES ALREADY APPROVED THESE ROADS COULD NOT COPE WITH THE TRAFFIC CREATED BY A SITE THIS SIZE TOO. THE LOCAL PRIMARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS ARE ALREADY OVERSUBSCRIBED AND THE POPULATION OF THIS NEW SITE WOULD CREATE MORE OVERCROWDING IN THE LOCAL SCHOOLS.

Representation ID: REP01989 Question Ref: H9

1202

Name: Michael Hirst

Representor No: PRS04065

Representation ID: REP01976 Question Ref: H4

Site ref 1202 (land off Victoria Ave, LS18). I don't think this site should be considered until after the impact of the 2 major developments nearby (Kirkstall Forge and Former Clariant Works) have been felt. Both these will inevitably put more strain on the A65 and the ring road, which already struggle to cope with rush hour traffic. Also, access to this site would be a problem; unless a filter road from the ring road is built, access would have to be via the Victorias, an estate which already sees a heavy volume of traffic, particularly at school pick up/drop off times.

Representation ID: REP01976 Question Ref: H5

Site ref 1202 (land off Victoria Ave, LS18). I don't think this site should be considered until after the impact of the 2 major developments nearby (Kirkstall Forge and Former Clariant Works) have been felt. Both these will inevitably put more strain on the A65 and the ring road, which already struggle to cope with rush hour traffic. Also, access to this site would be a problem; unless a filter road from the ring road is built, access would have to be via the Victorias, an estate which already sees a heavy volume of traffic, particularly at school pick up/drop off times.

Name: Sally Canlin

Representor No: PRS04107

Representation ID: REP01651 Question Ref: H5

Site reference 1202 (land off Victoria Avenue LS18). This site should not even be considered until after the impact of sites 626 (Kirkstall Forge) and 1339 (Former Clariant Works) has been felt, both of which are large sites confirmed to go ahead. It is inevitable that these two sites will have a major impact on local infrastructure - particularly schools, and traffic on the already congested A65 and Horsforth Ring Road. There are also obvious access issues to this particular site - the Victorias estate already struggles to cope with the volume of traffic during the school rush hours, and this traffic will increase again in September as Newlaithes Primary continues to expand.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Sian Virk

Representor No: PRS04175

Representation ID: REP00121 Question Ref: H4

1202 Land off Victoria Avenue LS18. This land is greenbelt land and is much used by the local community. The road access for this development would be directly out to Horsforth roundabout which is where the ring road and the A65 meet. This roundabout is already heavily congested. The primary school located at the bottom of this site has recently been expanded and is full. The traffic congestion around the school has increased massively with its expansion - where would the children living on any new development go to school? Bear in mind that the Clariant site already has planning permission & these children will come to school in Horsforth because the council/Education Leeds shut the primary school in Rodley several years ago! This land forms a small but significant rural oasis for the people living in this area of Horsforth. Its loss would have a huge detrimental effect on the surrounding area. Children from the estate play in these fields without having to cross any major roads - to get to the only park in Horsforth, they have to cross both the A65 and the ring road. The fields are also very popular with dog-walkers.

I feel very strongly that Horsforth is at capacity. If we are forced to accommodate ever more housing developments at the expense of what little greenery remains, we run the risk of losing our character & identity as a town and will become instead part of an urban sprawl spreading up the A65.

Representation ID: REP00121 Question Ref: H5

1202 Land off Victoria Avenue LS18. This land is greenbelt land and is much used by the local community. The road access for this development would be directly out to Horsforth roundabout which is where the ring road and the A65 meet. This roundabout is already heavily congested. The primary school located at the bottom of this site has recently been expanded and is full. The traffic congestion around the school has increased massively with its expansion - where would the children living on any new development go to school? Bear in mind that the Clariant site already has planning permission & these children will come to school in Horsforth because the council/Education Leeds shut the primary school in Rodley several years ago! This land forms a small but significant rural oasis for the people living in this area of Horsforth. Its loss would have a huge detrimental effect on the surrounding area. Children from the estate play in these fields without having to cross any major roads - to get to the only park in Horsforth, they have to cross both the A65 and the ring road. The fields are also very popular with dog-walkers.

I feel very strongly that Horsforth is at capacity. If we are forced to accommodate ever more housing developments at the expense of what little greenery remains, we run the risk of losing our character & identity as a town and will become instead part of an urban sprawl spreading up the A65.

Representation ID: REP00121 Question Ref: H9

1202 Land off Victoria Avenue see H4

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Sue McCreath

Representor No: PRS04190

Representation ID: REP00960 Question Ref: H4

Site ref: 1202 Newlaithes Fields: identified as suitable for 185 houses
Reasons to object against development of site 1202:
Impact on Greenbelt
The site is an important open space, which provides the local community with recreational space, and is the only open space that residents/children can reach without crossing the busy highways.
Impact on Greenfield
The site has not been developed before and it is important to retain it as an open space due to the reasons given above. It will seriously impact upon the quality of life of the residents in the Victorias and surrounding area.
Impact on important landscape features of habitats
This site borders a developed residential area and as such is important in order to retain the balance of open green space and developed areas. More green space is needed, not more houses in this particular part of Horsforth.
Proximity to Services
There will not be enough local school places to support the development. Horsforth junior schools are already approaching maximum capacity, and the secondary schools are already over-subscribed. In addition to this there will be no pre-school nursery places available from September 2013, nor are there out of school places available. Neither are there enough GP or dental surgeries in the area to support such an increase in population. The only sports facilities in the area are Holt Park, Cookridge, or Hall Park.

Proximity to highways and transport infrastructure:
The area is unsuitable for further development due to the amount of traffic on the main A roads (A65 and outer ring road). The problem is particularly acute at Horsforth due to the boundaries of the river and canal channelling the traffic onto the 2 roads previously mentioned. Although there is a bus route on the A65, this is hardly fit for purpose now, with journey times into and out of Leeds at peak travel time still taking 1 hr, which is ridiculous for a 5 mile journey. There is no train station at this side of Horsforth for residents to use. Opportunity for placemaking
This site should not be developed as to develop it would detract from the area, not add to it. The local residents would have to bear an increase in local residential traffic along narrow parked up streets, which are already congested, increase travel time to work, pressure on local services such as schools, surgeries, local amenities etc.

Representation ID: REP00960 Question Ref: H11

No sites should be developed in the short medium or long term, but particularly not site 1202 Newlaithes Fields due to the reasons given in answer to above questions.

Representation ID: REP00960 Question Ref: General comment

The developments in Horsforth and particularly site 1202 cannot be viewed in isolation, they must be considered in the light of the impact of developments already given planning permission along the A65/outer ring road corridor, (1339,3016,626,376) due to the problems with the local geography (river and canal) and lack of adequate public transport in the area and the problems that these factors will cause. For all the reasons stated in the answers above : lack of preschool places in Horsforth, lack of school (junior and high) places in Horsforth, pressure on local services eg GP and dental practices, and also local amenities eg parks, play areas and leisure centres. I would also like to point out that the publicity for the consultation sessions could have been greatly improved by the use of advertising in local supermarkets and the local free papers (eg Wharfe Valley Times). I feel that the City Council should consider more practical avenues to reach the local communities it is saying it is trying to reach, One-stop shops and local libraries are all very well, but only a limited percentage of the population will use these facilities, whereas the free papers are delivered to every home in Horsforth, and the local supermarket cannot be overlooked. I would also like to make the point that planning should be longer term than it has been up to now to avoid unnecessary local junior school closures when a population boom is around the corner and the different council departments – planning, education, highways etc should have a co-ordinated and integrated plan.

Name: Jason Ross

Representor No: PRS04324

Representation ID: REP00079 Question Ref: H1

all green sites considered in horsforth are currently unused or require development/regeneration. Using these sites will prevent the need to use more unsuitable areas such as site 1202 & 731 which are untenable due to traffic and safety issues and the effect on the local school.

Representation ID: REP00079 Question Ref: H4

for example site 1202. totally unsuitable as it would have to be combined with site 731 to form a loop road which would take all traffic past the local school and cause numerous safety issues. Both current roads could not support this volume of traffic due their width and build. The areas are also of beauty and support wildlife. There would also be a direct impact to an already stretched local primary school with the addition of a further 200 houses. The school is larger than average already and has no room for extension. Local residents would oppose development ferociously. Not a suitable site!!

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Angela Hepworth

Representor No: PRS04345

Representation ID: REP00084 Question Ref: H4

Site 1202 is completely unsuitable. It is green space providing relief, nature and acting as lungs for the densely built Victorias and Newlaithes estate. I am stunned that planners can even contemplate introducing the additional cars 185 houses would bring into such congested narrow roads. These roads are the routes on foot to school for many children who would be seriously endangered. There is no mention of the new schools that would be required in Horsforth if this site and the Clariant site were developed. To develop this site would sell short both existing residents and those moving into the area as the infrastructure to support expansion does not exist.

Representation ID: REP00084 Question Ref: H5

1202 see previous answer

Representation ID: REP00084 Question Ref: H9

1202 is a field next to a school. It is the very definition of required green space.

Name: Gaby Lees

Representor No: PRS04418

Representation ID: REP00120 Question Ref: H2

119937610331299A6261202for reason see above

Name: Laura Wood

Representor No: PRS04464

Representation ID: REP00118 Question Ref: H9

1202 Newlaithes Fields opposite Newlaithes Primary School should be considered unsuitable for development. This is a very important site for the character of the area and is well used by local children and families. It would also add extra strain on an already busy school and traffic dangers if developed. It should be allocated as a public park.

Representation ID: REP00118 Question Ref: H2

1202 should not be built on. This is the only large open playing area with easy access for local children. It is opposite Newlaithes Primary school and is extremely well used by the children of the local area. It's loss would be a terrible blow to the area.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Audrey Carroll

Representor No: PRS04540

Representation ID: REP00135 Question Ref: H1

Having lived at the same address for the last 40 years I have seen an exponential increase year on year in the number of cars using the Victoria estate roads. Social times have changed and children now live with parents longer and this again has led to many more 2-4 car families in the local area. The proposed development will again increase local traffic and does not take into account the number of cars that use the Victoria estate as a cut around to avoid queues on New Road Side coming out on Victoria mount and closer to the Horsforth roundabout, these new proposed houses will have local traffic issues. I heartily oppose the planned development

Representation ID: REP00135 Question Ref: H4

Having lived at the same address for the last 40 years I have seen an exponential increase year on year in the number of cars using the Victoria estate roads. Social times have changed and children now live with parents longer and this again has led to many more 2-4 car families in the local area. The proposed development will again increase local traffic and does not take into account the number of cars that use the Victoria estate as a cut around to avoid queues on New Road Side coming out on Victoria mount and closer to the Horsforth roundabout, these new proposed houses will have local traffic issues. The increase in this housing will inevitably lead to further issues with streets around Newlaithes school already dangerous for children leaving and arriving at school due to limited safe parking. I heartily oppose the planned development

Representation ID: REP00135 Question Ref: H5

1202 Having lived at the same address for the last 40 years I have seen an exponential increase year on year in the number of cars using the Victoria estate roads. Social times have changed and children now live with parents longer and this again has led to many more 2-4 car families in the local area. The proposed development will again increase local traffic and does not take into account the number of cars that use the Victoria estate as a cut around to avoid queues on New Road Side coming out on Victoria mount and closer to the Horsforth roundabout, these new proposed houses will have local traffic issues. The increase in this housing will inevitably lead to further issues with streets around Newlaithes school already dangerous for children leaving and arriving at school due to limited safe parking. I heartily oppose the planned development

Representation ID: REP00135 Question Ref: H7

Having lived at the same address for the last 40 years I have seen an exponential increase year on year in the number of cars using the Victoria estate roads. Social times have changed and children now live with parents longer and this again has led to many more 2-4 car families in the local area. The proposed development will again increase local traffic and does not take into account the number of cars that use the Victoria estate as a cut around to avoid queues on New Road Side coming out on Victoria mount and closer to the Horsforth roundabout, these new proposed houses will have local traffic issues. The increase in this housing will inevitably lead to further issues with streets around Newlaithes school already dangerous for children leaving and arriving at school due to limited safe parking. I heartily oppose the planned development

Representation ID: REP00135 Question Ref: H9

1202/1016 Having lived at the same address for the last 40 years I have seen an exponential increase year on year in the number of cars using the Victoria estate roads. Social times have changed and children now live with parents longer and this again has led to many more 2-4 car families in the local area. The proposed development will again increase local traffic and does not take into account the number of cars that use the Victoria estate as a cut around to avoid queues on New Road Side coming out on Victoria mount and closer to the Horsforth roundabout, these new proposed houses will have local traffic issues. The increase in this housing will inevitably lead to further issues with streets around Newlaithes school already dangerous for children leaving and arriving at school due to limited safe parking. I heartily oppose the planned development

Name: Stephen Baldwin

Representor No: PRS04701

Representation ID: REP01440 Question Ref: H5

Reference 1202. This location, scheduled for up to 185 properties, is not suitable primarily because of problems with road access, which presumably will need to be via the Victorias and/or Calverley Lane. These streets are narrow, with many parked cars, and adding more traffic would create great problems and delays to movement, especially around the times when children are delivered to and picked up from Horsforth Newlaithes School. The traffic associated with the latter already causes substantial problems of access to houses in the Victorias in the morning and afternoon. In addition, access to the A65 via Calverley Lane is typically busy with Leeds City College traffic at just those times when traffic from the proposed new development would be greatest. I consider that as a result there would be a substantial detrimental impact on safety, particularly for the many school-age children in the area. It would also be difficult to accommodate the increased population in the area as a result of local infrastructure, including school and nursery places, already being at capacity.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Rachal Ross

Representor No: PRS04728

Representation ID: REP01669 Question Ref: H4

some amber sites are not suitable as they are currently used and the local infrastructure can no longer sustain extra homesite 1202 is completely unsuitable due to its close proximity to an already larger than average primary school

Representation ID: REP01669 Question Ref: H5

1202 completely unsuitable as it is close to an already larger than average primary school. Traffic issue also.

Representation ID: REP01669 Question Ref: H9

1202 completely unsuitable for development due to insufficient local infrastructure

Name: Fiona Wharton

Representor No: PRS04731

Representation ID: REP01243 Question Ref: H4

1202 - reasons - large development with access through residential family area. Already hugely congested area around newlaithes primary school with dangerous traffic congestion at school drop off pick up times . Terraced and semi housing on Victoria's and newlaithes roads have limited drive space so most houses have at least 1 car parked on road making these streets often impassible for residents and access is very poor . The horsforth roundabout A65 route is grid locked around rush hour - a situation which will only get worse when the green allocations of kirkstall forge and calverley lane developments take place . Adding even more housing to filter onto this already congested route will cripple the roads . The doctors surgery on new roadside cannot cope with the number of residents in this area and the local primary schools have already expanded so can't take more children . Furthermore the area is highly valued as recreational land which is well used by families . It has a vast diversity of plant and animal life and its destruction would take away this area of natural beauty. Lastly, we fear for the safety of our children by having a greater volume of traffic condensed into a small compact network of streets which are on hills. The hills cause cars to generate more speed!

Name: J Smith

Representor No: PRS04989

Representation ID: REP01903 Question Ref: H3

YesSite reference:1202Reason:We consider that this site is one of the most suitable sites for housing development and should therefore be identified as green. The site is well related to the existing urban area and is close to shops and services, community and leisure facilities, public transport, education and local health facilities. The site's contribution to the purposes of including land within the Green Belt is minimal. Therefore, the removal of the site from the Green Belt and its allocation for housing will not materially affect the efficacy of the Green Belt in this part of the district.The adjoining settlement has capacity for additional housing and the allocation of this site can usefully contribute to meeting the acknowledged housing requirements.Development of this site would constitute sustainable development with no significant adverse impact. The site is available as soon as required, is developable without any insurmountable physical constraints to development, and is deliverable in the short term.Further more detailed justification will be provided in due course.

Representation ID: REP01903 Question Ref: H4

Site reference:1202Reason:Whilst we agree that this site has potential for housing development, we also consider that it is one of the most suitable sites for housing development, and therefore should be identified as green.

Representation ID: REP01903 Question Ref: H5

Site reference:1202Reason:It is considered that this site is one of the most suitable sites for housing development and should be identified as green. The site is well related to the existing urban area and is close to shops and services, community and leisure facilities, public transport, education and local health facilities. The site's contribution to the purposes of including land within the Green Belt is minimal. Therefore, the removal of the site from the Green Belt and its allocation for housing will not materially affect the efficacy of the Green Belt in this part of the district.The adjoining settlement has capacity for additional housing and the allocation of this site can usefully contribute to meeting the acknowledged housing requirements.Development of this site would constitute sustainable development with no significant adverse impact. The site is available as soon as required, is developable without any insurmountable physical constraints to development, and is deliverable in the short term.Further more detailed justification will be provided in due course.

Representation ID: REP01903 Question Ref: H11

1202 Short

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: J Hyland

Representor No: PRS04991

Representation ID: REP05893 Question Ref: H4

I wish to register my opposition to the proposed development of land (1202: Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth) for a number of significant reasons:

The land at the former Clariant site on Calverley Lane in Horsforth is only a few hundred yards from this proposed site and is already under development for several hundred new homes.

There are already insufficient school places for children in Horsforth; both at primary and secondary level. The Clariant site alone will compound this issue dramatically. The proposed new 185 houses in this development will only make the situation worse, to such an extent that children may not be allocated places at Horsforth schools. Horsforth will require many more school places either by extension of existing schools or the building of new schools. This does not seem to have been taken into consideration.

The road congestion and pollution problem in Horsforth is already unmanageable. The roundabout at the junction of the A65 and A6120 is gridlocked most of the day and will not be able to cope with the extra traffic from the Clariant development never mind this proposed development.

Access and parking to the new site will likely be through the Victoria estate making the roads much busier and therefore far less safe for the many children in the area.

Name: Vanessa Corby

Representor No: PRS05164

Representation ID: REP05743 Question Ref: H4

1202

I am writing to register my strong objection to the proposed development of Land at Victoria Avenue Horsforth (ref: 13/02965/OT).

My own home is located at XX Victoria Mount and this development would have a detrimental, direct effect the quality of my family's life in Horsforth. Victoria Mount is a small street that is already congested during peak times due to Newlaithes School and the road's use as a rat run to avoid congestion along New Road Side leading to the Horsforth roundabout. The number of drivers who exceed the speed limit at these times and the sharp bend that precedes the entrance to Victoria Avenue mean that this street is already unsafe for both road users and pedestrians wishing to cross it. As a mother I am already anxious about my son's safety as he walks to school. In addition the junction of Calverley Lane and New Road Side is already extremely congested, traffic already queues at peak times down onto Victoria Mount and drivers on the A65 regularly disregard the Keep Clear road markings causing considerable hazards.

Newlaithes fields are an important recreational space that makes a significant contribution to the health and well-being of the community in the Victorias. Many of the rear gardens of the houses in this district are small and more and more front gardens are being given over to parking. This has a detrimental effect on wildlife and the ability of children to play freely in the area. Newlaithes Fields provides a crucial open space in which children can use their imagination to create games, as opposed to the sedentary non-creative play of television and computer games, and learn first-hand about the natural environment that they share with local wildlife. The fields are home to foxes, goldfinches and a hunting ground for Red Kites, just some of the less common creatures I have seen in the last few weeks.

It is also worth noting the fields provide crucial drainage for rain water. Like many of the residents on Victoria Mount, my own home is in the path of a former stream that re-emerges at the foot of my back garden during heavy rain causing severe problems for our gardens and would also pose problems for a development of Newlaithes Fields.

I am extremely concerned about the already over stretched NHS services in Horsforth. At present, if I wish to see my GP I must wait at least a week for an appointment. I have to wait 48 hours for

repeat prescriptions and often longer due to mistakes made at the surgery because of the overwhelming number of patients registered at this practice. I moved to Horsforth from York eighteen months ago where I would wait no longer than one day to see my own GP and 24 hours for a repeat prescription. Once I have made an appointment I have often had to wait 20, 30 and even 45 mins after my allotted time with my young son. I was shocked by the poor provision of key NHS services in Horsforth when I moved to the area and cannot imagine how any council development agency can conceive of putting an extra strain on these resources.

It should also be noted that due to cuts to NHS funding the provision of post-natal clinics and classes has already been reduced in Horsforth. There is no longer a Tuesday Baby clinic at Fieldhead surgery and all new mums in the area must now attend Horsforth drop in Clinic on Thursday Mornings. Consequently new mothers often have to wait 30 minutes or more in a crowded waiting room to see a Health Visitor and have their babies weighed, which can prove very stressful to these new parents and their children. Moreover, there are no longer any post-natal classes for new mothers at the Horsforth Children's centre.

These cuts to NHS maternity services have been made despite the recent baby boom as professional women such as myself begin to have children. The rising number of children per household in Horsforth leads me to my final objection that concerns the demand for primary school places at Newlaithes. Unfortunately the very good schools in Horsforth are one of the key reasons for the housing demand here, why house prices remain stable and why developers can make more profit. The council needs to consider, however, that by creating even more demand for particular schools, which are already at or near capacity the only result can be to drive down standards of achievement for students.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Alison Denham

Representor No: PRS05254

Representation ID: REP05848 Question Ref: H4

1202 -[x], and are writing to object to the possibility of building houses on 1202: Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth. This is an open green field, and leads onto open country. It maintains an open aspect from Newlaithes School and this area into the riverside area.

There is no sign of activity yet at Kirkstall where there is a large development planned -and actually we are eagerly awaiting a local train station.

So, why is land which is an open field being considered? This is the first objection - to building on open land.

Equally, apart from the hopeless problems at the roundabout where the A65 crosses Thring-road, we supported the plans to build on the Sandoz site as it is already built on. But this development has not been built yet - so why spoil a greenfield site?

There is a shortage of housing, but the type of housing which is approved in suburban areas - expensive housing in cul-de-sacs with 2 garages, 3 bedrooms etc etc does not solve the problems of the people on the waiting lists.

Following from these two general objections, is an objection which is directly relevant to us. The people in these houses would drive up to New Road Side either via Victoria Mount or Victoria Gardens.

