


**Q1 Do you agree with our vision? To ensure the village is a vibrant and balanced location in which to live, close to the city centre and universities, and at the same time retain its established character, identity and status as one of the best parts of the city to live in.**

Answered: 48 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	95.83% 46
No	4.17% 2
<b>Total</b>	<b>48</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	The vision envisages developing the village to include schools and more affordable housing. This is counter to the existing character, The survey is clear no new development.	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
2	this statement doesn't mean anything	10/5/2016 10:06 AM
3	vibrant - should be an oasis away from the noisy hubbub of life and noisy environs of city life	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
4	brilliant place to live - but speed restrictions do not work	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
5	Vibrant? This is a very small village. RETAIN ITS ESTABLISHED CHARACTER? Too late - it's character has been long destroyed	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
6	It should also focus very much on developing the character - not just retaining.	9/7/2016 8:11 AM

**Q2 Do you agree with our objective? To deliver community benefits such as space for playing fields, the retention of the current Cricket Club ground and the refurbishment of Scarcroft Lodge.**

Answered: 46 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	97.83% 45
No	2.17% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>46</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	limited. Support retention of cricket club and refurbishment of Scarcroft Lodge only.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	how does refurbishing Scarcroft Lodge help the community. It's a private building, will it be a community centre?	10/5/2016 11:11 AM
3	don't know	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
4	playing field - no clear demand; cricket club - yes if more accessible; Scarcroft Lodge - yes	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
5	the village is lacking a shop	10/5/2016 9:55 AM
6	but cant see the point of the play area - could attract drug meets. Broader range of community benefits a la Bardsey/Thorner	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
7	But not space for playing fields - this & many other ideas for the village are ridiculous - as above, it's a small village	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
8	Playing fields are already underused (Red Hall, Roundhay). The retention of the current cricket ground would be a benefit provided arrangements were in place for its continued use. There seems to be no stated benefit to the community resulting from the refurbishment of Scarcroft Lodge. Have hotels (wedding venues) quality restaurants been considered?	9/23/2016 12:20 PM

**Q3 Do you agree with our objective? To develop a range of services that will promote and support good quality community facilities and services including medical services and child care provision.**

Answered: 44 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	88.64%	39
No	11.36%	5
<b>Total</b>		<b>44</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	no change required. There are such facilities in neighbouring areas.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	I think medical services are very important for children and elderly people	10/5/2016 11:39 AM
3	don't know - is the village large enough for these?	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
4	medical services well provided at Collingham and with sever shortage of GPs across the NHS whilst desirable, this seems unlikely to be achievable	10/5/2016 10:48 AM
5	Church View Surgery Collingham is an excellent practice, perhaps they would be interested in a new surgery in Scarcroft	10/5/2016 10:43 AM
6	These are adequately provided from other local villages	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
7	not sure more medical services are required - enough in Collingham	10/5/2016 10:30 AM
8	the derelict woodland would be ideal	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
9	lets just start with a shop. What happened to the co-op at NPower gatehouse?	10/5/2016 10:06 AM
10	should also include infirm, elderly, disabled and countryside, natural habitat and environment.	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
11	as a GP I would happily work in a Scarcroft GP practice and serve the local community	10/5/2016 9:41 AM
12	don't know - could the woodlands site be better used for medical service, child care and a village shop instead of the proposed co-op?	10/5/2016 9:33 AM
13	don't know	10/5/2016 9:29 AM
14	these need to be used to be viable not just when it snows as was the case	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
15	and for older people too - the population is aging	10/5/2016 8:54 AM
16	concern - developing these will lead to a larger village	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
17	We are talking about A SMALL VILLAGE. These services are within easy access already	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
18	Local medical services and child care provision are possibilities, what other services are suggested?	9/23/2016 12:20 PM

### Q4 Do you agree with our objective? To consider and identify possible sites for a future primary school and village scale retail in Scarcroft.

