


LEEDS CITY COUNCIL WASTE SOLUTION, CRITERIA FOR ASSESSING RESIDUAL WASTE TECHNOLOGY OPTIONS

Criteria - Definition	Detailed description / Factors to consider	Weighting (%)
Weighted Criteria - assessed outside of workshops (including 'killer' factors)		
Costs are value for money in relation to outputs and at a price that is affordable utilising both internal and external funding sources		
Has demonstrable market interest from suppliers who are consider to have the capacity and robustness to deliver	Does the scenario offer a scope of services likely to be attractive to the waste management industry? Will a minimum of three and ideally four bidders submit at ITN stage? Is the level of performance required realistic and achievable and attractive to the industry? Is the allocation of risk likely to be attractive to the industry?	
Risks are manageable and commensurate with benefits, no foreseeable 'show-stoppers'	Can planning permission be obtained in an acceptable timescale? What are the risks of the project not meeting the required timescales? Are there any unacceptable risk ratings?	
Weighted Criteria - scored in Workshop 2 and confirmed in Workshop 3		
Achieves sustainability in relation to social, economic and environmental factors, measured through SA criteria	Will the scenario meet Government policy and guidance and local development plans and policies? How far will waste have to be transported? How will the environment be affected by the scenario? What will be the impact on climate change? How will the scenario affect the local environment considerations, such as noise, smell, visual impact?	20%
There are long term certain markets for outputs	Are the markets for recyclable and compostable material sustainable (either UK or overseas)? Can local markets be stimulated for recovered materials?	10%
Provides flexibility to adapt to changes in volume, composition, collection arrangements, regulation and legislation	Will the technology offer flexibility to meet changing demands in the future? Does the technology have a proven track record?	15%
Addresses short term and long term LATS Targets, minimising potential LATS liability	Will the scenario meet statutory targets, Government policy and guidance, EU legislation?	20%
Addresses long term statutory and local recycling and composting targets	Will the scenario meet statutory targets? Will the scenario meet targets set out in the Waste Strategy 2000, Regional Waste Management Strategy and LCC Municipal Waste Management Strategy? Does the service promote the waste hierarchy?	20%
Minimises impacts associated with land use and allows self-sufficiency of disposal within Leeds City Council	Are there any health and safety risks? How many and what type of waste management facilities will be required by each scenario? Will the facility allow disposal within LCC? Does the scenario fit in with PPS10, Regional Spatial Strategy and LCC Unitary Development Plan?	15%
		100%

LEEDS CITY COUNCIL WASTE SOLUTION, SCORING OF RESIDUAL WASTE TECHNOLOGY OPTIONS AGAINST CRITERIA

Criteria Definition	Weighting	Do Nothing DN		Do Minimum DM		AC + ATT 1		AC + LF 2		EFW 3		MBT + ATT + IVC 4		MBT + LF + IVC 5		MT + AD + LF 6	
		Average Score	Weighted Score	Average Score	Weighted Score	Average Score	Weighted Score	Average Score	Weighted Score	Average Score	Weighted Score	Average Score	Weighted Score	Average Score	Weighted Score	Average Score	Weighted Score
		Weighted Criteria - scored in stakeholder workshop															
Addresses long term statutory and local recycling and composting targets	15%	0	0	4	5	7	10	6	8	7	10	7	10	6	9	9	13
Addresses short term and long term LATS Targets, minimising potential LATS liability	25%	0	0	0	0	8	20	0	0	8	20	8	20	4	10	4	10
Provides flexibility to adapt to changes in volume, composition, collection arrangements, regulation and legislation	15%	3	4	4	5	2	3	4	6	7	10	2	3	4	5	4	6
Minimises impacts associated with land use and allows self-sufficiency of disposal within Leeds City Council	10%	1	1	1	1	5	5	5	5	7	7	4	4	3	3	3	3
There are long term certain markets for outputs	10%	5	5	5	5	9	9	6	6	10	10	6	6	1	1	4	4
Achieves sustainability in relation to social, economic and environmental factors, measured through SA criteria	25%	0	0	1	2	7	18	4	9	7	16	6	15	4	10	4	10
Total Score (out of 100)			10		19		64		33		72		57		39		45
Ranking			8		7		2		6		1		3		5		4



Option	Do Nothing DN	Do Minimum DM	AC + ATT 1	AC + LF 2	EFW 3	MBT + ATT + IVC 4	MBT + LF + IVC 5	MT + AD + LF 6
Total Score	10	19	64	33	72	57	39	45
Ranking	8	7	2	6	1	3	5	4