The top of Victoria Gardens is a cramped turn off the A65

which is a major road with buses and heavy goods vehicles. Drivers have to nose out without being able to see the traffic in both directions,

meanwhile avoiding driver turning off New Road Side or approaching from Rose Terrace. It would be easier to

negotiate if the double yellow lines were longer and people were prevented from parking so close to the junction, but that would still not solve the

problem of increased road traffic.

Name: Nicole Mistry

Representor No: PRS05255

Representation ID: REP05850 Question Ref: H4

Dear Council Officers,

I believe the site 1202 (the fields off Victoria Avenue) is unsuitable for housing, because of congestion it would cause with access onto the highways.

Yours sincerely,

[x]

Name: A O'Boyle

Representor No: PRS05256

Representation ID: REP05851 Question Ref: H4

Sent from my iPad: I wish to object to the building of houses off Victoria Avenue. The road infrastructure and schools are already over crowded and will not be able to cope with extra traffic and more pupils. [x]

Name: Kimberley Elwiss

Representor No: PRS05257

Representation ID: REP05852 Question Ref: H4

I am writing this email to put across my feedback and disagreement with the classification of this site.

I disagree strongly with the development of 185 houses on this site, purely because of the amount of traffic which currently exists around the Victoria's and the amount that we will have if the planning goes ahead. [x] Victoria Drive, which is already used as a cut through to New Road Side. The

amount of traffic up to the roundabout is atrocious as it is, it will become much worse with the

Clarion housing that is being developed soon not to mention this development.

I cannot imagine how Newlaithes school will manage, not so much with the amount of children that

will be wanting to join but the amount of cars passing the school and the parking. The school is

already suffering as it is. My son attends and already there have been a few near misses, and parking where they are not supposed to.

The fields around the Victoria's are stated as green belt, my son and his friends play happily on these

fields. I would be gutted to take that away from him, it's the only green land about that's open and safe.

Please please listen to the people who live in Horsforth, I cannot imagine anyone who would be in

favour of this who lives locally, and if so don't have children that they are worried and concerned about, due to traffic etc...

I never would normally respond so passionately about anything like this, I'm all for progression and

change, but I think it would be very detrimental to the residents who live in Horsforth and nearby.

Kind Regards,

[x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Duncan Barr

Representor No: PRS05259

Representation ID: REP05853 Question Ref: H4

Please accept this email as my objection and opposition to any development of site 1202 (field off Victoria Avenue). This is currently a beautiful piece of green space, used by dog-walkers and frequented by wild-life (I saw deer there recently). It is a wholly inappropriate site to develop, when there are so many unoccupied brown-field sites in the vicinity of a few miles. There would also be massive additional problems with traffic access through "the Victorias" and with the A65 and Ring Road roundabout already under huge strain from the volume of traffic, passing through.

Finally I believe that Horsforth schools are already oversubscribed and so new housing developments would only add to this problem.

Think again.

Yours faithfully

[x]

Name: Nicholas Page

Representor No: PRS05260

Representation ID: REP05854 Question Ref: H4

Dear Leeds City Council

I am writing today to disagree with the building of 185 houses on site 1202.

- The access to this area is limited to say the least
- The traffic flow would be increased significantly, a danger as so many young children live on Victoria Avenue
- Newliathes Primary would not be able to receive the increased demand in numbers
- As houses have already been approved on site 731 – shouldn't another site be considered? Why should the residents of Victoria Avenue suffer all the inconveniences of such a major build on top of the 15 houses already agreed?

Kind regards

[x]

Name: Alison Gallant

Representor No: PRS05261

Representation ID: REP05855 Question Ref: H4

I would like to express my disagreement with the proposed development of 185 properties on the above site. My reasons are as follows :

The traffic congestion around New Road Side/Ring Road is already bad enough at peak times and if you add a possible 370 more cars (2 per household) each day coming and going this would only aggravate the problem.

It would appear only one access road is planned (Victoria Avenue) which again means a high rise in the amount of traffic within the "Victoria" development where there is an abundance of children who play out and there would be the added risk of accidents happening.

Access is also past a primary school and pre-school which adds additional cause for concern re health and safety of the children and their guardians coming and going from the schools.

Horsforth schools (both primary and secondary) are already over-subscribed and to build on such a large scale would only cause further issues in the future.

I believe there to be more suitable "smaller" pockets which could be utilised for additional housing within the Horsforth urban district to help protect the green sites around the perimeter of Horsforth.

I hope my views are considered when weighing up the pros and cons for this particular development

Regards

[x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Julia Barr

Representor No: PRS05262

Representation ID: REP05856 Question Ref: H4

I would like to register with the council, my view that the above named site is unsuitable for housing development.

Having lived in the vicinity for twenty-five years I do not see a viable option for access for the proposed development on this site. It seems that the only way into the site must involve routing all the site's traffic past a busy primary school, already subject to roadside/unsafe parking during school drop-off and pick-up times. With more cars barreling past, fewer parents will be willing for their children to walk to and from school, making for even more cars and congestion.

From there, the traffic would be forced through residential areas. These roads are not highways suited to the increases in traffic such development would require. They are narrow streets designed for local access at a time when there were fewer cars on the road. The additional traffic generated by another 185 homes in the area would exacerbate existing issues adding congestion for road users along with the dangers such frustrations tend to cause. The change in traffic levels would transform the character of what is primarily a pedestrian-friendly residential neighbourhood.

In addition to traffic unsuitability, 1202 is currently a haven for wildlife and a valuable green space, used extensively for dog-walking, picnics, play, and appreciation of the countryside, which seems to be disappearing around us at an ever-increasing rate. These facilities are necessary in a harmonious community and worth preserving for everyone. There are other issues surrounding this proposal, such as questions about where occupants of such an estate go to school, obtain access to medical care, etc., For these reasons, it is my belief that 1202: Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth, is land unsuitable for housing development.

Regards,
[x]

Name: Catherine Leeming

Representor No: PRS05263

Representation ID: REP05857 Question Ref: H4

To whom it may concern,
I am a resident of Oaklea [x] Newlay Grove and I disagree with the classification for 1202 as I think it would be unsuitable for housing. It is right next to the local school which already causes many problems due to heavy traffic flow and having an extra 185 houses there would not help this. It would also mean local dog walkers would have no where to go. I think it's ridiculous it's even being considered.

Thank you for your time.
Yours Faithfully,
[x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Kristy Nicholson

Representor No: PRS05264

Representation ID: REP05859 Question Ref: H4

I strongly object to the proposed development of 185 houses on Newlathes Fields. The points I would like to raise are:

1. Child Safety – The sheer number of additional vehicles on the roads (easily 2 per household = circa 370) near Newlathes School would present a significantly increased risk to pupils and also children out of school hours.

2. Roads –All the roads leading to Newlathes are extremely narrow. Having recently moved to Victoria Close, it has taken a while to get used to this especially as many cars are parked on the road, adding another 370 vehicles to this road network does not make sense.

3. Services – I understand that there are no proposals for additional services such as doctors or schools. Again, having moved to the Horsforth area 6 months ago, it is obvious that the local surgery (Fieldhead) is already over capacity and to add circa 700 people would have a negative impact on the access and quality of care available to the current and proposed residents of the Victoria's/Newlathes.

4. Quality of Life – I looked at the fields and imagined what the development would look like. The thoughts that came to me were "where would my children play with their friends?", "where would I walk my dog?", "where would my elderly neighbours walk to when they want some fresh air and scenery?"

Thank you for taking the time to read this email, should any points I have made require any clarification, please contact on the numbers below. I would be grateful if you can keep informed of any developments regarding the proposed development.

Yours faithfully

Regards

[x]

Name: Louise Drysdale

Representor No: PRS05265

Representation ID: REP05861 Question Ref: H4

I live on Victoria Walk and would just like to say I really, really disagree with the potential of there being 185 properties built on site 1202. My daughter goes to Newlathes school and the traffic there on a morning is kaos and Horsforth roundabout is a joke at rush hr traffic!

There seems to be a lot of proposals for new builds in and around Horsforth BUT there are never any new schools or doctors built to accommodate this or improvement of roads or Horsforth roundabout.

Regards

[x]

Name: Greg Farnhill

Representor No: PRS05266

Representation ID: REP05862 Question Ref: H4

To whom it may concern.

I am writing to air my concerns / object about the potential of 185 properties been built "1202: Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth"

A lot of houses are already been built in an area of Horsforth which borders with Rodley / Calverley.

If the houses in question are built there would be a detrimental effect on the road infrastructure, as in most cases it's two cars to each house.

I don't believe the schools could cope with the potential influx of children and I also believe Horsforth town centre would not be able to cope. There would be a terrible strain across the board.

I have heard an option for the house to have a Rodley postcode, but again, I really don't see how that would work. The houses would be in Horsforth!!!!

Yours Sincerely

[x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Kate Siddall

Representor No: PRS05268

Representation ID: REP05863 Question Ref: H4

We are concerned about the classification of site 1202 as being suitable for 185 properties. Our concerns are:

1. The Horsforth schools are already full. Will the developers pay for school expansions?
2. 185 houses means 370 cars. Access to the A65 is already difficult, and no new access is possible.
3. Water pressure in the Victorias is poor. Will the supply be reinforced in any way?
4. Can the local sewage treatment plant cope with more households?

Yours faithfully

[x]

Name: Myles Ritson

Representor No: PRS05269

Representation ID: REP05865 Question Ref: H4

This is to register our concern over classification of site 1202 for residential development on 2 grounds 1. Loss of rare open space amenity close to school 2. Increased traffic down a road which already creates issues for children walking to Newlaithes and Froebelian schools - traffic speeds, path often obscured by overhanging trees, path in places narrow and in poor repair Yours sincerely [x]

Name: Roger Adams

Representor No: PRS05270

Representation ID: REP05867 Question Ref: H4

We consider this site to be un suitable for housing due to the following:-

Newlaithes School has recently been enlarged but all the on-site parking has been allocated to staff. This results in heavy on-street parking on Victoria Crescent, Victoria Mount, the top of Victoria Grove and the end of Newlaithes Road at the start and end of each school day. More importantly, school functions at weekends and after school result in parking that blocks these areas for much longer periods.

Assuming that site 1202 will have access roads via site 731 onto Victoria Mount and/or directly onto Victoria Crescent near the school then it is not hard to envisage the problems at both exits.

Cars exiting at the top of Victoria Mount will have to join the A65 traffic via Calverley Lane - a nightmare already at the beginning and end of the day being so near the roundabout.

Cars exiting near the school will have to contend with the school parking and the school foot traffic. This will put childrens lives at risk and make the present situation even worse than it is now. It is amazing that there has not been an accident already with the clash of school and local estate traffic on that corner/ brow of the hill.

If double yellow lines were used to drive the school traffic into the estate the very positive relationship between the local residents and the school would certainly suffer and hopefully would not be considered in the long term interest of the school and the neighbourhood.

Name: Mark Harris

Representor No: PRS05273

Representation ID: REP05870 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to express my concern about the proposal to classify this land as fit for housing. This area is known as Newlaithes Fields for a very good reason – it is a green field site that contributes to the local environment by providing recreational space. Green spaces also act as the 'lungs of the city' to use an old, but still valid, term. They are vital for the well-being and health of the community, people chose to live in Horsforth and other areas outside the City Centre for this very reason. They contribute to the quality of life for the community, by definition you cannot quantitate that benefit.

There is a second issue. Before even contemplating building on green fields we must consider all options for brown field sites. There are many – Kirkstall Forge, the Clariant site, West Park Centre which is rapidly falling into disrepair, and many more I am sure. Additionally before building more houses what about all the accommodation that stands empty? There are three 3-bedroom terraces behind our house that have been empty for months – again this must be the tip of the iceberg. Once we lose the green fields we will never get them back and our society and environment will be poorer as a result.

I acknowledge that the city will develop and grow over the next 15 years and your plan must produce a strategy to manage that process. But I strongly believe that a city without its green spaces is not an place that the citizens of Leeds will continue to be proud of and wish to live in.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Barbara Ellul

Representor No: PRS05276

Representation ID: REP05871 Question Ref: H4

I believe this site is unsuitable for housing.

Name: Catherine Gallifant

Representor No: PRS05277

Representation ID: REP05872 Question Ref: H4

Reasons are as follows:

1. The proposal takes away the last remaining grassed area on the estate which is extensively used by children for outdoor games.
 2. The main artery "New Road Side" is already congested with tail backs from the ring road roundabout constantly experienced.
 3. There is no highway access to the proposed development and the likely routes taken by the residents would be via Victoria Mount & Victoria Drive. These are just adequate to serve the existing residents with regards to safety for children and parking. Issues are currently being experienced with access of the local bus service which regularly has difficulties manoeuvring past parked cars.
 4. My understanding is that the local schools are at saturation point already and unable to accommodate the possible additional pupils which may be generated from this proposal.
-

Name: Elaine Fairclough

Representor No: PRS05278

Representation ID: REP05875 Question Ref: H4

With reference to the above proposed development, we wish to register the following concerns. Access to the site may be through Victoria Avenue, Victoria Mount and Victoria Crescent all of which are unsuitable for the increased numbers of cars and larger service vehicles that would be necessary especially since two of these roads lead directly to Newlaithes School. These roads are already congested with on street residents parking on both sides of the road. Travelling in and out of the estate is already difficult at school times with the number of people using cars to deliver and pick up children to and from school, added to which is the amount of traffic using Calverley Lane from the Horsforth College making it difficult to get onto New Road Side. This exit is already a potential accident zone due to the parking on New Road Side in front of the Kingdom Hall creating blind spots of traffic coming up the main road out of Leeds. It also poses potentially grave difficulties for mums and children who wish to walk to school. The increased risk to children who wish to travel to and from school alone should not be underestimated.

Can the infrastructure of the area cope with another 185 houses on top of the development at Clariant works. Where are the extra school places and doctors surgeries going to come from. The areas resources of some of these facilities is already stretched or poor.

Whilst we agree with the Clariant and Kirkstall Forge developments because they are brown field sites, 1202 is green belt and should be exempt from any building.

[x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Jean Bright

Representor No: PRS05280

Representation ID: REP05877 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to register my objections to the above land being used for further housing development. In Horsforth we are currently being inundated with plans for

development with absolutely no regard for the lack of school places, doctors, or the policing of these areas and, particularly, the excessive volume of traffic on the

Roundabout especially is a nightmare and this is before the Sandoz development is finished. It is frightening that Leeds City Council are pushing ahead with these projects regardless of the local community having concerns about these issues.

The land in question is not huge and the prospect of 185 houses being built there is beyond belief - I take it these are all to be "little boxes" with absolutely no character whatsoever, it doesn't matter what they look like "let's build 185 houses....", ridiculous. Menston village, Guiseley, Morley to name but a few have been spoilt by the relentless building of poor quality homes. By the way, who is going to buy these properties? Young people with a deposit to buy are very few and far between, most of them choosing to rent.

My granddaughter loves to go walking on this piece of land looking for wild flowers, trees etc., playing hide and seek and searching for The Gruffalo. Many people go for short walks (safely) through that area (not everyone can or wants to travel miles to enjoy the environment). Dog walkers use the area regularly and I must say I have never seen dog excrement anywhere. Children are usually playing on the grassy area opposite the school - not too far from their homes - boys having a game of football etc. Green belt land should only be used for building in exceptional circumstances and I for one do not feel that this land meets that criteria.

We need green areas, I can currently look across the road and see green fields, trees and cattle through my bedroom window which gives me a real "feel good" feeling. It is about time that local people were heard and not ignored after all we are the ones with the votes.

Name: Mark Fletcher

Representor No: PRS05281

Representation ID: REP05879 Question Ref: H4

This is to register our objection to the development of Site 1202. This is for three main reasons. Firstly the destruction of prime green land that adds a sense of well being and a breathing space for the area. Once this land is used it can never be restored.

Secondly for access. Newlaithes road is primarily a one way road and the through traffic from Newlaithes School and the Frobelian school ensures it is busy enough at school times. The entrance to Newlay Lane is very congested. We have on several occasions have been forced to reverse out onto the A65 to allow flowing of traffic through the Newlay Lane and New Road Side turn-off. It is a matter of time before there is a serious accident/fatality in this area. Victoria Gardens is itself congested with limited parking space and road congestion. This proposed housing development will add to existing congestion. Thirdly, what impact would 185 homes have on the local school intake requirements? Newlaithes and Featherbank schools have already been expanded recently to accommodate an increased number of child places. How would the need for increasing numbers of school places be met? If this site is really to be considered all these issues need to have been thought about and dealt with beforehand and ideally not considered at all.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Martin Highes

Representor No: PRS05283

Representation ID: REP05880 Question Ref: H4

I write in support of local residents who are objecting to the inclusion of site 1202 Newlaithes fields as an amber coded site within the proposed Site Allocations Document (SAD).

Local residents are clearly upset to think that this local green space might be built on in future, having been coded amber and noted to have a potential of accommodating 185 houses.

I am a Horsforth Town Councillor and am responsible for Town Council business within the Victoria ward, in which this site lies. I am also chairman of Horsforth Town Council's Community and Environment committee, and so take an interest in the environmental impact of developing this site.

I, amongst many others (see the front page of Wharfedale Valley Times Thursday 25th July 2013) are very concerned of the loss of amenity to Victoria ward residents, and

others in Horsforth, who use this land for their recreation. The land is agricultural, and is crossed by paths leading through and around the site, which bears up against woodland, which itself contains its own network of paths e.g. Swain Wood.

Newlaithes field should be coded red in the SAD and protected as green space within the local Neighbourhood Plan, leaving an important local green space for our residents

Name: Kate Robinson

Representor No: PRS05285

Representation ID: REP05883 Question Ref: H4

I am formally objecting to the plans to build houses on 1202: Land off Victoria Avenue Horsforth. This would destroy the precious green space in the area that makes Horsforth so nice and put far too much extra pressure on the already too busy traffic infrastructure in this area.

Name: Kim Harrison

Representor No: PRS05286

Representation ID: REP05884 Question Ref: H4

I would like to formally oppose the proposal for potential new housing on the fields off Victoria Avenue in Horsforth.

As a local resident, I don't believe that the number of proposed houses on this area is viable and believe it would have a detrimental affect on the local community.

The roads leading to the proposed site are small and the amount of new traffic it would generate would not only cause them to become more damaged than they already are, but would also increase the likelihood of accidents for people, particularly for children, already living in the area.

On top of this, the places for local schools is in high demand as it is and adding to this demand without providing further facilities would be unfair on the people already living in the area.

There is only one local doctors surgery, which already has problems coping with the number of patients and this problem would only be increased if this development were to go ahead.

Finally, and no less important in my eyes, is the fact that this space is currently used for recreation. There are increasingly less and less green spaces where people can take their children to play or to exercise dogs, and undertaking developments on the few sites we have left is destroying the welfare of the residents currently living in these areas as well as having a detrimental affect on the sense of community.

I hope that you will take my opinions, and those of the numerous other local residents who oppose this development, into account.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Gary Dawson

Representor No: PRS05287

Representation ID: REP05887 Question Ref: H4

I would like to object against proposed housing development at site 1202 land off Victoria Avenue Horsforth.

As residents of [x], we feel that the roads around our estate are already busy enough and dangerous, given the recent expansion of Newlathes school.

The roads are narrow with parked cars on both sides. They are used as short cuts for those queuing on the A65. To add an extra 185 houses would make the problems even worse.

I believe the roads would be unsafe for our children.

This would also affect the already over busy Horsforth roundabout.

The local infrastructure in Horsforth has not been changed or improved in any way over the last 10 years.

This has resulted in overcrowded roads, shops, car parks, doctors, leisure facilities.

Horsforth should be declared a FULL and all new building rejected.

Name: Jane Richardson

Representor No: PRS05290

Representation ID: REP05889 Question Ref: H4

I wish to oppose the potential new housing on the fields off Victoria avenue, site 1202. The impact the heavily increased traffic would bring would be dangerous to pedestrians and vehicles alike. I live at 9 Victoria mount and between 8.30am - 9am and between 3.15 - 3.45 Victoria mount. Victoria crescent especially are very congested and I think dangerous, my neighbour used to arrive home from work at 3.30pm and she now stays at work for a while longer as driving up Victoria mount is a nightmare at that time. Any plans that involve potentially any increase in traffic should be scrapped. The top of Victoria mount around the junction of Victoria avenue is also a dangerous junction, the double bend is already a nightmare and any increase in traffic using that and the volume of traffic on calverly lane makes this plan very unacceptable to me. Thank you for asking for our opinions, I hope that this plan will be opposed.

Name: Bob Gaunt

Representor No: PRS05291

Representation ID: REP05890 Question Ref: H4

I write to voice my concerns regarding the proposal for the potential housing development at site 1202 land off Victoria Avenue. I feel this site is unsuitable for housing on several fronts. Firstly, the fields help shield the Victorias from the noise and pollution of the ring road. Secondly, the road network around the Victorias/new Road Side and the ring road are already under great strain due to the sheer volume of traffic. Thirdly, there is already a huge development under way at the old Clariant site which will impact on the services of Horsforth eg schools and Doctors and I read today that we tax payers are having to bail out the massive development on Kirkstall Road to keep it on track. This is another housing development which will fall into the catchment area for some Horsforth schools. Horsforth appears to be turning into one large conurbation of Leeds where developers can come and line their pockets at the expense of the community.

I don't believe this development can for the benefit of anyone except the developer and land owner whom have greed as a goal.

Name: Sharon Davenport

Representor No: PRS05292

Representation ID: REP05891 Question Ref: H4

I believe this site to be unsuitable for housing.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Mark Fearnley

Representor No: PRS05293

Representation ID: REP05895 Question Ref: H4

I wish to register my opposition to the proposed development of land (1202: Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth) for a number of significant reasons:

The land at the former Clariant site on Calverley Lane in Horsforth is only a few hundred yards from this proposed site and is already under development for several hundred new homes.

There are already insufficient school places for children in Horsforth; both at primary and secondary level. The Clariant site alone will compound this issue dramatically. The proposed new 185 houses in this development will only make the situation worse, to such an extent that children may not be allocated places at Horsforth schools. Horsforth will require many more school places either by extension of existing schools or the building of new schools. This does not seem to have been taken into consideration.

The road congestion and pollution problem in Horsforth is already unmanageable. The roundabout at the junction of the A65 and A6120 is gridlocked most of the day and will not be able to cope with the extra traffic from the Clariant development never mind this proposed development.