Answered: 43 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	83.72%	36
No	16.28%	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>


#	Comments	Date
1	Would not support a future primary school or village retail outlet.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	no shops or Scarcroft will be a landfill site like Bardsey & Collingham	10/5/2016 11:35 AM
3	do not believe Scarcroft is large enough to support either but more school spaces required at Bardsey	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
4	The village definitely requires a good quality convenience store	10/5/2016 10:43 AM
5	don't know - depends on proposed location	10/5/2016 10:40 AM
6	These are adequately provided from other neighbouring villages	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
7	but only a farm shop	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
8	dont forget more services = more houses	10/5/2016 10:06 AM
9	similar to Collingham - possibly a cafe	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
10	school - no but desperate for a shop	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
11	I have always wondered if, with sponsorship TD Goodall Farm would open a farmer's shop/cafe	10/5/2016 9:41 AM
12	if the YEB site is to be used for housing, a school is a must. As for village scale retail, see previous comment.	10/5/2016 9:33 AM
13	village school yes, retail as in co-op no	10/5/2016 8:39 AM
14	concern - don't want village to grow. If it does I agree that we need more facilities	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
15	definitely NO. A school was pushed by a resident as a potential development many years ago and residents were up in arms - and locals would not choose to send their children to a village primary school anyway. And MORE development?? Residents don't want any more	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
16	There is no harm in considering a possible site for a village school (NB parking, access, transport, main road location could have problems). Village scale retail has already proved to be a non starter. Surely the existing Tesco & Londis are suffice.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM
17	Please see comments on reverse	9/18/2016 9:55 PM

## Scarcroft Neighbourhood Development Plan

18	The Village scale retail should focus on being different and a Farm Shop concept is best suited and will help promote local products and potentially a focus point for the community	9/7/2016 8:11 AM
----	--	------------------

**Q5 Do you agree with our objective? To protect and maintain the village public pathways, walkways, cycle runs and bridleways in favour of people use.**

Answered: 48 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	100.00%	48
No	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>48</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	this definitely needs to be priority	10/5/2016 10:20 AM
2	transport public needs improvement	10/5/2016 9:55 AM
3	definitely as well as tree/forest areas and water amenity areas. Enhance old railway lines and tracks.	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
4	many of the public footpaths around Scarcroft are overgrown and need work	10/5/2016 9:41 AM
5	especially of interest	10/5/2016 9:37 AM
6	a priority	10/5/2016 8:41 AM

**Q6 Do you agree with our objective? To support planning applications for development that is important to meeting the needs of the community, including housing, business space, leisure facilities and appropriate shops.**

Answered: 37 Skipped: 11



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	83.78%	31
No	16.22%	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>37</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	We wouldn't support any such proposals.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	don't know	10/5/2016 11:39 AM
3	don't know - farm shops yes	10/5/2016 11:25 AM
4	don't know/unsure	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
5	questionnaire/survey clear response on no more housing development. The only sites that should be developed are the Quarry and YEB site, this is ok with LCC	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
6	only if of scale for village	10/5/2016 10:30 AM
7	not convinced Scarcroft needs a shop	10/5/2016 10:20 AM
8	don't know - depending on scale	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
9	what is appropriate - dont want to attract hears a la Seacroft	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
10	If 300 houses or more going to be built - yes	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
11	not supportive of further housing/development	10/5/2016 9:41 AM
12	less attack on greenbelt	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
13	and getting Leeds Planners to take notice	10/5/2016 8:54 AM
14	don't know	10/5/2016 8:45 AM
15	shops - village shop closed some years ago!	10/5/2016 8:39 AM
16	Depends what 'needs of the community is'	10/5/2016 8:33 AM


## Scarcroft Neighbourhood Development Plan

17	Appropriate housing in appropriate areas - again, as for business space & leisure facilities etc - WE ARE A SMALL VILLAGE - this seems to have been overlooked. Shops have tried and failed and there are plenty within the vicinity. Only a farm shop selling local and fresh produce, something different, and linked to the existing farm would be likely to work	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
18	What developments are envisaged other than those stated. Business use a possibility. Shops have already been reviewed and lack of interest been displayed. Post Office have outlived their usefulness.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM



**Q7 Do you agree with our objective? To define an area around the A58 that runs through the village, including the two key community facilities the Village Hall with its green space and the New Inn public house, and link the current facilities and consolidate the 'Heart of the Village' concept for Scarcroft.**

Answered: 40 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	90.00%	36
No	10.00%	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>40</b>