Access and parking to the new site will likely be through the Victoria estate making the roads much busier and therefore far less safe for the many children in the area.

Name: Jean Mann

Representor No: PRS05295

Representation ID: REP05897 Question Ref: H4

We wish to object to the proposals to build 185 houses on this land. The area is already too built up and all the local schools are full. The extra traffic would cause immense problems on an already congested stretch of Ring Road.

This scheme is totally unsustainable.

Name: James Crawford

Representor No: PRS05297

Representation ID: REP05898 Question Ref: H4

I have been advised that land off Victoria Avenue LS18 has been proposed for classification in the local planning plan.

I feel strongly that this land should be classified as 'Prohibited' and planning refused or 'not Agreed'

The access for 185 homes and at least 185 cars and its potential 400+ new residents it is likely to bring, would be a major issue for existing Horsforth residents.

Impact would be in the immediate estate area and wider to all Horsforth residents and I would expect full consultation and local residents views should be sought before any classification is made.

On top of the already agreed new housing at Clarion Fields that the council agreed despite saying how concerned they were about its issues(but eventually caved in on), this additional development would have a even more major impact on local community and Clarion Filelds being for me a tipping point.

Medical and Education service provision in the Horsforth community and area is already stretched and a new development will have a major impact on road traffic in the nearby 'Victoria's' estate and wider on the Horsforth ring road and roundabout.

On top of that, access to Newlaithes school would be impacted daily at school drop off times on already congested narrow estate roads which I notice have recently had double yellow lines added because of the congestion in the area. This yellow lining has been done before any new development in the area is even considered so imagine the additional traffic and parking issues it would generate in the school area.

I would ask you to keep me updated on and further developments as a concerned resident.

I will be raising the issue with my Local MP and would expect my local planning team to fight this and concentrate development on brown field sites and leave areas like 1202 untouched.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Helen Dick

Representor No: PRS05307

Representation ID: REP05902 Question Ref: H4

I heavily object to the proposed developments in this area. The traffic surrounding this area is horrendous and the road network is not sufficient/practical for the current population of Horsforth and commuters that travel in on the A65 and the ring road. The roundabout, even with its recent alterations, is extremely busy and dangerous. To add to this problem would be an enormous mistake. In order to have any further re developments in Horsforth, particularly in this area, would mean further schools and shops to support a growing population. The new road side convenience store i.e. Tesco's, is already so busy and parking around this area is a logistical nightmare, particularly, since the Kids Club House opened. I exit/enter via Clarence Road on a daily basis as I live on Clarence Mews and I am increasingly frustrated by cars parked everywhere and traffic problems in this area, not to mention the junction is extremely difficult to exit out of due to the numerous blindspots created by cars parked. I find it very sad that the council are proposing developments in Horsforth, particularly, around the area of complaint as the population is 'full to busting' so to speak with very limited green land. I am a dog owner and the only feasible green place to walk my dog is Horsforth park or the field of the land in question. Horsforth is not a city centre so why are we creating a village which is house after house with no green land.

Name: Michelle Hutchinson

Representor No: PRS05309

Representation ID: REP05906 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to oppose the building of houses on the field adjacent Horsforth Newlaithes school and Victoria avenue/mount. The field on where you propose to grant planning permission is used by a verity of people! from people walking their dogs, children playing the the grassed area, to people enjoying picnics. The area in and around the Victoria's especially Victoria Mount /Avenue is plagued by people dropping their children off at school and using the street as a cut through, if even more houses are built then the traffic will be horrendous and I will cause lots of accidents. Newlaithes school has just been extended as there wasn't enough places for Horsforth children!!! Therefore the building of further houses will only increase the demand for places at the school. We are unable to get an appointment at the local doctor's surgery due to the number of people registered within the area, therefore allowing more houses to be build will only add to us not being able to get an appointment. I therefore strongly oppose any houses being built on the land 1202.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: John Griffiths

Representor No: PRS05310

Representation ID: REP05907 Question Ref: H4

Hi

I disagree with these site's being considered for building on.

1. There is no present plan to provide the area with an upgrade for the local infrastructure, E.g. where are the Schools to be built to accommodate the possible hundred of children, at present all Schools are full. Then what about Doctors and Dentists We cannot arrange an appointment with a doctor at present in less than one week.

2. this site has only one access onto Victoria mount then onto New road Side A65. at this moment in time the A65 and A6120 most of the time is grid locked once Clarion site is built on these roads will come to a standstill.

When you consider that this site with 185 houses and their vehicles up to a couple of hundred of them trying to access Victoria Mount with all the present School also resident traffic and parked cars on the Mount (at the moment in time ambulances also School buses have great difficulty obtaining access) it would be ridiculous.

Speaking to a Council representative at the open day he was not aware of the congestion on the main roads in fact he stated there was no problem, obviously he does not have to travel on these roads, all the local Councillors are aware of this traffic grid locked situation.

3. This land is green belt land not a brown field site. In addition is Leeds City Council aware that this land most of the year is water logged especially in winter it is a quagmire also at the rear of the houses on the side where this field is situated there is a large main sewer pipe running along the property line.

For transport away from this site ,ideally at the bottom of the proposed site it backs onto the railway perhaps another rail station if houses have be built but that is not going to happen.

It is essential that the infrastructure is put in place before any of these many building sites are considered also the developers should be told they have to provide the funding especially now the government are cutting Council funds by around 10%

Name: Rob Ashton

Representor No: PRS05312

Representation ID: REP05909 Question Ref: H4

I would like to place on record my objection to the proposed development of this site for Housing. The amount of extra traffic in the area will cause a lasting effect on the local environment and also raise serious traffic concerns for the local school, Newlathes Primary school. With traffic levels in the area around the school already a cause for concern adding in another 185 homes directly opposite will only increase this and place the children at further risk. I would also question the method of access to the site as this is enclosed by houses, a train line any development would create a bottle neck at the junction of Victoria Gardens and Victoria Mount. In addition the development would also take over the Wooded area adjacent to the school who frequently use this as part of the educational learning.

The impact on this development has not been fully thought through and requires a lot more consideration on the local area, traffic and safety + education of our children.

Name: Martyn Knowles

Representor No: PRS05314

Representation ID: REP05911 Question Ref: H4

Re site 1202 land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth

I'm writing to raise my objections to the above site for the following reasons listed below

* With the ring road being ridiculously single carraigeway been grid locked for a least four hours a day. Therefore the added capacity of traffic would add more strain to an already busy road.

* The extra traffic from the proposed development will also have an affect on the local traffic on the roads nearby to the school which are already busy at school times. I feel this will have an impact on the safety of local children.

* I have a young family and green area is the only place in this area were there able to play in a safe environment. The area is well used by residents in the area.

* Taking away the only GREEN space in the area.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Nina Ritson

Representor No: PRS05317

Representation ID: REP05912 Question Ref: H4

I would like to register my concerns over the classification of site 1202 for residential development on 2 grounds

1. Loss of rare open space amenity close to school which my children and many others in the area use to unwind on and meet others in the community to play with

2. Increased traffic down a road which already creates issues for children walking to Newlathes and Froebelian schools - traffic speeds, path often obscured by overhanging trees, path in places narrow and in poor repair
I trust that with so many other spaces available for development that this precious open space in an otherwise built up area will be kept for the benefit of all in the area.

Name: Les Richardson

Representor No: PRS05318

Representation ID: REP05913 Question Ref: H4

Having spoken to and written to councillor Chris Townsley and attended meetings at Horsforth church hall regarding my opposition to the development of 550 houses on the old Clariant and riverside sites { where i was among one of the 99% of people opposing the development } and at which the developers were not represented but at which two two Leeds City Council members did attend, to be subsequently informed that MP Pickles had agreed to the development on the recommendation of Leeds City Council, i have little faith in believing that my views or that of others living on Victoria

Mount will be considered when the final decision is made by the Council. My main reason for objecting about the development of the Clariant site was simply that the infrastructure would not cope with an additional 550 homes with probably about 1000 adults and at least 1000 children in regards schools, doctors surgeries, dentists and mostly the roads. I, when working had to negotiate both Horsforth and Rodley roundabouts on the ring road know that at peak times the traffic is almost gridlocked in all four directions.

I live on Victoria Mount with a junior school at the bottom and a college at the top and at drop off areas in the morning and pick up areas in the late afternoon the traffic at top and bottom and areas in between are becoming very congested. added to this problem is the fact that the Victorias are used as a "cat-run" every morning to cut out the heavy traffic on New Road Side.

The development of an additional 200 homes off Victoria Avenue will result in Victoria Mount becoming an Accident Black Spot.
If the development goes ahead i hope you can live with the consequences.

Name: Mick Trousdale

Representor No: PRS05319

Representation ID: REP05917 Question Ref: H4

This mail is voice my opposition to the proposed development site 1202.

I am directly affected by this proposed development as i live at [x].

In my opinion this site is totally unsuitable for development as the access will be so very limited through the already approved site No731. Surely no access would be granted via the Newlathes School access road at the southern end of the site?

Also the schools in horsforth are already over subscribed i believe. There is already a large problem with traffic / parking around Newlathes School at school start and finish times

A further reason to oppose this scheme is the increase in traffic burden that would be imposed on the already impossibly loaded A65 / Broadway roundabout. The entire A65 corridor is under ridiculous strain during the busy periods and a further 200 homes with the cars associated with them really should be avoided at all costs.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Rod Hazlegrave

Representor No: PRS05321

Representation ID: REP05918 Question Ref: H4

i strongly object to the proposed housing development 1202 land off victoria avenue horsforth. we have enough houses in horsforth, without any more. 185 houses means 200 more cars and children in horsforth

Name: Alan Baines

Representor No: PRS05322

Representation ID: REP05919 Question Ref: H4

Reference site 1202: Land off Victoria Avenue Horsforth.

Please note that as a resident of [x] Horsforth, I object strongly to the proposal to allow the building of 185 properties on the above site. Even if there is to be access/egress to the proposed site from the Ring Road through Swaine Wood between Park Lane College and the Leeds/ShIPLEY railway line then the traffic using Victoria Mount (which has always been barely adequate to cope with existing vehicle numbers) would still be intolerable.

This particular area of Horsforth is already at saturation point for traffic (and has been for many years) and the prospect of hundreds more vehicles making use of the A65/Ring Road roundabout adjacent to the Esso petrol station does not bear thinking about, especially when one takes into account the ongoing development on the former Clariant site (500+ dwellings) just a couple of hundred yards away on the other side of the Ring Road.

Name: Geraldine Comaish

Representor No: PRS05324

Representation ID: REP05920 Question Ref: H4

1. There is a lack of infrastructure to support this proposal. Where are the children who will live in these houses going to go to school as the existing schools are already over- subscribed. Where are the doctors and dentists?
2. New Road Side and the Ring Road are already congested especially at peak times. Where are the access roads going to go? Is it going to make it nigh on impossible for us to get out of our street in the morning? How are you going to ensure road safety for the children. 185 houses could generate nearly 400 new cars as most homes seem to have 2 cars. That is a lot of extra traffic on the roads! What traffic calming measures are proposed? Is Victoria Mount going to become dangerous as cars queue to get out of the street. It is already one of the main roads out of the estate with considerable traffic during the rush hour.
3. The proposed area provides habitats for wild life. Are the existing hedgerows and trees going to be kept. Looking at the planned site that doesn't seem possible. As hedgerows are on the decline in Britain, it seems wrong to destroy more of them. Are developers going to ensure that wildlife is protected. how committed are they to ensuring that concrete doesn't entirely replace green space?
4. The proposed site is farmland at present. Is the farmer happy about this proposal? Should we be destroying farmland as the world struggles to feed a population that is ever growing.?
5. The site is sloping. How much levelling will be needed and will that affect land drainage and lead to flooding?
6. As there is a school at one end of the site, I believe that both site traffic and subsequent car usage by the new residents, could put the children's safety at risk and encourage even more parents to drive their children to school adding to the congestion at the start and end of the school day.
7. There are a number of empty properties that have become almost derelict both in Horsforth and in Leeds as a whole. Does it not make more economic sense to make them habitable again?Therefore, I do not think that this is a suitable site and wish to object to any further development here.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Elizabeth Lishman

Representor No: PRS05325

Representation ID: REP05921 Question Ref: H4

My husband and I understand you have classified in your Site Allocation Plan site 1202 : Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth as having potential for housing development but which has issues, with capacity for 185 houses.

We strongly disagree with this classification. It is not suitable for housing development because there would be inadequate means of access given the built up areas around and the already jammed roads. The A65 and ring road are completely blocked at rush hour by the volume of traffic already and simply could not take more cars - especially given the development already on its way at the old Clarion site just over the ring road. Developing site 1202 would also ruin the rural feel of this local area by developing fields and woodland.

Name: Margaret Whiteley

Representor No: PRS05326

Representation ID: REP05923 Question Ref: H4

Dear Sir, I am a resident in the area of Victoria Avenue (site number 1202)and am very concerned about the possible housing development in the nearby fields and I object to this most strongly.

Already, there are hundreds of homes due to be built on the old Sandoz site and this proposed new site will hugely add to the present problems we have with the heavy volume of traffic. Site 1202 is situated very close to this roundabout and the inevitable increase (at least 1 car per household) of traffic, pollution and noise is worrying. The nearby school, Newlathes Primary has recently been extended and is already full so further problems will occur with the rise in population.

A further 185 properties will affect the area badly and put further pressure on schools, shops and roads and remove forever the open green space that we all value and enjoy. Horsforth is becoming congested and overbuilt and over populated and I believe that Site 1202 is most unsuitable for housing

Name: Linda Cluderay

Representor No: PRS05328

Representation ID: REP05924 Question Ref: H4

My name is [x] and have lived in Horsforth for the last 35 years am shocked to here of plans to build on land off Victoria Avenue.

Over the years the playing field of witch you are thinking of building on has always played a big part in the life of children growing up in Horsforth there is no where else for them to play locally

apart from Horsforth park which is a good walk from the Victoria estate and means crossing two very busy roads New Road Side and the Ring road.

The two roads that I have mentioned are already very busy with stationary traffic jams on a morning

and at rush hour on an evening witch is going to be made much worse by the plans already

passed for houses to be built on the old Sandoz site.

I would be interested to know how the traffic will be manged with so many extra residents, and if

you intend to build more Schools in the area as parents already living in our area already have

problems getting places for there children in there local school.

I have to say I do not think these plans have been thought through properly and I am totally against building

so many houses on such a small area of land.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Carol Kirkby

Representor No: PRS05329

Representation ID: REP05926 Question Ref: H4

As residents in Victoria Mount, we strongly object to the proposed housing development. With the increase in numbers of children attending Newlathes School, we are already experiencing parking problems and traffic jams, consequently, any additional housing will exacerbate existing problems. We note that there are no plans in place for schools, doctor's surgeries etc and question whether the proposal has been well thought through? Permission has been already been granted for 15 properties, we believe this is 15 too many!

Name: Trevor Andrews

Representor No: PRS05330

Representation ID: REP06510 Question Ref: H4

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to object to the proposed development of 185 houses on the land off Victoria Avenue. The roundabout at the junction of the A6120 and the A65 is already very congested with long queues at peak times so the addition of more vehicles which would need to exit directly onto this roundabout would only exacerbate this problem.

There is already pressure on local schools with Newlathes and Featherbank schools having been expanded in recent years, and Horsforth School being oversubscribed, so once again more houses in this area would make it more difficult for existing residents to secure a place at the school of their choice unless additional school places were provided.

The land directly opposite Newlathes School is a valuable green space that is used for children to play and dog walkers. A major attribute of this part of Horsforth is its semi rural character with fields and views across the Aire Valley, and this would be diminished if significant further development were undertaken on this estate.

For the reasons listed above I believe this site is unsuitable for housing and I hope that you will re-classify it as such in the local development plan.

Representation ID: REP05927 Question Ref: H4

2012I am writing to object to the proposed development of 185 houses on the land off Victoria Avenue. The roundabout at the junction of the A6120 and the A65 is already very congested with long queues at peak times so the addition of more vehicles which would need to exit directly onto this roundabout would only exacerbate this problem.

There is already pressure on local schools with Newlathes and Featherbank schools having been expanded in recent years, and Horsforth School being oversubscribed, so once again more houses in this area would make it more difficult for existing residents to secure a place at the school of their choice unless additional school places were provided.

The land directly opposite Newlathes School is a valuable green space that is used for children to play and dog walkers. A major attribute of this part of Horsforth is its semi rural character with fields and views across the Aire Valley, and this would be diminished if significant further development were undertaken on this estate.

For the reasons listed above I believe this site is unsuitable for housing and I hope that you will re-classify it as such in the local development plan.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Carol Lockley

Representor No: PRS05331

Representation ID: REP05928 Question Ref: H4

I disagree very strongly that the land off Victoria Mount, Horsforth (1202)is suitable for house building.

Firstly, it is a green space, where children who live close by, play. Many gardens are rather small and it is a safe space for the younger children of the area to play on. If this disappears will we have to see children playing on the streets and roads? which is obviously dangerous. Yes there is a park, but children have to be teenagers before they are allowed to go there unsupervised and there are two dangerous roads to cross to reach the park.

Secondly there is the issue of traffic congestion. Where are the access roads going to be? Near Newlaithes School; we already have gridlock there at the beginning and the end of the day. Also it is already dangerous for pupils because of the amount of traffic and parked cars. Residents of Victoria Mount can barely get out of the bottom end of the street safely at school times.

Victoria Mount has become a ' Rat Run ' in the morning and evening as cars try to dodge the queues at the Horsforth roundabout, and the A65 during the rush hour. Cars from all parts of the estate race up the street and not only is their speed dangerous it is extremely noisy. This would only be worse with an extra 185 cars trying to get to the A65 and the ring road.

When you look at the map it seems likely that the 185 extra cars would have to exit on the very narrow road on Victoria Mount or along Victoria Avenue. This would be detrimental to the lives of the people already living here with increased traffic, noise pollution and accidents.

The traffic generally in Horsforth is very congested. The Horsforth Roundabout is a nightmare at most times of the day not just the rush hour, while the A65 cannot take much more traffic.

Finally, are we to live in a concrete jungle with no green spaces? Research shows that this is detrimental to the health of mind and body. I really hope the council will consider sites that are more suitable for development.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Chris Austin

Representor No: PRS05332

Representation ID: REP06509 Question Ref: H4

Dear sirs

We would like to strongly object with the site 1202 the land off victoria avenue being considered for 'potential for housing development'

We have lived in the victoria's for over 20 years now and know the area very well and would object to any development on the following grounds

1 the main access and to and from the site takes you through very narrow residential streets that are not design to accommodate another 185 dwellings.

2 the route back to the main road is via calverley lane onto new road side, this junction is currently inadequate for the current volume of traffic . it is a notoriously bad junction with queuing traffic from the roundabout every morning, an adjacent pedestrian crossing and petrol garage access opposite which all contribute to this junction not working.

3. this junction gets a lot of traffic from the leeds city college both morning and evening courses and also is effected by parking from the well -used kingdom hall parishioners. It is often the case that traffic trying to turn right onto calverley lane are a hazard to traffic exiting the roundabout and causing near misses and accidents. I would strongly recommend that this junction is examined at its peak time every week day morning and evening when it is most likely to be used by any future residents leaving and returning to work/school.

4.a development of this size will require more than one entry and exit point for emergency vehicles, the only possible alternative access is past horsforth newlathes junior school and again the road here and the surrounding residential roads are completely inadequate as well as the dangers of passing a very popular and busy primary school.

5 the fields highlighted for future development are used regularly by children and it is seen as a very useful recreational space to the area, there a number of public footpaths which are used by the local community.

6. any new dwellings will no doubt be targeted at families and the existing school capacity in the area for both primary and secondary schools are at breaking point all ready and would not be able to accommodate more children. This has been reflected in the redevelopment of all the primary schools in the area which are all now full.

7.it is a very small doctors surgery that serves the local community and again this resource is full.

8. the access into the centre of leeds along new road side and A65 is one of the busiest commuting routes and new developments along this route should not be allowed unless they

can contribute to a viable public transport system, there are no train stations locally and the buses are effected by the traffic.

I would be grateful if these points could be examined and considered in this process and also to receive a reply to these matters so that as residents with years of local experience we can contribute to your various consultants.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Chris Austin

Representor No: PRS05332

Representation ID: REP05930 Question Ref: H4

We would like to strongly object with the site 1202 the land off victoria avenue being considered for 'potential for housing development'

We have lived in the victoria's for over 20 years now and know the area very well and would object to any development on the following grounds

1 the main access and to and from the site takes you through very narrow residential streets that are not design to accommodate another 185 dwellings.

2 the route back to the main road is via calverley lane onto new road side, this junction is currently inadequate for the current volume of traffic . it is a notoriously bad junction with queuing traffic from the roundabout every morning, an adjacent pedestrian crossing and petrol garage access opposite which all contribute to this junction not working.

3. this junction gets a lot of traffic from the leeds city college both morning and evening courses and also is effected by parking from the well-used kingdom hall parishioners. It is often the case that traffic trying to turn right onto calverley lane are a hazard to traffic exiting the roundabout and causing near misses and accidents. I would strongly recommend that this junction is examined at its peak time every week day morning and evening when it is most likely to be used by any future residents leaving and returning to work/school.

4.a development of this size will require more than one entry and exit point for emergency vehicles, the only possible alternative access is past horsforth newlaithes junior school and again the road here and the surrounding residential roads are completely inadequate as well as the dangers of passing a very popular and busy primary school.

5 the fields highlighted for future development are used regularly by children 5 the fields highlighted for future development are used regularly by children and it is seen as a

very useful recreational space to the area, there a number of public footpaths which are used by the local community.

6. any new dwellings will no doubt be targeted at families and the existing school capacity in the area for both primary and secondary schools are at breaking point all ready and would not be able to accommodate more children. This has been reflected in the redevelopment of all the primary schools in the area which are all now full.

7.it is a very small doctors surgery that serves the local community and again this resource is full.

8. the access into the centre of leeds along new road side and A65 is one of the busiest commuting routes and new developments along this route should not be allowed unless they can contribute to a viable public transport system, there are no train stations locally and the buses are effected by the traffic.

I would be grateful if these points could be examined and considered in this process and also to receive a reply to these matters so that as residents with years of local experience we can contribute to your various consultants.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: William Latham

Representor No: PRS05335

Representation ID: REP06398 Question Ref: H4

Strong objections to both 1202 and 1016 sites.
No indications as to access to sites,at present main roads leading to this estate is under mounting traffic congestion.
From a personal point of view I have used 1202 land for well over thirty years,raised two children who used this green belt as a play area and also exercised a couple of dogs.
Site 1016 is now interesting for the grandchildren, how many other estates can you take a pushchair and show the youngsters horses and chickens.
Come on Leeds leave us some green belt to enjoy because once its gone it will be forever.

Representation ID: REP06399 Question Ref: H4

No indications as to access to sites,at present main roads leading to this estate is under mounting traffic congestion.
From a personal point of view I have used 1202 land for well over thirty years,raised two children who used this green belt as a play area and also exercised a couple of dogs.
Site 1016 is now interesting for the grandchildren, how many other estates can you take a pushchair and show the youngsters horses and chickens.
Come on Leeds leave us some green belt to enjoy because once its gone it will be forever.
regards [x].

Representation ID: REP05932 Question Ref: H4

Strong objections to both 1202 and 1016 sites.
No indications as to access to sites,at present main roads leading to this estate is under mounting traffic congestion.
From a personal point of view I have used 1202 land for well over thirty years,raised two children who used this green belt as a play area and also exercised a couple of dogs.
Site 1016 is now interesting for the grandchildren, how many other estates can you take a pushchair and show the youngsters horses and chickens.
Come on Leeds leave us some green belt to enjoy because once its gone it will be forever.

Name: Susan Swales

Representor No: PRS05336

Representation ID: REP06508 Question Ref: H4

I disagree with the clasification of site 1202 as having potential for housing. This is Green Belt land and a Green Corridor for an array of wildlife in addition to the current public access enjoyed by local residents . The suggestion that a possible 185 homes could be built in an area that is currently having 500 homes built at the Sandos site and a large developement at the Kirkstal Forge site would put too much pressure on the current schools, services and roads in the area. The site floods in bad weather due to the various underground streams in the area and would need extensive drainage which would cause problems for the neighbouring houses.

Representation ID: REP05933 Question Ref: H4

I disagree with the clasification of site 1202 as having potential for housing. This is Green Belt land and a Green Corridor for an array of wildlife in addition to the current public access enjoyed by local residents . The suggestion that a possible 185 homes could be built in an area that is currently having 500 homes built at the Sandos site and a large developement at the Kirkstal Forge site would put too much pressure on the current schools, services and roads in the area. The site floods in bad weather due to the various underground streams in the area and would need extensive drainage which would cause problems for the neighbouring houses.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Dave Butler

Representor No: PRS05338

Representation ID: REP05935 Question Ref: H4

I am against plan 1202,Victoria Ave,Horsforth because enough houses are already being built in the area,the local schools have already been extended and are full.local amenities can't take it,parking is already bad but at school times dangerously impossible,the A65 won't take any more traffic as it is already congested and in a bad state of repair.the children also play on this land and dog walkers too.

Name: Roz Roberts

Representor No: PRS05339

Representation ID: REP05936 Question Ref: H4

I disagree with building of houses near to Victoria Avenue and Newlathes School. This area is busy enough with school traffic and lots of houses should not be built here. There needs to be green land kept in this area of Horsforth and should not be overbuilt on.

My postcode is [x]

This is my formal objection.

Name: Anna Dale

Representor No: PRS05341

Representation ID: REP05938 Question Ref: H4

We are writing to express concern about the proposed development of housing on site 1202 in Horsforth.

- 1) Horsforth does not have the infrastructure to sustain development on this scale alongside all other developments in the area.
- 2) Our children currently have the rare luxury of large green spaces close to their school - this development would radically reduce the quality of their school experience.
- 3) The green space could be maintained but developed in a way which would have huge benefits for the local community: an area for school drop-offs/pick ups, and a children's playground.
- 4) The traffic and parking situation on the surrounding roads is already unsustainable, without making this problem bigger.

In summary, we are strongly against any proposal to develop housing on this site, and would welcome reassurance that this will not happen.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Sandy Hardy

Representor No: PRS05342

Representation ID: REP05939 Question Ref: H4

I would like to raise an objection re the proposed building of new houses off Victoria Ave, Horsforth.

Traffic is already bad when the school on Newlaithes is open with irresponsible people parking where they like so added traffic will make it nearly impossible.

I would also like to know who makes the rules as they go along. When we bought our house seven years ago on Victoria Grove, i enquired if we could buy a small area of land at the back of the house to make the garden slightly bigger but was told it was green belt so it was not possible. The land in question cannot be walked on as it is overgrown, it wouldn't infringe on walkers rights and would look a lot better for a makeover. Whereas the land you propose to build on would impede any access for walkers, spoil a natural habitat and generally make life a misery for people worrying about the traffic.

If you feel rules are good enough for the likes of me then lets make it the same for everyone.

Representation ID: REP06505 Question Ref: H4

Dear Sirs,

I would like to raise an objection re the proposed building of new houses off Victoria Ave, Horsforth.

Traffic is already bad when the school on Newlaithes is open with irresponsible people parking where they like so added traffic will make it nearly impossible.

I would also like to know who makes the rules as they go along. When we bought our house seven years ago on Victoria Grove, i enquired if we could buy a small area of land at the back of the house to make the garden slightly bigger but was told it was green belt so it was not possible. The land in question cannot be walked on as it is overgrown, it wouldn't infringe on walkers rights and would look a lot better for a makeover. Whereas the land you propose to build on would impede any access for walkers, spoil a natural habitat and generally make life a misery for people worrying about the traffic.

If you feel rules are good enough for the likes of me then lets make it the same for everyone.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Gavin Fisher

Representor No: PRS05343

Representation ID: REP05942 Question Ref: H4

proposed development of the area designated 1202 in the district of Horsforth. After reviewing the proposal in detail and considering the local conditions and impact of this proposal it becomes obvious that any development in this area is foolish and potentially dangerous to both the local and wider community. My first objection is that the proposal does not take into account the additional infrastructure needed to support the extra 185 homes. Does the council realize that the average family in the UK has 2.4 children (ONS information) hence this development would add an additional 444 children into the local educational sector? Currently the local community does not have sufficient capacity within the existing primary and secondary schools to accommodate this extra demand (as per Leeds educational authority information). Therefore if a private developer wishes to build houses on this site I suggest that prior to working beginning a new primary and secondary school must be built - perhaps this could be funded by the developer since it is the building of the new houses which will create this extra demand and therefore I do not see why the general tax payer should have to bear the burden. My second objective is that the proposed development will contribute an additional 240 extra cars to the local road network (according to RAC figures). These extra cars will increase the risk of road accidents and deaths on the local transport system within the Victoria road area. This is because the additional cars will be using a very limited number of access roads to travel into and out of the proposed development. This will only increase the current bottle necks that exist in the Victoria Mount area and therefore raise the risk of accidents. Currently no traffic calming measures are in place in this area to control the flow of traffic around the local streets or indeed to allow traffic to join onto the main A road with access to Leeds and Bradford. Therefore under these circumstances I cannot see how anyone could suggest that increasing the local traffic flow with an additional 240 cars is anything but reckless and negligent. I understand that the SHLAA guidelines for this proposal have indicated that the transport links are good however this only demonstrates the limitations of the SHLAA. According to SHLAA the fact that the development will be within a short distance of a main transport hub, in this case the roundabout at the top of Victoria mount, then the development is marked as good for transport. This is a false and misleading assumption and does not take into account the impact of the additional traffic flow on the local network that feeds into the main transport system. I feel that the wider issue of how we assess the suitability of any proposal in terms of its impact on transportation at a local level needs to be reviewed as the SHLAA guidelines in this area do not seem fit for purpose. In conclusion this proposal does not meet the needs of the local community and will lead to a range of unacceptable consequences including the potential for accidents and possible injury. Therefore this proposal should be rejected and other more acceptable sites must be considered for planning.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Gavin Fisher

Representor No: PRS05343

Representation ID: REP06415 Question Ref: H4

Dear Sir / Madam,

I am writing to you today to inform you that I strongly disagree with the proposed development of the area designated 1202 in the district of Horsforth. After reviewing the proposal in detail and considering the local conditions and impact of this proposal it becomes obvious that any development in this area is foolish and potentially dangerous to both the local and wider community.

My first objection is that the proposal does not take into account the additional infrastructure needed to support the extra 185 homes. Does the council realize that the average family in the UK has 2.4 children (ONS information) hence this development would add an additional 444 children into the local educational sector? Currently the local community does not have sufficient capacity within the existing primary and secondary schools to accommodate this extra demand (as per Leeds educational authority information). Therefore if a private developer wishes to build houses on this site I suggest that prior to working beginning a new primary and secondary school must be built - perhaps this could be funded by the developer since it is the building of the new houses which will create this extra demand and therefore I do not see why the general tax payer should have to bear the burden.

My second objective is that the proposed development will contribute an additional 240 extra cars to the local road network (according to RAC figures). These extra cars will increase the risk of road accidents and deaths on the local transport system within the Victoria road area. This is because the additional cars will be using a very limited number of access roads to travel into and out of the proposed development. This will only increase the current bottle necks that exist in the Victoria Mount area and therefore raise the risk of accidents. Currently no traffic calming measures are in place in this area to control the flow of traffic around the local streets or indeed to allow traffic to join onto the main A road with access to Leeds and Bradford. Therefore under these circumstances I cannot see how anyone could suggest that increasing the local traffic flow with an additional 240 cars is anything but reckless and negligent. I understand that the SHLAA guidelines for this proposal have indicated that the transport links are good however this only demonstrates the limitations of the SHLAA. According to SHLAA the fact that the development will be within a short distance of a main transport hub, in this case the roundabout at the top of Victoria mount, then the development is marked as good for transport. This is a false and misleading assumption and does not take into account the impact of the additional traffic flow on the local network that feeds into the main transport system. I feel that the wider issue of how we assess the suitability of any proposal in terms of its impact on transportation at a local level needs to be reviewed as the SHLAA guidelines in this area do not seem fit for purpose.

In conclusion this proposal does not meet the needs of the local community and will lead to a range of unacceptable consequences including the potential for accidents and possible injury. Therefore this proposal should be rejected and other more acceptable sites must be considered for planning.

Name: Dave Carroll

Representor No: PRS05346

Representation ID: REP05944 Question Ref: H4

I believe that the site 1202 which proposes 185 new houses on green land opposite Newlaithes School would have a major impact on the local community and would like to voice my opposition against the proposal being granted.

This land give the local children a safe place to play but the main concern would be traffic volume.

With a nominal 1 – 1.5 cars per household this would increase local traffic to an unacceptable level, at present with the Horsforth roundabout by the ESSO petrol station being inundated and traffic backing up right down New Road Side drivers are using the Victoria estate as a cut through to come out at the top of Victoria Mount to join adjacent to the roundabout, so increasing local housing will make local street even more unsafe in and around the local school. Please oppose this proposal and keep our local streets safe for future generations.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Andrew Moore

Representor No: PRS05347

Representation ID: REP05945 Question Ref: H4

I would like to disagree that site 1202 is a potential for housing development because:

- 1) There is no provision for extra schooling - this may not be of major concern for 185 properties but a combined increase will make a significant change and needs to be addressed.
 - 2) There is no provision for improved roads - common sense suggests that each new house brings at minimum 2 cars (if not more) - who is responsible for improvements before the houses are built - rather than after months of build up before people notice. The current feeder roads for the Victoria's estate already cause issues on Newlaithes Gardens, Victoria Gardens and Newlaithes Road - these can not remain with additional housing.
 - 3) There is no provision for increase retails units and parking - the corner of Clarence Gardens and New Road Side is manic on Fridays, Saturdays and Sundays already.
 - 4) There is plenty of Brownfield sites that can be used before Greenfield sites be allocated.
 - 5) Proper understanding why other sites have been listed as not potentials needs to be transparent. These are my issues, please take them into conservation. I deliberately moved to the Newlaithes area for the peace and quiet and understand we need to provision for thousands of new people (where they are coming from, no one knows as the offices vacant in the city centre backs this up) but this if forcing people to re-evaluate our positions.
-

Name: Lisa Yalcin

Representor No: PRS05350

Representation ID: REP05948 Question Ref: H4

I write in response to a leaflet regarding potential housing in Horsforth, site 1202: land off Victoria avenue.

I live on Newlaithes Road and work at Newlaithes Primary School. Just this past year alone has seen a massive increase in traffic and parking issues, due to the development of the school from a junior to a primary. In September a final cohort will be joining the school along with an extra 60 parents dropping their children off.

[x] I see the many difficulties we face with local residents in terms of traffic. As a local resident I too experience it myself. The corner of Victoria Grove, particularly at school drop off/pick up times can be incredibly dangerous for pedestrians. Regularly people are parked in, doing dangerous turns and reversing blindly down the hill because of the congestion. To add another 185 properties to this area, with its already incredibly narrow streets is preposterous.

Name: Sarah Thompson

Representor No: PRS05351

Representation ID: REP05949 Question Ref: H4

After receiving a leaflet regarding the plans for a new housing development near to where I live, I felt the need to air my objections to the proposed development. The area in question just does not have the accessibility for the amount of houses planned. The amount of passing traffic this would create is ridiculous when you consider most families now have two cars. The street I live on is next door to the site and it is already busy especially due to people using it as a short cut to get to the main roundabout on the A65 and also due to the fact that Newlaithes School is at the bottom. I feel the area around the school could become extremely congested, putting the safety of the children at risk. A further concern is the increase in traffic on the A65. The main Horsforth roundabout is already chaos at peak times and this is set to get worse due to the massive development on the old Sandos site.

Local services are already being stretched. It's difficult to get an appointment with your GP and local children are being refused entry to their local schools. The people that are more than likely to buy these houses will be families with young children or couples planning a family as it is a desired place to live, but there is just not the school places available.

Besides all of the above, the area that is been considered is a walking and playing area for the community. I think the proposed plans will have an extremely detrimental affect on this neighbourhood and strongly object to this going ahead.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Kate Absolom

Representor No: PRS05354

Representation ID: REP05953 Question Ref: H4

As residents in the Victorias area of Horsforth, my husband and I would like to express an objection against the potential development of site 1202 off of Victoria Avenue. This land falls within an already densely populated self-contained area. The impact of a further 185 houses would have significant consequences on the traffic using adjacent residential roads as access to the site. This poses a threat to the safety and peace of these existing roads and has the potential to increase the number of cars passing Newlathes Primary School. The increased traffic will inevitably also impact on the nearby roundabout / ring road and A65. This road system already struggles to cope with the volume of traffic during rush hour and the potential increase in cars generated from 185 houses built so close to this hot spot would be a serious concern. Given the adjacent area 731 has already received permission for 15 properties it would seem more wise that other areas in Horsforth are given greater consideration for development over 1202. Ideally a plan to distribute the new housing more evenly around the town would seem to be a more sensible option in order to limit the burden on the road infrastructure and primary school catchment areas. In addition, the development of significant green space would be sad loss to the Victorias area.

Representation ID: REP06408 Question Ref: H4

Dear Sir/Madam,

As residents in the Victorias area of Horsforth, my husband and I would like to express an objection against the potential development of site 1202 off of Victoria Avenue.

This land falls within an already densely populated self-contained area. The impact of a further 185 houses would have significant consequences on the traffic using adjacent residential roads as access to the site. This poses a threat to the safety and peace of these existing roads and has the potential to increase the number of cars passing Newlathes Primary School. The increased traffic will inevitably also impact on the nearby roundabout / ring road and A65. This road system already struggles to cope with the volume of traffic during rush hour and the potential increase in cars generated from 185 houses built so close to this hot spot would be a serious concern.

Given the adjacent area 731 has already received permission for 15 properties it would seem more wise that other areas in Horsforth are given greater consideration for development over 1202. Ideally a plan to distribute the new housing more evenly around the town would seem to be a more sensible option in order to limit the burden on the road infrastructure and primary school catchment areas.

In addition, the development of significant green space would be sad loss to the Victorias area. Thank you for the opportunity to provide our views on this important issue.

Name: Georgina Gaunt

Representor No: PRS05356

Representation ID: REP05957 Question Ref: H4

I am a resident on the Victorias and I feel extremely strongly that this site should not be built on - let alone for 185 houses. I am interested to know if any representatives have actually visited the site and what reports have been produced to back up such a ludicrous idea. The infrastructure can not bear the weight of an increased housing allocation in this area. The ring road roundabout is already under siege from too much traffic and the ring road down to Rodley is already a dangerous road and what with the housing development all ready agreed on the old Clariant site will become immensely dangerous. The road network within the Victorias is narrow and already becomes bottlenecked - the parking restrictions you are going to implement already shows that there are already traffic issues and new housing isn't going to reduce the number of cars using the streets. Another worry is how the streets will turn into a rat run. I have a young child and live on the estate and therefore this is something I feel very strongly about.

The green space provides a barrier from the noise and pollution of the ring road to the houses on the Victoria's.

Horsforth is a developers dream. It appears that developers are able to build on any green space. The same green space which makes Horsforth so desirable. It is losing its community spirit and keeping the developers happy seems to come at the expense of its inhabitants. I have not spoken to one person who lives within the community that is happy with this proposal and would like to know if you have anyone who lives here who is for the development!

I also feel that had this not been highlighted to me by some vigilant members of the community this would have silently slipped through and been passed as Leeds City Council have done their best to keep it low key. Do not come back to me with the consultation meeting at Horsforth School because again you made no effort to inform residents of this meeting, again a concerned parent from school did a sterling job of informing residents. I look forward to receiving your comments.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Gordon Little

Representor No: PRS05434

Representation ID: REP06053 Question Ref: H4

I strongly object to the idea of building houses on both sites 731 and 1202. It is totally unsuitable to build on this land because as in the Horsforth area the roads especially the A65 cannot cope with the traffic now and when further building takes place further up the A65 corridor the roads will be even busier. Also why build on greenfield sites when there are plenty of brownfield sites available like the old kirkstall forge site which has been stood now for at least 4 to 5 years without any attempt to build there and also the old clariant site which will no doubt be another age before that gets underway. Before any housing is built in Horsforth, the infrastructure needs to be addressed first. Therefore please add my strong objections to this proposal.

Name: Sheila Chapman

Representor No: PRS05435

Representation ID: REP06365 Question Ref: H4

I feel this site should only be used as last resort.

The number allocated of 185 properties is way over the top.

The access would cause a real problem if there were to be so many properties.

That number of cars adding to the traffic around the Horsforth roundabout does not bear thinking about, especially in view of the envisaged ex Sandos development.

The land at the bottom end of the site is rather wet.

Maybe some thought should go into where all the expected children will go to school. Would it be more useful to use part of this site for an new Infant and Nursery school?

Representation ID: REP06059 Question Ref: H4

I feel this site should only be used as last resort.

The number allocated of 185 properties is way over the top.

The access would cause a real problem if there were to be so many properties.

That number of cars adding to the traffic around the Horsforth roundabout does not bear thinking about, especially in view of the envisaged ex Sandos development.

The land at the bottom end of the site is rather wet.

Maybe some thought should go into where all the expected children will go to school. Would it be more useful to use part of this site for an new Infant and Nursery school?

[x]

[x]

Name: David Thompson

Representor No: PRS05437

Representation ID: REP06370 Question Ref: H4

I wish to strongly disagree to build properties on Site 1202. The considered site has been a green space for as long as one can remember . It is a safe place where children can play, one day last week there were three different cricket games going on at the same time. It is a lovely green lung' opposite Newlathes School. Their are all to few of these areas in Horsforth , and therefore be cherished .

Representation ID: REP06062 Question Ref: H4

I wish to strongly disagree to build properties on Site 1202. The considered site has been a green space for as long as one can remember . It is a safe place where children can play, one day last week there were three different cricket games going on at the same time. It is a lovely green lung' opposite Newlathes School. Their are all to few of these areas in Horsforth , and therefore be cherished .

Name: Samantha Lightfoot

Representor No: PRS05440

Representation ID: REP06065 Question Ref: H4

1202: land off Victoria Avenue Horsforth

I believe this suit is unsuitable for housing, very concerned about the propoal

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Diane Holdsworth

Representor No: PRS05442

Representation ID: REP06068 Question Ref: H4

I would like to object against the proposed planning application for 185 properties to be built on the adjoining land.

I live on Victoria Mount and chose this part of Horsforth over 25 years ago as although it does not have the amenities the busier parts of the town enjoy it is more peaceful and quiet, or it was.

Horsforth is already a very busy town and I am concerned regarding the increase of traffic generally and particularly on New Road Side – I have already spoken to the highways department about the 'Rat run' that has developed on Victoria Mount since the roundabout was altered some months ago. With the new development planned on the Calverely Road/Sandos sight I feel strongly that the roads are already congested and will become more so. I doubt whether they will cope if yet more properties are built in this area of Horsforth. Getting onto Leeds in a morning is also extremely busy (worse I feel since the introduction of the bus lanes) and the ring road from Horsforth to Pudsey, at times is so congested I choose not to travel at all. I fear all of this will be worse when the planned houses are built close to the ring road. How can Horsforth possibly accommodate even more new builds before these new properties are built and the impact fully assessed?

The Schools and GP surgeries are very busy and our streets are crammed with cars parked (some dangerously) at school drop off/picking up times – Is extra provision planned for the building projects already planned in the area?

Access to the river and canal has been enjoyed and treasured via the fields behind Victoria Mount for many years indeed we recently scattered my neighbours ashes in the fields.

Please do not take away a very natural and much appreciated alternative to Horsforth Park for the residents and children of this area of Horsforth

Thank you

Name: Ann Bennett

Representor No: PRS05446

Representation ID: REP06072 Question Ref: H4

I attended a meeting at Horsforth School on

Saturday and was given a leaflet stating that site 731 already had permission for 15 houses. I have been in touch with Leeds City Council on the phone and on their website and no-one can say there has been permission given for 15 houses. There is an outline application in for residential property (which probably includes site 1202 on your drawing) but this is not yet open for public viewing, is not validated and is not on the system yet. Please could you let me know where I can get more information. Also, please could you let me know what date the permission for building 15 houses was granted.

Name: Martin Hughes

Representor No: PRS05563

Representation ID: REP07354 Question Ref: H4

1202 - objection to amber, Should be red.