#	Comments	Date
1	This scheme has no value to the majority of residents.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	don't know - where would the new road (A58) go?	10/5/2016 11:39 AM
3	To link the New Inn and the village we require street lights between the two buildings	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
4	don't know	10/5/2016 11:11 AM
5	You cannot have a heart of village with A58 running through the middle, should be behind New Inn	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
6	don't know - how can this be done with A58 splitting the two?	10/5/2016 10:06 AM
7	The village is lacking 'heart of village' concept split by A58	10/5/2016 9:55 AM
8	shut down/convert the pub	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
9	do not forget Scarcroft is a dormitory to Leeds as such will need to be a country village	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
10	very much indeed	10/5/2016 9:41 AM
11	don't know - cannot see how this could be achieved	10/5/2016 9:39 AM
12	The New Inn, part of a chain, is far from the type of pub that residents would frequent - food is appalling, and it basically attracts passers by who are none the wiser. Again the size of the village here appears to have been overlooked when making reference to the "Heart of the village"	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
13	Sounds like gobbledegook!!!	9/23/2016 12:20 PM
14	The Farm Shop should be integrated into this concept	9/7/2016 8:11 AM

## Scarcroft Neighbourhood Development Plan

15	<p>The ability to comfortably walk along the A58 should be looked at. The pavements on either side of the road are too narrow. A simple walk to the New Inn along a generally unlit A58 will not encourage people out or to think beyond using their car. Also makes it difficult for those with prams to walk children safely. e.g There is an odd and inconvenient lamppost in the middle of the pavement near The New Inn. If the use of the playground is to be encouraged without families thinking they have to drive there, then as part of traffic calming and creating a 'Heart' then pavement widening should be looked at.</p>	9/5/2016 4:48 PM
----	---	------------------

**Q8 Do you agree with our objective? To help reduce the impact of increased traffic through the village using speed limits, camera technology and traffic calmers as means of management.**

Answered: 42 Skipped: 6



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	78.57% 33
No	21.43% 9
<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>


#	Comments	Date
1	The speed of current traffic is dangerous.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	but no to traffic calmers	10/5/2016 11:39 AM
3	Syke Lane - some cars drive up & down too fast	10/5/2016 11:31 AM
4	Speed limit works well. People who live on A58 don't want to hear cars going over bumps or screeching tyres when a camera is spotted.	10/5/2016 11:28 AM
5	no to traffic calmers	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
6	don't know	10/5/2016 11:11 AM
7	current speed limits on side minor roads already fine but would support strongly camera technology on A58 if speed limit of 40mph reinstated as is reasonable for this type of road. Object strongly to traffic calmers in road itself.	10/5/2016 10:48 AM
8	Do not agree with traffic calmers but agree with other methods to stop speeding	10/5/2016 10:43 AM
9	speed limits ok but not enforced; cameras yes; traffic calmers no	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
10	yes please!	10/5/2016 10:20 AM
11	not a great admirer of traffic calmers	10/5/2016 10:14 AM
12	but within reason	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
13	don't know - certainly not speed bumps	10/5/2016 9:56 AM
14	Thorner Lane traffic was horrendous over the period of the Leeds Festival but has become busier	10/5/2016 9:55 AM
15	speed cameras a must. Some cars do 50-60 mph through village	10/5/2016 9:52 AM
16	definitely and on the inter-connecting minor country lanes where nutters abound	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
17	ok except of course no speed bumps	10/5/2016 9:39 AM
18	not necessary its already 30mph	10/5/2016 9:37 AM

## Scarcroft Neighbourhood Development Plan

19	not traffic calmers	10/5/2016 9:35 AM
20	enforce the existing limits, no calming	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
21	speed management on A58	10/5/2016 8:54 AM
22	definitely - enforce the 30mph limit	10/5/2016 8:50 AM
23	speed bumps no!	10/5/2016 8:39 AM
24	reduce speeds on Lung Lane	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
25	Although speed limits and the very odd police check makes no difference whatsoever, with many drivers, including residents travelling at more than double the speed limit regularly - and the recent approval of a car port to house multiple sports cars currently being driven regularly at excessive speeds has not assisted the community. one death and one serious injury - how long before we have a repeat of this?	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
26	The existing speed limits, when supervised, should be sufficient. It is doubted whether traffic calmers on a main road would be permitted or would provide any useful purpose.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM
27	Generally sufficient attention is paid to the speed restriction by motorists	9/16/2016 4:57 PM
28	More in favour of traffic calming rather than cameras. Given the nature of the road it will generally be difficult to slow everyone down. We also have to accept that this is one of the major routes in and out of Leeds	9/5/2016 4:48 PM
29	I feel the current 30mph limit encourages dangerous overtaking and it should be re-set to 40mph	8/31/2016 9:50 PM

**Q9 Do you agree with our objective? To encourage transport providers to achieve a sustainable transport policy based on the provision of good transport links between the village and the local bus and rail hubs.**