Of worry to NCS is the inclusion of site 1202, Newlathes field in the proposed SAD, with 185 dwellings assigned to it. Although essentially farming land, this site has within, around and through it, a number of informal paths used for recreation and especially dog walking. This is a large site and to lose this large green space would impact greatly in the amenity and enjoyment of this open space by those living in the Victoria ward of Horsforth. NCS wishes to see this site coded red, and later to be designated green space within the NP.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Linda Squire

Representor No: PRS05706

Representation ID: REP06372 Question Ref: H4

1202: Land off Victoria Avenue Horsforth

This cannot go ahead. I have lived here 22 years and have year after year seen more cars cutting through, more cars parking on street for college therefore more double yellow lines.

Children are able to walk unaided to Newlaithes but with more cars this will become increasingly dangerous, children enjoy making dens and playing in the fields. It will effect lovely views and blackberry picking, dog walking and horse riding and a lovely community atmosphere that all local residents have.

Newlaithes school has already been extended and is full, appointments at doctors are always strained. The roads are too narrow to fit anymore traffic. Already every Thursday the school bus for swimming has to beep cars from the road as it cannot get down, so often the children have to walk to the bus.

You are saturating Horsforth and the surrounding areas. Horsforth High are massively oversubscribed every year, and our great community is getting squeezed from every angle and it cannot take any more - please leave it alone....

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Gary Fielding

Representor No: PRS05707

Representation ID: REP05955 Question Ref: H4

I wish to advise that I am in opposition to the proposal of the development on plot 1202. There is already significant plans to build in the local area with an expected 500 houses to be made available, plus the development of the old Police houses providing 13 or so additional new properties within the immediate proximity. There has been no mention to provide increased infrastructure services to the area, especially schools, which are already at bursting point. They have already recently been increased to cope with current demand let alone any additional requirements brought about by this proposed increase in residential developments.

I believe Horsforth is already below the recommended percentage of green space per populous, and taking away this green area which is used by local children and residents alike would be detrimental to say the least. Horsforth is highly populated and the few remaining areas of greenery suited for our children to play safely should remain so. The increase in traffic in the local area would in my opinion be significant. There are already large volumes of traffic using the ring road and Horsforth round about and the pre-mentioned 500 or so houses already expected at the Clariant development will negatively impact peoples journey times. Any further builds will only increase this further and place a higher burden on peoples work/home-life balance. The likelihood of the local estates being turned into rat-runs will be increased as people try to reduce the amount of time they are sat queuing to cross Horsforth round about. The increase in potential risk to our child's safety during busy times of the day, as children are making their way to and from school, and more and more cars are navigating the narrow, heavily parked streets to beat the queues at Horsforth round-about would in my opinion be greatly increased.

Horsforth it seems has already provided a significant amount of new housing with the imminent Clariant, Riverside Mills and Police house developments, over 500 in total, all of which are within a mile or so of each other. It seems to me that the addition of Plot 1202 to this is a step to far. Each of these developments places a heavy burden on the local highways, schools and services – of which I believe no increase in infrastructure are to be materialised.

Representation ID: REP06374 Question Ref: H4

To whom it may concern,

RE: 1202: Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth

I wish to advise that I am in opposition to the proposal of the development on plot 1202. There is already significant plans to build in the local area with an expected 500 houses to be made available, plus the development of the old Police houses providing 13 or so additional new properties within the immediate proximity. There has been no mention to provide increased infrastructure services to the area, especially schools, which are already at bursting point. They have already recently been increased to cope with current demand let alone any additional requirements brought about by this proposed increase in residential developments.

I believe Horsforth is already below the recommended percentage of green space per populous, and taking away this green area which is used by local children and residents alike would be detrimental to say the least. Horsforth is highly populated and the few remaining areas of greenery suited for our children to play safely should remain so.

The increase in traffic in the local area would in my opinion be significant. There are already large volumes of traffic using the ring road and Horsforth round about and the pre-mentioned 500 or so houses already expected at the Clariant development will negatively impact peoples journey times. Any further builds will only increase this further and place a higher burden on peoples work/home-life balance. The likelihood of the local estates being turned into rat-runs will be increased as people try to reduce the amount of time they are sat queuing to cross Horsforth round about. The increase in potential risk to our child's safety during busy times of the day, as children are making their way to and from school, and more and more cars are navigating the narrow, heavily parked streets to beat the queues at Horsforth round-about would in my opinion be greatly increased.

Horsforth it seems has already provided a significant amount of new housing with the imminent Clariant, Riverside Mills and Police house developments, over 500 in total, all of which are within a mile or so of each other. It seems to me that the addition of Plot 1202 to this is a step to far. Each of these developments places a heavy burden on the local highways, schools and services – of which I believe no increase in infrastructure are to be materialised.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Steve Wilks

Representor No: PRS05721

Representation ID: REP06376 Question Ref: H7

With reference to the proposed building plots 1014 and 1016 I am sending this e-mail on behalf of my mother, who still lives in the family home at 2, Calverley Lane where we have lived since 1976. As an existing resident of Horsforth and being directly affected by all of the proposed plots, Mrs. Wilks strongly objects to the proposals, especially on the principle that enough green space has already been lost to housing and surely this must stop. Furthermore on the practical level, there is already serious congestion around the ring road and roundabout in the centre of these proposals and the plans will only add to this. The road systems and available schooling and amenities are already barely sufficient to cope with the existing population – how can we sustain more?
So please take this e-mail as an objection to the proposed plans for sites 731, 1202, 1014 and 1016.

Name: Margaret Wilks

Representor No: PRS05724

Representation ID: REP06393 Question Ref: H4

Please take this e-mail as an objection to the proposed plans for sites 731, 1202, 1014 and 1016. I am sending this e-mail on behalf of my mother, who still lives in the family home at 2, Calverley Lane where we have lived since 1976. Before this my immediate family was born and raised in Horsforth at Rose Avenue and the family does go back for 2 generations in Horsforth. My grandparents and great grandparents are all buried in the cemetery at the bottom of Calverley lane – which also raises a concern for us about the proposed building plans 731 and 1202 which will effectively surround the cemetery with housing. My mother – Margaret Wilks – is now 84 and has witnessed over her life time the disappearance of much of the countryside in and around Horsforth under housing developments. Even I can remember walking to St. Margarets church along a country lane – long gone now as the whole area is a large housing estate.
As an existing resident of Horsforth and being directly affected by all of the proposed plots, Mrs. Wilks strongly objects to the proposals, especially on the principle that enough green space has already been lost to housing and surely this must stop. Furthermore on the practical level, there is already serious congestion around the ring road and roundabout in the centre of these proposals and the plans will only add to this. The road systems and available schooling and amenities are already barely sufficient to cope with the existing population – how can we sustain more?

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Karen Sudbury

Representor No: PRS05740

Representation ID: REP05952 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to express my views on the site allocation plan in relation to the proposal of building on 1202 land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth.

As a local resident I am writing to express my objection to these proposals. My children attend the local school of Newlaithes Primary and the flow of traffic around this area is already a cause of concern for myself and other parents/residents. I have genuine concerns about the surrounding infrastructure not being able to cope if any building were to go ahead in that area. The congestion around the roundabout is already at full capacity with lengthy delays at peak times in the morning and evening commuting periods. I also have real concerns about the local community not being able to cope with such an increase in local residents in terms of primary and secondary school provision, not to mention pressure put on local services such as GP practices.

The land mentioned in the proposals is a much needed area of green land which is used by the local community - children play there, residents walk their dogs and it gives a welcome, much needed change from the largely built up surrounding area. I would worry that the increased flow of traffic which building on this area would produce would present a real risk to the children using the local school and add to the already high levels of congestion in the area.

I would therefore like to voice my objection to any proposal to build on the above mentioned land; I would like to stress that I believe the site is unsuitable for housing.

Representation ID: REP06410 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to express my views on the site allocation plan in relation to the proposal of building on 1202 land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth.

As a local resident I am writing to express my objection to these proposals. My children attend the local school of Newlaithes Primary and the flow of traffic around this area is already a cause of concern for myself and other parents/residents. I have genuine concerns about the surrounding infrastructure not being able to cope if any building were to go ahead in that area. The congestion around the roundabout is already at full capacity with lengthy delays at peak times in the morning and evening commuting periods. I also have real concerns about the local community not being able to cope with such an increase in local residents in terms of primary and secondary school provision, not to mention pressure put on local services such as GP practices.

The land mentioned in the proposals is a much needed area of green land which is used by the local community - children play there, residents walk their dogs and it gives a welcome, much needed change from the largely built up surrounding area. I would worry that the increased flow of traffic which building on this area would produce would present a real risk to the children using the local school and add to the already high levels of congestion in the area.

I would therefore like to voice my objection to any proposal to build on the above mentioned land; I would like to stress that I believe the site is unsuitable for housing.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Catherine Snape

Representor No: PRS05742

Representation ID: REP06412 Question Ref: H4

To whom it may concern,

I would like express my opposition to the potential new housing on site 1202 off Victoria Avenue in Horsforth.

I am a local resident and believe that the site is unsuitable for housing.

I understand that the council believe that the site is suitable for 185 houses, however I believe that this would lead to over development of the area. 185 properties is a substantial amount for the plot in question and I do not believe there is sufficient space for this many properties on the site. This will lead to insufficient space to park and a lack of private space for the properties (both new and old) in the area.

It will also greatly increase traffic in the area and the road system will be unable to cope. The A65 is already a very busy road and is only a single carriageway, and will struggle to withstand a large increase in traffic caused by a large increase in housing in the area.

There is likely to be a poor relationship with adjoining buildings. All of the other others houses in the area are long -established and a new housing development would be contradictory to the character of the area.

This also represents a loss of open space. The fields are a large open space that is very desirable for residents in a large city and would be a great loss to local residents.

Name: Hazel Clemmit

Representor No: PRS05743

Representation ID: REP06413 Question Ref: H4

I write in response to Leeds City Council's proposition to site 185 houses off Victoria Ave, Horsforth.

As a new resident to Victoria Walk myself and my partner Nicholas Morgan would like to state our strong opposition to this.

We bought our house solely for the area, being one of the last quieter areas in Leeds where we can raise a family. We payed a high premium for this in the property value and any change in the neighbourhood could strongly affect our housing investment.

The potential housing development is a short term plan and the long term issues have not been considered. The road infrastructure around the area can simply not cope with any additional pressure. The local roads where children can currently be seen playing will be inundated with cars making it a dangerous noisy road.

This is in addition to a loss of a valuable green space where many dog walkers, winter sledgers as well as children playing currently enjoy.

I do not believe any further infrastructure needs such as doctors, schools or sewerage improvements have also been considered.

I beg Leeds council not to destroy one of the last mature community suburbs of Leeds and make it the same mass sprawl identikit areas that much of Leeds has turned into.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Rachel Busch

Representor No: PRS05751

Representation ID: REP06424 Question Ref: H4

I would like to put forward my views on the proposed development of site 1202 In Horsforth. It is my belief that this site is unsuitable for housing development.

As someone who lives on Victoria Crescent very close to the proposed site I already deal with the daily problems of traffic on the Victoria's and such a development would only exacerbate these problems. The roads are incredibly narrow and with the way people park it has happened before that dustbin vans and buses that take local school children swimming are unable to negotiate the streets. Taking this into account building on the site would be most disruptive to current residents of the Victoria's and once the 150 or so houses were built there would be an additional 300 or so cars on these roads which are already struggling.

There is already a great strain on local amenities particularly around the Victoria's. Doctors and dentists are stretched to capacity already and when we moved to the area a couple of years ago the only dentist taking on patients was in Rodley a car ride away. Newlaithes school has recently been expanded but is now a primary school and Reception, Year 1 and Year 2 are already full and the other year's are almost full with only a few unallocated spaces. There would be insufficient places at the local school for children from the new housing development further exacerbating the problem of congested roads during school drop off/pick ups when parents would be driving their children to schools in other areas of Horsforth. Furthermore if access to these houses was along the road from Newlaithes school this would make the journey to school very unsafe for local children walking from other areas of the Victoria's.

Developing this land would be changing the character of the neighbourhood. Currently the fields are used by dog walkers, ramblers and local children and developing this land would be to the detriment of the current residents for all of the above reasons.

Name: John Richardson

Representor No: PRS05806

Representation ID: REP06507 Question Ref: H4

I am writing with regard to the above application for residential development to which i am totally opposed on the grounds of access and the completely inadequate infrastructure in the area to support such a substantial development.

Having lived in the area for nearly 40 years I have seen the roads in the area deteriorate to such an extent that the roundabout where the A65 crosses the ring road is gridlocked twice a day and the roads through the Victorias are becoming impassable due to parked vehicles and others using it as rat run to bypass the A65 jam to get across the ring road roundabout.

Name: Joy Fisher

Representor No: PRS05844

Representation ID: REP06559 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to you today to inform you that I strongly disagree with the proposed development of the area designated 1202 in the district of Horsforth. After reviewing the proposal in detail and considering the local conditions and impact of this proposal it becomes obvious that any development in this area is unwise for both the local and wider community.

My first objection is that the proposal does not take into account the additional traffic and parking problems which will be caused by the extra 185 homes. Does the council realize that the average family in the UK has 1.3 cars (RAC information) hence this development would add an additional 240 cars into the local area. This calculation does not include the obvious extra cars that will visit the area to meet with the residents in the houses for parties / bbq / birthdays ect.... Currently the local community does not have sufficient capacity within the existing road system to accommodate this extra demand. Therefore if a private developer wishes to build houses on this site I suggest that prior to work beginning new parking and access roads need to be build. Also new traffic calming measures will be needed to control the flow of traffic including traffic lights allowing controlled flow onto the main artery road at new road side.

My second objective is that the proposed development will have an negative impact on the local environmental. The extra 240 cars plus visiting cars will produce a far larger carbon foot print than the local area currently has. Add into this equation the extra waste and output in water / electricity / gas and quickly you can see the impact this development has on the local environment. I do not feel these concerns have been fully addressed in the information I have reviewed so far hence I feel the development should be stopped and a full and comprehensive environmental audit undertaken.

In conclusion this proposal does not meet the needs of the local community and therefore this proposal should be rejected and other more acceptable sites must be considered for planning.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Guy & Philippa Hunsworth

Representor No: PRS05847

Representation ID: REP06560 Question Ref: H4

Core Strategy Consultation: Site No. 1202 (Land off Victoria Avenue)

We are writing to confirm that we fundamentally disagree with the classification of Site 1202 (Land off Victoria Avenue, Horsforth) as a potential site for the development of 185 properties.

Given the industrial history of Leeds, developing existing brownfield land should be the primary factor when selecting land for potential future development within the Core Strategy. Local brownfield land should be earmarked for housing developments such as this before any houses are built on existing green belt land. Green belt land was designated to protect the areas from urban sprawl – this should be protected at all costs to stop our towns from merging into one another.

The proposed development nearby on the former Clariant Works and Riverside Mills Sites (on Calverley Lane) already has planning permission for 550 new residential properties. This alone is already going to overstretch the existing infrastructure and create traffic and numerous other problems for all who live in the area. Another housing development such as this will cause major problems in the area leading to misery for all who live and drive through the area en route to work and home.

The adverse impact on the local infrastructure/highways of a large development on Site 1202 would be highly significant. The existing roads around the area of Site 1202 are small and unsuitable for access to a large scale development such as this. The Ring Road and the A65 are also already overstretched with the existing amount of traffic leading to large queues each morning and evening, this area experiences one of the worst cases of traffic congestion in the whole of Leeds and simply would not be able to cope with the additional strain..

There would also be an adverse impact on Newlaithes School and the local residential properties which are directly adjacent to the Site – i.e. child safety during construction – due to the increased amount of traffic, dust, noise & other general disturbances from construction. Swaine Wood will also experience negative impacts during construction and from the presence of 185 new properties to the north of the woodland, this will severely impact the existing ecosystems.

There is no evidence to suggest that the benefits of the development on Site 1202 will outweigh the harm caused to the green belt land. This development should not happen. The Site is green belt land and should be left as such.

Name: Anna Sweeney

Representor No: PRS05848

Representation ID: REP06561 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to express my opposition to your classification of the above site as having potential for 185 houses. I live [x] very close to this site, [x]

My main concern is the access to the site and the increase in traffic it would cause. There appear to be only three options for access:

Off Victoria Avenue, through Site 731 which is currently a field but has planning permission for 15 properties

Via Victoria Crescent, which at present is a cul de sac ending at Horsforth Newlaithes Primary School

Off the Outer Ring Road past Leeds City College Horsforth campus.

The first option would mean more traffic coming off the roundabout where the Ring Road meets the A65. This is already at overcapacity and set to get worse when the Clariant development of over 500 houses is completed.

The second option causes me particular concern as a school governor and parent of three children attending Horsforth Newlaithes Primary School. Making Victoria Crescent into a through road would mean an increase in the volume and speed of traffic and I am very concerned for the safety of children outside the school should that be the case.

The third option would again mean more traffic on the Outer Ring Road/A65 roundabout.

My secondary concern is the effect on the local amenity. At the moment, Site 1202 is fields, on which cows graze, and which form a beautiful and peaceful backdrop to the school and an area which is popular with dog walkers. Building on this would reduce the amount of open space and increase the noise. It may also have an effect on the drainage. The school is at the bottom of the site, which is a hill, and if built on, may cause water to run down the hill into the school grounds, making them muddy and unsuitable for the children to play out on,

For these reasons I oppose the classification of this site as suitable for housing.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: A & E Hebditch & Gemmill

Representor No: PRS05964

Representation ID: REP06740 Question Ref: H4

A large proportion of the capacity for amber sites is contained within six sites (1190, 1202, 2053B, 2063, 3315 and 4013), which are considered to have potential delivery issues. Our client is concerned at a lack of consistency within the assessment of sites as some sites that have been identified as amber appear to have similar constraints as sites that have been identified as red. No clear justification is provided as to why sites with similar constraints have been assessed in different categories. We do not therefore agree that the sites identified as amber represent sites with stronger potential for allocation for future housing development than our Clients Site. Similarly, it appears that site 1202 has severe deliverability issues as it is landlocked and has no road frontage and access can only be obtained through the adjacent allocated site (ref: 731). There is likely to be ransom strips and third party ownership issues that could prevent the site coming forward, however, without knowing the full land ownership details, we have included the site within the amber capacity. However, there are a number of trees located within the site and the capacity stated by the Council will not be met and as such we have reduced the capacity of the site by 30%. Site Ref: 1202

Site Area 8.745

Site Capacity: LPA: 185 BW 56

Council reason for colour coding: Green Belt site. The site is well related to the existing urban area being contained by the college to the west, existing residential to the east and the river to the south, so reducing the potential for further sprawl. Highway concerns re access – development could be accessed through the existing UDP housing allocation in the north east corner. Capacity has been reduced so this site and the housing allocation total 200, the maximum amount of units acceptable due to highway concerns.

Comments: The site capacity should be reduced for the following reasons:

- The site is landlocked and does not have a frontage on to a suitable highway;
- Access can only be gained via the adjacent site that is allocated for residential purposes. If the two sites are not within the same ownership, site 1202 is therefore ransomed and third party ownership issues existing that make the site unachievable;
- However, if the site does come forward the capacity of the site will be restricted as there are a number of trees located throughout the site. The capacity should therefore be reduced by 30%.

Representation ID: REP07275 Question Ref: H4

A large proportion of the capacity for amber sites is contained within six sites (1190, 1202, 2053B, 2063, 3315 and 4013), which are considered to have potential delivery issues. Our client is concerned at a lack of consistency within the assessment of sites as some sites that have been identified as amber appear to have similar constraints as sites that have been identified as red. No clear justification is provided as to why sites with similar constraints have been assessed in different categories. We do not therefore agree that the sites identified as amber represent sites with stronger potential for allocation for future housing development than our Clients Site.

For example, the Council have stated that the capacity of site 3315 is 886 despite the fact that the highways authority have stated that the capacity of the site should be limited to 300 due to access constraints. As the site is dependent on site 1190 coming forward it is considered that this site cannot be considered to be achievable and as such should be removed entirely, however if the Council continue to consider the site for allocation its capacity must be reduced to 300. This in turn means that sites 4013 and 2063 will not be achievable as only the southern half of site 3315 could be developed due to the limited capacity and as such sites 4013 and 2063 would have no physical attachment to the site and would therefore constitute isolated development and would be unsuitable.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: A & E Hebditch & Gemmill

Representor No: PRS05964

Representation ID: REP07275 Question Ref: H5

The site should not be assessed as amber for the following reasons:

- A listed building is located within the site;
- Public rights of way run through the site;
- The Green Belt assessment confirms that development of the site would not constitute 'rounding off' and that the site currently provides access to the countryside;
- Development of the site is not supported by the Council's highway authority.
- The site can only come forward in conjunction with site 3315, which in turn can only come forward in conjunction with site 1190. They are potential third party ownership issues that could prevent it coming forward.

The site capacity should be reduced for the following reasons:

- The site is landlocked and does not have a frontage on to a suitable highway;
- Access can only be gained via the adjacent site that is allocated for residential purposes. If the two sites are not within the same ownership, site 1202 is therefore ransomed and third party ownership issues existing that make the site unachievable;
- However, if the site does come forward the capacity of the site will be restricted as there are a number of trees located throughout the site. The capacity should therefore be reduced by 30%.