Answered: 42 Skipped: 6




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	92.86% 39
No	7.14% 3
<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	Current arrangements appear adequate.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	A bus service to Harrogate would be very useful since many state pupils go to school in Harrogate.	10/5/2016 11:28 AM
3	dont know	10/5/2016 10:40 AM
4	There is no nearby train station	10/5/2016 9:55 AM
5	Harrogate link would be good	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
6	dont know	10/5/2016 9:29 AM
7	if they will get out of their cars	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
8	lower priority	10/5/2016 8:54 AM
9	dont know	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
10	The local bus service already provides bus and rail links!	9/23/2016 12:20 PM

**Q10 Do you agree with our objective? To improve the historic, natural and built environment of the village and its immediate surroundings.**

Answered: 48 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	97.92% 47
No	2.08% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>48</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	Highly supported.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	preservation is important to village life	10/5/2016 9:55 AM
3	Too late re the "built" environment	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
4	How is it intended to improve historic and natural features?	9/23/2016 12:20 PM

**Q11 Do you agree with our objective? To secure good design in a new development, and protecting, enhancing and investing in the natural and built environment of the village.**

Answered: 44 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	100.00% 44
No	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>44</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	need more information!	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
2	this seems to have been omitted on Ling Lane	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
3	dont want invasion of Barratt hutches	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
4	already over developed (1936 sewers)	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
5	very important	10/5/2016 8:41 AM
6	Good luck on this one. Despite an internal paper being written within the LCC about the need to ensure good design relating to residential applications on Ling Lane going forward following acknowledgement they had failed to do so previously, the sight of the subsequent houses built leave little to be desired - the house referred to some as "the office block" for example on the small site previously occupied by a bungalow at the top end of Ling Lane - shocking - and then Mickeys fields....WE NEED A NEW PLANNING DEPARTMENT!	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
7	Obviously good design is essential, the other features are just more gobbledegook!	9/23/2016 12:20 PM
8	Design should also be challenging too and this should be encouraged. For example, much of the new development along Ling Lane is starting to look too alike, as further propoerties become renovated then they seem to look to others for planning benchmarks.	9/5/2016 4:48 PM

### Q12 Do you agree with our objective? To protect and enhance the built environment of the village.

Answered: 47 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	89.36% 42
No	0.00% 0
Comments	10.64% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>47</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	Not quite sure as to meaning of the question.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	depends	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
3	and maintain the current character	10/5/2016 8:54 AM
4	Nothing much left to protect or enhance - this may be why feedback from residents may be limited. it may be worthwhile reading the comments left by people in response to planning matters which have made their way into local newspapers	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
5	More gobbledegook.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM



**Q13 Do you agree with our policies on "Protection of open space and historic sites" (see page 10)?**

Answered: 47 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	97.87% 46
No	2.13% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>47</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	fully support	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	especially trees which seem to be chopped down at an alarming rate currently	10/5/2016 9:37 AM

**Q14 Do you agree with our list of "Spaces of historic importance and strategic open spaces" (see page 11)? Please add any further spaces you would like us to consider for protection.**

Answered: 45 Skipped: 3




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	97.78% 44
No	2.22% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>45</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	we have studied the list and believe it to be complete.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	I have lived in Scarcroft 28 yrs and I'm ashamed to say I have never heard of Group A sites my grandchildren would love to have explored them.	10/5/2016 11:25 AM
3	don't know/unsure	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
4	memorial bus shelter is being regularly used as a urinal and not fit for purpose - a health issue. Without regular cleaning sadly the best option would be an open modern glass shelter	10/5/2016 10:48 AM
5	v comprehensive	10/5/2016 10:30 AM
6	Scarcroft Barr Tollhouse sits opposite the most scruffy house in Scarcroft - Manor House. Rotten window frames, peeling paint and 6,7 parked cars only one of which is used. A huge let down of our village and the council should let the owner know.	10/5/2016 9:52 AM
7	Manor Farm dates from mid 1800s and not mentioned!!!!	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
8	It appears the field adjacent to Hellwood Lane .... this is obviously distressing to me as it will restrict my current rural outlook.	10/5/2016 9:35 AM
9	In the main, yes.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM
10	Including the Woods opposite the Village Hall on the other side of the A58 (between Ling Lane and the New Inn)	9/18/2016 9:55 PM