Representation ID: REP07275 Question Ref: H5

Site 81: LCC Capacity: 32, BW Capacity: 0
Site 120: LCC Capacity: 40, BW Capacity:
Site 1190: LCC Capacity: 181, BW Capacity: 181
Site 1202: LCC Capacity: 185, BW Capacity: 56
Site 2035B: LCC Capacity: 353, BW Capacity: 0
Site 2063: LCC Capacity: 500, BW Capacity: 0
Site 3315: LCC Capacity: 886, BW Capacity: 300
Site 4013: LCC Capacity: 52, BW Capacity: 0

The removal of the above sites from the amber yield gives a total capacity of 998. When this is combined with the revised green capacity of 796 it provides a total of 1,794 and in order to meet the residual requirement of 3,035, it means that there is a requirement of 1,241 units from red sites

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Zoe Blackburn

Representor No: PRS06019

Representation ID: REP06848 Question Ref: H4

Site 1202 Land off Victoria Avenue - Amber to Red (Assumed)
I currently live on Victoria Grove and would like to oppose the proposed housing off Victoria Avenue for the following reasons:
The traffic coming down Victoria Mount is very busy already and I can't see how this road could cope with the potential increase in traffic that could be an additional 400 cars. The roads are very narrow and you have to stop to let cars through. The increased traffic would be dangerous for children who play out on bikes on the street. The schools are at full capacity and I can't see how we could accommodate the potential extra 400 children into them. I am unable to get my son into after school club at newlathes school for this September and I know many more families in the same situation. This will only get worse if this development is passed.
Also parking round the school is already horrendous, I regularly struggle to get off my drive because of the number of cars parked on my street. This is also a problem for the surrounding streets.
Finally, one of the main things that attracted us to purchase a home in this location was the peaceful aspect, there are lots of wildlife living in the surrounding fields and we have many birds in our garden. It is also great for children to play on the open fields and for families to enjoy walks together. It would be a great shame if this was taken away from our community.
I would be very disappointed if the council allowed this development to proceed.

Representation ID: REP07109 Question Ref: H4

> I currently live on Victoria Grove and would like to oppose the proposed housing off Victoria Avenue for the following reasons:
>>
> The traffic coming down Victoria Mount is very busy already and I can't see how this road could cope with the potential increase in traffic that could be an additional 400 cars. The roads are very narrow and you have to stop to let cars through. The increased traffic would be dangerous for children who play out on bikes on the street.
>>
> The schools are at full capacity and I can't see how we could accommodate the potential extra 400 children into them. I am unable to get my son into after school club at newlathes school for this September and I know many more families in the same situation. This will only get worse if this development is passed.
>>
> Also parking round the school is already horrendous, I regularly struggle to get off my drive because of the number of cars parked on my street. This is also a problem for the surrounding streets.
>>
> Finally, one of the main things that attracted us to purchase a home in this location was the peaceful aspect, there are lots of wildlife living in the surrounding fields and we have many birds in our garden. It is also great for children to play on the open fields and for families to enjoy walks together. It would be a great shame if this was taken away from our community.
>>
> I would be very disappointed if the council allowed this development to proceed.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Morley House Trust

Representor No: PRS06175

Representation ID: REP07066 Question Ref: H5

1202 - see submitted representation for full details

The site should not be assessed as amber for the following reasons:

- The site is landlocked and does not have a frontage on to a suitable highway;
- Access can only be gained via the adjacent site that is allocated for residential purposes. If the two sites are not within the same ownership, site 1202 is therefore ransomed and third party ownership issues existing that make the site unachievable;
- However, if the site does come forward the capacity of the site will be restricted as there are a number of trees located throughout the site. The capacity should therefore be reduced by 30%.

Representation ID: REP07066 Question Ref: General comment

See also submitted representation for full details

large proportion of the capacity for amber sites is contained within six sites (1190, 1202, 2053B, 2063, 3315 and 4013), which are considered to have potential delivery issues. Our client is concerned at a lack of consistency within the assessment of sites as some sites that have been identified as amber appear to have similar constraints as sites that have been identified as red. No clear justification is provided as to why sites with similar constraints have been assessed in different categories. We do not therefore agree that the sites identified as amber represent sites with potential for allocating for future housing development.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Michael Ward

Representor No: PRS06187

Representation ID: REP07076 Question Ref: H4

Sites 731 and 1202

I am writing as I wish to register the strongest objections to the proposed development of the land at Victoria Avenue, Horsforth. (ref: 13/02965/OT) as I believe that this development will have a detrimental effect to the quality of life of people currently living in Horsforth as well as the environmental impact of the loss of more green field sites. The site referred to as 731 and 1202 in the literature are not sites suitable for development for a number of reasons important to many local residents as well as to wildlife. I live on Victoria Mount and this development will directly affect my family and me and will worsen our quality of life.

Firstly, The proposed development will increase the number of cars in Horsforth. The roundabout at the intersection of the A65 and outer ring road is already a point of serious congestion. The proposed development will increase this congestion problem. The 'Keep Clear' at the junction of New Road Side, A65 and Calverley Lane is already a congestion hotspot. This junction is used as a point of access by many for the school run to the Newlaithes School which is at the foot of Victoria Mount as well as a shortcut by people seeking to beat the queues on the A65. People also regularly stop on the 'Keep Clear' road markings exacerbating this congestion problem. Traffic lights on the roundabout will not solve this issue. The lights that are already there at the pedestrian crossing do not improve access. If anything, they increase the number of people stopping on the 'keep clear' and make access and egress more problematic. Having more homes and increasing car numbers that use this junction for access can only make this situation worse. As a parent, the increase in traffic on Victoria Mount and near to Newlaithes School will effect my child's safety.

The second area around which I would like to register objections is that of amenities. The schools in Horsforth are full. Without further provision for local schools you will either have to increase class sizes having a detrimental effect on the education of our children or force parents to find non local schools, this will not only further the traffic congestion problem but also have a detrimental effect on my family as children may not be schooled with their friends in the area. I do not want either of these for my child. I believe that the council should be promoting local schools accessible by foot rather than promoting an increase in the number of vehicles on the road near schools at peak times which this development will do. There is also a strain on other local amenities such as access to health care. Appointments to see my GP can need to be made a week in advance and repeat prescriptions take 48 hours. Emergency appointments are all taken first thing with the GP switchboard jammed from the moment it opens. Accesses to NHS dental services are also scarce in the area. Without consideration for health services the quality of life of everyone in the area will suffer.

Thirdly, there is the environmental impact that the loss of these green fields will have. These fields are used recreationally throughout the year as play spaces for children as well as walkers. Across the river from these fields is the Rodley Nature Reserve. This does not exist in isolation and development on this land will reduce the available land for much of the wildlife that supports the flora and fauna of this reserve. Much of this wildlife, including birds of prey, require large areas of land to sustain their ecosystem and they can often be seen in and above these fields. Removal of these fields will reduce the available habitat at all levels of the food chain. There is also very little accessible green field land left inside the outer ring road. Development on this land will permanently alter this balance. The quality of life of the residents of Victoria Mount, Victoria Avenue and Calverley Lane will also be lower while noisy and dusty construction takes place. There are other, more suitable brown field sites or sites with better access in Leeds and as such I do not believe that you should not be granting permission to destroy accessible green fields in a beautiful area of the city.

All of the above make this proposal detrimental to area. It will make the streets around it a less desirable place to live. It will take a nice place to live, and make it worse forever. I therefore wish to lodge my objections to this in the strongest possible tone and hope that you consider the above carefully.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Chartford Homes & The Diocese Of Leeds

Representor No: PRS06224

Representation ID: REP07129 Question Ref: H4

Similarly, it appears that site 1202 has severe deliverability issues as it is landlocked and has no road frontage and access can only be obtained through the adjacent allocated site (ref: 731). There is likely to be ransom strips and third party ownership issues that could prevent the site coming forward, however, without knowing the full land ownership details, we have included the site within the amber capacity. However, there are a number of trees located within the site and the capacity stated by the Council will not be met and as such we have reduced the capacity of the site by 30%.

Representation ID: REP07129 Question Ref: H5

Site Ref: 1202

Site Area: 8.745

LPA: 185 BW: 56

Council reason for colour coding: Green Belt site. The site is well related to the existing urban area being contained by the college to the west, existing residential to the east and the river to the south, so reducing the potential for further sprawl. Highway concerns re access – development could be accessed through the existing UDP housing allocation in the north east corner. Capacity has been reduced so this site and the housing allocation total 200, the maximum amount of units acceptable due to highway concerns.

Comments: The site capacity should be reduced for the following reasons:

The site is landlocked and does not have a frontage on to a suitable highway;

Access can only be gained via the adjacent site that is allocated for residential purposes. If the two sites are not within the same ownership, site 1202 is therefore ransomed and third party ownership issues existing that make the site unachievable;

However, if the site does come forward the capacity of the site will be restricted as there are a number of trees located throughout the site. The capacity should therefore be reduced by 30%.

Name: Hazel Saxton

Representor No: PRS06295

Representation ID: REP07221 Question Ref: H4

1)Environmental impact

I admire the way Leeds has kept a largely wooded green corridor into the heart of the city along the river Aire. And this in spite of the industrial development served by road, rail and canal. In this area, the woodland is represented by the precious scrap of Swaine Wood. This already has a degraded understorey because of overuse by bikers and walkers. Adding several hundred more people right next to the woodland will hasten the degradation, and over time may threaten its viability

There is also the framework of mature trees and some hedgerows in Newlaithes Fields themselves. These form a valuable resource for wildlife but would, of course, disappear on development. Existing trees never seem to be included in development plans.

2)Access

The planning department already recognizes there are problems with access. I am sure everyone who has responded will have detailed the severe impact of this on the wider area, so I will not tax you with reading it again.

3)Infrastructure

Do developers make a contribution towards any increased costs incurred by the host local authority as the result of the development? A pot-hole levy perhaps or a p-tax for new sewage works? Or do they plan in isolation and leave others to pay for any increased services?

A major problem in this part of Horsforth is provision of school places. The two nearest primary schools, Newlaithes and Featherbank, have already been enlarged to cater for the increase in birth-rate from families already living here. With development already agreed for the Sandoz site and land off Victoria Avenue the pressure on school places will increase. I'm sure I don't need to dot the i's and cross the t's.

4)Health issues.

You must be tired of hearing about traffic problems on the ring road, so may be pleased that I do not intend to detail them again. However, an air pollution study on the hill approaching the roundabout with the A65 might yield interesting results. Are there any plans to do this?

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Victoria Pourzand

Representor No: PRS06300

Representation ID: REP07225 Question Ref: H4

I am writing to outline my objection to proposed development plans for the New Road Side area of Horsforth - specifically site references;

731 Victoria Avenue
1202 Newlaithes Fields
1016 Broadway Field

My objections fall under the following areas;

Green Space Allocation - development of these sites would be visually damaging to the landscape and setting, and result in a significant loss of open space for the local community

Leeds City Council's Local Development Framework identifies a lack of existing green space in Horsforth, particularly in the areas of Parks and Gardens, space for children and young people, and amenities. Both these sites currently provide this much needed space for the local community and any development would result in a significant loss of open space.

*These lands are particularly important for the younger and older generations in the community as they neighbour the local school, and so provide recreational space for local children, as well as long established dog walking routes via a public right of way.

* These sites are home to a range of well established trees and plant life, and neighbour existing agricultural land. Development of this land would result in the loss of important trees and high quality agricultural land.

* The development plan identifies a lack of allotments in the Horsforth area, and it would be in greater keeping with the needs of the Development Plan, local area and its community to assign this land as green space for recreation and allotments, instead of additional housing.

Local Amenities - The Horsforth area is currently stretched to capacity in vital amenities to support its community, and the addition of further housing would have a serious and negative impact on its provision of vital services.

* Local schools are stretched to capacity, with no free places to accommodate additional children.

* There is only one Doctor's Surgery to service the entire New Road Side area, which is already over indexed in key groups requiring above average medical attention (young children and over 55's)

* The recent integration of the Horsforth and Cookridge/Holt Park children's support teams means that Health Visitor and midwife provision in the local area is already stretched.

* There has been a steady increase in the amount of burglary and theft in the area in recent months. Despite the excellent efforts of the local policing teams, the addition of new housing would place further burden on their, already stretched, resources.

* The proximity of the local Cemetery to the sites, as well as the slope of the Newlaithes fields, means that public sewers and existing groundwater management systems would be unable to effectively manage the demands of additional housing.

Local Traffic

* The A65 is the main arterial road for Guiseley, Rawdon and Horsforth to access Leeds City Centre. Traffic at the New Road side roundabout is already gridlocked at rush hour, particularly as it intersects the Ring Road. The existing road system is inadequate to support current, let alone increased, traffic demands. To place further traffic onto that roundabout would have major knock on effects for access into Leeds City Centre from the entire A65 area.

* Calverley Lane provides access to the Horsforth Campus of Leeds City College and is used by young people daily to access their education site. Placing additional housing and traffic in this area would significantly prejudice highway safety and increase the risk of road traffic accidents involving young people in the area.

* Victoria Mount provides the main traffic route to Newlaithes Primary School. Traffic on the road at school pick up and drop off times is already significant. The bend in the road where Victoria Avenue meets Victoria Mount is already tight and an accident risk as cars approaching from opposite directions struggle to pass safely. Adding new traffic to this point would significantly prejudice highway safety - particularly to young children accessing the school.

In summary, the New Road Side area is already stretched in its ability to support the local community that it serves- there are better sites available within the Leeds area that have the capacity to support new residents. To place additional housing in this area would push its services beyond capacity and negatively impact ALL residents. The approved plans for housing at the former Clariant site and former Police Station are already bringing a large number of new homes to the area, and means this area should be discounted from plans for further development.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1202

Housing

Victoria Avenue (land off), Horsforth LS18

Name: Rosemary And Gary Milner

Representor No: PRS06342

Representation ID: REP07309 Question Ref: H4

I would like to strongly object to classifying the plot 1202- Land off Victoria Avenue as suitable for building on. My family and I have enjoyed unrestricted access across these fields for over twenty years...as have many other people. It ought to be a public right of way. To that end, is it possible to apply for a public right of way and if so can you send me the details ASAP.?

Name: Michael Long

Representor No: PRS06750

Representation ID: REP07983 Question Ref: H4

1. Housing Sites

In order to assess the site we have considered the following accessibility criteria:

- Access to the Core Bus Network- within 400m of 4 buses per hour to Leeds, Wakefield or Bradford;
- 20 minutes to primary health;
- 20 minutes to primary education;
- 30 minutes to a secondary school.

The assessments undertaken are based on the current public transport network. For this consultation Metro have compared the outputs of these accessibility criteria against the RAG classifications given by the council. The results for the housing sites are shown in proceeding tables:

Table 1 -Green sites with poor accessibility

Table 2- Amber sites with poor accessibility

Table 3- Red sites with poor accessibility

Table 4- Green and Amber Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

Table 5- Red Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

[See full representation submitted for details of the tables]

Amber Sites outside the Core Public Transport Network

Table 2 below contains sites that are classified as 'Sites which have potential but issues or not as favoured as green sites' but fall outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We are minded to recommend that these sites were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible sites have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of a concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

[see table in full representation submitted which lists sites]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1238

Housing

Oakford Terrace (land to rear of), Low Lane, Horsforth LS18

Name: Joan Hick

Representor No: PRS03162

Representation ID: REP01765 Question Ref: G13

do not know the site

Name: Mark Lewis

Representor No: PRS04855

Representation ID: REP00877 Question Ref: G13

As above

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1238

Housing

Oakford Terrace (land to rear of), Low Lane, Horsforth LS18

Name: AR Briggs & Co

Representor No: PRS06106

Representation ID: REP06973 Question Ref: H3

1238 - objection to red. Should be green.

the 'North Housing Market Characteristic Area', we consider that 'Land to the Rear of Oakford Terrace, Low Lane, Horsforth' (Site Reference: 1238) should be identified as 'Green' because it is one of the most suitable sites for allocation for housing.

2.5 Our client is proposing to provide a residential scheme comprising of between 10 to 15 dwellings based on SHLAA methodology. This would consist of a mix of dwelling types and tenures with an emphasis on family houses. The housing mix would reflect the Council's Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2011). The proposal would also provide an element of affordable housing.

2.6 The site is 0.5 hectares in size and is situated on the south eastern edge of the Horsforth. The site has frontage directly onto Oakford Terrace, which is a single width private access road. The site currently consists of low quality shrub and grassland. The Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment identifies the site as previously developed land.

2.7 The surrounding area comprise of a mixture of residential and commercial developments. To the north of the site on Oakford Terrace is a row of five terrace properties. There is also a former detached residential property, which has been converted to professional offices. Equally, further to the north of is a purpose built office block. To the west is Low Lane and a number of residential properties. To the east of the site is a residential property with a number of workshops and other temporary buildings. To the south, is an open greenspace and bowling green. The greenspace is actively managed and is clearly different in character and appearance from the land at Oakford Terrace.

2.8 As shown, the site is bounded on two sides by built development. The site is well related to the existing pattern of development and would represent the efficient use of previously developed land. The development of the site would not extend the built form and would represent an appropriate rounding off of the settlement. It is considered that Oakford Terrace could be upgraded to the required standard to accommodate the modest amount of development proposed. There are no insurmountable obstacles to the development of the site and therefore it is considered fully deliverable in accordance with paragraph 47 of the NPPF. Equally, having reviewed the green and amber rated sites in the 'main urban area', it is considered that Site 1242 represents one of the most appropriate sites for allocation when considered reasonable alternatives.

2.9 We are conscious of the considerations set out in Policy SP6 in the Core Strategy (CS) as outlined in the introductory Chapter to these representations. Dealing with those provisions in turn,

i. Whether the proposal is a Sustainable location, which is supported by existing or access to new facilities and services.

2.10 The site is located on the edge of Horsforth, which forms part of the 'Main Urban Area' and therefore is intrinsically a sustainable location for development. The site is within easy walking distance of a number of shops on Low Lane and a primary school. There are existing bus stops within close proximity of the site on Low Lane, which provide a regular and frequent bus service to Leeds City Centre. Therefore, it is considered that the site is in a highly accessible and sustainable location in accordance with draft Policy T2 in the emerging Core Strategy.

ii. Preference for brownfield and regeneration sites.

2.11 The Council's assessment identifies that the site constitutes previously developed land. Therefore the site should be a high priority for allocation in accordance with paragraph 17 of the NPPF, which seeks to encourage the effective use of previously developed land. Equally, the site is currently an eyesore, which detracts from the local area. Therefore the allocation of the site would bring the land into beneficial use and would help revitalise this part of Horsforth.

iii. The least impact on Green Belt purposes

2.12 The site is located within the Green Belt. However its allocation would not harm any of the five purposes of Green Belt set out in paragraph 80. The site is bordered onto by built development on two sites and therefore its allocation would not lead to the outward sprawl of the settlement or the encouragement into the countryside. The allocation of the site would not lead to the coalescence of settlements and would not impinge on the green gap between Horsforth and Leeds. Equally, the site is previously developed and its allocation would assist in the recycling of derelict land.

iv. Opportunities to reinforce or enhance the distinctiveness of existing neighbourhoods and quality of life of local communities through the design and standard of new homes.

2.13 The development of the site would represent a natural rounding off of the settlement. We consider that the site is suitable for a relatively low-density development of family housing, which takes into account the topography, existing vegetation, and other features on the site. The allocation would secure the reuse of the previously developed site, which would assist in the urban revitalisation of this part of Horsforth. Equally, it would help meet a local need for family housing and diversify the local housing stock. The scheme would incorporate a generous landscape buffer along its southern boundary, therefore providing a clear defensible boundary to the south-eastern edge of Horsforth.

v) The need for realistic lead in times and build out rates for housing construction

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1238

Housing

Oakford Terrace (land to rear of), Low Lane, Horsforth LS18

Name: AR Briggs & Co

Representor No: PRS06106

2.14 The site is available. It is wholly within the ownership of our client who is committed to bringing the site forward for housing development. It is considered that there would be minimal lead in time and the development could be built out within 1 year.

vi) The least negative and most positive impacts on green infrastructure, green corridors, greenspace and nature conservation.

2.15 It is recognised that the site is designated as a 'proposed open space' in Policy N5. However there is no public access to the land and therefore the site has little or no amenity or recreational value. Equally, the site has no intrinsic landscape quality and it does not make any visual contribution to the settlement. The Councils own assessment identifies that there is a surplus of natural greenspace within Horsforth and therefore there is no justification for maintaining the greenspace designation on the site.

vii) Generally avoiding or mitigating areas of flood risk

2.16 It is recognised that the site is located with Flood Zone 3i. However the allocation would represent a redevelopment opportunity of previously developed land and therefore there are no reasonably available alternatives as the opportunity is site specific.

2.17 In setting out these comments, it is recognised that there is a need to undertake further professional and technical studies. Further discussion with the Council is welcomed for bringing this site forward, including the preparation of additional technical and feasibility reports (for example topography, flood risk and drainage, ecology, arboricultural and habitat surveys) as the Council may require over the coming months.

2.18 In accordance with Paragraph 47 of the NPPF, Site 1238 is fully deliverable as it is available now, offers a suitable location for development now, and is achievable with a realistic prospect that housing will be delivered on the site within five years and the development is entirely viable. There are no flood risk or contamination issues on the site. The site is well related to the existing built up area and would have minimal impact on the wider landscape. The proposal would deliver significant public benefits particularly in regards to delivering affordable housing, and providing an attractive and defensible development boundary. Based on the above, we consider that the site is available, suitable and achievable and therefore should be categorised as 'GREEN'.

Representation ID: REP06973 Question Ref: H7

1238 - objection to red. Should be green.

For the reasons set out above, we consider that Site 1238 should be identified as 'Green' because it has the greatest potential for allocation for housing.

For additional comments, see full representation

Representation ID: REP06973 Question Ref: H8

1238 - objection to red. Should be green.

For the reasons set out above, we consider that Site 1238 should be identified as 'Green' because it has the greatest potential for allocation for housing.

For additional comments, see full representation

Representation ID: REP06973 Question Ref: H11

1238

We do not consider that housing sites should be phased over particular periods of the Core Strategy, as this is a matter for the market to determine. However as demonstrated Site 1238 is fully deliverable and therefore could come forward in the first five years of the Local Plan period.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1238

Housing

Oakford Terrace (land to rear of), Low Lane, Horsforth LS18

Name: Michael Long

Representor No: PRS06750

Representation ID: REP07983 Question Ref: H7

1. Housing Sites

In order to assess the site we have considered the following accessibility criteria:

- Access to the Core Bus Network- within 400m of 4 buses per hour to Leeds, Wakefield or Bradford;
- 20 minutes to primary health;
- 20 minutes to primary education;
- 30 minutes to a secondary school.

The assessments undertaken are based on the current public transport network. For this consultation Metro have compared the outputs of these accessibility criteria against the RAG classifications given by the council. The results for the housing sites are shown in proceeding tables:

Table 1 -Green sites with poor accessibility

Table 2- Amber sites with poor accessibility

Table 3- Red sites with poor accessibility

Table 4- Green and Amber Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

Table 5- Red Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

[See full representation submitted for details of the tables]

Red Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

Table 5 below contains sites that are classified as 'Sites not considered suitable for allocation for housing' that fall within 400m of the current core bus network. From a public transport perspective, these sites (in principle) would be acceptable for housing development. For the larger sites, site specific mitigation would be required but it is unlikely that substantial bus service provision would be required at these sites (based on the current public transport network).