### Q15 Do you agree with our policies on "Development sites" (see page 12)?

Answered: 45 Skipped: 3




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	97.78% 44
No	2.22% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>45</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	endorsed	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	not many spaces left in Scarcroft - only the NPower site	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
3	don't know	10/5/2016 9:41 AM
4	don't know	10/5/2016 9:29 AM
5	but no more	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
6	provided traffic calming measures are proposed on A58	10/5/2016 8:50 AM
7	Although residents do not want any more development than the required quota	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
8	Along with the development of the playground and the 'Heart of the village' concept create other recreational activities. Look at the Thorner model. A 'boules' area near the village hall along with possible tennis courts ? Working with the New Inn to develop these ideas?	9/7/2016 8:11 AM

### Q16 Do you agree with our policies on "Open spaces" (see page 13)?

Answered: 47 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	82.98%	39
No	0.00%	0
Comments	17.02%	8
<b>Total</b>		<b>47</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	do not agree with the ? facilities as per para 3.3.2	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	maintain cricket pitch & surround but a skate park!	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
3	don't know	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
4	do not agree with policy that streets should interconnect this will increase traffic in these areas. Do not agree on densities - should be luxury housing. Do not agree on more playing fields, leave to agriculture. Do not need other developments such as primary school etc.	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
5	but more detail on pos near NPower and extent of that space	10/5/2016 10:30 AM
6	Tree Preservation Orders required for all present woodlands - Syke Lane/A58 etc	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
7	Open spaces yes, playing fields, leisure facilities no as stated above. Open spaces of strategic importance - yes, agree	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
8	Playing fields and tennis courts are outdated (Roundhay Park courts are unused). Skate Parks have merit but need supervision and control if they are not to be abused.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM

**Q17 Do you agree with our policies on "Protection and enhancement of biodiversity" (see page 14)?**

Answered: 44 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	100.00%	44
No	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>44</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	don't know - on whose land?	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
2	don't know	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
3	very much	10/5/2016 10:43 AM
4	also look to increase bridleways	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
5	2 new housing estates in last 2 yrs not in keeping with Scarcroft	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
6	lower priority	10/5/2016 8:54 AM
7	But again, in terms of single house developments on Ling, neither the developers nor the LPA have given much consideration so far to outdoor space / garden areas/ existing trees & shrubs so why will the LPA change their ways? A significant number of very mature trees have been cut down in the area yet the LPA have taken no action to address this with those responsible	9/27/2016 8:03 AM

**Q18 Do you agree with our policies on "Economic and social development - mixed use scheme" (see page 15)?**

Answered: 44 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	88.64%	39
No	11.36%	5
<b>Total</b>		<b>44</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	We do not wish to see any light industry/manufacturing introduced to the village. Employment outlets are not required.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	Industrs Kort (?) or YEB land	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
3	except that it should be extended to support high tech manufacturing industry which provide a range of job opportunities.	10/5/2016 10:48 AM
4	only 2 sites should be developed Quarry & YEB. These should be for luxury housing (4+ beds) in keeping with the existing residencies	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
5	but not industry unless in keeping with village life	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
6	as I said before the new buildings - colour of stonework not in keeping, e.g. Ling Lane houses	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
7	we have already lost shops and small industry to the house building machine	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
8	concern on starter homes - Scarcroft is not a 'starter village' - people move here later when more affluent - don't want to change the mix	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
9	Yes, regarding mix of residential types & affordability.	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
10	However, I disagree with the comment around Npower leaving having negligible impact. I would suspect the daytime traffic at the New Inn (lunchtime) will be much lower and the number of people using the bus to Wetherby will also fall significantly and so may impact the number of services.	9/18/2016 9:55 PM

**Q19 Do you agree with our policies on "Agriculture and other rural based businesses" (see page 16)?**

Answered: 48 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	85.42% 41
No	6.25% 3
Comments	8.33% 4
<b>Total</b>	<b>48</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	don't know	10/5/2016 11:39 AM
2	no shops!!	10/5/2016 11:35 AM
3	don't know	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
4	dont know	10/5/2016 8:54 AM

## Q20 Do you agree with our policies on "Retail" (seepage 17)?

Answered: 43 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	83.72%	36
No	16.28%	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	No retail outlets wanted.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	don't know	10/5/2016 11:39 AM
3	no shops!!	10/5/2016 11:35 AM
4	but what happened to the planning permission for a shop on the A58 adjacent to the bus stop - see your page 24? We heard no more about it. We are very keen for a shop in the village.	10/5/2016 11:28 AM
5	No change as Tesco, one at Dexter etc too much quality local competition	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
6	as above no need for shop which will create hazards	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
7	a retail outlet is essential - not discounted	10/5/2016 10:14 AM
8	not if it is to include a supermarket attracting passing trade & parking issues, a farm shop will suffice	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
9	desperate for a shop brings the community together	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
10	hugely supportive of a farm shop idea, a butcher/greengrocers would be great	10/5/2016 9:41 AM
11	farm shop would be of great benefit	10/5/2016 9:39 AM
12	don't know - see previous comments and what about local farm shop?	10/5/2016 9:33 AM
13	provided the residents will support it people will say yes but not use it	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
14	requires a small but sustainable shop	10/5/2016 8:50 AM
15	don't know	10/5/2016 8:45 AM
16	farm shop yes, co-op or Tesco no	10/5/2016 8:39 AM
17	farm shop / farmers market good ideas	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
18	Farm shop or similar to support farmers - yes to this only	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
19	Definitely no!	9/23/2016 12:20 PM
20	However, need to be careful around the number of people who say they would like a 'farm shop' type outlet and those that actually use it. A balanced scheme would have greater success of surviving.	9/18/2016 9:55 PM




## Scarcroft Neighbourhood Development Plan

21	Some retail should be encouraged, although not too much. Small retail is changing as the internet evolves and there is a danger that units become vacant. Contingency that retail/commercial units could have alternatives uses should be encouraged. There is nothing worse than 'boarded up' retail space.	9/5/2016 4:48 PM
----	--	------------------

**Q21 Do you agree with our policies on "The retention and development of local services and facilities" (see page 17)?**

Answered: 47 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	91.49% 43
No	8.51% 4
<b>Total</b>	<b>47</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	getting carried away here	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
2	keep existing character - don't want allotment or park	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
3	we lost the hockey field (upper part of Syke Green), 'Mickey's field' on Syke Lane to the housing money machine	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
4	agree that new development should add something in relation to this	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
5	WE ARE A SMALL VILLAGE - shop, meeting places, playing fields, sports venues, places of worship, recreation, leisure spaces, child's play area, village park, allotments etc????? This is ridiculous for such a small village.	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
6	No shops. Has a local bus link with Thorner been considered.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM

## Q22 Do you agree with our policies on "Housing" (see page 18)?

Answered: 39 Skipped: 9




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	92.31%	36
No	7.69%	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>39</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	we would not support any move to build additional houses that would undermine the value of existing property.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	don't know	10/5/2016 11:32 AM
3	don't know	10/5/2016 11:25 AM
4	don't know	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
5	no need for smaller housing or affordable. Families can move back to Scarcroft when afford it. Keep existing character with luxury housing. There is absolutely no case for promoting a wider range of housing.	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
6	in principle	10/5/2016 10:20 AM
7	but feel Syke Lane is already a speed track & more houses will cause further problems NPower should be developed but only on the footprint already there	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
8	don't know - I am concerned about increased housing and strongly against development for houses on Mickey's field	10/5/2016 9:41 AM
9	don't know - not sure	10/5/2016 9:35 AM
10	don't know	10/5/2016 9:29 AM
11	only affordable the council estate and small semis built for ex tied house workers. No 2 into 1 or large multi bed extension, keep what we have affordable	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
12	agree on affordable housing - this is not an affordable housing village	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
13	Other than as where previously commented	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
14	On appropriate sites.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM

**Q23 Do you agree with our policies on "Affordable housing" (see page 19)?**

Answered: 44 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	93.18% 41
No	6.82% 3
<b>Total</b>	<b>44</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	don't know	10/5/2016 11:32 AM
2	but how much is affordable in village	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
3	absolutely not - there is no mandate or desire	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
4	don't know	10/5/2016 10:20 AM
5	many children brought up in the village cannot afford ti return to live independantly	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
6	you need affordable housing - as we have schools in the next villages - must build for young families	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
7	don't know	10/5/2016 9:41 AM
8	with some reservations	10/5/2016 9:35 AM
9	my definition of affordable is one not dressed up in verbal hype	10/5/2016 9:13 AM
10	it needs sympathetic interpretation so that all parties are happy	10/5/2016 8:54 AM
11	we would be more against affordable housing	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
12	On appropriate sites.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM
13	However, please see comments at the end around Schooling.	9/18/2016 9:55 PM