[see table in full representation submitted which lists sites]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Joan Penny Ewens

Representor No: PRS00218

Representation ID: REP04720 Question Ref: H1

Site Allocations Plan: site ref 1242: Paddock, Church lane, Meanwood, LS6
Meanwood is as near to being a traditional English village as is possible in this changing world. It has a parish church, a parochial hall, a cemetery, a methodist church, a park with a children's play area and bowling greens, a village church school, a village institute (host to local residents' organisations and activities), sheltered housing, GP surgeries, shops, small enterprises, a post office, allotments and associated annual flower and vegetable show, children's play sessions, registered child-minders, a cricket ground and pavilion adjacent to a public house, three supermarkets. The site has easy access for most Meanwood residents.

The 51 bus route run to the Moortown shopping development and to town.

The 52 bus route has the same destinations but runs along Stonegate Road.

The 38 bus which runs through the shopping area is part of a circular route to other parts of the city.

Traffic access is difficult to visualise for the proposed site.

Church Lane climbs a steep hill with a blind bend at the top. It is a busy road for cars and the 51 bus

The entrance to the church and parochial hall car park lies behind a wall at the lower part of the site

which is a continuation of the church cemetery. At the top of the site is a wooden fence and below

that (partly crossing the site) are fences to the two diocesan houses' gardens whose foundations

were (the builders told me) in 12 foot of water when they were built.

The land which is proposed to hold 25 houses is boggy. I believe a spring line is involved.

Access to the site for cars, delivery vehicles, emergency vehicles and wheelchair users would be

difficult.

The outcomes, if there were to be houses built on the site, become apparent mainly in the paragraph

above starting with Traffic Access.

Expert through experience

Representation ID: REP02155 Question Ref: H1

1242 - Site Allocations Plan: site ref 1242: Paddock, Church lane, Meanwood, LS6

Meanwood is as near to being a traditional English village as is possible in this changing world. It has a parish church, a parochial hall, a cemetery, a methodist church, a park with a children's play area and bowling greens, a village church school, a village institute (host to local residents' organisations and activities), sheltered housing, GP surgeries, shops, small enterprises, a post office, allotments and associated annual flower and vegetable show, children's play sessions, registered child-minders, a cricket ground and pavilion adjacent to a public house, three supermarkets. The site has easy access for most Meanwood residents.

The 51 bus route run to the Moortown shopping development and to town.

The 52 bus route has the same destinations but runs along Stonegate Road.

The 38 bus which runs through the shopping area is part of a circular route to other parts of the city.

Traffic access is difficult to visualise for the proposed site.

Church Lane climbs a steep hill with a blind bend at the top. It is a busy road for cars and the 51 bus

The entrance to the church and parochial hall car park lies behind a wall at the lower part of the site

which is a continuation of the church cemetery. At the top of the site is a wooden fence and below

that (partly crossing the site) are fences to the two diocesan houses' gardens whose foundations

were (the builders told me) in 12 foot of water when they were built.

The land which is proposed to hold 25 houses is boggy. I believe a spring line is involved.

Access to the site for cars, delivery vehicles, emergency vehicles and wheelchair users would be

difficult.

The outcomes, if there were to be houses built on the site, become apparent mainly in the paragraph

above starting with Traffic Access.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Joan Penny Ewens

Representor No: PRS00218

Representation ID: REP03628 Question Ref: H1

Meanwood is as near to being a traditional English village as is possible in this changing world. It has a parish church, a parochial hall, a cemetery, a methodist church, a park with a children's play area and bowling greens, a village church school, a village institute (host to local residents' organisations and activities), sheltered housing, GP surgeries, shops, small enterprises, a post office; allotments and associated annual flower and vegetable show, children's play sessions, registered child-minders, a cricket ground and pavilion adjacent to a public house, three supermarkets. The site has easy access for most Meanwood residents.

The 51 bus route runs to the Moortown shopping development and to town.

The 52 bus route has the same destinations but runs along Stonegate Road.

The 38 bus which runs through the shopping area is part of a circular route to other parts of the city.

Traffic access is difficult to visualise for the proposed site.

Church Lane climbs a steep hill with a blind bend at the top. It is a busy road for cars and the 51 bus

The entrance to the church and parochial hall car park lies behind a wall at the lower part of the site

which is a continuation of the church cemetery. At the top of the site is a wooden fence and below

that (partly crossing the site) are fences to the two diocesan houses' gardens whose foundations

were (the builders told me) in 12 foot of water when they were built.

The land which is proposed to hold 25 houses is boggy. I believe a spring line is involved. Access to the site for cars, delivery vehicles, emergency vehicles and wheelchair users would be difficult.

The outcomes, if there were to be houses built on the site, become apparent mainly in the paragraph above starting with Traffic Access.

Name: M Jackson

Representor No: PRS00238

Representation ID: REP02172 Question Ref: H1

1242 - Re: Site Allocations Plan — Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood, Leeds 6
Code Green

With reference to the above named document and site, we wish to register our objections on behalf of the Meanwood Holy Trinity Church Parochial Church Council. Our objections are based on the following:

1. There is no practical access to the site due to the ground and road levels and surrounding properties.
2. Further housing in the area would add to existing traffic congestion.
3. The bus stop bordering on to the site is the busiest one in Meanwood for the pick up of children on school buses — hence there are concerns for the safety of the children
4. The site itself has drainage problems as a watercourse runs through it.
5. There is concern regarding damage to church property and trees during construction and when the properties are occupied.
6. The local primary school is already very heavy oversubscribed.

We trust that you will take our objections into account and would be interested to hear of further developments on this issue.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Alicia Casper

Representor No: PRS01066

Representation ID: REP03130 Question Ref: H1

1242 - Below are listed my reasons for being in favour of the site NOT being developed as a residential site;

1-- Access would be a very pronounced difficulty due to the, combined, proximity of the church yard & the steepness of the incline from Church Lane itself down into The Paddock. Also, the site is very much on a blind corner/ blind rise. There have been far too many very near misses on this road for me to not mention this factor. I believe this would impact seriously on the use of the land as a site for building; residential or otherwise.

2-- The land is prone to flooding.

3-- Church Lane is already an overburdened road for its location and type.

4-- We live in a time when the preservation of green space is deeply important & MUST be thought of seriously, both as a reflection of global conservation concerns- for instance, at this point in history- the plight of the Honey Bee. Also on a local level- if every green space is developed then Meanwood, and areas like it, lose their intrinsic value & a sense of community history is lost, as well as the very basic & important, yet too often overlooked, aspect of space & beauty in the urban environment.

I urge you to reconsider the points that this site has been awarded as they seem inaccurate, particularly in relation to points other sites have been awarded that seem to be much more appropriate in terms of... perhaps being more accessible, but also more- derelict?

Further to these initial concerns I wish to suggest that such a site as The Paddock could be very effectively used as a focus of local engagement with such environmental concerns as the previously mentioned -globally serious & potentially lethal- demise of the Honey Bee, through an organisation of planting out The Paddock as a traditional English Meadow. Something statistically linked to the bee's current situation. If I may say so this would also be a profoundly good thing to do, it would be something to be so very, very proud of. (such things can bring great monetary, as well as heartfelt community spirit & pride, value to an area).

In recent years well over 80% of green field sites have been sold off for development. I fully appreciate the heavy pressures you must face in terms of housing and other long-term needs for a community. But, please- also look further into the future and consider that the preservation & management of a site like The Paddock could be fundamental to the survival of any number of crucial elements of our ecosystem. Whilst simultaneously bringing pertinent education & joy to a local, & broader, population.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Alicia Casper

Representor No: PRS01066

Representation ID: REP05582 Question Ref: H1

REGARDING SITE 1242, THE PADDOCK, CHURCH LANE, MEANWOOD.

>
> To whom it may concern,
>
> I should like to respond to the proposal to develop The Paddock on
> Church Lane, Meanwood, LS6. SITE; 1242> Below are listed my reasons for being in favour of the site NOT being
> developed as a residential site;
>
> 1-- Access would be a very pronounced difficulty due to the, combined,
> proximity of the church yard & the steepness of the incline from
> Church Lane itself down into The Paddock. Also, the site is very much
> on a blind corner/ blind rise. There have been far too many very near
> misses on this road for me to not mention this factor. I believe this
> would impact seriously on the use of the land as a site for building;
> residential or otherwise.
>
> 2-- The land is prone to flooding.
>
> 3-- Church Lane is already an overburdened road for it's location and
> type.
>
> 4-- We live in a time when the preservation of green space is deeply
> important & MUST be thought of seriously, both as a reflection of
> global conservation concerns- for instance, at this point in history-
> the plight of the Honey Bee. Also on a local level- if every green
> space is developed then Meanwood, and areas like it, lose their
> intrinsic value & a sense of community history is lost, as well as the
> very basic & important, yet too often overlooked, aspect of space &
> beauty in the urban environment.
>
> I urge you to reconsider the points that this site has been awarded as
> they seem inaccurate, particularly in relation to points other sites
> have been awarded that seem to be much more appropriate in terms of...
> perhaps being more accessible, but also more- derelict?
>
> Further to these initial concerns I wish to suggest that such a site
> as The Paddock could be very effectively used as a focus of local
> engagement with such environmental concerns as the previously
> mentioned -globally serious & potentially lethal- demise of the Honey
> Bee, through an organisation of planting out The Paddock as a
> traditional English Meadow. Something statistically linked to the
> bee's current situation. If I may say so this would also be a
> profoundly good thing to do, it would be something to be so very, very
> proud of. (such things can bring great monetary, as well as heartfelt
> community spirit & pride, value to an area).

Name: Doreen Wood

Representor No: PRS01306

Representation ID: REP03414 Question Ref: H1

I have been informed that this field is being considered as building land for 25 houses.
Some 30 years ago it was planned to build a school there but the building did not take place because the ground was water-logged by
underground springs. In addition, access to the site could be difficult except through the burial ground of the church.
For these reasons I suggest that this site is not suitable for housing.

Name: Terry Drever

Representor No: PRS01859

Representation ID: REP04029 Question Ref: H1

I am requesting an extension to the consultation period due to lack of information about this site.
I have concerns about housing on this site. I live in the street that overlooks the green space and is one of the reasons I moved here. We need to
keep local parts in Meanwood and the church with this green space is one of them. There is already excessive traffic noise from Church Lane and
additional housing will add to this. The noise from the 2 houses already built on this space and owned by the church is carried upwards not only in
the street I live in but the houses backing onto mine. More housing will result in more noise. Also, the local school is already full to capacity and
local people living in the area are having problems getting a place. More housing will add to the problem. Residents want to keep green space and
keep Meanwood for what it is known for.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: P Ainsworth

Representor No: PRS01967

Representation ID: REP04156 Question Ref: H1

Dear Sir/Madam

I am sending this by mail although I have submitted by email but found the process very difficult and am very limited for time due to the lateness in hearing of the site allocation plan and other commitments before the 29th July deadline.

I am writing to express my thoughts on the site allocation plan siting the Paddock, Meanwood, site ref 1242 as green with a suitability to build up to 25 houses. I find it hard to understand that it scored 15/15 for suitability for the following reasons.

Reasons for being against the proposed building of houses on the Paddock, Meanwood, site ref 1242

1. Access

The field is set down from Church Lane which is now a busy road used not only by local residents but as a cut through to the ring road for people commuting further out of Leeds. This has become busier since the new Waitrose was built. There is a significant slope down to the field from the road, which would make access to Church Lane from the field difficult, and there is an old stone wall, which is part of the field boundary. It is hard to conceive how the builders would create the access to the field — if it were at the junction of Parkside Green and Church Lane this would make the junction more hazardous and cars have a tendency to speed up and down Church Lane. The bus stop is located at this point at both sides of the road so it would be an increased hazard to those using the buses. This bus stop is a key bus stop to children using the school bus to Abbey Grange so has a number of children congregating at this point in the morning. There is also a footpath running down to the church from Parkside Green next to the field.

2. Drainage. There is an underground spring running through the field — in the winter times the field is extremely muddy over a large area and running water can be seen. There are 2 houses currently built in the field and there were extensive problems in building the houses due to the spring — 2 attempts had to be made to build the houses and they are now built on rafts for their foundations. If the builders were to sort out the drainage in the field would this cause problems to properties further down from the field as they would get increased water at wetter times whereas at present the field acts like a mini flood plain. If this water drained to Meanwood beck this would add to the beck, which has been known to flood, so would this have an affect on houses near the beck liable for flooding?

3. The effect of more houses in the vicinity — at present the local primary school is oversubscribed and families living as close as Church Avenue have been known not to get into the school causing a lot of angst to the families involved — more houses and therefore more families in the area would only increase this problem.

4. The environmental impact. The field is a valuable green space in Meanwood, which has a very village like community. Local people on the way past the field enjoy stopping to watch the wildlife in the field - a family of foxes live on this field and locals take pleasure over watching the cubs play in the field. The field is also frequently used as a paddock with horses grazing causing pleasure to people walking by. There are a number of mature trees on the boundary of the field including, lime trees, horse chestnuts etc. The field acts as a haven to birds and wildlife — see below list of birds and wildlife observed in the field. The field is situated next to Holy Trinity Church, which is a grade 2 listed building, and complements it's setting.

Birds and wildlife in the paddock

Blue tit

Great tit

Coal tit

Long tailed tit

Goldfinch

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: P Ainsworth

Representor No: PRS01967

Greenfinch
Chaffinch
Bullfinch
Nuthatch
Siskin
Dunnock
Sparrow
Black cap
Goldcrest
Wren
Robin
Blackbird
Crow
Jay
Magpie
Thrush
Redwing
Sparrow hawk
Starling
Wood pigeon
Collared dove
Greater spotted woodpecker
Swallows
Swifts
Tawny owl
Barn owl
Red Kite
Mallard
Dragonfly
Damsel fly
Peacock butterfly
Browning butterfly
Cabbage white butterfly
Red admiral butterfly
Monarch butterfly
Painted lady butterfly
Foxes
Bats
Unknown as to frogs, toads and newts
Flowers unknown.
Please could you keep me informed of any further development in regards site ref 1242.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: K Cairns

Representor No: PRS02046

Representation ID: REP04248 Question Ref: H1

No.
We do not consider this site suitable for future housing.

Representation ID: REP04248 Question Ref: H2

1242 - [historical documents also enclosed - these have been scanned]
SITE NAME AND REFERENCE - THE PADDOCK, CHURCH LANE, MEANWOOD, LEEDS L56 - REF 1242
We are [x] and wish to put forward our comments with regard to the above.
Firstly please find enclosed copies of correspondence dating from October 2000 to February 2001. At this time this piece of land was put up for sale by the Ripon Diocese. A "For Sale" sign appeared at the boundary with Church Lane and if my memory serves me well it stated that the site was available for development including Commercial. You will see from the information in the enclosed correspondence that the concerns then are the same as those now.
We understand that the Green grading of this land is because it has 15 out of 15 requirements to satisfy the needs of developers and house owners. i.e. Utility services available to access, public transport, health services, dental, shopping, leisure etc.
Obviously a convenient school is a necessity for families. This is one aspect which can be questioned. Although Green Road primary school is most convenient for families on Church Lane and Meanwood in general it is vastly over subscribed now and has been since the creation of the housing estate on the Meanwood Hospital site which starts at the top of Church Lane where it has a junction with Tongue Lane and Parkside Road at the mini roundabout. Families already established in Meanwood are having difficulty in securing a place even though they are in the school's catchment area.
The matter of highway access is indicated as OK according to the Green grading. Where exactly would this site be accessed by road. The site itself is a peculiar shape. It does have a boundary with Church Lane which is an already established but busy road. Church Lane is not level anywhere and proceeding downhill from Tongue Lane mini roundabout slopes steadily all the way past the paddock wall. When it reaches the end of the paddock wall (Grade 2 listed) you have the church Lych Gate and the lane has already started bearing to the left. When standing at the bus stop at the end of Parkside Green you cannot see the remainder of the road downhill. Also there is a road coming in from the right from the Holmwood estate just before the Lych Gate on the left. Between the Lych Gate and the first house beyond it is a narrow driveway which leads to the parochial hall and the church car park. That is quite a busy section of road being sloping, bendy and certainly not a place where sensible pedestrians would try and cross the road. Another matter with regard to the wall which is the site boundary with Church Lane there have been two incidents I know of whereby vehicles coming downhill have left the road when negotiating the bend to the left. The first occasion a few years ago resulted in a small car ending up on the footpath having crossed the grass verge and scraping along the wall a few feet before stopping. The wall was not damaged and the car presumably had body work damage but was able to continue on its way.
The second incident less than 2 years ago resulted in a 4 x 4 hitting the wall, knocking it down and straddling the resulting pile of stones. It had to be recovered by a suitable vehicle. Behind said wall is a considerable slope and had this car tumbled down it who knows what may have happened. Speeding in

Church Lane can be an issue and has to be the reason for these two incidents.
The two houses which are immediately north of the site were built about 25 years ago. Owing to the wetness of the land they have had to be underpinned (I think that is the term) twice. A lady in this neighbourhood recalls the two houses being built and that problems appeared almost immediately and the builder involved went out of business owing to the costly nature of remedial work required. The second lot of work was carried out during the time I have lived here. These two houses have a shared drive. In the winter months when road conditions are bad the residents park their cars on Parkside Green as it is almost impossible and unsafe to negotiate the steep slope of the drive. In fact Parkside Green is used by other nearby residents when the roads are bad to park their cars as they have sloping drives. We the residents of Parkside Green get together in snowy times to clear the road in order to facilitate easy access in and out for ourselves only to find the street full of cars taking advantage of nice clear areas.
A major concern is the matter of the drainage system in this area. The system was enhanced when the hospital site was developed. This created a problem for good old Parkside Green when during a torrential rain storm sewage and stuff from the main drain flowing down Church Lane decided to turn left into PG and most of the gardens there were contaminated. The water board sorted it out and during digging to establish the problem it seems that something that should never have entered the drain had caused a blockage. That something was a workman's coat.
Parkside Green has always had a problem with the drains owing to the narrow guage used when built. We all have to be mindful of this and are vigilant in checking our manholes regularly.
Finally the matter of the site itself. It is waterlogged most of the year and freezes over in winter. The indication that there is enough area for 25 houses seems odd. The site is practically encircled by trees within the boundary. The ground area is sloping at worst and uneven at best. Can't we just leave it alone to be enjoyed by everyone who views it not just those of us fortunate enough to live by it.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: B Sheard

Representor No: PRS02479

Representation ID: REP04746 Question Ref: H1

I am writing to register my opposition to the potential development of The Paddock Church Lane Meanwood for 25 New Built Houses. Having lived in Meanwood for over 30 years I have witnessed the loss of green area upon green area along Church Lane culminating in the new housing estates on what was the scenic grounds of Meanwood Learning Disability Hospital. The above site marked as green on your forward planning site as having the greatest potential to be allocated for housing would mean the loss of one of the few remaining green areas of Meanwood resulting in the area becoming one big housing estate. I appreciate that Meanwood Park is a beautiful green area, but for the elderly like myself (I am nearly 83 years old) who aren't particularly mobile, it is hardly accessible down the steep incline of Church Lane, whereas the above green site is. I would also like to point out that I can envisage serious traffic problems if the development goes ahead. The Police already periodically have speed traps midway down Church Lane, to discourage drivers speeding down the incline of Church Lane towards the junction at the bottom with Green Road. To make matters worse, this is also over the brow of the hill of Church Lane where drivers are blind to what's occurring ahead. I dread to think of the possible consequences of having a road exiting and entering Church Lane from a new road serving a new housing estate. This in my opinion would be extremely hazardous

Name: Harry Vasey

Representor No: PRS02529

Representation ID: REP04818 Question Ref: H1

Area does not require more housing. Traffic already too heavy, access would cause problems. Wildlife would be affected. Ground previously found to be unsuitable

Name: Susan Walker

Representor No: PRS02652

Representation ID: REP05098 Question Ref: H1

This is green space. Traffic has already increased on Church Lane because of Waitrose. Where would access be for 25 houses? This will cause major disruption on an already busy road. Some of the trees must be protected thr land is uneven and boggy - not suitable for building on the field has wild life present on it. I do not think it should be considered for future housing developments for these reasons. Also close proximity to graves must be considered. Schools in the area are already over capacity and full.

Name: Michael Canning

Representor No: PRS02861

Representation ID: REP05415 Question Ref: H1

1242 - Green to Red (Assumed)

Representation ID: REP00888 Question Ref: General comment

I am very concerned about the site ref 1242 site - Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood LS6. This has been rated as green for residential recommending 25 houses to be built on it. I am concerned that it has been given such a high score because of its absolute unsuitability for building on. This area should never be opened for development because of its high value to the local community and its biodiversity and wider hydro-ecological functions. A longer comment will be sent separately about this.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Rona Boyes

Representor No: PRS03090

Representation ID: REP00762 Question Ref: H1

This is one of the few remaining uncultivated wet meadow areas, and teams with wildlife, The site is steeply sloping and has several natural springs running through it, frequently flooding in lower levels. Many local gardens have spring fed stream running through them, and to alter the water table would affect a great many house and their foundations. this is the only remaining pasture in the immediate area, which used to be park land and green fields. We already have had huge developments robbing us and wildlife of woods and green areas locally. The local roads are already overused, particularly since access to Parkside Road from the Ring Road was closed. Local schools are oversubscribed, and putting even more council housing in this area is not acceptable. It would also affect the cemetery, which is already waterlogged in areas, and possibly the church foundations.

Representation ID: REP00762 Question Ref: H3

lis a green labelled site, though goodness knows why!

Representation ID: REP00762 Question Ref: H5

5.2.M This is one of the few remaining uncultivated wet meadow areas, and teams with wildlife, The site is steeply sloping and has several natural springs running through it, frequently flooding in lower levels. Many local gardens have spring fed stream running through them, and to alter the water table would affect a great many house and their foundations. this is the only remaining pasture in the immediate area, which used to be park land and green fields. We already have had huge developments robbing us and wildlife of woods and green areas locally. The local roads are already overused, particularly since access to Parkside Road from the Ring Road was closed. Local schools are oversubscribed, and putting even more council housing in this area is not acceptable. It would also affect the cemetery, which is already waterlogged in areas, and possibly the church foundations.