**Q24 Do you agree with our policies on "Design quality" (see page 19/20)?**

Answered: 48 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	93.75% 45
No	6.25% 3
<b>Total</b>	<b>48</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	can we enforce this?	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
2	but feel it is out of control	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
3	But too late - see comment at point 11. The level of harm to Scarcroft village caused essentially by LCC's approvals is irreversible and unforgivable. Why do SCP think the same planners will change their ways?	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
4	However, you have already noted that the development of Mickey's Field completed in the past few years is ultimately just like any other small new build development (brick and tile) and so will look somewhat hypocritical to object on guttering and whether the windows have been indented...	9/18/2016 9:55 PM
5	Yes on Quality, no in that there is no encouragement for challenging design. Developers should be encouraged to not be too traditional all the time. Innovation in design should be championed.	9/5/2016 4:48 PM

### Q25 Do you agree with our policies on "Residential development" (see page 21)?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 6




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	92.86% 39
No	7.14% 3
<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	Can Scarcroft waste drainage cope with more houses??	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
2	more info needed	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
3	Density should reflect current spaces not some city target	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
4	but again think Ling Lane is now over built and Bracken Park is heading the same way.	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
5	don't know	10/5/2016 9:33 AM
6	and stricter adherence to maintaining existing character and long lane has been allowed to change - should be restricted	10/5/2016 8:54 AM
7	any development should be in keeping with current density of village	10/5/2016 8:33 AM
8	see comment above - as a result the character of the local surrounding area and the character of existing builds is no longer one which can be considered favourable / desirable	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
9	On appropriate sites.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM
10	As per comments above	9/18/2016 9:55 PM
11	The example quoted "Mickey's Field" is no different to the much larger development along Syke Green.	9/16/2016 4:57 PM

**Q26 Do you agree with our policies on "Sustainable buildings statement" (see page 21/22)**

Answered: 45 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	100.00%	45
No	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>45</b>

#	Comments	Date
1	don't know	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
2	Do not forget Scarcroft a very old village and drainage wherever you are will have to be modernised	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
3	But based upon experience and knowledge the LPA would be very unlikely to take any action were an applicant to deviate from their statement - they appear to turn a bling eye	9/27/2016 8:03 AM

## Scarcroft Neighbourhood Development Plan

### Q27 If you have any further or general comments regarding the Plan, please enter them in the box below.

Answered: 23 Skipped: 25

#	Responses	Date
1	We do not agree with any move or actions that would result in a material change to the current nature of Scarcroft. It is not a typical village in terms of facilities and the majority of residents wish that provision to continue.	10/5/2016 11:50 AM
2	my priorities are:- 1) a Doctor's surgery 2) a primary school 3) a village shop	10/5/2016 11:39 AM
3	It looks like Scarcroft village & residents has a positive future ahead with a new council full of determination, I wish you much success and a big thank you.	10/5/2016 11:25 AM
4	Basically excellent but at times we have got carried away with our wish list, many things you do not even find in small/medium towns. No religious buildings of any denomination!! Is there to be lighting covering the kids play area. If not there should be for security. A strategically placed st light next to the village hall would help.	10/5/2016 11:19 AM
5	Having lived in Scarcroft for the past 25 years. I feel all our amenities have now gone. Feel very strongly that the post office should not have closed and been adapted to regular house! We need a village shop (hub of the community!) facilities for growing children, toddler classes @ village hall, post office, park & facilities, where would the overflow car park for village hall be now, if park is there? there was never enough car parking space! place for people to sit and enjoy the view!, good pub - the Bracken. New Inn has never been very good in all the time I have lived here, booklet far too detailed for some residents - who has got the free time to read!	10/5/2016 11:07 AM
6	retention of the telephone box is very desirable but only if there are resources available to maintain its appearance as sadly otherwise it may become an eyesore. We wish to thank the members of the Scarcroft Neighbourhood Development Plan Group for an excellent and comprehensive planning document which we recognise must have taken a lot of time to produce.	10/5/2016 10:48 AM
7	Given this has taken over 5 years to produce, a consultation period of less than a moth is not appropriate. Most significant consultations are much longe. The shape of the vision appears to be driven by Chair and vice Chair and does not reflect the majority of occupants of Scarcroft. The plan is already out of date and should be refreshed to take account LCC and residents want no more development other than the 2 brownfield sites at YEB and Quarry	10/5/2016 10:38 AM
8	Scarcroft is a village that is recognised as one of the better areas of Leeds in which to live. Residents live here as a result of hard work and determination. The quality of the homes in general reflect that as well as the prices of properties. Affordable housing should therefore also reflect that - houses that anyone wishing to live in this area are able to afford.	10/5/2016 10:20 AM
9	I should like to see additional street lighting especially in Syke Lane and Syke Green areas.	10/5/2016 10:14 AM
10	I think Scarcroft Parish Council put a lot of time and effort into our village however from experience I wonder how often this is simply ignored by Leeds Planning Department. Also the first impression of the village approaching from Leeds is broken walls and Harris fencing and has been for over 3 years.	10/5/2016 10:11 AM
11	I would like to thank the Parish Council and probably another 30 or so people in the village who do everything on behalf of the vast majority who do nothing! The NDP is a very well produced document - very professional	10/5/2016 10:06 AM
12	1. undertake speed monitoring on all inter-connecting country lanes 2. Noise limits on speeding vehicles on country lanes 3. Restrictions for Leeds Festival travel/access needs to be enforced 4. Community benefits don't need to have alcohol based activities 5. Bus link to Harrogate required. 6. Community cinema - not open play space?? 7. Newsletter on the feedback given here	10/5/2016 9:50 AM
13	you have done a magnificent job in producing this consultation draft - and hope the results you will receive will be useful.	10/5/2016 9:46 AM
14	Good luck & thanks	10/5/2016 9:37 AM
15	The major concern is that Scarcroft will .. be merged into the outskirts of Leeds and lose its unique village identity	10/5/2016 9:35 AM
16	As a resident of the development on Mickey's Field, I take exception to the comments in 4.4.0. In my view the properties in all aspects are more in keeping with the general look of Scarcroft than the 'free for all' that is being permitted all along Ling Lane!	10/5/2016 9:33 AM
17	I have worked in this area since school days bought present house (semi) in 1965 since then the size has probably doubled much good farm land, shops (2) garage quarry builder yard, post office. Farms large gardens have been swept away by the housing money grabbin machine, not for benefit of Scarcroft. Scarcroft land is worth too much for housing to be use for other community purposes. Owners with any land are looking out to cash it in. Areas like 'Mickey's Field' should have been donated to the village! 'Pigs might fly in Scarcroft'.	10/5/2016 9:13 AM