Representation ID: REP00762 Question Ref: H2

5.2.M The Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood, Leeds 6This is one of the few remaining uncultivated wet meadow areas, and teams with wildlife, The site is steeply sloping and has several natural springs running through it, frequently flooding in lower levels. Many local gardens have spring fed stream running through them, and to alter the water table would affect a great many house and their foundations. this is the only remaining pasture in the immediate area, which used to be park land and green fields. We already have had huge developments robbing us and wildlife of woods and green areas locally. The local roads are already overused, particularly since access to Parkside Road from the Ring Road was closed. Local schools are oversubscribed, and putting even more council housing in this area is not acceptable. It would also affect the cemetery, which is already waterlogged in areas, and possibly the church foundations.

Name: Karen Kelly

Representor No: PRS03754

Representation ID: REP00626 Question Ref: H1

To many houses on the site.

Representation ID: REP00626 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref 1242I would have liked to have given a more informed response. However I do not feel that this site allocation has given enough time of information to the residents of this area(This may also be true in other areas of Leeds?)I live very close to this area and walk past it each day - no planning application notices are up on any lamp-posts etc. From what I have learned over the weekend (local residents posting leaflets) This has been the case for all our local residents. This is not good. We have a very strong sense of community in this area and should have been consulted. I have tried to access your website (hence this reply) However it is not user friendly and I have found it very difficult to navigate and I use computers regularly. I still havnt found an area that provides any information about the full extent of the plans or how they came about ie who is planning to build, what is planed (someone told me it was 25 houses ?) and who owns the land etc.I will try again later however I dont think this is at all acceptable.I do know some residents are planning to attend the meetings but as no one seemed to know about this till late last week we have already missed half of the consultation period. This is not really acceptable, and I am disappointed in the council.

Name: Susan Higgins

Representor No: PRS03831

Representation ID: REP01498 Question Ref: H11

1242/1244/3110 in the long term

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Sylvia Jay

Representor No: PRS03841

Representation ID: REP01068 Question Ref: H1

Transition Meanwood disagrees in particular with the allocation of 1 site in Meanwood: Ref.1242 Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood, LS6 I am a member of the core group of Transition Meanwood. We have not had the opportunity to discuss the Site Allocation Plan - since we have only recently become aware of the consultation and have not had time to agree a coordinated response (see comments 2 in 'Any other comments' box). However, I believe that my comments given within this response all fall within the aims of the group. Transition Meanwood is a community group that was established in 2009 and has been actively organising interesting and fun green events for the local community. We aim to bring Meanwood people together to make our community stronger and more sustainable. Supporting each other to make the transition from an energy hungry lifestyle to one with a reduced carbon footprint is at the heart of all our activities. We put on events encouraging people to save energy, grow their own food, organise seedling swaps, learn traditional crafts and skills and join in our annual community apple harvest. We comment on policies and proposed developments that we consider will either contribute to or conflict with our aim of reducing the carbon footprint of our community.

Representation ID: REP01068 Question Ref: H7

We strongly agree that sites 1170 and 3376 are not suitable for housing. The reasons for this are similar to those for disagreeing with green coding for 1242 and 2055. These reasons are details in the comments box.

Representation ID: REP01068 Question Ref: H9

Two sites: Site Ref. 1242 Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood, LS6 Our detailed reasons do not fit within the word allocation for this box and will be supplied elsewhere - by separate email if necessary. However, our reasons for considering that this site should be colour coded red come under the headings below: Character and heritage of Meanwood Conflict with Meanwood Valley Green Infrastructure Corridor Permanent loss of other opportunities for the site Alternative solutions to the need for housing Traffic issues Shortage of primary school places in the area Alternative solutions to the need for housing Site Ref. 2055 This site is a significant area of green space, including mature trees and allotments. Change of use of any of this area through development of housing on the green space would be a significant lost opportunity to the local community and for wildlife.

Representation ID: REP01068 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref. 1242 Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood, LS6 Our detailed reasons do not fit within the word allocation for this box and are therefore given in the 'Any other comments' box. Our reasons for objecting to allocation for housing at this site come under the headings below. Character and heritage of Meanwood Conflict with Meanwood Valley Green Infrastructure Corridor Permanent loss of other opportunities for the site Alternative solutions to the need for housing Traffic issues Shortage of primary school places in the area Alternative solutions to the need for housing

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Sheelah Ainsworth

Representor No: PRS03965

Representation ID: REP01129 Question Ref: H11

n/a - I have very limited time and wanted to put my point across about site ref 1242 not complete a lengthy questionnaire

Representation ID: REP01129 Question Ref: H13

n/a - I have very limited time and wanted to put my point across about site ref 1242 not complete a lengthy questionnaire

Representation ID: REP01129 Question Ref: H14

n/a - I have very limited time and wanted to put my point across about site ref 1242 not complete a lengthy questionnaire

Representation ID: REP01129 Question Ref: H15

n/a - I have very limited time and wanted to put my point across about site ref 1242 not complete a lengthy questionnaire

Representation ID: REP01129 Question Ref: General comment

I would like to hear if there are any further developments in relation to site ref 1242.

Representation ID: REP01129 Question Ref: H2

I disagree with building on Site ref 1242. The paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood LS6. Reasons include Access The field is set down from Church Lane, a busy road used not only by local residents but as a cut through to the ring road. This has become busier since the new Waitrose was built. The field slopes significantly from the road, which would make access very difficult; there is an old stone wall, which is part of the field boundary. If access was at the junction of Parkside Green and Church Lane this would make the junction hazardous as cars speed up and down Church Lane. Bus stops are located at this point at both sides of the road therefore increasing the hazard to bus users. This is a key bus stop to children using the school bus to Abbey Grange, many children meet at this point. There is also a footpath running down to the church from Parkside Green next to the field. 2. Drainage. There is an underground spring running through the field – in the winter times the field is extremely muddy over a large area and running water can be seen. There are 2 houses currently built in the field and there were extensive problems in building the houses due to the spring – 2 attempts had to be made to build the houses and they are now built on rafts for their foundations. If the builders were to sort out the drainage in the field would this cause problems to properties further down from the field as they would get increased water at wetter times whereas at present the field acts like a mini flood plain. If this water drained to Meanwood beck this would add to the beck which has been known to flood so would this have an affect on houses near the beck liable for flooding? 3. The effect of more houses in the vicinity – at present the local primary school is oversubscribed and families living as close as Church Avenue have been known not to get into the school causing a lot of angst to the families involved – more houses and therefore more families in the area would only increase this problem. 4. The environmental impact. The field is a valuable green space in Meanwood, which has a very village like community. Local people on the way past the field enjoy stopping to watch the wildlife in the field - a family of foxes live on this field and locals take pleasure over watching the cubs play in the field. The field is also frequently used as a paddock with horses grazing causing pleasure to people walking by. There are a number of mature trees on the boundary of the field including, lime trees, horse chestnuts, oak, elder, sycamore. The field acts as a haven to birds and wildlife – see attached list of birds and wildlife observed in the field. The field is situated next to Holy Trinity Church, which is a grade 2 listed building, & complements it's setting.

Name: Peter Rogerson

Representor No: PRS04203

Representation ID: REP01460 Question Ref: H11

No, the site should never be developed. i.e. site ref 1242

Representation ID: REP01460 Question Ref: H2

Site Ref 1242 The Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood, Leeds 6 Reasons as above, and we have also lost another green area, close by, in recent years, the old Meanwood Hospital (which is now the Woodlands housing estate.)

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Barbara Blakeney

Representor No: PRS04326

Representation ID: REP01935 Question Ref: H1

No suitable access to the highway. Designated site is not suitable building land - very undulating - has history of being very boggy; in very wet weather.

Representation ID: REP01935 Question Ref: H3

I am of the opinion that this site should definitely be colour coded green, for the reasons previously given.

Representation ID: REP01935 Question Ref: H4

I am only interested in site 1242 as it is very close to where I live in The Paddock, Church Lane.

Representation ID: REP01935 Question Ref: H2

1242. This is pasture land (historically called glebe), a natural habitat for flora and fauna. The land is not stable as it is liable to subsidence: in the adjoining church graveyard some graves have subsided tremendously. A house already on the site has been the subject of subsidence. Any access to the highway (Church Lane) would not be feasible because (a) the site is at a much lower level than Church Lane; (b) the lane becomes very congested during rush hours (cars are parked outside the houses directly opposite to the site) and there are bus stops at the top end of the site; (c) there is an historic stone wall at the Church Lane side.

Name: Martyn Walker

Representor No: PRS04676

Representation ID: REP01930 Question Ref: H1

Further housing development does not take account of increased traffic parking and problems with local road junctions.

Representation ID: REP01930 Question Ref: H9

1242 as stated in earlier answer. If site developed no means of dealing with increased traffic, parking and impact on local road junctions.

Representation ID: REP01930 Question Ref: H11

1242 and 2055. Should not be developed but if no change to proposal should only be considered long term.

Representation ID: REP01930 Question Ref: H12

1242 and 2055 unsuitable for traveller site use. Insufficient space.

Representation ID: REP01930 Question Ref: H2

1242 as indicated above local transport and parking would be adversely affected by proposal.

Name: Ian Young

Representor No: PRS04746

Representation ID: REP00339 Question Ref: H2

1242. This is a green field paddock surrounding the church and gives the whole area of Meanwood more of the village feel that makes it a very special area in Leeds. To pack the field with houses and blot the view of the church and the green space would be very detrimental to the many residents, particularly those who wait at the bus stop every day and can be refreshed by the green view.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Frances Needham

Representor No: PRS04918

Representation ID: REP00548 Question Ref: H1

I am concerned about the plans to build housing on church Lane in "the paddock" at Meanwood, this field gives a great deal of pleasure to many people, if houses were built it would hide the view of the church and we would lose the lovely horses, there has been a great deal of house building in Meanwood over the past few years with building on the hospital site and people have lost so much of the lovely green spaces that we do not want to lose any more. Also I do not feel that there is a need for more housing in Meanwood, if we have any more the houses we have will not sell.

Representation ID: REP00548 Question Ref: H5

I disagree with housing on the paddock at Meanwood because we have had a lot of housing built in Meanwood and we do not need any more, we are losing all of our green space, there is no good entrance to the paddock, it will block the site of the church and we will lose the lovely horses which give so many people pleasure

Representation ID: REP00548 Question Ref: H2

The paddock at Meawood, see above

Name: Karen Waddington

Representor No: PRS05042

Representation ID: REP05606 Question Ref: H1

1242 Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood - Green to Red

I am contacting youell as a resident of Stretton Avenue and formeley of 40 years at Wells Croft , Meanwood.

I understand that e comments have been made on behalf on Transition Meanwood (though without consultation with all members, due to lack of time) on the Leeds Site Allocation Plan in relation to the church paddock beside Church Lane and the Hollins Lane cricket ground, and in less detail (due only to lack of time) to the site between Stonegate Road and Carr Manor Crescent.

I wish you to know that with the exception of the Highbury Cricket Ground (as I would prefer this to be used as something rather than seeing what was a beautiful cricket ground and club but now an eyesore) that I support Transisiton Meanwood and oppose any building plans in those areas.

I trust that you will pass on my objection to the relevant people if this is not on your patch.

Name: Allan And Dianne Hassett

Representor No: PRS05460

Representation ID: REP06083 Question Ref: H1

1242 - Please register our objection to the proposed building of 25 houses on the above site. As local residents, we believe that that the proposal would be detrimental to the area in terms of loss of green space, and also the increased road traffic from the potential new residents (as well as construction traffic)

Representation ID: REP05753 Question Ref: H1

Please register our objection to the proposed building of 25 houses on the above site. As local residents, we believe that that the proposal would be detrimental to the area in terms of loss of green space, and also the increased road traffic from the potential new residents (as well as construction traffic)

Name: Nicky Allitt

Representor No: PRS05761

Representation ID: REP06437 Question Ref: H1

1242 Paddock, Church lane, Meanwood

Although I fear it is inevitable this green space will eventually be built on, I would just like to say how much I will miss it, it is lovely to have the field there next to the church and the animals in it.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Jemma Garland

Representor No: PRS06000

Representation ID: REP06819 Question Ref: H1

I am writing to raise concerns about the planned building on 25 new houses on The Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood, LS6 which could result in upwards of 40 vehicles entering and exiting this part of meanwood daily.

Before considerations are even made to the effect of wildlife in this area, I would like to point out that it is currently virtually impossible for parents to deliver their children to Meanwood CofE School on Green Lane, due to the narrow road (no through road access) leading up to it. Since the arrival of Waitrose and the huge traffic increase this problem has become even worse. The traffic on Church lane is increasing as people fail to attempt to exit the meanwood locality and head to the city centre by using the junction at Waitrose on to Stonegate Road which is dangerous at the best of times for car drivers and pedestrians alike.

The building on 25 new houses on Church Lane would:

* Cause the school to become blocked at peak times, making it necessary leaving parents with no choice but to park further away from the school and walk their children in.

* Cause the junction at Green Road/Stonegate Road to be even busier, leaving traffic queues along Green Road as cars attempt to leave the Meanwood locality.

* Make Church Lane even busier. Cars exiting Church Lane onto Green Road from the proposed new site would end up queueing up Church Lane only to exit into further traffic jams when they finally get onto Green Road. Some vehicles might attempt to drive up Church Lane and onto Parkside Road to access Stonegate Road heading to the city centre, to try to avoid the Green Road traffic problem, but this will only make the oncoming traffic worse for cars trying to leave Green Road and will cause worse the problems to worsen for the vehicles leaving at the Waitrose junction.

* Cause the area to lose its charm - the reason for so many people living in the area in the first place.

* Perhaps even more important than all of the above, the natural wildlife in The Paddock would be disrupted and we cannot bring this back once it is gone. The field fills with buttercups, which seem to be on the decline nationally, and there must be thousands of species that exist in the paddock and enrich the area, not least of which the bees without which we are all dead.

I do not have time to go on any further but please take my concerns seriously and contact me if you need me to clarify any of the points raised in this email.

Please keep me informed as the planning application moves along.

[x]

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Diocese Of Ripon & Leeds

Representor No: PRS06074

Representation ID: REP07019 Question Ref: H1

1242 - support green

We agree with the identification of The Paddocks, Church Lane, Meanwood (Site Reference 31 & SHLAA Reference 1242) as 'green' and consider that the site is wholly suitable for allocation for housing in the emerging Allocations document.

2.3 Our client is proposing to provide a residential scheme comprising of 25 dwellings based on SHLAA methodology. However, we consider that site would be more suitable for a lower density scheme, which would be more in keeping with its surroundings. This would consist of a mix of dwelling types and tenures with an emphasis on family houses. The housing mix would reflect the findings of the Council's Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2011). The proposal would also provide an element of affordable housing.

2.4 Site 1242 is 0.74 hectares in size and is situated on the eastern side of Church Lane. The site currently consists of an area of paddock land. The site is accessible from Church Lane and has a largely open roadside elevation.

2.5 The surrounding area is predominately residential in nature. To the north of the site are two relatively modern properties on Parkside Green. Directly to the south is a green lane, which leads onto a Church Hall. To the south of the Lane is an undistinguished residential property. To the west of the site across Church Lane is a relatively modern private residential estate comprising of low-density semi-detached properties. Finally to the east, is Holly Trinity Church, which is a Grade II listed building and a small development of three houses.

2.6 As shown, the site is bounded on all four sides by built development. The Council has already accepted the principle of residential development on the site by the granting of planning permission for a number of developments that have encroached onto the paddock. It is noted that there are a number of trees on the southern side of the site, which are protected by a Tree Preservation Order. However, it is considered that these trees could be incorporated within the scheme to maintain the green aspect of the site and delineate the proposal from the listed buildings and structures bordering the southern edge of the site.

2.7 The development of the site would not extend the built form and would represent an appropriate infill development within the existing urban area. There are no insurmountable obstacles to the development of the site and therefore it is considered fully deliverable in accordance with paragraph 47 of the NPPF. Equally, having reviewed the green and amber rated sites in the 'main urban area', it is considered that Site 1242 represents one of the most appropriate sites for allocation when considered reasonable alternatives.

2.8 We are conscious of the considerations set out in Policy SP6 in the Core Strategy (CS) as outlined in the introductory Chapter to these representations. Dealing with those provisions in turn,

- i. There are existing bus stops on Church Lane within ten metres of the site, which provide a regular and frequent bus service to Leeds City Centre as well as to the facilities in the local retail centre of Meanwood. The site is within walking and cycling distance of the facilities within the settlement, including primary and secondary schools and a number of employment opportunities.
- ii. It is a greenfield site, but it is located within the main urban area. Equally, there are very few suitable previously developed opportunities for housing development in and around the 'main urban area'.
- iii. The site is not located within the Green Belt.
- iv. We consider that the site is suitable for a relatively low-density development, which takes into account the topography, existing vegetation, and other features on the site. The scheme would retain the protected trees on the site. The site layout would incorporate suitable structural planting along its southern and eastern boundaries thereby preserving the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings.
- v. The site is available. It is wholly within the ownership of our client who is committed to bringing it forward for housing development. It is considered that there would be minimal lead in time and the development could be built out within 1 year.
- vi. The site has no amenity or recreational value. There is no public access to the land. The site makes a limited visual contribution to the character or appearance of the area. It is also not of any intrinsic landscape quality. The proposed development would maintain the green aspect of the site by preserving the mature trees on the site. The site is currently heavily grazed and therefore of limited ecological value. However, the allocation would allow the creation of a wooded landscape buffer along the eastern and southern site boundaries and thereby enhancing the biodiversity value of the site.
- vii. The site is not within a flood risk zone, and site run-off will be mitigated through a SUDS drainage scheme.

2.9 In setting out these comments, it is recognised that there is a need to undertake further professional and technical studies. Further discussion with the Council is welcomed for bringing this site forward, including the preparation of additional technical and feasibility reports (for example topography, flood risk and drainage, ecology, arboricultural and habitat surveys) as the Council may require over the coming months.

2.10 Based on the above, we consider that the site is available, suitable and achievable and should therefore continue to be categorised as 'GREEN'.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Diocese Of Ripon & Leeds

Representor No: PRS06074

Representation ID: REP07019 Question Ref: H11

1242, 1243, 1246

We do not consider that housing sites should be phased over particular periods of the Core Strategy, as this is a matter for the market to determine. However as demonstrated Sites 1242, 1243 and 1246 are fully deliverable and therefore could come forward in the first five years of the Local Plan period.

Name: Ian Smith

Representor No: PRS06235

Representation ID: REP07369 Question Ref: H1

The Church of the Holy Trinity is a Grade II Listed Building. There is a requirement in the 1990 Act that 'special regard' should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Consequently, before allocating this area, there would need to be some assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to the significance of this building and what effect its loss and subsequent development might have upon the significance of this asset. If allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significance of these assets (including their setting) are not likely to be harmed.

Name: Rachel Olijnyk

Representor No: PRS06323

Representation ID: REP07265 Question Ref: H1

I would like to place an objection to the proposal to build 25 properties on The Paddock, Church Lane, Meanwood/Moortown (1242). I live opposite this land on Church Grove and it would be a shame for the land to be sold to builders to turn into a housing estate as the paddock makes the area a greener more pleasant space in a suburb that is already very built up.

>>

Furthermore I would be concerned about the increase in traffic the estate would bring to an already congested road. I have raised concerns with the local police at how fast cars travel down this road previously with people using it as a cut through to the ring road or to Waitrose, and a new housing estate would only make matters worse.

>>>

I would appreciate it if you could take my objections into consideration when making the planning decision.

Name: Jean Shuttleworth

Representor No: PRS06343

Representation ID: REP07308 Question Ref: H1

Hello

This email is to confirm my objection against the proposed development of 25 houses on the Paddock, Church Ln, Meanwood/Moortown 1242.

This development is not tenable in that the local infrastructure - the access to and from Church Lane and the increased volume of traffic which would result on Church Lane (which is overloaded now) - cannot support it.

Also this area is a green haven for foxes, hedgehogs and all other manner of wildlife and meadow wild flowers and should be a 'conservation area'.

It is also an area of outstanding beauty and again I request it be conserved as such for the enjoyment of us and future generations.

I lodge my sincere objection against this development.

Name: Brenda Lancaster

Representor No: PRS06430

Representation ID: REP07499 Question Ref: H1

I am writing on behalf of members of the Meanwood Valley Partnership group and ask that you extend the first consultation period which ends on Monday 29th July at 5.00pm. We have hardly had time to digest this information regarding a much loved site, The Paddock off Church Lane, as well as other nearby proposals.

Site Comments - North Leeds

Site reference: 1242

Housing

Church Lane - Paddock, Meanwood LS6

Name: Michael Long

Representor No: PRS06750

Representation ID: REP07983 Question Ref: H1

1. Housing Sites

In order to assess the site we have considered the following accessibility criteria:

- Access to the Core Bus Network- within 400m of 4 buses per hour to Leeds, Wakefield or Bradford;
- 20 minutes to primary health;
- 20 minutes to primary education;
- 30 minutes to a secondary school.

The assessments undertaken are based on the current public transport network. For this consultation Metro have compared the outputs of these accessibility criteria against the RAG classifications given by the council. The results for the housing sites are shown in proceeding tables:

Table 1 -Green sites with poor accessibility

Table 2- Amber sites with poor accessibility

Table 3- Red sites with poor accessibility

Table 4- Green and Amber Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

Table 5- Red Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

[See full representation submitted for details of the tables]

Green and Amber Sites within the Core Public Transport Network

Table 4 below contains sites that are classified as 'Sites which have potential to be allocated for housing' and 'Sites which have potential but issues or not as favoured as green sites' that fall within 400m of the current core bus network.

The sites in this list are supported for housing growth.

Site specific mitigation would be required but it is unlikely that substantial bus service provision would be required at these sites (based on the current public transport network)

From a public transport perspective, we would encourage the prioritisation of these sites based on the proximity to the core public transport network and the bus service frequency levels.

[see table in full representation submitted which lists sites - green sites are linked to this comment]