## Scarcroft Neighbourhood Development Plan

18	If a shop does manifest itself, suitable parking needs to be in place, and good road access. It should not adversely affect traffic	10/5/2016 8:54 AM
19	Existing historic buildings owners should be encouraged to keep them in good repair. The Woodlands (3 floor stone building opposite Post Office Row) has not been maintained - and in the words of our daughter - it is disgusting the state of it in 2016 and please no UPVC (plastic) doors or windows in historic buildings	10/5/2016 8:39 AM
20	Scarcroft village is no longer the desirable village it is being made out to be, with long standing residents moving away as a result.	9/27/2016 8:03 AM
21	It would have been helpful if the online form had been identical (numbers and comment box) to the hard copy delivered.	9/23/2016 12:20 PM
22	After 10 years of living in the village and now having two children (under school age) it is saddening that we are now giving serious thought to moving. The sole reason is the lack of support around good quality schooling. Whilst the lack of a primary school in the village is in itself not an issue, the lack of support in helping to get into a local school is very frustrating. For instance, even though Thorner Parish Church is twinned with Scarcroft we still have to rely on the usual 'closet to the school rule'. Shadwell is generally over subscribed and Bardsey would appear to have mixed reviews and OFSTED reports. We are aware of parents having to travel significant distances to try and get into a reputable state primary school. Of greater concern is Secondary schools where both Wetherby and Boston Spa High Schools have both poor reputations and OFSTED reports. It appears there is an expectation that as a lot of people in the village send their children to private schools then this is not a priority issue. Whilst we do not disagree with affordable housing per se, we do feel that it is unfair to build such homes with non existant support for schooling let alone very little amenities. Those with young children and looking for good quality local schooling will likely look to live elsewhere such as Harrogate with a good school network - we certainly would if we were looking to move now. We would therefore like the Neighbourhood Development Plan to take greater account of the support on Schooling. Thank you.	9/18/2016 9:55 PM
23	Consideration needs to be considered in terms of the impact of the East Link Orbital Link road on the village, which is ever closer to becoming a reality. There are obvious benefits with faster connections to parts of Leeds and the M1 as well as new, more local facilities (e.g. schools, retail, leisure). It would make sense to ensure the village does not look to duplicate facilities (e.g. schools, medical) that may otherwise be part of a bigger plan nearby.	9/5/2016 4:48 PM